



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>





600095448-

THE
ECCLESIASTICAL POLITY
AND OTHER
WORKS
OF
RICHARD HOOKER:

WITH
HIS LIFE BY IZAAK WALTON,

AND STRYPE'S INTERPOLATIONS :

TO WHICH ARE NOW FIRST ADDED,
THE "CHRISTIAN LETTER" TO MR. HOOKER;
AND
DR COVEL'S "JUST & TEMPERATE DEFENCE" IN REPLY TO IT;

ACCOMPANIED BY
AN INTRODUCTION,
A LIFE OF THOMAS CARTWRIGHT, B. D.
AND
NUMEROUS NOTES,
BY BENJAMIN HANBURY.

IN THREE VOLUMES.

VOL. III.

LONDON :
HOLDSWORTH AND BALL,
18, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD.
MDCCCXXX.

110. i. 67.



LONDON :

—
R. CLAY, PRINTER, BREAD-STREET-HILL,
CHEAPSIDE.

CONTENTS TO VOL. III.

ECCLESIASTICAL POLITY:—

PAGE

- Book VI.—Containing their Fifth Assertion, That our Laws are corrupt and repugnant to the Laws of God, in matter belonging to the power of Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction, in that we have not throughout all Churches certain Lay-elders established for the exercise of that power 1
- VII.—Their Sixth Assertion, That there ought not to be in the Church, Bishops indued with such authority and honour as ours are 87
- VIII.—Containing their Seventh Assertion, That to no Civil Prince or Governor there may be given such Power of Ecclesiastical Dominion, as by the Laws of this Land belongeth unto the Supreme Regent thereof. 251

TRACTS and SERMONS:—

- I.—A Supplication to the Privy Council, by WALTER TRAVERS.... 335
- II.—HOOKER'S Answer to that Supplication 353
- III.—A Learned Discourse of Justification, Works, and How the Foundation of Faith is overthrown 377
- IV.—A Learned Sermon of the Nature of Pride 428
- V.—A Remedy against Sorrow and Fear: delivered in a Funeral Sermon 440
- VI.—Of the Certainty and Perpetuity of Faith in the Elect: especially the Prophet Habakkuk's Faith..... 450
- VII.—Two Sermons on part of St. Jude's Epistle 462
- VIII.—A Sermon on Matt. vii. 7, 8 501
- INDEX..... 513

OF
THE LAWS
OF
ECCLESIASTICAL POLITY.

BOOK VI.

CONTAINING THEIR FIFTH ASSERTION, THAT OUR LAWS ARE CORRUPT AND REPUGNANT TO THE LAWS OF GOD, IN MATTER BELONGING TO THE POWER OF ECCLESIASTICAL JURISDICTION, IN THAT WE HAVE NOT THROUGHOUT ALL CHURCHES CERTAIN LAY-ELDERS ESTABLISHED FOR THE EXERCISE OF THAT POWER.

THE MATTER CONTAINED IN THIS SIXTH BOOK.

1. The Question between us, Whether all Congregations or Parishes ought to have Lay-elders invested with Power of Jurisdiction in Spiritual Causes?
2. The nature of Spiritual Jurisdiction.
3. Of Penitency, the chiefest end propounded by Spiritual Jurisdiction. Two kinds of Penitency; the one a private duty toward God, the other a duty of external discipline. Of the Virtue of Repentance, from which the former duty proceedeth; and of Contrition, the first part of that duty.
4. Of the Discipline of Repentance instituted by Christ, practised by the Fathers, converted by the Schoolmen into a Sacrament; and of Confession, that which belongeth to the Virtue of Repentance, that which was used among the Jews, that which Papacy imagineth a Sacrament, and that which ancient Discipline practised.
5. Of Satisfaction.
6. Of Absolution of Penitents.

1. THE same men which in heat of contention do hardly either speak or give ear to reason, being after sharp and bitter conflicts retired to a calm remembrance of all their former proceedings; the causes that brought them into quarrel, the course which their striving affections have followed, and the issue whereunto they are come, may peradventure, as troubled waters, in small time, of their own accord, by certain easy degrees settle themselves again, and so recover that clearness of well-advised judgment whereby

The question between us, Whether all Congregations or Parishes ought to have Lay-elders invested with Power of Juris-

diction
in Spi-
ritual
Causes?

Lib. vi.
vii. viii.

they shall stand at the length indifferent both to yield and admit any reasonable satisfaction, where before they could not endure with patience to be gainsaid. Neither will I despair of the like success in these unpleasant controversies touching Ecclesiastical Polity; the time of silence, which both parts have willingly taken to breathe, seeming now as it were a pledge of all men's quiet contentment to hear with more indifferency the weightiest and last remains of that Cause,—
Jurisdiction, Dignity, Dominion Ecclesiastical. For, let [not] any man imagine, that the bare and naked difference of a few Ceremonies could either have kindled so much fire, or have caused it to flame so long; but that the parties which herein laboured mightily for change, and (as they say) for Reformation, had somewhat more than this mark whereat to aim.

Having therefore drawn out a complete Form, as they suppose, of public Service to be done to God, and set down their plot for the Office of the Ministry in that behalf, they very well knew how little their labours so far forth bestowed would avail them in the end, without a claim of Jurisdiction to uphold the fabric which they had erected; and this neither likely to be obtained but by the strong hand of the People, nor the people unlikely to favour it; the more, if overture were made of their own interest, right, and title thereunto. Whereupon there are many which have conjectured this to be the cause, why in all the projects of their Discipline (it being manifest that their drift is to wrest the Key of Spiritual Authority out of the hands of former Governors, and equally to possess therewith the Pastors of all several Congregations) the People, first for surer accomplishment, and then for better defence thereof, are pretended necessary Actors in those things, whereunto their ability for the most part is as slender as their title and challenge unjust. Notwithstanding (whether they saw it necessary for them to persuade the People, without whose help they could do nothing, or else, which I rather think, the affection which they bear towards this new Form of Government made them to imagine it God's own Ordinance), their doctrine is, That, by the Law of God, there must be for ever in all Congregations certain Lay-elders, ministers of Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction, inasmuch as our Lord and Saviour by testament (for so they presume) hath left all Ministers or Pastors in the Church executors

equally to the whole Power of Spiritual Jurisdiction, and with them hath joined the People as colleagues. By maintenance of which assertion there is unto that part apparently gained a twofold advantage, both because the People in this respect are much more easily drawn to favour it, as a matter of their own interest; and for that, if they chance to be crossed by such as oppose against them, the colour of Divine Authority, assumed for the grace and countenance of that Power in the Vulgar sort, furnisheth their leaders with great abundance of matter behoveful for their encouragement to proceed always with hope of fortunate success in the end, considering their cause to be as David's was, a just defence of power given them from above, and consequently, their adversaries' quarrel the same with Saul's, by whom the Ordinance of God was withstood. Now, on the contrary side, if this their surmise prove false; if such, as in justification whereof no evidence sufficient either hath been or can be alleged (as I hope it shall clearly appear after due examination and trial), let them then consider whether those words of Korah, Dathan, and Abiram against Moses and against Aaron, "It is too much that ye take upon you, seeing all the Congregation is holy," be not the very true abstract and abridgment of all their published Admonitions, Demonstrations, Supplications, and Treatises whatsoever, whereby they have laboured to void the rooms of their Spiritual superiors before authorized, and to advance the new fancied sceptre of Lay-presbyterial Power.*

Numb.
xvi. 3.

2. But before there can be any settled determination, whether Truth do rest on their part or on ours, touching Lay-elders, we are to prepare the way thereunto by explanation of some things requisite and very needful to be considered; as, first, how besides that Spiritual Power

The nature of
Spiritual Jurisdiction.

* ["I know that in the primitive Church they had, in every Church, certain Seniors to whom the Government of the Congregation was committed; but that was before there was any Christian Prince or Magistrate that openly professed the Gospel, and before there was any Church by public Authority established, or under Civil Government. Both the names and Offices of Seniors were extinguished before Ambrose' time, as he himself doth testify writing upon the fifth of the First to Timothy." WHITGIFT, *Ans. to the Adm.* p. 114. "That which I have said of the being of Seniors in every Church I say still; neither is that the question, for I ask the question of your Seniors, not of Ministers (whom I call Seniors); neither did I mean that in every particular Parish there was such a Seigniorie, but in every chief City; nor that it was at *all times*, in persecution and where there was no Christian Magistrate, but *sometimes*; neither that this kind of Government *must be* in such times, but that it *may be*. And therefore you (T. C.) had done well, if you had not been so sparing of your proofs, for all my grant." WHITGIFT, *Def. of the Ans.* p. 633. Compare this Note with that in Vol. I. p. 33, and with Hooker's text connected with it.]

Acts
xx. 28.
1 Tim.
v. 19.

Mark
xvi. 15.
Mat.
xxviii.
19.
1 Cor.
xi. 24.

which is of Order, and was instituted for performance of those duties whereof there hath been speech already had, there is in the Church no less necessary a second kind, which we call the Power of Jurisdiction.* When the Apostle doth speak of ruling the Church of God, and of receiving accusations, his words have evident reference to the Power of Jurisdiction: our Saviour's words, to the Power of Order, when he giveth his Disciples charge, saying, "Preach; baptize; do this in remembrance of me." "A Bishop (saith Ignatius) doth bear the image of God and of Christ; of God in ruling, of Christ in administering holy things:"† By this therefore we see a manifest difference acknowledged between the Power of Ecclesiastical Order, and the Power of Jurisdiction Ecclesiastical.

The Spiritual Power of the Church being such as neither can be challenged by right of nature, nor could by human authority be instituted, because the forces and effects thereof are supernatural and divine, we are to make no doubt or question but that from him which is the Head it hath descended unto us that are the Body now invested therewith. He gave it for the benefit and good of souls, as a mean to keep them in the path which leadeth unto endless felicity, a bridle to hold them within their due and convenient bounds, and, if they do go astray, a forcible help to reclaim them. Now although there be no kind of Spiritual Power, for which our Lord Jesus Christ did not give both commission to exercise, and direction how to use the same; although his Laws in

* ["If the Rulers of the Church, the greatest of them, have only a *Ministerial* Power committed unto them, and are precisely limited thereto (Luke xxii. 25, Matt. xx. 26—28); if in the exercise thereof they are *servants* of the Church unto its *edification*, (Rom. xv. 1—3, 2 Cor. x. 8, xiii. 10, Ephes. iv. 12, 13); if all *lordly domination* in an exaltation above the Church, or the Members of it, in dignity and authority of this world, and the exercise of Power by external coercive Jurisdiction, be *forbidden* unto them; if the whole Power and Rule of the Church be Spiritual and not Carnal, 'mighty through God,' 2 Cor. x. 4, and not through the Laws of men; and to be exercised by *spiritual means* for *spiritual ends* only; it is apparent how it hath been lost in, or cast out of the world, for the introduction of a lordly domination, a secular coercive Jurisdiction, with Laws and Powers no way derived from Christ in the room thereof. Neither is it possible for any man alive to reconcile the present government of some Churches, either as unto the *Officers* who have the administration of that Rule, or the *Rules* and *Laws* whereby they act and proceed, or *Powers* which they exercise, or the *Jurisdiction* which they claim, or the *manner* of their proceeding in its administration, unto any tolerable consistency with the Principles, Rules and Laws of the government of the Church, given by Christ himself. And this alone is a sufficient reason why those who endeavour to preserve their *loyalty* entire unto Jesus Christ, should in their own practice seek after the reduction of the Rule of the Church unto his commands and appointments." *The True Nature of a Gospel Church and its Government*. By JOHN OWEN, D.D. 1688. Posthu. 4to. p. 31.]

† Τίμα μὲν τὸν Θεόν, ὡς αἷτιον τῶν ὅλων καὶ κύριον Ἐπίσκοπον δὲ, ὡς ἀρχιερέα, Θεοῦ εἰκόνα φέροντα, κατὰ μὲν τὸ ἀρχεῖν, Θεοῦ, κατὰ δὲ τὸ ἱερατεῦειν, Χριστοῦ. Epist. ad Smyrn.

that behalf, recorded by the holy Evangelists, be the only ground and foundation whereupon the practice of the Church must sustain itself; yet, as all multitudes, once grown to the form of societies, are even thereby naturally warranted to enforce upon their own subjects particularly those things which public wisdom shall judge expedient for the common good; so it were absurd to imagine the Church itself, the most glorious amongst them, abridged of this liberty, or to think that no Law, Constitution, or Canon can be further made either for limitation or amplification in the practice of our Saviour's Ordinances, whatsoever occasion be offered through variety of times and things, during the state of this inconstant world, which bringeth forth daily such new evils as must of necessity by new remedies be redrest; did both of old enforce our venerable Predecessor*, and will always constrain others, sometime to make, sometime to abrogate, sometime to augment, and again to abridge sometime; in sum, often to vary, alter, and change customs incident into the manner of exercising that Power which doth itself continue always one and the same: I therefore conclude, That Spiritual Authority is a Power which Christ hath given to be used over them which are subject unto it for the eternal good of their souls, according to his own most sacred Laws and the wholesome positive Constitutions of his Church.

In Doctrine referred unto action and practice, as this is which concerns Spiritual Jurisdiction, the first sound and perfect understanding is the knowledge of the end, because thereby both use doth frame, and contemplation judge, all things.

3. Seeing that the chiefest cause of Spiritual Jurisdiction is to provide for the health and safety of men's souls, by bringing them to see and repent their grievous offences committed against God, as also to reform all injuries offered with the breach of Christian love and charity toward their brethren in matters of Ecclesiastical cognizance; the use of this Power shall by so much the plainlier appear, if first the nature of Repentance itself be known.

We are by Repentance to appease whom we offend by sin. For which cause, whereas all sin deprives us of the favour of Almighty God, our way of reconciliation with him is the inward secret Repentance of the heart; which inward

Of Peni-
tency,
the
chiefest
end pro-
pounded
by Spi-
ritual
Jurisdic-
tion.
Two
kinds
of Peni-
tency;
the one
a private
duty to-
ward
God, the
other a
duty of
of ex-
ternal

* [Abp. Whitgift, Hooker's "predecessor" in this controversy.]

discipline.
Of the
virtue of
Repentance,
from
which
the former
duty proceedeth;
and of Contrition,
the first part of that duty.

Repentance alone sufficeth, unless some special thing, in the quality of sin committed, or in the party that hath done amiss, require more. For besides our submission in God's sight, Repentance must not only proceed to the private contentation of men, if the sin be a crime injurious; but also farther, where the wholesome Discipline of God's Church exacteth a more exemplary and open satisfaction.* Now the Church being satisfied with outward Repentance, as God is with inward, it shall not be amiss for more perspicuity, to term this latter always the Virtue, the former the Discipline of Repentance; which Discipline, hath two sorts of Penitents to work upon, inasmuch as it hath been accustomed to lay the offices of Repentance on some seeking, others shunning them; on some at their own voluntary request, on others altogether against their wills, as shall hereafter appear by store of ancient examples. Repentance being, therefore, either in the sight of God alone, or else with the notice also of men; without the one, sometime thoroughly performed, but always practised more or less in our daily devotions and prayers, we have no remedy for any fault; whereas the other is only required in sins of a certain degree and quality: the one necessary for ever, the other so far forth as the Laws and Orders of God's Church shall make it requisite. The nature, parts, and effects of the one always the same; the other limited, extended, varied by infinite occasions.

[Rev.
iii. 20.]

The Virtue of Repentance in the heart of man is God's handy-work, a fruit or effect of divine grace, which grace continually offereth itself even unto them that have forsaken it, as may appear by the words of Christ in St. John's Revelation, "I stand at the door and knock:" nor doth he only knock without, but also within assist to open, whereby access and entrance is given to the heavenly presence of that saving power, which maketh man a repaired temple for God's good Spirit again to inhabit. And albeit the whole train of virtues which are implied in the name of Grace be infused at one instant; yet because, when they meet and concur unto any effect in man, they have their distinct

* *Pœnitentiæ secundæ, et unius, quanto in actu negotium est, tanto potior probatio est, ut non sola conscientia proferatur, sed aliquo etiam actu administretur. Second Penitency, following that before Baptism, and being not more than once admitted in one man, requireth by so much the greater labour to make it manifest, for that it is not a work which can come again in trial, but must be therefore with some open solemnity executed, and not left to be discharged with the privacy of conscience alone.* Tertull. de Pœnit.

operations rising orderly one from another, it is no unnecessary thing that we note the way or method of the Holy Ghost in framing man's sinful heart to Repentance. A work, the first foundation whereof is laid by opening and illuminating the eye of Faith, because by Faith are discovered the principles of this action, whereunto unless the understanding do first assent, there can follow in the will towards Penitency no inclination at all. Contrariwise, the resurrection of the dead, the judgment of the world to come, and the endless misery of sinners, being apprehended, this worketh Fear; such as theirs was who, feeling their own distress and perplexity in that passion, besought our Lord's Apostles earnestly to give them counsel what they should do. For fear is impotent and unable to advise itself; yet this good it hath, that men are thereby made desirous to prevent, if possibly they may, whatsoever evil they dread. The first thing that wrought the Ninevites' Repentance, was fear of destruction within forty days: signs and miraculous works of God, being extraordinary representations of divine power, are commonly wont to stir any the most wicked with terror, lest the same power should bend itself against them. And because tractable minds, though guilty of much sin, are hereby moved to forsake those evil ways which make his power in such sort their astonishment and fear, therefore our Saviour denounced his curse against Chorazin and Bethsaida, saying, that, if Tyre and Sidon had seen that which they did, those signs which prevailed little with the one would have brought the others to Repentance: as the like thereunto did in the men given to curious arts, of whom the Apostolic History saith, that "fear came upon them, and many which had followed vain sciences, burnt openly the very books out of which they had learned the same." As fear of contumely and disgrace amongst men, together with other civil punishments, are a bridle to restrain from any heinous acts whereinto men's outrage would otherwise break; so the fear of divine revenge and punishment, where it taketh place, doth make men desirous to be rid likewise from that inward guiltiness of sin wherein they would else securely continue. Howbeit, when Faith hath wrought a fear of the event of sin, yet Repentance hereupon ensueth not, unless our belief conceive both the possibility and means to avert evil: the possibility, inasmuch as God is merciful and most willing to have sin

[Acts
xvi. 30.][Matt.
xi. 21.][Acts
xix. 17,
19.]

[Rev.
ii. 4.]

cured; the means, because he hath plainly taught what is requisite and shall suffice unto that purpose. The nature of all wicked men is, for fear of revenge to hate whom they most wrong; the nature of hatred, to wish that destroyed which it cannot brook; and from hence arise the furious endeavours of godless and obdurate sinners to extinguish in themselves the opinion of God, because they would not have him to be, whom execution of endless wo doth not suffer them to love. Every sin against God abateth, and continuance in sin extinguisheth, our love towards him. It was therefore said to the Angel of Ephesus having sinned, “Thou art fallen away from thy first love;” so that, as we never decay in love till we sin, in like sort neither can we possibly forsake sin, unless we first begin again to love. What is love towards God, but a desire of union with God? And shall we imagine a sinner converting himself to God, in whom there is no desire of union with God presupposed? I therefore conclude, That Fear worketh no man’s inclination to Repentance, till somewhat else have wrought in us Love also. Our love and desire of union with God ariseth from the strong conceit which we have of his admirable goodness: the goodness of God which particularly moveth unto Repentance is, his mercy towards mankind, notwithstanding sin: for, let it once sink deeply into the mind of man, that howsoever we have injured God, his very nature is averse from revenge, except unto sin we add obstinacy, otherwise always ready to accept our submission as a full discharge or recompence for all wrongs; and can we choose but begin to love him whom we have offended? or can we but begin to grieve that we have offended him whom we love? Repentance considereth sin as a breach of the Law of God, an act obnoxious to that revenge, which notwithstanding may be prevented if we pacify God in time.

[Rom.
ii. 9.]
[Ver. 7.][Eph.
v. 6.]
[Ver. 9.]

The root and beginning of Penitency, therefore, is the consideration of our own sin, as a cause which hath procured the wrath, and a subject which doth need the mercy, of God. For unto man’s understanding there being presented, on the one side, “tribulation and anguish upon every soul that doth evil;” on the other, “eternal life unto them which by continuance in well-doing seek glory, and honour, and immortality:” on the one hand, a curse to the children of disobedience; on the other, to lovers of righteousness all

grace and benediction: yet between these extremes that eternal God, from whose unspotted justice and undeserved mercy the lot of each inheritance proceedeth, is so inclinable ^[Col. 1. 12.] rather to shew compassion than to take revenge, that all his speeches in Holy Scripture are almost nothing else but entreaties of men to prevent destruction by amendment of their wicked lives; all the works of his providence little other than mere allurements of the just to continue stedfast, and of the unrighteous to change their course; all his dealings and proceedings towards true converts, [such] as have even filled the grave writings of holy men with these and the like most sweet sentences: Repentance (if I may so speak) stoppeth God in his way, when being provoked by crimes past he cometh to revenge them with most just punishments; yea, it tieth as it were the hands of the avenger, and doth not suffer him to have his will. Again, The merciful eye of God towards men hath no power to withstand Penitency, at what time soever it comes in presence.* And again, God doth not take it so in evil part, though we wound that which he hath required us to keep whole, as that after we have taken hurt there should be in us no desire to receive his help. Finally, lest I be carried too far in so large a sea, There was never any man condemned of God but for neglect, nor justified except he had care, of Repentance. From these considerations, setting before our eyes our inexcusable both unthankfulness in disobeying so merciful, foolishness in provoking so powerful, a God, there ariseth necessarily a pensive and corrosive desire that we had done otherwise; a desire which suffereth us to foreslow no time, to feel no quietness within ourselves, to take neither sleep nor food with contentment, never to give over supplications, confessions, and other penitent duties, till the light of God's reconciled favour shine in our darkened soul.

Fulgentius asking the question, Why David's confession should be held for effectual Penitence, and not Saul's? answereth, "That the one hated sin, the other feared only punishment in this world: Saul's acknowledgment of sin was fear; David's, both fear and also love." This was the fountain of Peter's tears, this the life and spirit of David's

* Basil. Epist. Seleuc. p. 106. *φιλόανθρωπον βλέμμα προσιοῦσαν αἰδεῖται μετάνοιαν.* Chry. in 1 Cor. Hom. viii. *Οὐ τὸ τρωθῆναι οὕτω δεινὸν, ὥς τὸ τρωθέντα μὴ βούλεσθαι θεραπεύεσθαι.* Marc. Erem. [de Pœnit.] *Οὐδεὶς κατεκρίθη, εἰ μὴ μετανόας κατεφρόνησε, καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐδικαιώθη, εἰ μὴ ταύτης ἐπεμελήσατο.*

Fulg. de Remis. Peccat. lib. ii. cap. 15.

eloquence, in those most admirable Hymns entitled Penitential, where the words of sorrow for sin do melt the very bowels of God remitting it; and the comforts of grace in remitting sin carry him which sorrowed rapt as it were into Heaven, with ecstasies of joy and gladness. The first motive of the Ninevites unto Repentance, was their belief in a sermon of fear, but the next and most immediate, an axiom of love; “Who can tell whether God will turn away his fierce wrath, that we perish not?” No conclusion such as theirs, Let every man turn from his evil way, but out of premises such as theirs were, fear and love. Wherefore the well-spring of Repentance is Faith, first breeding Fear, and then Love; which love causeth hope, hope resolution of attempt; “I will go to my Father, and say, I have sinned against heaven and against thee;” that is to say, I will do what the duty of a Convert requireth.

Jonah
iii. 9.

[Luke
xv. 18.]

Now in a Penitent's or convert's duty there are included, first, the aversion of the will from sin; secondly, the submission of ourselves to God by supplication and prayer; thirdly, the purpose of a new life, testified with present works of amendment: which three things do very well seem to be comprised in one definition by them which handle Repentance, as a virtue that hateth, bewaileth, and sheweth a purpose to amend sin. We offend God in thought, word, and deed: to the first of which three, they make Contrition; to the second, Confession; and to the last, our Works of Satisfaction, answerable.

Contrition doth not here import those sudden pangs and convulsions of the mind which cause sometimes the most forsaken of God to retract their own doings; it is no natural passion, or anguish, which riseth in us against our wills, but a deliberate aversion of the will of man from sin; which being always accompanied with grief, and grief oftentimes partly with tears, partly with other external signs, it hath been thought, that in these things Contrition doth chiefly consist: whereas the chiefest thing in Contrition is, that alteration whereby the will, which was before delighted with sin, doth now abhor and shun nothing more. But forasmuch as we cannot hate sin in ourselves without heaviness and grief, that there should be in us a thing of such hateful quality, the will averted from sin must needs make the affection suitable; yea, there is great reason why it should

so do: for since the will by conceiving sin hath deprived the soul of life; and of life there is no recovery without Repentance, the death of sin; Repentance not able to kill sin, but by withdrawing the will from it; the will impossible to be withdrawn, unless it concur with a contrary affection to that which accompanied it before in evil; is it not clear that as an inordinate delight did first begin sin, so Repentance must begin with a just sorrow, a sorrow of heart, and such a sorrow as renteth the heart; neither a feigned nor slight sorrow; not feigned, lest it increase sin, nor slight, lest the pleasures of sin overmatch it. ^[Joel ii. 13.] Wherefore of Grace, the highest cause from which man's Penitency doth proceed; of faith, fear, love, hope, what force and efficiency they have in Repentance; of parts and duties thereunto belonging, comprehended in the Schoolmen's definitions; finally, of the first among those duties, Contrition, which disliketh and bewaileth iniquity, let this suffice. And because God will have offences by Repentance not only abhorred within ourselves, but also with humble supplication displayed before him, and a testimony of amendment to be given, even by present works worthy Repentance, in that they are contrary to those we renounce and disclaim; although the Virtue of Repentance do require that her other two parts, Confession and Satisfaction, should here follow; yet seeing they belong as well to the Discipline as to the Virtue of Repentance, and only differ for that in the one they are performed to man, in the other to God alone, I had rather distinguish them in joint-handling, than handle them apart, because in quality and manner of practice they are distinct.

4. Our Lord and Saviour in the sixteenth of St. Matthew's Gospel* giveth his Apostles regiment in general over God's Church. For they that have "the keys of the Kingdom of Heaven" are thereby signified to be stewards of the House of God, under whom they guide, command, judge, and correct his family. The souls of men are God's treasure, committed to the trust and fidelity of such as must render a strict account† for the very least which is under their

Of the Discipline of Repentance instituted by Christ, practised by the Fathers, converted by the Schoolmen into a Sacrament; and of Confession, that which belongeth to the Virtue of Repentance, that which was used among the Jews, that which Papacy imagineth a Sacrament, and that which ancient Discipline practised.

* Matt. xvi. 19.

† [Heb. xiii. 17.]

[Acts
xx. 35.]Matt.
xviii. 17.

custody. God hath not invested them with power to make a revenue thereof, but to use it for the good of them whom Jesus Christ hath most dearly bought.* And because their office therein consisteth of sundry Functions, some belonging to Doctrine, some to Discipline, all contained in the name of “the Keys;” they have for matters of Discipline, as well litigious as criminal, their Courts and Consistories erected by the heavenly Authority of his most sacred voice, who hath said, *Dic Ecclesiæ*, Tell the Church;† against rebellious and contumacious persons which refuse to obey their sentence, armed they are with power to eject such out of the Church, to deprive them of the honours, rights, and privileges of Christian men, to make them as Heathens and Publicans, with whom society was hateful. Furthermore, lest their acts should be slenderly accounted of, or had in contempt, whether they admit to the Fellowship of Saints or seclude from it, whether they bind offenders or set them again at liberty, whether they remit or retain sins, whatsoever is done by way of orderly and lawful proceeding, the Lord himself hath promised to ratify. This is

* [The *personal* effects of the Archbishop of Armagh, who died in 1822, were sworn to be under £200,000!]

† [“This is one of those many Scriptures which would have been very intelligible, if they had not been learnedly obscured by ingenious men, whose interest it has been to spread a cloud over them.... The old English editions of 1539 and 1541 render it, ‘Tell it to the Congregation.’... The word *Church* is unhappily grown into a term of art, and has by different persons a variety of secondary ideas annexed to it; as Dr. Watts has beautifully shewn in his *Essay on Uncharitableness*, p. 7—10. But it signifies in general an assembly, or number of people, called together on whatever occasion, as is well known: compare Acts xix. 32, 39. It is in the New Testament generally used, as here, for a *particular* assembly; Acts xiv. 23, 1 Cor. vii. 17, xiv. 23, xvi. 19; but sometimes it is used for the whole body of Christians, because they are now called out from the world, and are at last to be gathered together in the presence of Christ their Head; 2 Thess. ii. 1; and to dwell for ever with each other and with him; 1 Thess. iv. 17; compare Matt. xvi. 18, Eph. i. 22, iii. 10, v. 24, Col. i. 18, 24. According to Bishop Stillingfleet’s interpretation of this text, *Iren.* Book II. chap. 5, sect. 8, it should be rendered, ‘Tell it to *an* assembly,’ or a select company. But it is certain the force of the article, *τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ* “unto *the* church,” is better preserved by our version; and as undoubtedly it must be an assembly of Christians; comp. 1 Cor. vi. 1; so no interpretation seems so natural, as that it should be *that assembly* which was under a peculiar obligation to watch over the person in question; comp. 1 Cor. v. 12, 13, and 2 Thess. iii. 14, 15; and *that* whose advices and remonstrances he was peculiarly obliged to hear. And this was likewise conformable to the usage of the Jews, who admonished offenders in their Synagogues, and to many of their maxims which commentators mention on this text. See Lightfoot’s *Hor. Heb. in loc.* and Selden, *de Synod.* lib. i. cap. 9. If I am not much mistaken, that celebrated text in Titus relating to heretics, chap. iii. 10, which requires that a man who disturbs the peace or subverts the faith of his Christian brethren, should be *twice admonished*, and then discarded by the society, may be much illustrated by the passage, ‘as an heathen man and a publican,’ in this text. When such a case occurs, as well as when an offended brother has just cause of complaint, each particular person concerned must judge as well as he can; remembering he is answerable to Christ for the impartiality of such judgment.” DR. DODDRIDGE, *Fam. Expos. in loc.*]

that grand original Warrant, by force whereof the Guides and Prelates in God's Church, first his Apostles, and afterwards others following them successively, did both use and uphold that Discipline, the end whereof is to heal men's consciences, to cure their sins, to reclaim Offenders from iniquity, and to make them by Repentance just.* Neither hath it of ancient time, for any other respect, been accustomed to bind by Ecclesiastical censures, to retain so bound till tokens of manifest Repentance appeared, and upon apparent Repentance to release, saving only because this was received as a most expedient method for the cure of sin.

Matt.
xviii. 18.
John
xx. 23.
1 Cor.
v. 3.
2 Cor.
ii. 6.
1 Tim.
i. 20.

The course of Discipline in former ages reformed open Transgressors by putting them unto offices of open Penitence, especially Confession, whereby they declared their own crimes in the hearing of the whole Church, and were not from the time of their first convention capable of the holy mysteries of Christ, till they had solemnly discharged this duty. Offenders in secret knowing themselves altogether as unworthy to be admitted to the Lord's Table, as the other which were withheld, being also persuaded, that if the Church did direct them in the offices of their Penitency, and assist them with public Prayer, they should more easily obtain that they sought, than by trusting wholly to their own endeavours; finally, having no impediment to stay them from it but bashfulness, which countervailed not the former inducements, and besides was greatly eased by the good construction which the charity of those times gave to such actions, wherein men's piety and voluntary care to be reconciled to God did purchase then much more love than their faults (the testimonies of common frailty) were able to procure disgrace, they made it not nice to use some one of the Ministers of God, by whom the rest might take notice of their faults, prescribe them convenient remedies, and in the end, after public Confession, all join in prayer unto God for them. The first beginner of this custom had the

* [The punishment of the incestuous person was directed to be inflicted by the Church when it was "gathered together," 1 Cor. v. 4, comp. with 2 Cor. ii. 6, τῶν πλειόνων "of the many," as it is in the Greek; and be it observed, that this Church was ἐν, in or at Corinth, 2 Cor. i. 1, not of the province of which it was the capital. Paul preached in Achaia, Acts xviii. 12, and at Corinth, but he no where speaks of the Church of Achaia, though there were "saints in all Achaia," 2 Cor. i. 1. These particulars it would not have suited HOOKER's purpose to have remarked upon!]

more followers, by means of that special favour which always was with good consideration shewed towards Voluntary Penitents above the rest. But as professors of Christian Belief grew more in number, so they waxed worse; when Kings and Princes had submitted their dominions unto the sceptre of Jesus Christ,* by means whereof persecution ceasing, the Church immediately became subject to those evils which peace and security bringeth forth; there was not now that love which before kept all things in tune, but every where schisms, discords, dissensions amongst men, conventicles of Heretics, bent more vehemently against the sounder and better sort than very Infidels and Heathens themselves; faults not corrected in charity, but noted with delight, and kept for malice to use when the deadliest opportunities should be offered. Whereupon, forasmuch as public Confessions became dangerous and prejudicial to the safety of well-minded men, and in divers respects advantageous to the enemies of God's Church, it seemed first unto some, and afterwards generally, requisite, that voluntary penitents should surcease from open Confession. Instead whereof, when once private and secret Confession had taken place with the Latins, it continued as a profitable Ordinance till the Lateran Council had decreed that all men once in a year at the least should confess themselves to the Priest.† So that being a thing thus made both general and also necessary, the next degree of estimation whereunto it grew, was to be honoured and lifted up to the nature of a Sacrament; that as Christ did institute Baptism to give life, and the Eucharist to nourish life, so Penitence might be thought a Sacrament ordained to recover life, and Confession a part of the Sacrament.

Scot. in
Sent. iv.
dist. 14.
q. 1.
art. 1.

They define therefore their private Penitency to be a Sacrament of remitting sins after Baptism: the Virtue of Repentance, a detestation of wickedness with full purpose to amend the same, and with hope to obtain pardon at God's hands. Wheresoever the Prophets cry "Repent," and in the Gospel Saint Peter maketh the same exhortation to the Jews as yet unbaptized, they will have the Virtue of

* ["Whether motives of a *worldly kind* did not contribute, in a certain measure, to give Christianity, in the esteem of Constantine, a preference to all other religious systems?" is a question which MOSHEIM (*Eccl. Hist.* cent. iv. Part 1. chap. 1, sect. 8) says "might be proposed."]

† [Decree of Pope Innocent; Conc. Lat. can. Omnis utriusque sexus.]

Repentance only to be understood; the Sacrament, where ^{dist.} he adviseth Simon Magus to repent, because the sin of ^{q. 2.} ^{art. 1.} Simon Magus was after Baptism. Now although they have only external Repentance for a Sacrament, internal for a Virtue, yet make they Sacramental Repentance nevertheless to be composed of three parts, Contrition, Confession, and Satisfaction: which is absurd; because Contrition, being an inward thing, belongeth to the Virtue and not to the Sacrament of Repentance, which must consist of external parts, if the nature thereof be external. Besides, which ^{Scot. Sent. l. iv. d. 14. q. 4.} is more absurd, they leave out Absolution, whereas some of their School-divines, handling Penance in the nature of a Sacrament, and being not able to espy the least resemblance of a Sacrament save only in Absolution (for a Sacrament by their doctrine must both signify and also confer or bestow some special or divine grace), resolved themselves, that the duties of the Penitent could be but mere preparations to the Sacrament, and that the Sacrament itself was wholly in Absolution. And albeit Thomas with his followers have thought it safer to maintain, as well the services of the Penitent, as the words of the Minister, necessary unto the essence of their Sacrament: the services of the Penitent, as a cause material; the words of Absolution, as a formal, for that by them all things else are perfected to the taking away of sin; which opinion now reigneth in all their schools, since the time that the Council of Trent gave it solemn approbation, seeing they all make Absolution, if not the whole essence, yet the very form whereunto they ascribe chiefly the whole force and operation of their Sacrament; surely to admit the matter as a part, and not to admit the form, hath small congruity with reason.*

Again, forasmuch as a Sacrament is complete, having the matter and form which it ought, what should lead them to set down any other parts of Sacramental Repentance, than Confession and Absolution, as Durandus hath done? For, touching Satisfaction, the end thereof, as they understand it, is a further matter which resteth after the Sacrament administered, and therefore can be no part of the Sacrament. Will they draw in Contrition with Satisfaction,

* “ Docet Sancta Synodus Sacramenti Pœnitentiæ formam, in qua præcipue ipsius vis sita est, in illis Ministri verbis positam esse, Ego te absolvo. Sunt autem quasi materia hujus Sacramenti ipsius pœnitentis actus, nempe Contritio, Confessio, et Satisfactio.” Sess. xiv. c. 3.

which are no parts, and exclude Absolution (a principal part), yea, the very complement, form, and perfection of the rest, as themselves account it? But, for their breach of precepts in art it skilleth not, if their doctrine otherwise concerning Penitency, and in Penitency touching Confession, might be found true. We say, Let no man look for pardon, which doth smother and conceal sin where in duty it should be revealed.

Luke
vii. 47.

The cause why God requireth Confession to be made to him is, that thereby testifying a deep hatred of our own iniquity, the only cause of his hatred and wrath towards us, we might, because we are humble, be so much the more capable of that compassion and tender mercy which knoweth not how to condemn sinners that condemn themselves. If it be our Saviour's own principle, that the conceit we have of our debt forgiven, proportioneth our thankfulness and love to him at whose hands we receive pardon; doth not God foresee that they which with ill-advised modesty seek to hide their sin like Adam, that they which rake it up under ashes, and confess it not, are very unlikely to requite with offices of love afterwards the grace which they shew themselves unwilling to prize at the very time when they sue for it; inasmuch as their not confessing what crimes they have committed is a plain signification how loth they are that the benefit of God's most gracious pardon should seem great? Nothing more true than that of Tertullian, "Confession doth as much abate the weight of men's offences, as concealment doth make them heavier. For he which confesseth hath a purpose to appease God; he, a determination to persist and continue obstinate which keeps them secret to himself."* St. Chrysostom, almost in the same words, "Wickedness is by being acknowledged lessened, and doth but grow by being hid." If men having done amiss let it slip, as though they knew no such matter, what is there to stay them from falling into one and the same evil? To call ourselves sinners availeth nothing, except we lay our faults in the balance, and take the weight of them one by one. Confess thy crimes to God, disclose thy transgressions before thy Judge, by way of humble supplication and suit, if not with tongue, at the least with heart, and in this sort

Hom.
xxx.
in Epist.
ad Heb.

* "Tantum relevat confessio delictorum, quantum dissimulatio exaggerat. Confessio autem satisfactionis consilium est, dissimulatio contumaciæ." Tertull. de Pœnit.

seek mercy. A general persuasion that thou art a sinner will neither so humble nor bridle thy soul, as if the catalogue of thy sins examined severally be continually kept in mind. This shall make thee lowly in thine own eyes; this shall preserve thy feet from falling, and sharpen thy desires towards all good things. The mind, I know, doth hardly admit such unpleasant remembrances; but we must force it, we must constrain it thereunto. It is safer now to be bitten with the memory, than hereafter with the torment of sin.

The Jews, with whom no repentance for sin is available without Confession either conceived in mind or uttered, (which latter kind they call usually וְדָוָה Confession delivered ^{Lev. xvi. 21.} by word of mouth), had first that general Confession which once every year was made both severally by each of the people for himself upon the Day of Expiation, and by the Priest for them all.* On the Day of Expiation the High-priest maketh three express Confessions, acknowledging unto God the manifold transgressions of the whole nation, his own personal offences likewise, together with the sins, as well of his family as of the rest of his rank and order. They had again their voluntary Confessions, at the times and seasons when men, bethinking themselves of their wicked conversation past, were resolved to change their course, the beginning of which alteration was still Confession of sins. Thirdly, over and besides these, the Law imposed upon them also that special Confession, which they in their book call וְדָוָה עַל עוֹן כְּוִידָוָה Confession of that particular fault for which we namely seek pardon at God's hands. The words of the Law concerning Confession in this kind are as followeth: "When a man or woman shall commit any sin ^{Num. v. 6, 7.} that men commit and transgress against the Lord, their sin which they have done (that is to say, the very deed itself in particular) they shall acknowledge." In Leviticus, after certain transgressions there mentioned, we read the like: "When a man hath sinned in any one of these things, he shall then confess, how in that thing he hath offended." ^{Lev. v. 5.} For such kind of special sins they had also special sacrifices: wherein the manner was, that the Offender should lay his hands on the head of the sacrifice which he brought, and should there make Confession to God, saying, "Now,

* "All Israel is bound on the Day of Expiation to repent and confess." R. Mos. in lib. Mitsworth haggadol. par. 2. præ. 16.

O Lord, that I have offended, committed sin, and done wickedly in thy sight, this or this being my fault; behold I repent me, and am utterly ashamed of my doings; my purpose is, never to return more to the same crime.* None of them, whom either the House of Judgment hath condemned to die, or of them which are to be punished with stripes, can be clear by being executed or scourged, till they repent and confess their faults.† Finally, there was no man amongst them at any time, either condemned to suffer death, or corrected, or chastised with stripes, none ever sick and near his end, but they called upon him to repent and confess his sins.‡ Of malefactors convict by witnesses, and thereupon either adjudged to die, or otherwise chastised, their custom was to exact, as Joshua did of Achan, open Confession; “My son, now give glory to the Lord God of Israel; confess unto him, and declare unto me what thou hast committed; conceal it not from me.” Concerning injuries and trespasses, which happen between men, they highly commend such as will acknowledge before many. It is in him which repenteth accepted as an high Sacrifice, if he will confess before many, make them acquainted with his oversights, and reveal the transgressions which have passed between him and any of his brethren; saying, I have verily offended this man, thus and thus I have done unto him; but behold I do now repent and am sorry. Contrariwise, whosoever is proud, and will not be known of his faults, but cloaketh them, is not yet come to perfect repentance; for so it is written, “He that hides his sins shall not prosper:” which words of Solomon they do not further extend than only to sins committed against men, which are in that respect meet before men to be acknowledged particularly. But in sins between man and God, there is no necessity that man should himself make any such open and particular recital of them; to God they are known, and of us it is required, that we cast not the memory of them carelessly and loosely behind our backs, but keep in mind, as near as we can, both our own debt, and his grace which remitteth the same. Wherefore, to let pass Jewish Confession, and to come unto them which hold Confession in the

Josh.
vii. 19.

[Prov.
xxviii.
13.]

* Misne Tora Tractatu Teshuba cap. 1, et R. Mos. in lib. Misnoth, par 2. cap. 10.

† Mos. in Misnoth, par 2. præ. 16.

‡ “To him which is sick and draweth towards death, they say, Confess.” *Idem.*

ear of the Priest commanded, yea, commanded in the nature of a Sacrament, and thereby so necessary that sin without it cannot be pardoned; let them find such a commandment in Holy Scripture, and we ask no more.

John the Baptist was an extraordinary person; his birth, his actions of life, his office extraordinary. It is therefore recorded for the strangeness of the act, but not set down as an everlasting Law for the world, “That to him Jerusalem Matt. iii. 5, 6. and all Judea made Confession of their sins;” besides, at the time of this Confession, their pretended Sacrament of Repentance, as they grant, was not yet instituted; neither was it sin after Baptism which Penitents did there confess. When that which befell the seven sons of Sceva, for using the name of our Lord Jesus Christ in their conjurations, was notified to Jews and Grecians in Ephesus, it brought an universal fear upon them, insomuch that divers of them, which had believed before, but not obeyed the Laws of Christ, as they should have done, being terrified by this example, came to the Apostle, and confessed their wicked deeds. Acts xix. 18. Which good and virtuous act no wise man, as I suppose, will disallow, but commend highly in them, whom God’s good Spirit shall move to do the like when need requireth. Yet neither hath this example the force of any general Commandment or Law, to make it necessary for every man to pour into the ears of the Priest whatsoever hath been done amiss, or else to remain everlastingly culpable and guilty of sin; in a word, it proveth Confession practised as a virtuous act, but not commanded as a Sacrament. Now concerning St. James, his exhortation, whether the former branch be considered, which saith, “Is any sick Jam. v. 14. among you? let him call for the Ancients of the Church, and let them make their prayers for him;” or the latter, which stirreth up all Christian men unto mutual acknowledgment of faults amongst themselves, “Lay open your minds, make [v. 16.] your Confessions one to another; is it not plain, that the one hath relation to that gift of healing, which our Saviour promised his Church, saying, “They shall lay their hands Mark xvi. 18. on the sick, and the sick shall recover health;” relation to that gift of healing, whereby the Apostle imposed his hands on the father of Publius, and made him miraculously a sound man; relation, finally, to that gift of healing, which so long Acts xxviii. 8. continued in practice after the Apostles’ times; that whereas

Ambros.
de Pœn.
lib. i.
c. 8.

Annot.
Rhem.
in Jac. v.

1 John
i. 9.

[John
xx. 23.]

the Novatianists denied the power of the Church of God in curing sin after Baptism, St. Ambrose asked them again, “ Why it might not as well prevail with God for spiritual as for corporal and bodily health ; yea, wherefore (saith he) do ye yourselves lay hands on the diseased, and believe it to be a work of benediction or prayer, if haply the sick person be restored to his former safety ? ” And of the other member, which toucheth mutual Confession, do not some of themselves, as namely Cajetan, deny that any other Confession is meant, than only that “ which seeketh either association of prayers, or reconciliation, or pardon of wrongs ? ” Is it not confessed by the greatest part of their own retinue, that we cannot certainly affirm Sacramental Confession to have been meant or spoken of in this place ? Howbeit, Bellarmine, delighted to run a course by himself where colourable shifts of wit will but make the way passable, standeth as formally for this place, and not less for that in St. John, than for this. St. John saith, “ If we confess our sins, God is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteousness : ” doth St. John say, If we confess to the Priest, God is righteous to forgive ; and, if not, that our sins are unpardonable ? No, but the titles of God *just* and *righteous* do import that he pardoneth sin only for his promise’ sake ; “ And there is not (they say) any promise of forgiveness upon Confession made to God without the Priest ; ” not any promise, but with this condition, and yet the condition no where exprest.

Is it not strange, that the Scripture, speaking so much of Repentance and of the several duties which appertain thereunto, should ever mean, and no where mention, that one condition, without which all the rest is utterly of none effect ? or will they say, because our Saviour hath said to his Ministers, “ Whose sins ye retain,” &c. and because they can remit no more than what the offenders have confest, that therefore, by the virtue of his promise, it standeth with the righteousness of God to take away no man’s sins until, by auricular Confession, they be opened unto the Priest. They are men that would seem to honour antiquity, and none more to depend upon the reverend judgment thereof. I dare boldly affirm, that for many hundred years after Christ the Fathers held no such opinion ; they did not gather by our Saviour’s words any such necessity of seeking the Priest’s

Absolution from sin by secret and (as they now term it) Sacramental Confession. Public Confession they thought necessary by way of Discipline, not private Confession, as in the nature of a Sacrament, necessary.

For, to begin with the purest times, it is unto them which read and judge without partiality a thing most clear, that the ancient *ἐξομολόγησις* or Confession, defined by Tertulian to be a Discipline of humiliation and submission, framing men's behaviour in such sort as may be fittest to move pity; the Confession which they use to speak of in the exercise of Repentance was made openly in the hearing of the whole, both Ecclesiastical Consistory and Assembly. This is the reason wherefore he perceiving that divers were better content their sores should secretly fester and eat inward, than be laid so open to the eyes of many, blameth greatly their unwise bashfulness;* and, to reform the same, persuadeth with them, saying, “Amongst thy brethren and fellow-servants, which are partakers with thee of one and the same nature, fear, joy, grief, sufferings (for of one common Lord and Father we have all received one spirit), why shouldest thou not think with thyself, that they are but thine ownself? wherefore dost thou avoid them, as likely to insult over thee, whom thou knowest subject to the same haps? At that which grieveth any one part, the whole body cannot rejoice, it must needs be that the whole will labour and strive to help that wherewith a part of itself is molested.”

St. Cyprian, being grieved with the dealings of them who in time of persecution had through fear betrayed their Faith, and notwithstanding thought by shift to avoid in that case the necessary Discipline of the Church, wrote for their better instruction the book intituled *De Lapsis*; a Treatise concerning such as had openly forsaken their Religion, and yet were loth openly to confess their fault in such manner as they should have done: in which book he compareth with this sort of men, certain others which had but a purpose only to have departed from the Faith; and yet could not quiet their minds, till this very secret and hidden fault was confest: “How much both greater in Faith (saith ^[In cap. 14.]

* “Plerosque hoc opus ut publicationem sui aut suffugere, aut de die in diem differre, præsumo pudoris magis memores quam salutis; velut illi qui, in partibus verecundioribus corporis contracta vexatione, conscientiam medentium vitant, et ita cum erubescencia sua pereunt.” Tertull. de Pœnit.

St. Cyprian), and also as touching their fear, better, are those men who although neither sacrifice nor libel* could be objected against them, yet because they thought to have done that which they should not, even this their intent they dolefully open unto God's Priests? They confess that whereof their conscience accuseth them, the burthen that presseth their minds they discover; they foreslow not of smaller and slighter evils to seek remedy." He saith they declared their fault, not to one only man in private, but revealed it to God's Priests; they confest it before the whole Consistory of God's Ministers.

Hom. 1.
de init.
Qua-
drages.

Salvianus (for I willingly embrace their conjecture, who ascribe those Homilies to him which have hitherto by common error past under the counterfeit name of Eusebius Emis-senus), I say, Salvianus, though coming long after Cyprian in time, giveth nevertheless the same evidence for this truth, in a case very little different from that before alleged. His words are these: "Whereas, most dearly beloved, we see that Penance oftentimes is sought and sued for by holy souls, which even from their youth have bequeathed themselves a precious treasure unto God, let us know that the inspiration of God's good Spirit moveth them so to do for the benefit of his Church, and let such as are wounded learn to enquire for that remedy whereunto the very soundest do thus offer and obtrude as it were themselves, that if the virtuous do bewail small offences, the others cease not to lament great." And surely, when a man, that hath less need, performeth, *sub oculis Ecclesiæ*, in the view, sight, and beholding of the whole Church, an office worthy of his Faith and compunction for sin, the good which others thereby reap is his own harvest, the heap of his rewards groweth by that which another gaineth, and, through a kind of spiritual usury, from that amendment of life which others learn by him, there returneth lucre into his coffers. The same Salvianus, in another of his Homilies, "If faults haply be not great and grievous (for example, if a man have offended in word, or in desire, worthy of reproof, if in the wantonness of his eye, or the vanity of his heart,) the stains of words and thoughts are by daily prayer to be cleansed, and by private compunction to be scoured out: but if any man, examining

Hom. 10.
ad Mo-
nach.

* "Qui necessitatem sacrificandi pecunia apud magistratum redimebant, accepta securitatis syngrapha Libellatici dicebantur."

inwardly his own conscience, have committed some high and capital offence, as if by bearing false witness he have quelled and betrayed his Faith, and by rashness of perjury have violated the sacred name of truth; if with the mire of lustful uncleanness he hath sullied the vail of Baptism, and the gorgeous robe of virginity; if, by being the cause of any man's death, he have been the death of the new man within himself; if, by conference with soothsayers, wizards, and charmers, he hath enthralled himself to Satan: these and such like committed crimes cannot throughly be taken away with ordinary, moderate, and secret Satisfaction; but greater causes do require greater and sharper remedies, they need such remedies as are not only sharp, but solemn, open, and public.* Again, "Let that soul (saith he) answer me, which through pernicious shamfacetness is now so abasht to acknowledge his sin *in conspectu fratrum*, before his brethren, as he should have been abasht to commit the same, what will he do in the presence of that Divine tribunal, where he is to stand arraigned in the assembly of a glorious and celestial host?" I will hereunto add but St. Ambrose's testimony; for the places which I might allege are more than the cause itself needeth: "There are many (saith he) who, fearing the judgment that is to come, and feeling inward remorse of conscience, when they have offered themselves unto Penitency, are enjoined what they shall do, give back for the only scar which they think that public supplication will put them unto." He speaketh of them which sought voluntarily to be penanced, and yet withdrew themselves from open Confession, which they that are Penitents for public crimes could not possibly have done, and therefore it cannot be said he meaneth any other than secret sinners in that place. Genadius, a Presbyter of Marseilles, in his book touching Ecclesiastical Assertions, maketh but two kinds of Confession necessary: the one in private to God alone for smaller offences: the other open, when crimes committed are heinous and great: "Although (saith he) a man be bitten with conscience of sin, let his will be from thenceforward to sin no more; let him, before he communicate, satisfy with tears and prayers, and then putting his trust in the mercy of Almighty God (whose wont is to yield to

Hom. 8.
ad Monach.

De Penitent.
lib. ii.
c. 9.

* "Graviores et aciores, et publicas curas requirunt."

godly Confessions) let him boldly receive the Sacrament. But I speak this of such as have not burthened themselves with capital sins: Them I exhort to satisfy first by public Penance, that so being reconciled by the sentence of the Priest, they may communicate safely with others." Thus still we hear of public Confessions, although the crimes themselves discovered were not public; we hear that the cause of such Confessions was not the openness, but the greatness, of men's offences; finally, we hear that the same being now held by the Church of Rome to be a Sacramental, were the only Penitential Confessions used in the Church for a long time, and esteemed as necessary remedies against sin.

Cypr.
Epist. 12.

They which will find Auricular Confessions in St. Cyprian, therefore, must seek out some other passage than that which Bellarmine allegeth; "Whereas in smaller faults which are not committed against the Lord himself, there is a competent time assigned unto Penitency, and that Confession is made, after that observation and trial had been had of the penitent's behaviour,* neither may any communicate till the Bishop and Clergy have laid their hands upon him; how much more ought all things to be warily and stayedly observed, according to the Discipline of the Lord, in these most grievous and extreme crimes?" St. Cyprian's speech is against rashness in admitting Idolaters to the holy Communion, before they had shewed sufficient Repentance, considering that other Offenders were forced to stay out their time, and that they made not their public Confession, which was the last act of Penitency, till their life and conversation had been seen into, not with the eye of auricular scrutiny, but of pastoral observation, according to that in the Council of Nice, where thirteen years being set for the Penitency of certain Offenders, the severity of this decree is mitigated with special caution: "That, in all such cases, the mind of the penitent, and the manner of his Repentance, is to be noted, that as many as with fear and tears, and meekness, and the exercise of good works, declared themselves to be Converts indeed, and not in outward appearance only,† towards them the Bishop at his discretion might use more lenity." If the Council of Nice suffice not, let Gratian,

Con.
Nic. par.
2, c. 12.

* "Inspecta vita ejus qui agit pœnitentiam."

† "Pro fide et conversatione pœnitentium."

the Founder of the Canon Law, expound Cyprian, who sheweth, that the stint of time in Penitency is either to be abridged, or enlarged, as the Penitent's faith and behaviour shall give occasion. "I have easilier found out men (saith St. Ambrose) able to keep themselves free from crimes, than conformable to the rules which in Penitency they should observe." St. Gregory, Bishop of Nice, complaineth and inveigheth bitterly against them, who in the time of their Penitency lived even as they had done always before: "Their countenance as cheerful, their attire as neat, their diet as costly, and their sleep as secure as ever, their worldly business purposely followed, to exile pensive thoughts from their minds, Repentance pretended, but indeed nothing less exprest." These were the inspections of life, whereunto St. Cyprian alludeth; as for auricular examinations, he knew them not.

De Pœn.
dist. i.
cap.
mensu-
ram.

Ambros.
de Pœn.
lib. ii.
cap. 10.

Greg.
Nyss.
Orat. in
eos qui
alios
acerbe
judicant.

Were the Fathers then without use of private Confession as long as public was in use? I affirm no such thing. The first and ancientest that mentioneth this Confession is Origen, by whom it may seem that men, being loth to present rashly themselves and their faults unto the view of the whole Church, thought it best to unfold first their minds to some one special man of the Clergy, which might either help them himself, or refer them to an higher Court, if need were. "Be therefore circumspect (saith Origen) in making choice of the party to whom thou meanest to confess thy sin; know thy physician before thou use him: if he find thy malady such as needeth to be made public, that others may be the better by it, and thyself sooner helpt, his counsel must be obeyed." That which moved sinners thus voluntarily to detect themselves both in private and in public, was fear to receive with other Christian men the mysteries of heavenly grace, till God's appointed Stewards and Ministers did judge them worthy: It is in this respect that St. Ambrose findeth fault with certain men which sought imposition of Penance, and were not willing to wait their time, but would be presently admitted Communicants. "Such people (saith he) do seek, by so rash and preposterous desires, rather to bring the Priest into bonds than to loose themselves."* In this respect it is that St. Augustine hath likewise said, "When the wound of sin is so wide, and the

Origen.
in Psal.
xxxvii.

Ambros.
de Pœn.
l. ii.
cap. 9.

Aug.
Hom. de
Pœnit.

* "Si non tam se solvere cupiunt quam Sacerdotem ligare."

disease so far gone, that the medicinable Body and Blood of our Lord may not be touched, men are by the Bishop's authority to sequester themselves from the altar, till such time as they have repented, and be after reconciled by the same authority."

Furthermore, because the knowledge how to handle our own sores is no vulgar and common art, but we either carry towards ourselves, for the most part, an over-soft and gentle hand, fearful of touching too near the quick; or else, endeavouring not to be partial, we fall into timorous scrupulosities, and sometime into those extreme discomforts of mind, from which we hardly do ever lift up our heads again; men thought it the safest way to disclose their secret faults, and to crave imposition of Penance from them whom our Lord Jesus Christ hath left in his Church to be spiritual and ghostly Physicians, the Guides and Pastors of redeemed souls, whose office doth not only consist in general persuasions unto amendment of life, but also in the private particular cure of diseased minds.

Hom.
de Pœ-
nitentia
Niniv.

Aug.
hom de
Pœnit.
citatur a
Grat.
dist. l.c.
judices.

Jam.
v. 16.

Howsoever the Novatianists presume to plead against the Church (saith Salvianus) that "every man ought to be his own Penitentiary, and that it is a part of our duty to exercise, but not of the Church's authority to impose or prescribe, Repentance;" the truth is otherwise, the best and strongest of us may need, in such cases, direction: "What doth the Church in giving Penance, but shew the remedies which sin requireth? or what do we in receiving the same, but fulfil her precepts? what else but sue unto God with tears, and fasts, that his merciful ears may be opened?" St. Augustine's exhortation is directly to the same purpose; "Let every man whilst he hath time judge himself, and change his life of his own accord; and when this is resolved, let him, from the disposers of the holy Sacraments, learn in what manner he is to pacify God's displeasure."* But the greatest thing which made men forward and willing, upon their knees, to confess whatsoever they had committed against God, and in no wise to be withheld from the same with any fear of disgrace, contempt or obloquy, which might ensue, was their fervent desire to be helped and assisted with the prayers of God's Saints. Wherein, as St. James doth exhort unto mutual Confession, alleging this only for a reason, that just

* "A præpositis Sacramentorum accipiat satisfactionis suæ modum."

men's devout prayers are of great avail with God; so it hath been heretofore the use of Penitents for that intent to unburthen their minds, even to private persons, and to crave their prayers. Whereunto Cassianus alluding, counselleth, "That if men possess with dulness of spirit be themselves unapt to do that which is required, they should in meek affection seek health at the least by good and virtuous men's prayers unto God for them." And to the same effect Gregory, Bishop of Nice: "Humble thyself, and take unto thee such of thy brethren as are of one mind, and do bear kind affection towards thee, that they may together mourn and labour for thy deliverance. Shew me thy bitter and abundant tears, that I may blend mine own with them." But because of all men there is or should be none in that respect more fit for troubled and distressed minds to repair unto than God's Ministers, he proceedeth further: "Make the Priest, as a father, partaker of thine affliction and grief; be bold to impart unto him the things that are most secret, he will have care both of thy safety and of thy credit."

"Confession (saith Leo) is first to be offered to God, and then to the Priest, as to one which maketh supplication for the sins of penitent offenders." Suppose we, that men would ever have been easily drawn, much less of their own accord have come, unto public Confession, whereby they know they should sound the trumpet of their own disgrace; would they willingly have done this, which naturally all men are loth to do, but for the singular trust and confidence which they had in the public prayers of God's Church? "Let thy Mother, the Church, weep for thee (saith Ambrose), let her wash and bathe thy faults with her tears: our Lord doth love that many should become suppliant for one." In like sort, long before him, Tertullian; "Some few assembled make a Church, and the Church is as Christ himself; when thou dost therefore put forth thy hands to the knees of thy brethren, thou touchest Christ, it is Christ unto whom thou art a suppliant: so when they pour out tears over them, it is even Christ that taketh compassion; Christ which prayeth when they pray: neither can that easily be denied, for which the Son is himself contented to become a suitor." Whereas in these considerations, therefore, voluntary Penitents had been long accustomed, for great and grievous crimes, though secret, yet openly both to repent and confess as the Canons

Cassian.
col. 20.
c. 8.

Greg.
Nyss.
oratione
in eos
qui alios
acerbe
judicant.

Leo Ep.
78. ad
Episcop.
Campan.
citat. a
Grat. de
Pœn. d.
l. c.
sufficit.

Ambr.
l. ii. de
Pœnit.
c. 10.

Tertull.
de Pœnit.

of ancient Discipline required; the Greek Church first, and in process of time the Latin, altered this Order, judging it sufficient and more convenient, that such Offenders should do Penance and make Confession in private only. The cause why the Latins did, Leo declareth, saying, “Although that ripeness of Faith be commendable, which for the fear of God doth not fear to incur shame before all men; yet because every one’s crimes are not such, that it can be free and safe for them to make publication of all things wherein Repentance is necessary; let a custom, so unfit to be kept, be abrogated, lest many forbear to use remedies of Penitency, whilst they either blush or are afraid to acquaint their enemies with those acts, for which the Laws may take hold upon them. Besides, it shall win the more [to] Repentance, if the consciences of sinners be not emptied into the people’s ears.” And to this only cause doth Sozomen impute the change which the Grecians made, by ordaining throughout all Churches certain Penitentiaries to take the Confessions, and appoint the Penances of secret Offenders. Socrates (for this also may be true, that more inducements than one did set forward an alteration so generally made) affirmeth the Grecians (and not unlikely) to have specially respected therein the occasion which the Novatianists took at the multitude of public Penitents, to insult over the Discipline of the Church, against which they still cried out wheresoever they had time and place, “He that sheweth sinners favour, doth but teach the innocent to sin:” and therefore they themselves admitted no man to their communion upon any Repentance which once was known to have offended after Baptism, making sinners thereby not the fewer, but the closer and the more obdurate, how fair soever their pretence might seem.

Leo Ep.
78.

[Sozom.
Hist.
Eccles.
lib. vii.
c. 16.]

[Socrat.
Hist.
Eccles.
lib. v.
c. 19.]

The Grecians’ Canon for some one Presbyter in every Church to undertake the charge of Penitency, and to receive their voluntary Confessions which had sinned after Baptism, continued in force for the space of above some hundred years, till Nectarius, and the Bishops of Churches under him, begun a second alteration, abolishing even that Confession which their Penitentiaries took in private. There came to the Penitentiary of the Church of Constantinople a certain gentlewoman, and to him she made particular Confession of her faults committed after Baptism, whom thereupon he

[Socrat.
ut sup.]

advised to continue in fasting and prayer, that as with tongue she had acknowledged her sins, so there might appear likewise in her some work worthy of Repentance: but the gentlewoman goeth forward, and detecteth herself of a crime, whereby they were forced to disrobe an Ecclesiastical person, that is, to degrade a Deacon of the same Church. When the matter by this mean came to public notice, the people were in a kind of tumult offended, not only at that which was done, but, much more, because the Church should thereby endure open infamy and scorn. The Clergy perplexed, and altogether doubtful what way to take; till one Eudæmon, born in Alexandria, but at that time a Priest in the Church of Constantinople, considering that the cause of voluntary Confession, whether public or private, was especially to seek the Church's aid, as hath been before declared, lest men should either not communicate with others, or wittingly hazard their souls if so be they did communicate, and that the inconvenience which grew to the whole Church was otherwise exceeding great, but especially grievous by means of so manifold offensive detections, which must needs be continually more, as the world did itself wax continually worse, (for antiquity, together with the gravity and severity thereof (saith Sozomen), had already begun by little and little to degenerate into loose and careless living, whereas before offences were less, partly through bashfulness in them which open their own faults, and partly by means of their great austerity which thought [*sate*] as judges in this business); these things Eudæmon having weighed with himself, resolved easily the mind of Nectarius, that the Penitentiaries' office must be taken away, and for participation in God's holy mysteries every man be left to his own conscience; which was, as he thought, the only mean to free the Church from danger of obloquy and disgrace. "Thus much (saith Socrates) I am the bolder to relate, because I received it from Eudæmon's own mouth, to whom mine answer was at that time; Whether your counsel, sir, have been for the Church's good, or otherwise, God knoweth. But I see you have given occasion, whereby we shall not now any more reprehend one another's faults, nor observe that Apostolic precept which saith, Have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather be ye also reprovers of them." With Socrates, Sozomen both agreeth in the occasion of abolishing

[Luke
xvii. 3.][Eph.
v. 11.][Sozom.
ante.]

Penitentiaries; and, moreover, testifieth also, that in his time, living with the younger Theodosius, the same abolition did still continue, and that the Bishops had in a manner every where followed the example given them by Nectarius.

Wherefore, to implead the truth of this history, Cardinal Baronius allegeth that Socrates, Sozomen, and Eudæmon were all Novatianists; and that they falsify in saying (for so they report), that as many as held the consubstantial being of Christ, gave their assent to the abrogation of the fore-rehearsed Canon. The sum is, he would have taken it for a fable, and the world to be persuaded that Nectarius did never any such thing.* Why then should Socrates first, and afterwards Sozomen, publish it? To please their pew-fellows, the disciples of Novatian? A poor gratification, and they very silly friends that would take lies for good turns. For the more acceptable the matter was, being deemed true, the less they must needs (when they found the contrary) either credit, or affect him which had deceived them. Notwithstanding, we know that joy and gladness, rising from false information, do not only make men so forward to believe that which they first hear, but also apt to scholy upon it, and to report as true whatsoever they wish were true. But, so far is Socrates from any such purpose, that the fact of Nectarius, which others did both like and follow, he doth disallow and reprove. His speech to Eudæmon, before set down, is proof sufficient that he writeth nothing but what was famously known to all, and what himself did wish had been otherwise. As for Sozomen's correspondency with Heretics, having shewed to what end the Church did first ordain Penitentiaries, he addeth immediately, that Novatianists, which had no care of Repentance, could have no need of this office. Are these the words of a friend or enemy? Besides, in the entrance of

*. "Tanta hæc Socrati testanti præstanda est fides, quanta cæteris Hæreticis de suis dogmatibus tractantibus; quippe Novatianus secta cum fuerit, quam vere ac sincere hæc scripserit adversus Pœnitentiam in Ecclesia administrari solitam, quemlibet credo posse facile judicare." Baron. vol. i. ann. Chr. 56. "Sozomenum eandem prorsus causam fovisse certum est. Nec Eudæmonem illum alium quam Novatianæ sectæ hominem fuisse credendum est." Ibidem. "Sacerdos ille merito a Nectario est gradu amotus officioque depositus, quo facto Novatiani (ut mos est Hæreticorum) quamcunque licet levem, ut sinceris dogmatibus detrahant, accipere ausi occasionem, non tantum Presbyterum Pœnitentiarium in ordinem redactum, sed et Pœnitentiam ipsam una cum eo fuisse proscriptam, calumniose admodum conclamarunt, cum tamen illa potius theatralis fieri interdum solita peccatorum fuerit abrogata." Ibidem.

that whole narration; “Not to sin (saith he) at all, would require a nature more divine than ours is: but God hath commanded to pardon sinners; yea, although they transgress and offend often.” Could there be any thing spoken more directly opposite to the doctrine of Novatian? Eudæmon was Presbyter under Nectarius. To Novatianists the Emperor gave liberty of using their Religion quietly by themselves, under a Bishop of their own, even within the city, for that they stood with the Church in defence of the Catholic Faith against all other Heretics besides. Had therefore Eudæmon favoured their Heresy, their camps were not pitched so far off but he might at all times have found easy access unto them. Is there any man that hath lived with him, and hath touched him that way? if not, why suspect we him more than Nectarius? Their report, touching Grecian Catholic Bishops, who gave approbation to that which was done, and did also the like themselves in their own Churches, we have no reason to discredit without some manifest and clear evidence brought against it. For of Catholic Bishops, no likelihood but that their greatest respect to Nectarius, a man honoured in those parts no less than the Bishop of Rome himself in the Western Churches, brought them both easily and speedily unto conformity with him: Arians, Eunomians, Apollinarians, and the rest that stood divided from the Church, held their Penitentiaries as before. Novatianists from the beginning had never any, because their opinion touching Penitency was against the practice of the Church therein, and a cause why they severed themselves from the Church: so that the very state of things, as they then stood, giveth great shew of probability to his speech, who hath affirmed, “That they only which held the Son consubstantial with the Father, and Novatianists which joined with them in the same opinion, had no Penitentiaries in their Churches, the rest retained them.” By this it appeareth, therefore, how Baronius, finding the relation plain, that Nectarius did abolish even those private secret Confessions which the people had been before accustomed to make to him that was Penitentiary, laboureth what he may to discredit the authors of the report, and to leave it imprinted in men’s minds, that whereas Nectarius did but abrogate public Confession, Novatianists have maliciously forged the abolition

[Matt.
xviii.
22.][Socrat.
ut sup.]

of private; as if the odds between these two were so great in the balance of their judgment, which equally hated and contemned both; or, as if it were not more clear than light, that the first alteration which established Penitentiaries took away the burthen of public Confession in that kind of penitents; and, therefore, the second must either abrogate private, or nothing.

Cardinal Bellarmine, therefore, finding that against the Writers of the History it is but vain to stand upon so doubtful terms and exceptions, endeavoureth mightily to prove, even by their report, no other Confession taken away than public, which Penitentiaries used in private to impose upon public Offenders: “For why? It is (saith he) very certain, that the name of Penitents in the Fathers’ writings signifieth only public Penitents; certain, that to hear the Confessions of the rest was more than one could possibly have done; certain, that Sozomen, to shew how the Latin Church retained in his time what the Greek had clean cast off, declareth the whole Order of public Penitency used in the Church of Rome, but of private he maketh no mention.” And, in these considerations, Bellarmine will have it the meaning both of Socrates and Sozomen, that the former Episcopal Constitution, which first did erect Penitentiaries, could not concern any other Offenders than such as publicly had sinned after Baptism; that only they were prohibited to come to the Holy Communion, except they did first in secret confess all their sins to the Penitentiary, by his appointment openly acknowledge their open crimes, and do public Penance for them; that whereas, before Novatian’s uprising, no man was constrainable to confess publicly any sin, this Canon enforced public Offenders thereunto, till such time as Nectarius thought good to extinguish the practice thereof.

Let us examine, therefore, these subtile and fine conjectures, whether they be able to hold the touch. “It seemed good (saith Socrates) to put down the Office of these Priests which had charge of Penitency; what charge that was, the kinds of Penitency then usual must make manifest.”* There is often speech in the Fathers’ writings, in their books frequent mention, of Penitency exercised within the chambers

* *Τοὺς ἐπὶ τῆς μετανοίας περιελεῖν πρεσβυτέρους.*

of our heart, and seen of God, and not communicated to any other, the whole charge of which Penitency is imposed of God, and doth rest upon the sinner himself. But if Penitents in secret, being guilty of crimes whereby they knew they had made themselves unfit guests for the Table of our Lord, did seek direction for their better performance of that which should set them clear, it was in this case the Penitentiary's office to take their Confessions, to advise them the best way he could for their souls' good, to admonish them, to counsel them, but not to lay upon them more than private Penance. As for notorious wicked persons, whose crimes were known, to convict, judge, and punish them was the office of the Ecclesiastical Consistory; Penitentiaries had their institution to another end. But unless we imagine that the ancient time knew no other Repentance than public, or that they had little occasion to speak of any other Repentance, or else that in speaking thereof they used continually some other name, and not the name of Repentance, whereby to express private Penitency, how standeth it with reason, that whensoever they write of Penitents, it should be thought they meant only public Penitents? The truth is, they handle all three kinds, but private and voluntary Repentance much oft'ner, as being of far more general use; whereas public was but incident unto few, and not oft'ner than once incident unto any. Howbeit, because they do not distinguish one kind of Penitency from another by difference of names, our safest way for construction is to follow circumstance of matter, which in this narration will not yield itself applicable only unto Public Penance, do what they can that would so expound it. They boldly and confidently affirm, that no man being compellable to confess publicly any sin before Novatian's time, the end of instituting Penitentiaries afterward in the Church was, that by them men might be constrained unto Public Confession. Is there any record in the world which doth testify this to be true? There is that testifieth the plain contrary: for Sozomen, declaring purposely the cause of their institution, saith, "That whereas men openly craving pardon at God's hands (for Public Confession, the last act of Penitency, was always made in the form of a contrite prayer unto God), it could not be avoided, but they must withal confess what their offences were." This, in the

opinion of their Prelate, seemed from the first beginning (as we may probably think) to be somewhat burthensome; that men, whose crimes were unknown, should blaze their own faults, as it were, on the stage, acquainting all the people with whatsoever they had done amiss. And, therefore, to remedy this inconvenience, they laid the charge upon one only Priest, chosen out of such as were of best conversation, a silent and a discreet man, to whom they which had offended might resort, and lay open their lives. He, according to the quality of every one's transgressions, appointed what they should do or suffer, and left them to execute it upon themselves. Can we wish a more direct and evident testimony, that the Office here spoken of was to ease voluntary Penitents from the burthen of public Confessions, and not to constrain notorious Offenders thereunto? That such Offenders were not compellable to open Confessions till Novatian's time, that is to say, till after the days of persecution under Decius the Emperor, they of all men should not so peremptorily avouch; with whom, if Fabian, Bishop of Rome, who suffered martyrdom the first year of Decius, be of any authority and credit, it must enforce them to reverse their sentence; his words are so plain and clear against them. "For such as commit those crimes, whereof the Apostle hath said, They that do them shall never inherit the Kingdom of Heaven, must (saith he) be forced unto amendment, because they slip down to Hell, if Ecclesiastical Authority stay them not." Their conceit of impossibility, that one man should suffice to take the general charge of Penitency in such a Church as Constantinople, hath risen from a mere erroneous supposal, that the ancient manner of Private Confession was like the Shrift at this day usual in the Church of Rome, which tieth all men at one certain time to make Confession; whereas Confession was then neither looked for, till men did offer it, nor offered for the most part by any other than such as were guilty of heinous transgressions, nor to them any time appointed for that purpose. Finally, the drift which Sozomen had in relating the Discipline of Rome, and the Form of Public Penitency there retained even till his time, is not to signify that only Public Confession was abrogated by Nectarius, but that the West or Latin Church held still one and the same Order from the very beginning, and had not, as the

Fab.
Decret.
Ep. 2.
tom. i.
Conc.
p. 358.

Greek, first cut off public voluntary Confession by Ordaining, and then private, by removing Penitentiaries. Wherefore, to conclude, it standeth, I hope, very plain and clear, first, against the one Cardinal, that Nectarius did truly abrogate Confession in such sort as the Ecclesiastical History hath reported; and, secondly, as clear against them both,* that it was not Public Confession only which Nectarius did abolish.

The paradox in maintenance whereof Hessels wrote purposely a book touching this argument, to shew that Nectarius did but put the Penitentiary from his Office, and not take away the Office itself, is repugnant to the whole advice which Eudæmon gave, of leaving the people from that time forward to their own consciences; repugnant to the conference between Socrates and Eudæmon, wherein complaint is made of some inconvenience which the want of the Office would breed; finally, repugnant to that which the History declareth concerning other Churches, which did as Nectarius had done before them, not in deposing the same man (for that was impossible), but in removing the same Office out of their Churches, which Nectarius had banished from his. For which cause, Bellarmine doth well reject the opinion of Hessels, howsoever it please Pamelius to admire it as a wonderful happy invention.† But in sum, they are all gravelled, no one of them able to go smoothly away, and to satisfy either others or himself with his own conceit concerning Nectarius. Only in this they are stiff, that Auricular Confession Nectarius did not abrogate, lest if so much should be acknowledged, it might enforce them to grant that the Greek Church at that time held not Confession, as the Latin now doth, to be the part of a Sacrament instituted by our Saviour Jesus Christ, which therefore the Church till the world's end hath no power to alter. Yet seeing that as long as public voluntary Confession of private crimes did continue in either Church (as in the one it remained not much above two hundred years, in the other about four hundred), the only acts of such Repentance were; first, the Offender's intimation of those crimes to some

* [Baronius and Bellarmine.]

† "Nec est quod sibi blandiantur illi de facto Nectarii, cum id potius secretorum peccatorum Confessionem comprobet, et non aliud quam Presbyterum pœnitentialem illo officio suo moverit; uti amplissimè deducit D. Johannes Hasselus." Pamel. in Cypr. lib. de annot. 98. et in lib. Tertull. de Pœnit. annot. 1.

one Presbyter, for which imposition of Penance was sought; secondly, the undertaking of Penance imposed by the Bishop; thirdly, after the same performed and ended, open Confession to God in the hearing of the whole Church; whereupon, fourthly, ensued the Prayer of the Church; fifthly, then the Bishop's Imposition of Hands; and so, sixthly, the party's reconciliation or restitution to his former right in the holy Sacrament;—I would gladly know of them which make only private Confession a part of their Sacrament of Penance, how it could be so in those times? For where the Sacrament of Penance is ministered, they hold that Confession to be Sacramental which he receiveth who must absolve; whereas during the fore-rehearsed manner of Penance, it can no where be shewed, that the Priest to whom secret information was given did reconcile or absolve any; for how could he, when public Confession was to go before reconciliation, and reconciliation likewise in public thereupon to ensue? So that if they did account any Confession Sacramental, it was surely Public, which is now abolisht in the Church of Rome; and as for that which the Church of Rome doth so esteem, the Ancient neither had it in such estimation, nor thought it to be of so absolute necessity for the taking away of sin; but (for any thing that I could ever observe out of them) although not only in crimes open and notorious, which made men unworthy and uncapable of holy mysteries, their Discipline required first Public Penance, and then granted that which St. Jerome mentioneth, saying, “The Priest layeth his hand upon the Penitent, and by invocation entreateth that the Holy Ghost may return to him again; and so, after having enjoined solemnly all the people to pray for him, reconcileth to the altar him who was delivered to Satan for the destruction of his flesh, that his spirit might be safe in the day of the Lord.”* Although I say not only in such offences being famously known to the world, but also, if the same were committed secretly, it was the custom of those times both that private intimation should be given and public Confession made thereof; in which respect whereas all men did willingly the one, but would as willingly have withdrawn

[1 Cor.
v. 5.]

* “Sacerdos imponit manum subjecto, reditum Spiritus Sancti invocatur, atque ita eum, qui traditus fuerat Satanæ in interitum carnis, ut Spiritus salvus fieret, indicta in populum oratione, altari reconciliat.” Hier. advers. Lucif.

themselves from the other had they known how; “Is it tolerable (saith St. Ambrose) that to sue to God thou shouldst be ashamed, which blushest not to seek and sue unto man? Should it grieve thee to be a suppliant to him from whom thou canst not possibly hide thyself; when to open thy sins to him, from whom, if thou wouldst, thou mightest conceal them, it doth not any thing at all trouble thee? This thou art loth to do in the Church, where, all being sinners, nothing is more opprobrious indeed than concealment of sin, the most humble the best thought of, and the lowliest accounted the justest.” All this notwithstanding, we should do them very great wrong to father any such opinion upon them, as if they did teach it a thing impossible for any sinner to reconcile himself unto God without Confession unto the Priest. Would Chrysostom, thus persuaded, have said, “Let the enquiry and punishment of thy offences be made in thine own thoughts; let the Tribunal whereat thou arraignest thyself be without witness; let God, and only God, see thee and thy Confession”?* Would Cassianus, so believing, have given counsel, “That if any were withheld with bashfulness from discovering their faults to men, they should be so much the more instant and constant in opening them by supplication to God himself, whose wont is to help without publication of men’s shame, and not to upbraid them when he pardoneth”? Finally, would Prosper, settled in this opinion, have made it, as touching reconciliation to God, a matter indifferent, “Whether men of Ecclesiastical Order did detect their crimes by Confession, or leaving the world ignorant thereof, would separate voluntarily themselves for a time from the altar, though not in affection, yet in execution of their Ministry, and so bewail their corrupt life”? Would he have willed them as he doth “to make bold of it, that the favour of God being either way recovered by fruits of forcible Repentance, they should not only receive whatsoever they had lost by sin, but also, after this their new enfranchisement, aspire to the endless joys of that supernal City”? To conclude, we every where find the use of Confession, especially Public, allowed of and commended by the Fathers; but that

Ambros.
de Pœn.
lib. ii.
c. 10.

Cassian.
Collat.
20. c. 8.

Prosper.
de Vita
Con-
templ.
lib. ii.
c. 7.

* *Περὶ μετανόιας καὶ ἐξομολογήσεως. Παρὰ τοῖς λογισμοῖς γενέσθω τῶν πεπλημμελημένων ἢ ἐξέτασις, ἀμάρτυρον ἔστω τὸ δικαστήριον ὁ Θεὸς ὁράτω μόνος ἐξομολογούμενον.*
Chrys. Hom. [ii. in Psal. i.]

extreme and rigorous necessity of Auricular and private Confession, which is at this day so mightily upheld by the Church of Rome, we find not. First, it was not then the Faith and Doctrine of God's Church, as of the Papacy at this present: Secondly, that the only remedy for sin after Baptism is Sacramental Penitency: Thirdly, that Confession in secret is an essential part thereof: Fourthly, that God himself cannot now forgive sin without the Priest: That, because forgiveness at the hands of the Priest must arise from Confession in the Offenders, therefore to confess unto him is a matter of such necessity, as being not either in deed, or, at the least, in desire performed, excludeth utterly from all pardon, and must consequently in Scripture be commanded wheresoever any promise of forgiveness is made. No, no; these opinions have youth in their countenance, antiquity knew them not, it never thought nor dreamed of them.

But to let pass the Papacy. Forasmuch as Repentance doth import alteration within the mind of a sinful man, whereby through the power of God's most gracious and blessed Spirit, he seeth and with unfeigned sorrow acknowledgeth former offences committed against God, hath them in utter detestation, seeketh pardon for them in such sort as a Christian should do, and with a resolute purpose setteth himself to avoid them, leading, as near as God shall assist him, for ever after, an unspotted life; and in the Order (which Christian Religion hath taught for procurement of God's mercy towards sinners) Confession is acknowledged a principal duty, yea, in some cases, Confession to man, not to God only; it is not in reformed Churches denied by the learned sort of Divines, but that even this Confession, cleared from all errors, is both lawful and behoveful for God's people.* Confession by man being either private or

Calv.
Inst. l.
iii. c. 4.
sect. 12.

* ["Although James, chap. v. 16, hath assigned no man by name into whose bosom we should unload ourselves; leaveth us free choice to confess to him that shall seem meetest unto us of all the Flock of the Church; yet we ought principally to choose the Pastors, because they are, for the most part, in comparison of the other, to be judged meetest. I say that, because the Lord appointeth them by the very calling of their Ministry, at whose mouth we should be instructed to subdue and correct our sins, and also may receive comfort by trust of pardon. For as the office of mutual admonishment and reproving is committed to all men, Matt. xviii. 15—20, yet it is specially enjoined to the Ministers. So whenas we all ought to comfort and confirm one another in confidence of God's mercy; yet we see that the Ministers, to assure our consciences of the forgiveness of sins, are ordained, as it were, witnesses and pledges thereof, insomuch that they be said to 'forgive sins' and 'loose souls,' John xx. 23, Matt. xvi. 19. When thou hearest this to be ascribed unto them, think that it is for thy

public, private Confession to the Minister alone touching secret crimes, or Absolution thereupon ensuing, as the one, so the other is neither practised by the French Discipline, nor used in any of those Churches which have been cast by the French mould. Open Confession to be made in the face of the whole Congregation by notorious malefactors they hold necessary; howbeit not necessary towards the remission of sins; but only in some sort to content the Church, and that one man's Repentance may seem to strengthen many, which before had been weakened by one man's fall.* Saxonians and Bohemians in their Discipline constrain no man to open Confession. Their doctrine is, that whose faults have been public, and thereby scandalous unto the world, such, when God giveth them the spirit of Repentance, ought as solemnly to return, as they have openly gone astray: first, for the better testimony of their own unfeigned conversion unto God; secondly, the more to notify their reconcilment unto the Church; and lastly, that others may make benefit of their example. But concerning Confession in private, the Churches of Germany, as well the rest as Lutherans, agree, that all men should at certain times confess their offences to God in the hearing of God's Ministers, thereby to shew how their sins displease them; to receive instruction for the warier carriage of themselves hereafter; to be soundly resolved, if any scruple or snare of conscience do entangle their minds; and, which is most material, to the end that men may at God's hands seek every one his own particular pardon, through the power of those Keys, which the Minister of God using according to our

Harm.
Confess.
sect. 8.
ex cap. 5.
Confess.
Bohem.

[Matt.
xvi. 19.]

profit: therefore, let every one of the Faithful remember this to be his duty, if he be privately so vexed and troubled with the feeling of sins that he cannot wind out himself without help of another, not to neglect the remedy the Lord hath offered him; that is, for his relief, to use the private confession to his own Pastor; and for his comfort, to crave the private help of him whose duty it is both publicly and privately to comfort the people of God with the doctrine of the Gospel. But alway this moderation is to be used, where God appointeth no certainty, not to bind consciences with a certain yoke. Hereupon followeth that such Confession ought to be free: not to be required of all men, but to be commended to those only that shall understand themselves to have need of it. Then, that even they that use it for their need, should not be compelled by any commandment, or trained by any deceit to reckon up all their sins; but so far as they shall think it behoveful for them, that they may receive sound fruit of comfort. Faithful Pastors ought not only to leave this liberty to the Churches, but also to maintain it, and stoutly stand in defence of it, if they will have tyranny absent from their ministry; and superstition, from the people." CALV. *Inst.* lib. iii. c. 4. sect. 12. The diligent Student will find himself rewarded by a comparison of Calvin's Third, Fourth, and Fifth Chapters of his Third Book, with this whole Sixth Book of Hooker.]

* "Sed tantum ut Ecclesiæ sit aliqua ratione satisfactum, et omnes unius pœnitentia confirmentur, qui fuerant unius peccatis et scandalis vulnerati." Sadeel. in Psal. xxxii. ver. 5.

[John
xx. 23.]
Cap. 5.
Confess.
Bohem.

blessed Saviour's institution in that case, it is their part to accept the benefit thereof as God's most merciful Ordinance for their good, and, without any distrust or doubt, to embrace joyfully his grace so given them according to the word of our Lord, which hath said, "Whose sins ye remit, are remitted." So that grounding upon this assured belief, they are to rest with minds encouraged and persuaded concerning the forgiveness of all their sins, as out of Christ's own word and power by the Ministry of the Keys.

[Psal.
li. 6.]

It standeth with us in the Church of England, as touching Public Confession, thus: First, seeing day by day we in our Church begin our public Prayers to Almighty God with public acknowledgment of our sins, in which Confession every man, prostrate as it were before his glorious Majesty, crieth against himself, and the Minister with one sentence pronounceth universally all clear whose acknowledgment so made hath proceeded from a true penitent mind; what reason is there every man should not, under the general terms of Confession, represent to himself his own particulars whatsoever, and adjoining thereunto that affection which a contrite spirit worketh, embrace to as full effect the words of divine grace, as if the same were severally and particularly uttered with addition of Prayers, Imposition of Hands, or all the Ceremonies and Solemnities that might be used for the strength'ning of men's affiance in God's peculiar mercy towards them? Such complements are helps to support our weakness, and not causes that serve to procure or produce his gifts. If with us there be "truth in the inward parts," as David speaketh, the difference of general and particular Forms in Confession and Absolution is not so material that any man's safety or ghostly good should depend upon it.

And for Private Confession and Absolution it standeth thus with us: The Minister's power to absolve is publicly taught and professed, the Church not denied to have authority either of abridging or enlarging the use and exercise of that power; upon the people no such necessity imposed of opening their transgressions unto men, as if remission of sins otherwise were impossible;* neither any such opinion had of the thing itself, as though it were either unlawful or

* "As for private Confession, abuses and errors set apart, we condemn it not, but leave it at liberty." Jewel, Defen. part 156.

unprofitable, save only for these inconveniences which the world hath by experience observed in it heretofore. And in regard thereof, the Church of England hitherto hath thought it the safer way to refer men's hidden crimes unto God and themselves only; howbeit, not without special caution for the admonition of such as come to the holy Sacrament, and for the comfort of such as are ready to depart the world. First, because there are but few that consider how much that part of Divine Service which consists in partaking the holy Eucharist, doth import their souls; what they lose by neglect thereof, and what by devout practice they might attain unto: therefore, lest carelessness of general Confession should, as commonly it doth, extinguish all remorse of men's particular enormous crimes, our custom (whenever men present themselves at the Lord's Table) is,—solemnly to give themselves fearful admonition, what woes are perpendicularly hanging over the heads of such as dare adventure to put forth their unworthy hands to those admirable mysteries of life, which have by rare examples been proved conduits of irremediable death to impenitent receivers; whom, therefore, as we repel being known, so being not known, we can but terrify. Yet, with us, the Ministers of God's most Holy Word and Sacraments, being all put in trust with the custody and dispensation of those mysteries wherein our Communion is, and hath been ever accounted, the highest grace that men on earth are admitted unto, have therefore all equally the same power to withhold that sacred mystical food from notorious evil livers; from such as have any way wronged their neighbours; and from parties between whom there doth open hatred and malice appear; till the first sort have reformed their wicked lives, the second recompensed them unto whom they were injurious, and the last condescended unto some course of Christian reconciliation, whereupon their mutual accord may ensue. In which cases, for the first branch of wicked life, and the last, which is open enmity, there can arise no great difficulty about the exercise of his power; in the second, concerning wrongs, there may, if men shall presume to define or measure injuries according to their own conceits, depraved oftentimes as well by error as partiality, and that no less in the Minister himself, than in any other of the people under him. The knowledge, therefore, which

he taketh of wrongs must rise, as it doth in the other two, not from his own opinion or conscience, but from the evidence of the fact which is committed; yea, from such evidence as neither doth admit denial nor defence. For if the Offender, having either colour of Law to uphold, or any other pretence to excuse, his own uncharitable and wrongful dealings, shall wilfully stand in defence thereof, it serveth as bar to the power of the Minister in this kind. Because (as it is observed by men of very good judgment in these affairs) although in this sort our separating of them be not to strike them with the mortal wound of Excommunication, but to stay them rather from running desperately headlong into their own harm; yet it is not in us to sever from the holy Communion but such as are either found culpable by their own Confession, or have been convicted in some public Secular, or Ecclesiastical Court.* For who is he that dares take upon him to be any man's both accuser and judge?† Evil persons are not rashly, and as we list, to be thrust

* [In this phrase more is meant than meets the eye. The following extracts, therefore, will not be inappropriate. PEIRCE, in his *Vindication of the Dissenters*; in Answer to Dr. W. Nichols's Def. of the Doct. and Disc. of the Church of England, 1718; at p. 570, having quoted this passage from Nichols, 'If the excommunicated person obstinately persists for forty days, the King's Writ sends him to prison, where he is to continue till he makes satisfaction for his offence by Penance and humble submission;' replies, "Our adversaries herein follow the base example of the Papists, who call in the aid of the Secular power, and so enforce their Ecclesiastical Censures . . . 'Tis indeed the Magistrate's part to appoint penalties for whatever is prejudicial to the Commonwealth. But to cast men out of the Church, and deliver them to be punished by the Magistrate, either for frivolous matters, or for such opinions as no way concern the State, is very unjust; and as contrary as any thing can be, to the Christian religion, and the mind of our Saviour, who would never have his Religion spread by these Mahometan methods: besides, what advantage can be expected by such kind of punishments, but the extorting from offenders an hypocritical profession of repentance? . . . 'Persons of a superior rank, whose honour would suffer by a public Penance, are allowed to ransom themselves from the reproach of it, by a good round sum of money, to be bestowed either upon the poor, or upon the buildings of the Church.' If the case be as he says, our adversaries are deservedly taxed, as guilty of that 'respect of persons' which the Apostle condemns (Jam. ii. 1—9) . . . There is not a syllable of pecuniary punishments in any ancient Father. . . . The respect to money is, in this case, greater than that to persons: whence, if a man has ready money to lay down, he may be secure of an easy absolution."—On the passage in James, consult Dr. Doddridge's Fam. Expos. note b. MILTON says, "When the Church without temporal support is able to do her great works upon the unforced obedience of men, it argues a Divinity about her. But when she thinks to credit and better her Spiritual efficacy, and to win herself respect and dread by strutting in the false vizard of worldly Authority, it is evident that God is not there, but that her apostolic virtue is departed from her, and hath left her *Key-cold*; which she perceiving as in a decayed nature, seeks to the outward fomentations and chafings of worldly help, and external flourishes, to fetch, if it be possible, some motion into her extreme parts, or to hatch a counterfeit life with the crafty and artificial heat of Jurisdiction." *The Reason of Church-Government*, Book II. chap. iii. Edit. fo. 1738. p. 65.]

† "Nos a Communione quenquam prohibere non possumus. Quamvis hæc prohibitio nondum sit mortalis, sed medicinalis, nisi aut sponte confessum, aut aliquo sive seculari sive Ecclesiastico judicio accusatum atque convictum. Quis enim sibi utrumque audet assumere, ut cuiquam ipse sit et accusator et judex?" Rhenan. admonit. de dogmat. Tertull.

from Communion with the Church : insomuch that, if we cannot proceed against them by any orderly course of judgment, they rather are to be suffered for the time than molested. Many there are reclaimed, as Peter ; many, as Judas, known well enough, and yet tolerated ; many which must remain undescried till the day of His appearance, by whom the secret corners of darkness shall be brought into open light.* Leaving therefore unto His judgment them whom we cannot stay from casting their own souls into so great hazard, we have in the other part of Penitential Jurisdiction, in our power and authority to release sin, joy on all sides, without trouble or molestation unto any. And if to give be a thing more blessed than to receive, are we not infinitely happier in being authorized to bestow the treasure of God, than when necessity doth constrain to withdraw the same ?

[Acts
xx. 35.]
[Luke
xvi. 11.]

They which, during life and health, are never destitute of ways to delude Repentance, do notwithstanding oftentimes, when their last hour draweth on, both feel that sting which before lay dead in them, and also thirst after such helps as have been always, till then, unsavoury. St. Ambrose' words touching late Repentance are somewhat hard, " If a man be penitent and receive Absolution (which cannot in that case be denied him) even at the very point of death, and so depart, I dare not affirm he goeth out of the world well ; I will counsel no man to trust to this, because I am loth to deceive any man, seeing I know not what to think of it. Shall I judge such a one a castaway ? Neither will I avouch him safe : all I am able to say, is, let his estate be left to the will and pleasure of Almighty God. Wilt thou be therefore delivered of all doubt ? Repent while yet thou art healthy and strong : if thou defer it till time give no longer possibility of sinning, thou canst not be thought to have left sin, but rather sin to have forsaken thee." Such admonitions may in their time and place be necessary, but in no wise prejudicial to the generality of God's own high and heavenly promise, Whosoever a sinner doth repent from the bottom of his heart, I will put out all his iniquity. And of this,

Lib. iii.
de Pœn.

[Isai.
lv. 7.]

* " Non enim temere et quodammodo libet, sed propter judicium, ab Ecclesie Communionem separandi sunt mali, ut si propter judicium auferri non possint, tolerantur potius, velut palea cum tritico. Multi corriguntur, ut Petrus ; multi tolerantur, ut Judas ; multi nesciuntur, donec veniat Dominus, et illuminabit abscondita tenebrarum." Rhenan. [ut sup.]

[Luke
xxiii.
42, 43.]

although it have pleased God not to leave to the world any multitude of examples, lest the careless should too far presume, yet one he hath given, and that most memorable, to withhold from despair in the mercies of God, at what instant soever man's unfeigned conversion be wrought. Yea, because to countervail the fault of delay, there are in the latest Repentance oftentimes the surest tokens of sincere dealing; therefore upon special Confession made to the Minister of God, he presently absolveth in this case the sick party from all sins by that authority which Jesus Christ hath committed unto him, knowing that God respecteth not so much what time is spent, as what truth is shewed in Repentance.

[Psal.
xxxii.
5.]

In sum, when the offence doth stand only between God and man's conscience, the counsel is good which St. Chrysostom giveth: "I wish thee not to bewray thyself publicly, nor to accuse thyself before others. I wish thee to obey the Prophet, who saith, Disclose thy way unto the Lord, confess thy sins before him; tell thy sins to him that he may blot them out: if thou be abashed to tell unto any other wherein thou hast offended, rehearse them every day between thee and thy soul. I wish thee not to confess them to thy fellow-servant, who may upbraid thee with them; tell them to God, who will cure them; there is no need for thee in the presence of witnesses to acknowledge them; let God alone see thee at thy Confession. I pray and beseech you, that you would more often than you do, confess to God eternal, and reckoning up your trespasses, desire his pardon. I carry you not into a theatre or open court of many of your fellow-servants, I seek not to detect your crimes before men; disclose your conscience before God, unfold yourself to him, lay forth your wounds before him the best physician that is, and desire of him salve for them."* If hereupon it follow, as it did with David, "I thought, I will confess against myself my wickedness unto thee, O Lord, and thou forgavest me

* "Non dico tibi, ut te prodas in publicum, neque ut te apud alios accuses, sed obedire te volo Prophetæ dicenti, 'revela Domino viam tuam.' Ante Deum confitere peccata tua; peccata tua dicito, ut ea deleat; si confunderis alicui dicere quæ peccasti, dicito ea quotidie in anima. Non dico ut confitearis conservo qui exprobet: Deo dicito qui ea curat; non necesse est præsens testibus confiteri; solus te Deus confitentem videat. Rogo et oro ut crebrius Deo immortalī confiteamini, et, enumeratis vestris delictis, veniam petatis. Non te in theatrum conservorum duco, non hominibus peccata tua conor detegere. Repete coram Deo conscientiam tuam, te explica, ostende medico præstantissimo vulnera tua, et pete ab eo medicamentum." Chrysost. Hom. 31. ad Hebr. et in Psal. lix. Hom. de pœn. et confess. et Hom. 5. de incarn. Dei natura, Homil. itemque de Lazaro.

the plague of my sin," we have our desire, and there remaineth only thankfulness accompanied with perpetuity of care to avoid that, which, being not avoided, we know we cannot remedy without new perplexity and grief. Contrai-wise, if peace with God do not follow the pains we have taken in seeking after it, if we continue disquieted and not delivered from anguish, mistrusting whether that we do be sufficient; it argueth that our sore doth exceed the power of our own skill, and that the wisdom of the Pastor must bind up those parts, which being bruised are not able to be re-cured of themselves.

5. There resteth now Satisfaction only to be considered; a point which the Fathers do often touch, albeit, they never aspire to such mysteries as the Papacy hath found enwrapped within the folds and plaits thereof. And it is happy for the Church of God, that we have the writings of the Fathers to shew what their meaning was. The name of Satisfaction, as the ancient Fathers meant it, containeth whatsoever a Penitent should do in the humbling himself unto God, and testifying by deeds of contrition the same which Confession in words pretendeth; "He which by Repentance for sins (saith Tertullian, speaking of fickle-minded men) had a purpose to satisfy the Lord, will now by repenting his Repentance make Satan Satisfaction; and be so much more hateful to God, as he is unto God's enemy more acceptable." Is it not plain, that Satisfaction doth here include the whole work of Penitency, and that God is satisfied when we are restored through sin into favour by Repentance? "How canst thou (saith Chrysostom) move God to pity thee, when thou wilt not seem as much as to know that thou hast offended?"* By appeasing, pacifying, and moving God to pity, St. Chrysostom meaneth the very same with the Latin Fathers, when they speak of satisfying God. "We feel (saith Cyprian) the bitter smart of his rod and scourge, because there is in us neither care to please him with our good deeds, nor to satisfy him for our evil." Again, "Let the eyes which have looked on idols, sponge out their unlawful acts with those sorrowful tears, which have power to satisfy God." The Master of Sentences† allegeth out of St. Augustine that which is plain enough to this purpose: "Three things there

Of Satis-
faction.

Tertull.
de Pœ-
nit.

Cypr.
Ep. 8.

Ep. 26.

Sent.
lib. iv.
dist. 16.

* Chrysost. in 1 Cor. Hom. viii. Τὸν Θεὸν ἐξιλεώσασθαι.

† [Peter, Bishop of Paris, surnamed Lombard; his followers were called *Sententiarii*.]

[Luke
iii. 8.]

are in perfect Penitency, Compunction, Confession, and Satisfaction; that as we three ways offend God, namely in heart, word, and deed, so by three duties we may satisfy God." Satisfaction, as a part, comprehendeth only that which the Baptist meant by "worthy of repentance;" and if we speak of the whole work of Repentance itself, we may in the phrase of antiquity, term it very well Satisfaction.

Bonav.
in Sent.
lib. iv.
dist. 15.
q. 9.

Satisfaction is a work which Justice requireth to be done for contentment of persons injured: neither is it in the eye of Justice a sufficient Satisfaction, unless it fully equal the injury for which we satisfy. Seeing then that sin against God eternal and infinite must needs be an infinite wrong; Justice, in regard thereof, doth necessarily exact an infinite recompense, or else inflict upon the offender infinite punishment. Now, because God was thus to be satisfied, and man not able to make Satisfaction in such sort, his unspeakable love and inclination to save mankind from eternal death ordained in our behalf a Mediator to do that which had been for any other impossible. Wherefore all sin is remitted in the only Faith of Christ's Passion, and no man without belief thereof justified. Faith alone maketh Christ's Satisfaction ours, howbeit, that Faith alone, which after sin maketh us by conversion his. For inasmuch as God will have the benefit of Christ's Satisfaction both thankfully acknowledged, and duly esteemed of all such as enjoy the same, he therefore imparteth so high a treasure unto no man, whose Faith hath not made him willing by Repentance to do even that which of itself, how unavailable soever, yet being required, and accepted with God, we are in Christ thereby made capable and fit vessels to receive the fruits of his Satisfaction: yea, we so far please and content God, that because when we have offended he looketh but for Repentance at our hands; our Repentance and the works thereof are therefore termed satisfactory, not for that so much is thereby done as the Justice of God can exact, but because such actions of grief and humility in man after sin are *illices divinæ misericordiæ* (as Tertullian speaketh of them), they draw that pity of God towards us, wherein he is for Christ's sake contented, upon our submission, to pardon our rebellion against him; and when that little which his Law appointeth is faithfully executed, it pleaseth him in tender compassion and mercy to require no more.

Repentance is a name which noteth the habit and operation of a certain grace or virtue in us: Satisfaction, the effect which it hath, either with God or man. And it is not in this respect said amiss, that Satisfaction importeth acceptation, reconciliation, and amity; because that, through Satisfaction on the one part made, and allowed on the other, they which before did reject are now content to receive, they to be won again which were lost, and they to love unto whom just cause of hatred was given. We satisfy, therefore, in doing that which is sufficient to this effect; and they towards whom we do it are satisfied, if they accept it as sufficient, and require no more: otherwise we satisfy not, although we do satisfy: for so between man and man it oftentimes falleth out, but between man and God never. It is therefore true, that our Lord Jesus Christ by one most precious and propitiatory sacrifice, which was his Body, a gift of infinite worth, offered for the sins of the whole world, hath thereby once reconciled us to God, purchased his general free pardon, and turned away divine indignation from mankind. But we are not for that cause to think any office of Penitence either needless or fruitless on our own behalf: for then would not God require any such duties at our hands. Christ doth remain everlastingly a gracious Intercessor, even for every particular Penitent. Let this assure us, that God, how highly soever displeased and incensed with our sins, is notwithstanding, for his sake, by our tears, pacified, taking that for Satisfaction which is due by us, because Christ hath by his Satisfaction made it acceptable. For, as he is the High-priest of our salvation, so he hath made us Priests likewise under him, to the end we might offer unto God praise and thankfulness while we continue in the way of life; and when we sin, the satisfactory or propitiatory sacrifice of a broken and a contrite heart. There is not any thing that we do that could pacify God and clear us in his sight from sin, if the goodness and mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ were not; whereas now, beholding the poor offer of our religious endeavours meekly to submit ourselves as often as we have offended, he regardeth with infinite mercy those services which are as nothing, and with words of comfort reviveth our afflicted minds, saying, "It is I, even I, that take away thine iniquities for mine own sake." Thus doth Repentance

[Heb.
x. 14.][Apo.
i. 6.][Psalm
li. 17.]
Cassian.
col. 20.
c. 8.][Isai
xlii. 25.]

satisfy God, changing his wrath and indignation unto mercy. Anger and mercy are in us passions; but in him not so. "God (saith St. Basil) is no ways passionate, but because the punishments which his judgments do inflict are, like effects of indignation, severe and grievous to such as suffer them, therefore we term the revenge which he taketh upon sinners, anger; and the withdrawing of his plagues, mercy."* "His wrath (saith St. Augustine) is not as ours, the trouble of a mind disturbed and disquieted with things amiss, but a calm, unpassionate, and just assignation of dreadful punishment to be their portion which have disobeyed; his mercy a free determination of all felicity and happiness unto men, except their sins remain as a bar between it and them."† So that when God doth cease to be angry with sinful men, when he receiveth them into favour, when he pardoneth their offences, and remembereth their iniquities no more (for all these signify but one thing), it must needs follow, that all punishments before due in revenge of sin, whether they be temporal or eternal, are remitted. For how should God's indignation import only man's punishment, and yet some punishment remain unto them towards whom there is now in God no indignation remaining? "God (saith Tertullian) takes Penitency at men's hands; and men at his, in lieu thereof, receive impunity;"‡ which notwithstanding doth not prejudice the chastisements which God, after pardon, hath laid upon some offenders, as on the people of Israel, on Moses, on Miriam, on David, either for their own more sound amendment,§ or for example unto others in this present world|| (for in the world to come punishments have unto these intents no use, the dead being not in case to be bettered by correction, nor to take warning by executions of God's justice there seen); but assuredly to whomsoever he remitteth sin, their very pardon is in itself a full, absolute, and perfect discharge for revengeful punishment

Numb.
xiv. 22.
xx. 12.
xii. 14.
2 Sam.
xii. 14.

* Basil. Hom. in Psal. xxxvii. Παντὸς γὰρ πάθους ἀλλότριον τὸ Θεῖον.

† "Cum Deus irascitur, non ejus significatur perturbatio qualis est in animo irascentis hominis; sed, ex humanis motibus translato vocabulo, vindicta ejus, quæ non nisi justa est, iræ nomen accepit." Aug. Ench. cap. 33.

‡ "Pœnitentiæ compensatione redimendam proponit impunitatem Deus." Tertull. de Pœniten.

§ "Cui Deus vere propitius est, non solum condonat peccata ne noceant ad futurum seculum, sed etiam castigat, ne semper peccare delectet." Aug. in Psal. xcvi.

|| "Plectuntur quidam, quo cæteri corrigantur; exempla sunt omnium, tormenta paucorum." Cypr. de Lapsis.

which God doth now here threaten, but, with purpose of revocation if men repent, no where inflict but on them whom impenitency maketh obdurate. Of the one therefore it is said, “ Though I tell the wicked, Thou shalt die the death; yet if he turneth from his sin, and do that which is lawful and right, he shall surely live and not die.” Of the other, “ Thou, according to thine hardness, and heart that will not repent, treasurest up to thyself wrath against the day of wrath, and evident appearance of the judgment of God.” If God be satisfied and do pardon sin, our Justification restored is as perfect as it was at the first bestowed: for so the Prophet Isaiah witnesseth, “ Though your sins were as crimson, they shall be made as white as snow; though they were as scarlet, they shall be as white as wool.” And can we doubt concerning the punishment of revenge, which was due to sin, but that if God be satisfied and have forgotten his wrath, it must be, even as St. Augustine reasoneth, “ What God hath covered he will not observe, and what he observeth not he will not punish.”* The truth of which doctrine is not to be shifted off by restraining it unto eternal punishment alone: for then would not David have said, “ They are blessed to whom God imputeth not sin;” blessedness having no part or fellowship at all with malediction? Whereas to be subject to revenge for sin, although the punishment be but temporal, is to be under the curse of the Law: wherefore, as one and the same fire consumeth stubble and refineth gold, so if it please God to lay punishment on them whose sins he hath forgiven; yet is not this done for any destructive end of wasting and eating them out, as in plagues inflicted upon the impenitent, neither is the punishment of the one as of the other proportioned by the greatness of sin past, but according to that future purpose whereunto the goodness of God referreth it, and wherein there is nothing meant to the sufferer but furtherance of all happiness, now in grace, and hereafter in glory. St. Augustine, to stop the mouths of Pelagians arguing, “ That if God had imposed death upon Adam, and Adam’s posterity, as a punishment of sin, death should have ceased when God had procured sinners their pardon;” answereth, first, “ It is no marvel, either that

Ezek.
xxxiii.
14, 15.

Rom.
ii. 5.

Isa.
i. 18.

[Psalm
xxxii. 2.]

* “ Si texit Deus peccata, noluit advertere; si noluit advertere, noluit animadvertere.” August. [ut inf.]

[2 Sam.
xli. 13,
14.]

bodily death should not have happened to the first man, unless he had first sinned (death as punishment following his sin), or that after sin is forgiven, death notwithstanding befalleth the faithful; to the end that the strength of righteousness might be exercised by overcoming the fear thereof.* So that justly God did inflict bodily death on man for committing sin, and yet after sin forgiven took it not away, that his righteousness might still have whereby to be exercised. He fortifieth this with David's example, whose sin he forgave, and yet afflicted him for exercise and trial of his humility. Briefly, a general axiom he hath for all such chastisements, "Before forgiveness, they are the punishment of sinners; and after forgiveness, they are exercises and trials of righteous men."† Which kind of proceeding is so agreeable with God's nature and man's comfort, that it sheweth even injurious to both, if we should admit those surmised reservations of temporal wrath in God appeased towards reconciled sinners. As a Father he delights in his children's conversion, neither doth he threaten the penitent with wrath, or them with punishment which already mourn; but by promise assureth such of indulgence and mercy, yea, even of plenary pardon, which taketh away all, both faults and penalties: there being no reason why we should think him the less just because he sheweth him[self] thus merciful; when they, which before were obstinate, labour to appease his wrath with the pensive meditation of Contrition, the meek humility which Confession expresseth, and the deeds wherewith Repentance declareth itself to be an amendment as well of the rotten fruits, as the dried leaves and withered root of the tree. For with these duties by us performed, and presented unto God in Heaven by Jesus Christ, whose blood is a continual sacrifice of propitiation for us, we content, please, and satisfy God. Repentance therefore, even the sole virtue of Repentance, without either purpose of Shrift or desire of Absolution from the Priest; Repentance, the secret conversion of the heart, in that it consisteth

* "Mirandum non est, et mortem corporis non fuisse eventuram homini, nisi precessisset peccatum, cujus etiam talis poena consequeretur, et post remissionem peccatorum eam fidelibus evenire, ut ejus timorem vincendo exerceretur fortitudo justitiæ. Sic et mortem corporis propter hoc peccatum Deus homini inflixit, et post peccatorum remissionem propter exercendam justitiam non ademit." Aug. de pecc. mer. et rem. lib. ii. c. 34.

† "Ante remissionem esse illa supplicia peccatorum, post remissionem autem certamina, exercitationesque justorum." [Aug. ib. ex] Cypr. epist. 53.

of these three, and doth by these three pacify God, may be without hyberbolical terms most truly magnified, as a recovery of the soul of man from deadly sickness, a restitution of glorious light to his darkened mind, a comfortable reconciliation with God, a spiritual nativity, a rising from the dead, a day-spring from out the depth of obscurity, a redemption from more than Egyptian thraldom, a grinding of the old Adam even into dust and powder, a deliverance out of the prisons of Hell, a full restauration of the seat of Grace and throne of Glory, a triumph over sin, and a saving victory.

Amongst the Works of Satisfaction, the most respected have been always these three, Prayers, Fasts, and Alms-deeds: by Prayers, we lift up our souls to him from whom sin and iniquity had withdrawn them; by Fasting, we reduce the body from thraldom under vain delights, and make it serviceable for parts of virtuous conversation; by Alms, we dedicate to charity those worldly goods and possessions, which unrighteousness doth neither get nor bestow well: the first, a token of piety intended towards God; the second, a pledge of moderation and sobriety in the carriage of our own persons; the last, a testimony of our meaning to do good to all men. In which three, the Apostle, by way of abridgment, comprehendeth whatsoever may appertain to sanctimony, holiness, and good life: as contrariwise, the very mass of general corruption throughout the world, what is it but only forgetfulness of God, carnal pleasure, immoderate desire after worldly things, profaneness, licentiousness, covetousness? All offices of Repentance have these two properties; there is in performance of them—painfulness, and in their nature—a contrariety unto sin. The one consideration, causeth them both in Holy Scripture and elsewhere to be termed judgment or revenges taken voluntarily on ourselves, and to be furthermore also preservatives from future evils, inasmuch as we commonly use to keep with the greater care that which with pain we have recovered.* And they are in the other respect, contrary to sin committed: Contrition, contrary to the pleasure; Confession, to the error, which is the mother of sin; and to the deeds of sin, the Works of Satisfaction contrary; therefore they

2 Cor.
vii. 11.

* Ἡμῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν δίκην λάβωμεν, ἡμῶν αὐτῶν κατηγορήσωμεν· οὕτως ἐξιλεωσόμεθα τὸν κριτὴν. Chrys. Hom. xxx. in Ep. ad Heb.

Cypr. de
Lapsis.

Salv. ad
Eccl.
Cath.
lib. i.

are the more effectual to cure the evil habit thereof. Hereunto it was that St. Cyprian referred his earnest and vehement exhortations, “That they which had fallen, should be instant in prayer, reject bodily ornaments when once they had stripped themselves out of Christ’s attire, abhor all food after Satan’s morsels tasted, follow works of righteousness which wash away sin, and be plentiful in alms-deeds wherewith souls are delivered from death: not, as if God did, according to the manner of corrupt Judges, take some money to abate so much in the punishment of malefactors.” “These duties must be offered (saith Salvianus) not in confidence to redeem or buy out sin, but as tokens of meek submission; neither are they with God accepted, because of their value, but for the affection’s sake, which doth thereby shew itself.” Wherefore, concerning Satisfaction made to God by Christ only; and of the manner how Repentance generally, particularly also, how certain special Works of Penitency, both are by the Fathers, in their ordinary phrase of speech, called Satisfactory, and may be by us very well so acknowledged, enough hath been spoken.

Levit. vi.
2—5.

Our offences sometime are of such nature as requireth that particular Men be satisfied, or else Repentance to be utterly void and of none effect. For if, either through open rapine, or cloaked fraud, if, through injurious, or unconscionable dealing, a man have wittingly wronged others to enrich himself; the first thing evermore in this case required (ability serving) is Restitution. For let no man deceive himself, from such offences we are not discharged, neither can be, till recompence and restitution to Man accompany the penitent Confession we have made to Almighty God. In which case, the Law of Moses was direct and plain: “If any sin and commit a trespass against the Lord, and deny unto his neighbour that which was given him to keep, or that which was put unto him of trust; or doth by robbery or by violence oppress his neighbour; or hath found that which was lost, and denieth it, and swear falsely: for any of these things that a man doth wherein he sinneth, he that doth thus offend and trespass, shall restore the robbery that he hath taken, or the thing he hath gotten by violence, or that which was delivered him to keep, or the lost thing which he found; and for whatsoever he hath

sworn falsely, adding perjury to injury, he shall both restore the whole sum, and shall add thereunto a fifth part more, and deliver it unto him, unto whom it belongeth, the same day wherein he offereth for his trespass." Now, because men are commonly over-slack to perform this duty, and do therefore defer it sometime, till God hath taken the party wronged out of the world; the Law providing that trespassers might not under such pretence gain the restitution which they ought to make, appointeth the kindred surviving to receive what the dead should, if they had continued. "But (saith Moses) if the party wronged have no kinsman to whom this damage may be restored, it shall then be rendered to the Lord himself for the Priest's use." The whole order of proceeding herein is in sundry traditional writings set down by their great Interpreters and Scribes, which taught them that a trespass between a man and his neighbour can never be forgiven till the offender have by Restitution made recompence for wrongs done; yea, they hold it necessary that he appease the party grieved by submitting himself unto him; or, if that will not serve, by using the help and mediation of others: "In this case (say they) for any man to shew himself unappeasable and cruel, were a sin most grievous, considering that the people of God should be easy to relent, as Joseph was towards his brethren:" finally, if so it fall out, that the death of him which was injured, prevent his submission which did offend, let him then (for so they determine that he ought) go accompanied with ten others unto the sepulchre of the dead, and there make Confession of the fault, saying, "I have sinned against the Lord God of Israel, and against this man, to whom I have done such or such injury: and, if money be due, let it be restored to his heirs, or in case he have none known, leave it with the House of Judgment: that is to say, with the Senators, Ancients, and Guides of Israel. We hold not Christian people tied unto Jewish Orders for the manner of restitution; but, surely, Restitution we must hold necessary, as well in our own Repentance as theirs, for sins of wilful oppression and wrong.*

Now, although it suffices, that the Offices wherewith we

* "Quamdiu enim res, propter quam peccatum est, non redditur, si reddi potest, non agitur pœnitentia, sed fingitur." Sent. 4. d. 15.

Cyp.
Ep. lli.

pacify God or private men be secretly done; yet in cases where the Church must be also satisfied, it was not to this end and purpose unnecessary, that the ancient Discipline did farther require outward signs of Contrition to be shewed, Confession of sins to be made openly, and those Works to be apparent which served as testimonies for conversion before men. Wherein, if either hypocrisy did at any time delude their judgment, they knew that God is he whom masks and mockeries cannot blind, that he which seeth men's hearts would judge them according unto his own evidence, and, as Lord, correct the sentence of his Servants concerning matters beyond their reach: or, if such as ought to have kept the Rules of Canonical Satisfaction would by sinister means and practices undermine the same, obtruding presumptuously themselves to the participation of Christ's most sacred mysteries before they were orderly re-admitted thereunto, the Church for contempt of holy things held them incapable of that grace, which God in the Sacrament doth impart to devout Communicants; and no doubt but he himself did retain bound, whom the Church in those cases refused to loose.

Basil.
Ep. ad
Amphil.
c. 76.

The Fathers, as may appear by sundry Decrees and Canons of the primitive Church, were (in matter specially of public Scandal) provident that too much facility of pardoning might not be shewed. "He that casteth off his lawful wife (saith St. Basil) and doth take another, is adjudged an adulterer by the verdict of our Lord himself; and by our Fathers it is canonically ordained, that such for the space of a year shall mourn, for two years' space hear, three years be prostrate, the seventh year assemble with the Faithful in prayer, and after that be admitted to communicate, if with tears they bewail their fault." Of them which had fallen from their Faith in the time of Emperor Licinius, and were not thereunto forced by any extreme usage, the Nicene Synod under Constantine ordained, "That earnestly repenting, they should continue three years Hearers, seven years be prostrate, and two years communicate with the people in prayer, before they came to receive the Oblation." Which rigour sometimes they tempered nevertheless with lenity, the self-same Synod having likewise defined, "That, whatsoever the cause were, any man desirous at the time of departure out of this life to receive the Eucharist, might

Concil.
Nicen.
can. 11.

(with examination and trial) have it granted him by the Bishop.”* Yea, besides this case of special commiseration, there is a Canon more large, which giveth always liberty to abridge, or extend out the time, as the party’s meek or sturdy disposition should require. By means of which Discipline the Church having power to hold them many years in suspense, there was bred in the minds of the Penitents, through long and daily practice of submission, a contrary habit unto that which before had been their ruin, and for ever afterwards wariness not to fall into those snares out of which they knew they could not easily wind themselves. Notwithstanding, because there was likewise hope and possibility of short’ning the time, this made them in all the parts and offices of their Repentance the more fervent. In the first station, while they only beheld others passing towards the Temple of God, whereunto for themselves to approach it was not lawful, they stood as miserable forlorn men, the very patterns of perplexity and woe. In the second, when they had the favour to wait at the doors of God, where the sound of his comfortable Word might be heard, none received it with attention like to theirs. Thirdly, being taken and admitted to the next degree of prostrates, at the feet, yet behind the back of that Angel representing God, whom the rest saw face to face, their tears, and entreaties both of Pastor and people, were such as no man could resist. After the fourth step, which gave them liberty to hear and pray with the rest of the people, being so near the haven, no diligence was then slacked which might hasten admission to the heavenly Table of Christ, their last desire. It is not therefore a thing to be marvelled at, though St. Cyprian took it in very ill part, when open backsliders from the Faith and sacred Religion of Christ laboured by sinister practice to procure from imprisoned Saints those requests for present Absolution, which the Church could neither yield upto with safety of Discipline, nor in honour of martyrdom easily deny. For, what would thereby ensue they needed not to conjecture, when they saw how every man which came so commended to the Church by Letters thought that now he needed not

* Καθόλου καὶ περὶ παντὸς οὐτινυσσοῦν ἐξοδεύοντος αἰτοῦντος μετέχειν Εὐχαριστίας, ὁ ἐπίσκοπος μετὰ δοκιμασίας μεταδιδότω τῆς προσφορᾶς. can. 13. μετὰ δοκιμασίας, id est, Manifestis indiciis deprehensa peccatoris seria conversione ad Deum. can. 12.

to crave, but might challenge of duty his peace; taking the matter very highly, if but any little forbearance or small delay was used. “He which is overthrown (saith Cyprian) menaceth them that stand, the wounded them that were never toucht;* and because presently he hath not the Body of our Lord in his foul imbrued hands, nor the Blood within his polluted lips, the miscreant fumeth at God’s Priests: such is thy madness, O thou furious man, thou art angry with him which laboureth to turn away God’s anger from thee; him thou threatenest, which sueth unto God for grace and mercy on thy behalf.” Touching Martyrs he answereth, “That it ought not in this case to seem offensive, though they were denied, seeing God did himself refuse to yield to the piety of his own righteous Saints, making suit for obdurate Jews.” As for the parties, in whose behalf such shifts were used; to have their desire was, in very truth, the way to make them the more guilty: such peace granted contrary to the rigour of the Gospel, contrary to the Law of our Lord and God, doth but under colour of merciful relaxation deceive sinners, and by soft handling destroy them, a grace dangerous for the giver, and to him which receiveth it nothing at all available. The patient expectation that bringeth health is, by this means, not regarded; recovery of soundness not sought for by the only medicine available, which is Satisfaction; Penitency thrown out of men’s hearts; the remembrance of that heaviest and last Judgment clean banisht; the wounds of dying men, which should be healed, are covered; the stroke of death, which hath gone as deep as any bowels are to receive it, is overcast with the slight shew of a cloudy look. From the altar of Satan to the holy [Table] of the Lord, men are not afraid to come, even belching, in a manner, the sacrificed morsels they have eaten; yea, their jaws yet breathing out the irksome savour of their former contagious wickedness, they seize upon the blessed Body of our Lord, nothing terrified with that dreadful commination, which saith, “Whosoever eateth and drinketh unworthily, is guilty of the Body and Blood of Christ.” They vainly think it to be peace, which is gotten before they be purged of their faults, before their crime be

Exod.
xii. 31.
Jerem.
vii. 15.

Ezek.
xiv. 14.

1 Cor.
xi. 27.

* “Jacens stantibus, et integris vulneratus, minatur.” [De Laps. c. 12.]

solemnly confest, before their conscience be cleared by the sacrifice and imposition of the Priest's hands, and before they have pacified the indignation of God. Why term they that a favour, which is an injury? Wherefore cloak they impiety with the name of charitable indulgence? Such facility giveth not, but rather taketh away, peace; and is itself another fresh persecution or trial, whereby that fraudulent enemy maketh a secret havock of such as before he had overthrown; and now, to the end that he may clean swallow them, he casteth sorrow in a dead sleep, putteth grief to silence, wipeth away the memory of faults newly done, smothereth the sighs that should rise from a contrite spirit, drieth up eyes which ought to send forth rivers of tears, and permitteth not God to be pacified with full Repentance, whom heinous and enormous crimes have displeased. By this then we see, that in St. Cyprian's judgment, all Absolutions are void, frustrate, and of no effect, without sufficient Repentance first shewed; whereas contrariwise, if true and full Satisfaction have gone before, the sentence of man here given is ratified of God in Heaven, according to our Saviour's own sacred testimony, "Whose sins ye remit, they [John xx. 23.] are remitted."

By what Works in the Virtue, and by what in the Discipline, of Repentance we are said to satisfy either God or The end of Satisfaction. men, cannot now be thought obscure. As for the inventors of Sacramental Satisfaction, they have both altered the natural order heretofore kept in the Church, by bringing in a strange preposterous course to absolve before Satisfaction be made, and moreover by this their misordered practice are grown into sundry errors concerning the End whereunto it is referred. They imagine, beyond all conceit of antiquity, that when God doth remit sin and the punishment eternal thereunto belonging, he reserveth the torments of hell-fire to be nevertheless endured for a time, either shorter or longer, according to the quality of men's crimes. Yet so, that there is between God and man a certain composition (as it were) or contract, by virtue whereof Works assigned by the Priest to be done after Absolution, shall satisfy God as touching the punishment, which he otherwise would inflict for sin pardoned and forgiven.

Now, because they cannot assure any man, that if he performeth what the Priest appointeth it shall suffice; this (I The way of satisfying by others.

say), because they cannot do, inasmuch as the Priest hath no power to determine or define of equivalency between Sins and Satisfaction; and yet if a Penitent depart this life, the debt of Satisfaction being either in whole or in part undischarged, they stedfastly hold that the soul must remain in unspeakable Torment till all be paid: therefore, for help and mitigation in this case, they advise men to set certain copesmates on work, whose prayers and sacrifices may satisfy God for such souls as depart in debt. Hence have arisen the infinite pensions of their Priests, the building of so many altars and tombs, the enriching of Churches with so many glorious costly gifts, the bequeathing of lands and ample possessions to religious-companies, even with utter forgetfulness of friends, parents, wife, and children, all natural affection giving place unto that desire which men, doubtful of their own estate, have to deliver their souls from Torment after death. Yet, behold, even this being also done, how far forth it shall avail they are not sure; and therefore the last upshot unto their former inventions is, that as every action of Christ did both merit for himself, and satisfy partly for the eternal, and partly for the temporal punishment due unto men for sin, so his Saints have obtained the like privilege of grace, making every good Work they do, not only meritorious in their own behalf, but satisfactory too for the benefit of others. Or if, having at any time grievously sinned, they do more to satisfy God than he in justice can exact or look for at their hands; the surplusage runneth to a common stock, out of which treasury containing whatsoever Christ did by way of Satisfaction for temporal punishment, together with the satisfactory force which resideth in all the virtuous Works of Saints, and in their Satisfaction whatsoever doth abound, (I say) “From hence they hold God satisfied for such arrearages as men behind in accompt discharge not by other means; and for disposition hereof, as it is their doctrine, That Christ remitteth not eternal death without the Priest’s Absolution, so without the grant of the Pope they cannot but teach it alike impossible that souls in hell should receive any temporal release of pain: the Sacrament of Pardon from him being to this effect no less necessary, than the Priest’s Absolution to the other.” So that by this postern-gate cometh in the whole mart of Papal Indulgences; a gain unestimable unto him, to others a spoil; a

scorn both to God and man. So many Works of Satisfaction pretended to be done by Christ, by Saints, and Martyrs; so many virtuous acts possessed with satisfactory force and virtue; so many supererogations in satisfying beyond the exigence of their own necessity; and this, that the Pope might make a monopoly of all, turning all to his own gain, or at least to the gain of those which are his own: such facility they have to convert a pretended Sacrament into a Revenue.

6. Sin is not helped but by being assecured of Pardon. It resteth therefore to be considered, what warrant we have concerning Forgiveness, when the sentence of man absolveth us from sin committed against God. At the words of our Saviour, saying to the sick of the palsy, “Son, thy sins are forgiven thee,” exception was taken by the Scribes, who secretly reasoned against him, “Is any able to forgive sins, but only God?” Whereupon they condemn his speech as blasphemy; the rest, which believed him to be a Prophet sent from God, saw no cause wherefore he might not as lawfully say, and as truly, to whomsoever amongst them, “God hath taken away thy sins,” as Nathan (they all knew) had used the very like speech; to whom David did not therefore impute blasphemy, but embraced, as became him, the words of truth with joy and reverence.

Or Absolution of Penitents.

Matt. ix. 2.

Mark ii. 7.

Luke v. 23.
[2 Sam. xii. 13.]

Now there is no controversy, but as God in that special case did authorize Nathan, so Christ more generally his Apostles and the Ministers of his Word in his Name to absolve sinners. Their power being equal, all the difference between them can be but only in this, that whereas the one had prophetical evidence, the other have the certainty partly of faith, and partly of human experience, whereupon to ground their sentence; faith, to assure them of God's most gracious pardon in Heaven unto all Penitents, and touching the sincerity of each particular party's Repentance, as much as outward sensible tokens or signs can warrant.

It is not to be marvelled, that so great a difference appeareth between the Doctrine of Rome and ours, when we teach Repentance. They imply in the name of Repentance much more than we do: we stand chiefly upon the due inward Conversion of the heart; they more upon Works of external shew: we teach, above all things, that Repentance

which is one and the same from the beginning to the world's end; they a Sacramental Penance, of their own devising and shaping: we labour to instruct men in such sort, that every soul which is wounded with sin may learn the way how to cure itself; they, clean contrary, would make all sores seem incurable, unless the Priests have a hand in them. Touching the Force of whose Absolution they strangely hold, that whatsoever the Penitent doth, his Contrition, Confession, and Satisfaction have no place of right to stand as material parts in this Sacrament, nor consequently any such force as to make them available for the taking away of sin, in that they proceed from the Penitent himself without the privity of the Minister, but only as they are enjoined by the Minister's authority and power.* So that no contrition or grief of heart, till the Priest exact it; no acknowledgment of sins, but that which he doth demand; no praying, no fasting, no alms, no recompence or restitution for whatsoever we have done, can help, except by him it be first imposed. It is the chain of their own doctrine, no remedy for mortal sin committed after Baptism but the Sacrament of Penance only; no Sacrament of Penance, if either matter or form be wanting; no ways to make those duties a material part of the Sacrament, unless we consider them as required and exacted by the Priest. Our Lord and Saviour, they say, hath ordained his Priests Judges in such sort, that no man which sinneth after Baptism can be reconciled unto God but by their sentence.† For why? If there were any other way of Reconciliation, the very promise of Christ should be false, in saying, "Whatsoever ye bind on earth, shall be bound in Heaven; and whose sins soever ye retain, they are retained."‡ Except therefore the Priest be willing, God hath by promise hampered himself so, that it is not now in his own power to pardon any man."§ Let him which hath offended crave as the Publican did, "Lord, be thou merciful unto me a sinner;" let him, as David, make a thousand times his supplication, "Have mercy upon me, O God, according to thy loving-kindness; according to the multitude of thy compassions,

[Matt.
xviii. 18.
John
xx. 23.]

[Luke
xviii.
13.]

[Psal.
li. 1.]

* "Ipsius pœnitentis actio non est pars Sacramenti, nisi quatenus potestati sacerdotali subjicitur, et a Sacerdote dirigitur vel jubetur." Bellarmin. de Pœnit. l. i. c. 16.

† "Christus instituit Sacerdotes Judices super terram cum ea potestate, ut, sine ipsorum sententia, nemo post Baptismum lapsus reconciliari possit." Bellarmin. de Pœnit. l. iii. c. 1.

‡ "Quod si possent ii sine Sacerdotum sententia absolvi, non esset vera Christi promissio, Quæcunque," &c. Bellarm. ibid.

put away mine iniquities ;” all this doth not help, till such time as the pleasure of the Priest be known, till he have signed us a Pardon, and given us our *quietus est*. God himself hath no answer to make but such as that of his Angel unto Lot ; I can do nothing. It is true, that our Saviour by these words, “ Whose sins ye remit, they are remitted,” did ordain Judges over our sinful souls, give them authority to absolve from sin, and promise to ratify in Heaven whatsoever they should do on earth in execution of this their office ; to the end that hereby, as well his Ministers might take encouragement to do their duty with all faithfulness, as also his People admonition, gladly with all reverence to be ordered by them ; both parts knowing that the functions of the one towards the other have his perpetual assistance and approbation. Howbeit all this with two restraints, which every Jurisdiction in the world hath ; the one, That the practice thereof proceed in due order ; the other, That it do not extend itself beyond due bounds ; which bounds or limits have so confined Penitential Jurisdiction, that although there be given unto it power of remitting sin, yet not such sovereignty of power that no sin should be pardonable in man without it.* Thus to enforce our Saviour’s words, is as though we should gather, that because whatsoever Joseph did command in the land of Egypt, Pharaoh’s grant is, it should be done ; therefore he granteth that nothing should be done in the land of Egypt but what Joseph did command, and so consequently, by enabling his servant Joseph to command under him, disableth himself to command any thing without Joseph. But by this we see how the Papacy maketh all sin unpardonable, which hath not the Priest’s Absolution : except peradventure in some extraordinary case, where albeit Absolution be not had, yet it must be desired.†

What is then the Force of Absolution ? What is it which the act of Absolution worketh in a sinful man ? Doth it by any operation derived from itself alter the state of the soul ?

* “ Christus ordinariam suam potestatem in Apostolos transtulit ; extraordinariam sibi reservavit.”

† “ Ordinaria enim remedia in Ecclesia ad remittenda peccata sunt ab eo instituta, Sacramenta ; sine quibus peccata remittere Christus potest, sed extraordinarie et multo rarius hoc facit, quam per Sacramenta. Noluit igitur eos extraordinariis remediis remissionis peccatorum confidere, quæ et rara sunt et incerta : sed ordinaria, ut ita dicam, visibilia Sacramentorum querere remedia.” Maldon. in Matt. xvi. 19.

Doth it really take away sin, or but ascertain us of God's most gracious and merciful pardon? The latter of which two is our assertion, the former theirs.

At the words of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, saying unto the sick of the palsy, "Son, thy sins are forgiven thee," the Pharisees, which knew him not to be "Son of the living God," took secret exception, and fell to reasoning with themselves against him; "Is any able to forgive sins but God only?" "The sins (saith St. Cyprian) that are committed against him, he alone hath power to forgive, which took upon him our sins, he which sorrowed and suffered for us, he whom the Father delivered unto death for our offences." Whereunto may be added, that which Clemens Alexandrinus hath, "Our Lord is profitable every way, every way beneficial, whether we respect him as man, or as God; as God forgiving, as man instructing and learning how to avoid, sin.* For it is 'I, even I, that putteth away thine iniquities for mine own sake, and will not remember thy sins,' saith the Lord." Now, albeit we willingly confess with St. Cyprian, "The sins that are committed against him, he only hath power to forgive, who hath taken upon him our sins, he which hath sorrowed and suffered for us, he whom God hath given for our offences."† Yet neither did St. Cyprian intend to deny the power of the Minister otherwise than if he presume beyond his commission to remit sin, where God's own will is it should be retained; for, against such Absolutions he speaketh (which being granted to whom they ought to have been denied, are of no validity); and, if rightly it be considered how higher causes in operation use to concur with inferior means, his grace with our Ministry, God really performing the same which man is authorized to act as in his name, there shall need for decision of this point no great labour.

To Remission of sins there are two things necessary; Grace, as the only cause which taketh away iniquity; and Repentance, as a duty or condition required in us. To make Repentance such as it should be, what doth God demand but

* Πάντα δύννησιν ὁ Κύριος καὶ πάντα ὠφελεῖ, καὶ ὡς ἄνθρωπος, καὶ ὡς Θεός. Τὰ μὲν ἁμαρτήματα ὡς Θεὸς ἀφίει, εἰς δὲ τὸ μὴ ἐξαμαρτάνειν παιδαγωγῶν ὡς ἄνθρωπος. Clem. Alexandr. Pædag. l. i.

† "Veniam peccatis, quæ in ipsum commissa sunt, solus potest ille largiri, qui peccata nostra portavit, qui pro nobis doluit, quem Deus tradidit pro peccatis nostris."

inward sincerity joined with fit and convenient offices for that purpose? the one referred wholly to our own consciences, the other best discerned by them whom God hath appointed Judges in this court. So that having first the promises of God for pardon generally unto all offenders penitent; and particularly for our own unfeigned meaning, the unfallible testimony of a good Conscience, the sentence of God's appointed Officer and vicegerent to approve with impartial judgment the quality of that we have done, and as from his tribunal in that respect, to assoil us of any crime; I see no cause but that by the Rules of our Faith and Religion we may rest ourselves very well assured touching God's most merciful pardon and grace; who, especially for the strengthening of weak, timorous, and fearful minds, hath so far endued his Church with Power to absolve sinners. It pleaseth God that men sometimes should, by missing this help, perceive how much they stand bound to him for so precious a benefit enjoyed. And surely, so long as the world lived in any awe or fear of falling away from God, so dear were his Ministers to the People, chiefly in this respect, that being through tyranny and persecution deprived of Pastors, the doleful rehearsal of their lost felicities hath not any one thing more eminent, than that sinners distrest should not now know how or where to unlade their burthens. Strange it were unto me, that the Fathers, who so much every where extol the grace of Jesus Christ, in leaving unto his Church this heavenly and divine Power, should as men, whose simplicity had universally been abused, agree all to admire and magnify a needless Office.

Victor.
de per-
secut.
Vand.

The Sentence therefore of Ministerial Absolution hath two effects: touching sin, it only declareth us freed from the guiltiness thereof, and restored into God's favour; but concerning right in sacred and divine mysteries, whereof through sin we were made unworthy, as the power of the Church did before effectually bind and retain us from access unto them, so upon our apparent Repentance it truly restoreth our liberty, looseth the chains wherewith we were tied, remitteth all whatsoever is past, and accepteth us no less returned than if we never had gone astray. For, inasmuch as the Power which our Saviour gave to his Church is of two kinds; the one to be exercised over voluntary Penitents only, the other over such as are to be brought to

amendment by Ecclesiastical censures, the words wherein he hath given this authority must be so understood, as the subject or matter whereupon it worketh will permit. It doth not permit that in the former kind (that is to say, in the use of power over voluntary converts), to bind or loose, remit or retain, should signify any other than only to pronounce of sinners according to that which may be gathered by outward signs; because really to effect the removal or continuance of sin in the soul of any Offender, is no priestly act, but a work which far exceedeth their ability. Contrariwise, in the latter kind of spiritual Jurisdiction, which by Censures constraineth men to amend their lives; it is true, that the Minister of God doth then more declare and signify what God hath wrought. And this Power, true it is, that the Church hath invested in it. Howbeit, as other truths, so this hath by error been oppugned and depraved through abuse. The first of name that openly in writing withstood the Church's authority and power to remit sin, was Tertullian, after he had combined himself with Montanists; drawn to the liking of their Heresy through the very sourness of his own nature, which neither his incredible skill and knowledge otherwise, nor the very Doctrine of the Gospel itself, could but so much alter, as to make him savour any thing which carried with it the taste of lenity. A sponge steeped in wormwood and gall, a man through too much severity merciless, and neither able to endure nor to be endured of any. His Book entitled "Concerning Chastity," and written professedly against the Discipline of the Church, hath many fretful and angry sentences, declaring a mind very much offended with such as would not persuade themselves, that of sins, some be pardonable by the Keys of the Church, some incapable of forgiveness; that middle and moderate offences, having received chastisement, may by Spiritual authority afterwards be remitted; but greater transgressions must (as touching Indulgence) be left to the only pleasure of Almighty God in the world to come; that as idolatry and bloodshed, so likewise fornication and sinful lust, are of this nature; that they, which so far have fallen from God, ought to continue for ever after barred from access unto his Sanctuary, condemned to perpetual profusion of tears, deprived of all expectation and hope to receive any thing at the Church's hands, but publication of

their shame. “For (saith he) who will fear to waste out that, which he hopeth he may recover? Who will be careful for ever to hold that, which he knoweth cannot for ever be withheld from him? He which slackeneth the bridle to sin, doth thereby give it even the spur also.”* Take away fear, and that which presently succeedeth instead thereof is licentious desire. Greater offences therefore are punishable, but not pardonable, by the Church. If any Prophet or Apostle be found to have remitted such transgressions, they did it not by the ordinary course of Discipline, but by extraordinary power. For they also raised the dead, which none but God is able to do; they restored the impotent and lame men, a work peculiar to Jesus Christ; yea, that which Christ would not do, because executions of such severity beseemed not him who came to save and redeem the world by his sufferings, they by their power struck Elymas and Ananias, the one blind, and the other dead. Approve first yourselves to be, as they were, Apostles or Prophets, and then take upon you to pardon all men. But, if the authority you have be only Ministerial, and no way sovereign, overreach not the limits which God hath set you; know that to pardon capital sin is beyond your Commission. Howbeit, as oftentimes the vices of wicked men do cause other their commendable qualities to be abhorred, so the honour of great men’s virtues is easily a cloak of their errors. In which respect, Tertullian hath past with much less obloquy and reprehension than Novatian; who, broaching afterwards the same opinion, had not otherwise wherewith to counter-vail the offence he gave, and to procure it the like toleration. Novatian, at the first a Stoical Philosopher (which kind of men hath always accounted stupidity the highest top of wisdom, and commiseration the deadliest sin), became by institution and study the very same which the other had been before, through a secret natural distemper, upon his conversion to the Christian Faith and recovery from sickness, which moved him to receive the Sacrament of Baptism in his bed. The Bishop, contrary to the Canons of the Church, would needs, in special love towards him, ordain him Presbyter, which favour satisfied not him who thought himself worthy of greater place and dignity. He closed therefore with a number of well-minded men, and not suspicious what

Concil.
Neocæs-
sar.
can. 12.

* [Tertull. De Pudic. cap. 9.] “Securitas delicti, etiam libido est ejus.”

Socrat.
lib. iv.
c. [28.]
Concil.
Nicen.
can. 30.
Socrat.
lib. i.
c. [10.]

[Euseb.
lib. vi.
c. 43.]

his secret purposes were, and having made them sure unto him by fraud, procureth his own consecration to be their Bishop. His Prelacy now was able, as he thought, to countenance what he intended to publish, and therefore his letters went presently abroad to sundry Churches, advising them never to admit to the fellowship of holy mysteries, such as had after Baptism offered sacrifice to Idols. There was present at the Council of Nice, together with other Bishops, one Acesius a Novatianist, touching whose diversity in opinion from the Church, the Emperor, desirous to hear some reason, asked of him certain questions; for answer whereunto, Acesius weaveth out a long history of things that happened in the persecution under Decius, and of men, which to save life, forsook Faith. But the end was a certain bitter Canon, framed in their own school: "That men which fall into deadly sin after holy Baptism, ought never to be again admitted to the Communion of divine mysteries: that they are to be exhorted unto Repentance; howbeit not to be put in hope that pardon can be had at the Priest's hands, but with God, which hath sovereign power and authority in himself to remit sins, it may be in the end they shall find mercy." These followers of Novatian, which gave themselves the title of *καθαροί*, clean, pure, and unspotted men, had one point of Montanism more than their Master did profess; for amongst sins unpardonable they reckoned second Marriages, of which opinion Tertullian making (as his usual manner was) a salt apology, "Such is (saith he) our stony hardness, that defaming our Comforter with a kind of enormity in Discipline, we dam up the doors of the Church, no less against twice-married men, than against adulterers and fornicators." Of this sort therefore it was ordained by the Nicene Synod, that if any such did return to the Catholic and Apostolic unity, they should in writing bind themselves to observe the Orders of the Church, and Communicate as well with them which had been often married, or had fallen in time of persecution, as with other sort of Christian people. But farther to relate, or at all to refel the error of misbelieving men concerning this point, is not now to our present purpose greatly necessary.

The Church may receive no small detriment by corrupt practice, even there where Doctrine concerning the substance of things practised is free from any great or dangerous

corruption. If therefore that which the Papacy doth in matter of Confessions and Absolution be offensive, if it palpably serve [*swerve*?] in the use of the Keys, howsoever that which it teacheth in general concerning the Church's power to retain and forgive sins, be admitted true, have they not on the one side as much whereat to be abasht, as on the other wherein to rejoice? They bind all men, upon pain of everlasting condemnation and death, to make Confession to their Ghostly Fathers of every great offence they know, and can remember, that they have committed against God. Hath Christ in his Gospel so delivered the doctrine of Repentance unto the world? Did his Apostles so preach it to nations? Have the Fathers so believed or so taught? Surely Novatian was not so merciless in depriving the Church of power to absolve some certain Offenders, as they in imposing upon all a necessity thus to confess. Novatian would not deny but God might remit that which the Church could not, whereas in the Papacy it is maintained, that what we conceal from men, God himself shall never pardon. By which oversight, as they have here surcharged the world with multitude, but much abated the weight of Confessions, so the careless manner of their Absolution hath made Discipline, for the most part, amongst them a bare formality; yea, rather a mean of emboldening unto vicious and wicked life, than either any help to prevent future, or medicine to remedy present evils in the soul of man. The Fathers were slow and always fearful to absolve any before very manifest tokens given of a true penitent and contrite spirit. It was not their custom to remit sin first, and then to impose Works of Satisfaction, as the fashion of Rome is now; inso-much that this their preposterous course and misordered practices, hath bred also in them an error concerning the end and purpose of these works. For against the guiltiness of sin, and the danger of everlasting condemnation thereby incurred, Confession and Absolution succeeding, the same are, as they take it, a remedy sufficient; and therefore what their Penitentiaries do think to enjoin farther, whether it be a number of Ave-Maries daily to be scored up, a journey of pilgrimage to be undertaken, some few dishes of ordinary diet to be exchanged, offerings to be made at the shrines of Saints, or a little to be scraped off from men's superfluities for relief of poor people, all is in lieu or exchange with God,

whose Justice, notwithstanding our pardon, yet oweth us still some temporal punishment, either in this or in the life to come, except we 'quite it ourselves here with Works of the former kind, and continued till the balance of God's most strict severity shall find the pains we have taken equivalent with the plagues which we should endure, or else the mercy of the Pope relieve us. And at this postern-gate cometh in the whole mart of Papal Indulgences so infinitely strewed, that the pardon of sin, which heretofore was obtained hardly and by much suit, is with them become now almost impossible to be escaped.

1 John
iii. 4.

Matt.
xv. 19.

Acts
viii.
22, 23.

Prov.
v. 22.

To set down then the force of this Sentence in absolving Penitents: there are in sin these three things; the Act which passeth away and vanisheth; the Pollution wherewith it leaveth the soul defiled; and the Punishment whereunto they are made subject that have committed it.* The Act of sin is every deed, word, and thought against the Law of God; "for sin is the transgression of the Law:" and although the Deed itself do not continue, yet is that *bad quality* permanent, whereby it maketh the soul unrighteous and deformed in God's sight. "From the heart come evil cogitations, murthers, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false testimonies, slanders; these are things which *defile* a man." They do not only, as effects of impurity, argue the nest to be unclean out of which they came, but as causes they strengthen that disposition unto wickedness which brought them forth; they are both fruits and seeds of uncleanness, they nourish the root out of which they grow, they breed that iniquity which bred them. The Blot therefore of sin abideth, though the Act be transitory. And out of both ariseth a present debt, to endure what Punishment soever the evil which we have done deserveth; an obligation, in the chains whereof sinners, by the Justice of Almighty God, continue bound till Repentance loose them. "Repent this thy wickedness (saith Peter unto Simon Magus), and beseech God, that if it be possible the thought of thine heart may be pardoned; for I see thou art in the gall of bitterness, and in the bond of iniquity." In like manner Solomon: "The wicked shall be held fast in the cords of his own sin." Nor doth God only bind sinners hand and foot by the

* "In peccato tria sunt; actio mala, interior macula, et sequela." Bonav. Sent. l. iv. d. 17. q. 3.

dreadful determination of his own unsearchable judgment against them; but sometime also the Church bindeth by the censures of her Discipline.* So that when Offenders upon their Repentance are by the same Discipline absolved, the Church looseth but her own bonds, the chains wherein she had tied them before. The Act of sin God alone remitteth, in that his purpose is never to call it to account, or to lay it unto men's charge; the Stain he washeth out by the sanctifying grace of his Spirit; and concerning the Punishment of sin, as none else hath power to cast body and soul into hell-fire, so none power to deliver either besides him.

Acts
vii. 60.
Mic.
vii. 19.
1 Cor.
vi. 11.
Tit. iii.
5.
Luke
xii. 5.
Matt.
x. 28.

As for the ministerial Sentence of private Absolution, it can be no more than a Declaration what God hath done; it hath but the force of the Prophet Nathan's Absolution, "God hath taken away thy sin:" than which construction, especially of words judicial, there is not any thing more vulgar. For example, the Publicans are said in the Gospel to have "justified God;" the Jews in Malachi to have blessed "proud" men, which sin and prosper; not that the one did make God righteous, or the other the wicked happy: but to bless, to justify, and to absolve, are as commonly used for words of judgment, or declaration, as of true and real efficacy; yea, even by the opinion of the Master of Sentences. It may be soundly affirmed and thought that God alone doth remit and retain sins, although he have given power to the Church to do both; but he one way, and the Church another. He only by himself forgiveth sin, who cleanseth the soul from inward blemish, and looseth the debt of eternal death: so great a privilege he hath not given unto his Priests, who notwithstanding are authorized to loose and bind, that is to say, declare who are bound, and who are loosed. For albeit a man be already cleared before God, yet he is not in the Church of God so taken, but by virtue of the Priest's sentence; who likewise may be said to bind by imposing Satisfaction, and to loose by admitting to the holy Communion. Saint Jerome also, whom the Master of the Sentences allegeth for more countenance of his own opinion, doth no less plainly and directly affirm, "That as the Priests of the Law could only discern, and neither cause

2 Sam.
xii. 13.

Luke
vii. 29.

Mal.
iii. 15.

Sent. l.
iv. dis.
18.

Hier.
tom. vi.
Comm-
ment.
in xiv.
Matt.

* "Sacerdotes opus justitiæ exercent in peccatores, cum eos justa pœna ligant; opus misericordiæ, cum de ea aliquod relaxant, vel Sacramentorum Communioni conciliant; alia opera in peccatores exercere nequeunt." Sent. l. iv. dis. 18.

nor remove leprosy; so the Ministers of the Gospel, when they retain or remit sin, do but in the one judge how long we continue guilty, and in the other declare when we are clear or free." For there is nothing more apparent, than that the Discipline of Repentance, both public and private, was ordained as an outward mean to bring men to the virtue of inward conversion; so that when this by manifest tokens did seem effected, Absolution ensuing (which could not make) served only to declare men Innocent.

But the cause wherefore they are so stiff, and have forsaken their own Master in this point is, for that they hold the private Discipline of Penitency to be a Sacrament; Absolution an external Sign in this Sacrament; the signs External of all Sacraments in the New Testament, to be both causes of that which they signify, and signs of that which they truly cause. To this opinion concerning Sacraments, they are now tied by expounding a Canon in the Florentine Council, according to a former Ecclesiastical invention received from Thomas. For his device it was, that the mercy of God, which useth Sacraments as Instruments whereby to work, indueth them at the time of their Administration with supernatural force and ability to induce Grace into the souls of men; even as the axe and saw doth seem to bring timber into that fashion which the mind of the artificer intendeth. His conceit, Scotus, Occam, Petrus Alliacensis, with sundry others, do most earnestly and strongly impugn, shewing very good reason wherefore no Sacrament of the new Law can either by virtue which itself hath, or by force supernatural given it, be properly a cause to work Grace; but Sacraments are therefore said to work or confer Grace, because the will of Almighty God is, although not to give them such efficacy, yet himself to be present in the ministry of the working that effect, which proceedeth wholly from him, without any real operation of theirs, such as can enter into men's souls. In which construction, seeing that our book* and writings have made it known to the world how we join with them, it seemeth very hard and injurious dealing, that Bellarmine throughout the whole course of his second Book, De Sacramentis in Genere, should so boldly face down his adversaries, as if their opinion were, that Sacraments are naked, empty,

Scot.
sent.
l. iv.
solut.
ad 4.
quest.
et quin-
tam.
Occam.
in l. qu.
quant.
Alliac.
quest.
l. in 4.
sent.

* [Sic in edit. 1648.]

and uneffectual Signs; wherein there is no other force, than only such as in pictures, to stir up the mind, that so by theory and speculation of things represented, Faith may grow: finally, that all the operation which Sacraments have, is a sensible and divine instruction.* But had it pleased him not to hoodwink his own knowledge, I nothing doubt but he fully saw how to answer himself; it being a matter very strange and incredible, that one which with so great diligence hath winnowed his adversaries' writings, should be ignorant of their minds.† For, even as in the Person of our Lord Jesus Christ, both God and Man, when his human nature is by itself considered, we may not attribute that unto him, which we do and must ascribe as oft as respect is had unto both natures combined; so because in Sacraments there are two things distinctly to be considered, the outward sign, and the secret concurrence of God's most blessed Spirit, in which respect our Saviour hath taught that Water and the Holy Ghost are combined to work the mystery of new birth; Sacraments therefore, as Signs, have only those effects before-mentioned; but of Sacraments, in that by God's own will and ordinance they are Signs assisted always with the power of the Holy Ghost, we acknowledge whatsoever either the places of the Scripture, or the authority of Councils and Fathers, or the proofs and arguments of reason which he allegeth, can shew to be wrought by them. The elements and words have power of infallible signification, for which they are called Seals of God's truth; the Spirit affixed unto those elements and words, power of operation within the soul, most admirable, divine, and impossible to be expressed. For so God hath instituted and ordained, that, together with due administration and receipt of sacramental Signs, there shall proceed from himself Grace effectual to

* "Lutherani de hac re interdum ita scribunt, ut videantur a Catholicis non dissentire; interdum autem apertissime scribunt contraria: at semper in eadem sententia manent, Sacramenta non habere immediate illam efficientiam respectu gratiæ, sed esse nuda signa, tamen mediate aliquid efficere quatenus excitant et alunt fidem; quod ipsum non faciunt nisi repræsentando, ut Sacramenta per visum excitent fidem, quemadmodum prædicatio Verbi per auditum." Bellarm. de Sacram. l. ii. c. 2. "Quædam signa sunt theórica, non ad alium finem instituta, quam ad significandum; alia ad significandum et efficiendum, quæ ob id practica dici possunt. Controversia est inter nos et Hæreticos, quod illi faciunt Sacramenta signa prioris generis. Quare si ostendere poterimus esse signa posterioris generis, obtinuimus causam." Ibid. cap. 8.

† "Semper memoria repetendum est Sacramenta nihil aliud quam instrumentales esse conferendæ nobis gratiæ causas." Calv. in Ant. con. Frid. sec. 7. c. 5. "Si qui sint qui negent Sacramentis contineri gratiam quam figurant, illos improbamus." Ibid. c. 6.

sanctify, to cure, to comfort, and whatsoever else is for the good of the souls of men. ~~Howbeit~~ this opinion Thomas rejecteth, under pretence that it maketh sacramental words and elements to be in themselves no more than signs, whereas they ought to be held as Causes of that they signify.* He therefore reformeth it with this addition, that the very sensible parts of the Sacraments do Instrumentally effect and produce, not Grace (for the Schoolmen both of these times, and long after, did, for the most part, maintain it untrue, and some of them impossible, that sanctifying Grace should efficiently proceed but from God alone, and that by immediate creation, as the substance of the soul doth); but the phantasy which Thomas had was, that sensible things, through Christ and the Priest's benediction, receive a certain supernatural transitory Force, which leaveth behind it a kind of preparative quality or beauty within the soul, whereupon immediately from God doth ensue the grace that justifieth.†

Now they which pretend to follow Thomas, differ from him in two points. For first, they make Grace an immediate effect of the outward sign, which he for the dignity and excellency thereof was afraid to do. Secondly, whereas he, to produce but a preparative quality in the soul, did imagine God to create in the Instrument a supernatural gift or ability; they confess, that nothing is created, infused, or any way inherent, either in the word or in the elements; nothing that giveth them Instrumental efficacy, but God's mere motion or application.‡ Are they able to explain unto us, or themselves to conceive, what they mean when they thus speak? For example, let them teach us, in the Sacrament of Baptism, what it is for Water to be moved till it bring forth Grace. The application thereof by the Minister is plain to sense;

* “Iste modus non transcendit rationem signi, cum Sacramenta novæ Legis non solum significant, sed, causent gratiam.” Part. iii. q. 62. art. 1. Alexand. part. iv. q. 8. memb. 3. art. v. sec. 1. et 2. Th. de verit. q. 27. art. iii. Alliac. in quart. sent. ix. 1. Capr. in 4. d. 1. q. 1. Palud. tom. Ferrar. lib. iv. cont. Gent. c. 57.

† “Necesse est ponere aliquam virtutem supernaturalem in Sacramentis.” Sent. iv. d. 1. q. 1. art. iv. “Sacramentum consequitur spirituales virtutes cum benedictione Christi, et applicatione Ministri ad usum Sacramenti.” Part. iii. q. 62. art. iv. Concil. “Victus sacramentalis habet esse transiens ex uno in aliud et incompletum.” Ibidem. “Ex Sacramentis duo consequuntur in anima, unum est character, sive aliquis ornatus; aliud, est gratia. Respectu primo, Sacramenta sunt causæ aliquo modo efficientes; respectu secundo, sunt disponentes. Sacramenta causant dispositionem ad formam ultimam, sed ultimam perfectionem non inducunt.” Sent. iv. d. 1. q. 1. art. iv.

‡ “Solut Deus efficit gratiam adeo quod nec Angelis, qui sunt nobiliores sensibilibus creaturis, hoc communicetur.” Sent. iv. d. 1. q. 1. art. iv.

the Force which it hath in the mind, as a moral Instrument of information ~~or~~ Instruction, we know by reason; and by faith, we understand how God doth assist it with his Spirit: whereupon ensueth the Grace which Saint Cyprian did in himself observe, saying, “After the bath of regeneration having scowered out the stained foulness of former life, supernatural light had entrance into the breast which was purified and cleansed for it: after that a second nativity had made another man, by inward receipt of the Spirit from Heaven; things doubtful began in marvellous manner to appear certain, that to be open which lay hid, darkness to shine like the clear light, former hardness to be made facility, impossibility easiness: insomuch as it might be discerned how that was earthly, which before had been carnally bred, and lived given over unto sins; that now God’s own which the Holy Ghost did quicken.” Our opinion is therefore plain unto every man’s understanding. We take it for a very good speech which Bonaventure hath uttered in saying, “Heed must be taken, that while we assign too much to the bodily signs in way of their commendation, we withdraw not the honour which is due to the Cause which worketh in them, and the soul which receiveth them.*” Whereunto we conformably teach, that the outward sign applied hath of itself no natural efficacy towards Grace, neither doth God put into it any supernatural inherent virtue. And, as I think, we thus far avouch no more than they themselves confess to be very true. If any thing displease them, it is because we add to these premises another assertion; That, with the outward sign, God joineth his Holy Spirit, and so the whole Instrument of God bringeth that to pass, whereunto the baser and meaner part could not extend. As for operations through the motions of signs, they are dark, intricate, and obscure; perhaps possible, howbeit, not proved either true or likely, by alleging, that the “touch” of our Saviour’s garment restored health, “clay” sight, when he applied it. Although ten thousand such examples should be brought, they overthrow not this one principle; That, where the Instrument is without inherent, the effect must necessarily proceed from the only agent’s adherent, power. It passeth a man’s conceit how Water should be carried into the soul

[Eph. ii. 1—8.]

[Luke vi. 19.]
[John ix. 6.]

* “Cavendum enim ne dum nimis damus corporalibus signis ad laudem, subtrahamus honorem causæ curanti et animæ suscipienti.”

Bellarmin.
de Sacram.
lib. ii.
c. 1.

with any force of divine motion, or Grace proceed but merely from the influence of God's Spirit. Notwithstanding, if God did himself teach his Church in this case to believe that which he hath not given us capacity to comprehend, how incredible soever it may seem, yet our wits should submit themselves, and reason give place unto faith therein. But they yield it to be no question of faith, how Grace doth proceed from Sacraments; if in general they be acknowledged true Instrumental causes, by the ministry whereof men receive divine grace: and that they which impute grace to the only operation of God himself, concurring with the external sign, do no less acknowledge the true efficacy of the Sacrament, than they that ascribe the same to the quality of the sign applied, or to the motion of God applying, and so far carrying it, till grace be not created, but extracted, out of the natural possibility of the soul.* Nevertheless, this last philosophical imagination (if I may call it philosophical) which useth the terms, but overthroweth the rules of philosophy, and hath no article of Faith to support it, but whatsoever it be, they follow it in a manner all; they cast off the first opinion, wherein is most perspicuity and strongest evidence of certain truth. The Council of Florence and Trent defining, that Sacraments contain and confer Grace, the sense whereof (if it liked them) might so easily conform itself with the same opinion which they drew without any just cause quite and clean the other way, making Grace the issue of bare words, in such Sacraments as they have framed destitute of any visible element, and holding it the offspring as well of elements as of words in those Sacraments where both are; but in no Sacrament acknowledging Grace to be the fruit of the Holy Ghost working with the outward Sign, and not by it, in such sort as Thomas himself teacheth; that the Apostles' Imposition of Hands caused not the coming of the Holy Ghost, which notwithstanding was bestowed together with the exercise of that Ceremony; yea, by it (saith the Evangelist), to wit, as by a mean which came between the true agent and the effect, but not otherwise.

Tho. de
Verit.
q. 27.
art. iii.
resp.
ad 16.
Acts
viii. 18.

Many of the ancient Fathers, presupposing that the Faithful before Christ had not, till the time of his coming, that

* "Dicimus gratiam non creari a Deo, sed produci ex aptitudine et potentia naturali animæ, sicut cætera omnia quæ producuntur in subjectis talibus, quæ sunt apta nata ad suscipiendum accidentia." Allen. de Sacram. in gen. c. 37.

perfect life and salvation which they looked for and we possess, thought likewise their Sacraments to be but pre-figurations of that which ours in present do exhibit. For which cause the Florentine Council, comparing the one with the other, saith, “That the old did only shadow Grace, which was afterward to be given through the Passion of Jesus Christ.” But the after-wit of latter days hath found out another more exquisite distinction, That Evangelical Sacraments are Causes to effect grace, through motions of signs Legal, according to the same signification and sense wherein Evangelical Sacraments are held by us to be God’s Instruments for that purpose.* For howsoever Bellarmine hath shrunk up the Lutherans’ sinews, and cut off our doctrine by the skirts; Allen, although he term us Heretics, according to the usual bitter venom of his proud style, doth yet ingenuously confess, that the old Schoolmen’s doctrine and ours is one concerning Sacramental efficacy, derived from God himself, assisting by promise those outward signs of elements and words, out of which their Schoolmen of the newer mint are so desirous to hatch grace.† Where God doth work and use these outward means, wherein he neither findeth nor planteth force and aptness towards his intended purpose; such means are but Signs to bring men to the consideration of his omnipotent power, which, without the use of things sensible, would not be marked. At the time therefore when he giveth his heavenly Grace, he applieth, by the hands of his Ministers, that which betokeneth the same; nor only betokeneth, but, being also accompanied for ever with such power as doth truly work, is in that respect termed God’s Instrument, a true efficient cause of grace; a cause not in itself, but only by connexion of that which is in itself a cause, namely, God’s own strength and power.‡ Sacra-

* “Quod ad circumcisionem sequebatur remissio, fiebat ratione rei adjunctæ et ratione pacti divini, eodem plane modo quo non solum Hæretici, sed etiam aliquot vetustiores Scholastici voluerunt nova Sacramenta conferre gratiam.” Allen. de Sacr. in gen. c. 39.

† “Bonaventura, Scotus, Durandus, Ricardus, Occamus, Marsilius, Gabriel; volunt solum Deum producere gratiam ad præsentiam Sacramentorum.” Bellarm. de Sacr. in gen. lib. ii. c. 11.

‡ “Puto longe probatorem et tutiorem sententiam quæ dat Sacramentis veram efficientiam. Primo quia doctores passim docent, Sacramenta non agere nisi prius a Deo virtutem seu benedictionem seu sanctificationem accipiant, et referunt effectum Sacramentorum ad omnipotentiam Dei, et conferunt cum veris causis efficientibus. Secundo, quia non esset differentia inter modum agendi Sacramentorum, et signorum magicorum. Tertio, quia tunc non esset homo Dei minister in ipsa actione Sacramentali, sed homo præberet signum actione sua, et Deus alia actione, viso eo signo, infunderet gratiam, ut cum unus ostendit syngropham mercatori, et ille dat pecunias. At Scripturæ docent, quod Deus baptizat per hominem.” Bellarm. lib. ii. cap. 11.

ments, that is to say, the outward signs in Sacraments, work nothing till they be blessed and sanctified by God. But what is God's heavenly benediction and sanctification, saving only the association of his Spirit? Shall we say that Sacraments are like magical signs, if thus they have their effect? Is it magic for God to manifest by things sensible what he doth, and to do by his most glorious Spirit really what he manifesteth in his Sacraments? The delivery and administration whereof remaineth in the hands of mortal men, by whom, as by personal Instruments, God doth apply signs, and with signs inseparably join his Spirit, and through the power of his Spirit work grace. The first is by way of concomitance and consequence to deliver the rest also that either accompany or ensue. It is not here, as in cases of mutual commerce, where divers persons have divers acts to be performed in their own behalf; a creditor to shew his bill, and a debtor to pay his money. But God and man do here meet in one action upon a third, in whom, as it is the work of God to create grace, so it is his work by the hand of the Minister to apply a sign which should betoken, and his work to annex that Spirit which shall effect it. The action therefore is but one, God the author thereof, and man a co-partner, by him assigned to work for, with, and under him. God the giver of grace by the outward ministry of man, so far forth as he authorized man to apply the Sacraments of Grace in the soul, which he alone worketh, without either Instrument or co-agent. Whereas therefore with us the Remission of sin is ascribed unto God, as a thing which proceedeth from him only, and presently followeth upon the virtue of true Repentance appearing in man; that which we attribute to the Virtue, they do not only impute to the Sacrament, of Repentance, but, having made Repentance a Sacrament, and thinking of Sacraments as they do, they are enforced to make the Ministry of his Priests and their Absolution a Cause of that which the sole omnipotency of God worketh. And yet, for my own part, I am not able well to conceive how their Doctrine, That human Absolution is really a Cause out of which our deliverance from sin doth ensue; can cleave with the Council of Trent, defining, "That Contrition perfected with Charity doth at all times itself reconcile Offenders to God, before they come to receive actually the Sacrament of Penance." How can it stand with

those discourses of the learnedst Rabbies, which grant, "That whosoever turneth unto God with his whole heart, hath immediately his sins taken away; That if a man be truly converted, his pardon can neither be denied nor delayed"? it doth not stay for the Priest's Absolution, but presently followeth: "Surely, if every contrite sinner, in whom there is charity and a sincere conversion of heart, have Remission of sins given him before he seek it at the Priest's hands; if reconciliation to God be a present and immediate sequel upon every such conversion or change; it must of necessity follow, seeing no man can be a true Penitent or contrite which doth not both love God and sincerely abhor sin, that therefore they all before Absolution attain forgiveness; whereunto notwithstanding Absolution is pretended a Cause so necessary, that sin without it, except in some rare extraordinary case, cannot possibly be remitted." Shall Absolution be a Cause producing and working that effect which is always brought forth without it, and had before Absolution be thought of? But, when they which are thus beforehand pardoned of God shall come to be also assoiled by the Priest, I would know what Force his Absolution hath in this case? Are they able to say here, that the Priest doth remit any thing? Yet, when any of ours ascribeth the work of Remission to God, and interpreteth the Priest's Sentence to be but a solemn Declaration of that which God himself hath already performed, they scorn at it; they urge against it, That if this were true, our Saviour Christ should rather have said, What is loosed in Heaven, ye shall loose on earth, than as he doth, "Whatsoever ye loose on earth, shall in Heaven be loosed." As if he were to learn of us how to place his words, and not we to crave rather of him a sound and right understanding, lest to his dishonour and our own hurt we misexpound them. It sufficeth, I think, both against their constructions to have proved that they ground an untruth on his speech, and, in behalf of our own, that his words without any such transposition do very well admit the sense we give them; which is, that he taketh to himself the lawful proceedings of authority in his name, and that the act of spiritual authority in this case, is by sentence to acquit or pronounce them free from sin whom they judge to be sincerely and truly penitent; which interpretation

Bellarmin.
de Pœn.
lib. ii.
c. 13.

they themselves do acknowledge, though not sufficient, yet very true.*

Absolution, they say, declareth indeed, but this is not all, for it likewise maketh, Innocent; which addition being an untruth proved, our truth granted hath, I hope, sufficiency without it, and consequently our opinion therein neither to be challenged as untrue, nor as insufficient. To rid themselves out of these briers, and to make Remission of sins an effect of Absolution, notwithstanding that which hitherto hath been said, they have two shifts. As, first, that in many Penitents there is but attrition of heart, which attrition they define to be grief proceeding from fear without love; and to these, they say, Absolution doth give that Contrition whereby men are really purged from sin.† Secondly, that even where Contrition or inward Repentance doth cleanse without Absolution; the reason why it cometh so to pass is, because such Contrites intend and desire Absolution, though they have it not.‡ Which two things granted: the one, that Absolution given maketh them contrite that are not; the other, that even in them which are contrite, the cause why God remitteth sin is the purpose or desire they have to receive Absolution; we are not to stand against a sequel so clear and manifest as this, That always remission of sin proceedeth from Absolution either had or desired.§ But should a reasonable man give credit to their bare conceit, and because their positions have driven them to imagine absolving of unsufficiently-disposed Penitents to be a real creating of further virtue in them, must all other men think it due? Let them cancel henceforward and blot out of all their books those old cautions touching necessity of wisdom, lest Priests should inconsiderately absolve any man in whom there were not apparent tokens of true Repentance;|| which to do, was, in Cyprian's judgment,

* "Hæc expositio, Ego te absolvo, id est, Absolutum ostendo, partim quidem vera est, non tamen perfecta. Sacramenta quippe novæ Legis non solum significant, sed efficiunt quod significant." Soto, sent. l. iv. dist. 14. q. 1. art. iii.

† "Attritio solum dicit dolorem propter pœnas inferri; dum quis accedit attritus, per gratiam Sacramentalem fit contritus." Soto, sent. iv. dist. 14. q. 1. art. i.

‡ "Dum accedit vere contritus propter Deum, illa etiam Contritio non est Contritio, nisi quatenus prius natura informetur gratia per Sacramentum in voto." Soto, sent. iv. dist. 14. q. 1. art. i.

§ "Legitima Contritio votum Sacramenti pro suo tempore debet inducere, atque adeo in virtute futuri Sacramenti peccata remittit." Ibid. art. iii.

|| "Tunc sententia Sacerdotis judicio Dei et totius cœlestis Curiae approbatur, et confirmatur, cum ita ex discretione procedit, ut reorum merita non contradicant." Sent. l. iv. d. 18.
"Non est periculosum Sacerdoti dicere, Ego te absolvo, illis in quibus signa Contritionis

“pestilent deceit and flattery, not only not available, but hurtful to them that had transgressed: a frivolous, frustrate, and false peace, such as caused the unrighteous to trust to a lie, and destroyed them unto whom it promised safety.” What needeth observation whether Penitents have worthiness and bring Contrition, if the words of Absolution do infuse Contrition? Have they borne us all this while in hand that Contrition is a part of the matter of their Sacrament; a condition or preparation of the mind towards Grace to be received by Absolution in the form of their Sacrament? and must we now believe, that the form doth give the matter? that Absolution bestoweth Contrition, and that the words do make presently of Saul, David; of Judas, Peter? For what was the penitency of Saul and Judas, but plain attrition; horror of sin through fear of punishment, without any loving sense, or taste of God’s mercy?

Their other fiction, imputing Remission of sin to desire of Absolution from the Priest, even in them which are truly contrite, is an evasion somewhat more witty, but no whit more possible for them to prove. Belief of the world and judgment to come, faith in the promises and sufferings of Christ for mankind, fear of his majesty, love of his mercy, grief for sin, hope for pardon, suit for grace; these we know to be the elements of true Contrition: suppose that besides all this, God did also command that every Penitent should seek his Absolution at the Priest’s hands; where so many causes are concurring unto one effect, have they any reason to impute the whole effect unto one? any reason in the choice of that one, to pass by faith, fear, love, humility, hope, prayer, whatsoever else, and to enthrone above them all a desire of Absolution from the Priest, as if, in the whole work of man’s Repentance, God did regard and accept nothing, but for and in consideration of this? Why do the Tridentine Council impute it to Charity, “That Contrites are reconciled in God’s sight before they receive the Sacrament of Penance,” if desired Absolution be the true cause? But let this pass how it will; seeing the question is not, what virtue God may accept in penitent sinners, but what grace Absolution actually given doth really bestow upon them. If it were, as they will have it, that God

videt, quæ sunt dolor de præteritis, et propositum de cætero non peccandi; alios absolvere non debet.” Tho. Opusc. 22.

regarding the humiliation of a contrite spirit, because there is joined therewith a lowly desire of the Sacrament of priestly Absolution, pardoneth immediately and forgiveth all offences; doth this any thing help to prove that Absolution received afterward from the Priest, can more than declare him already pardoned which did desire it? To desire Absolution, presupposing it commanded, is obedience: and obedience in that case is a branch of the Virtue of Repentance; which Virtue being thereby made effectual to the taking away of sins without the Sacrament of Repentance, is it not an argument that the Sacrament of Absolution hath here no efficacy, but the Virtue of Contrition worketh all? For how should any effect ensue from causes which actually are not? The Sacrament must be applied wheresoever any grace doth proceed from it. So that where it is but desired only, whatsoever may follow upon God's acceptation of this desire, the Sacrament, afterwards received, can be no Cause thereof. Therefore the further we wade, the better we see it still appear, that the Priest doth never in Absolution, no not so much as by way of service and ministry, really either forgive them, take away the uncleanness, or remove the punishment of sin: but, if the party penitent come contrite, he hath, by their own grant, Absolution before Absolution; if not contrite, although the Priest should seem a thousand times to absolve him, all were in vain. For which cause the Ancients and better sort of their School-divines, Abulensis, Alexander Hales, and Bonaventure, ascribe "the real abolition of sin, and eternal punishment, to the mere pardon of Almighty God, without dependency upon the Priest's Absolution, as a cause to effect the same:"* his Absolution hath in their doctrine certain other effects specified, but this denied. Wherefore

* "A reatu mortis æternæ absolvitur homo a Deo per Contritionem; manet autem reatus ad quandam pœnam temporalem, et Minister Ecclesiæ quicunque virtute clavium tollit reatum cujusdam partis pœnæ illius." Abul. in defens. p. i. c. 7. "Signum hujus Sacramenti est causa effectiva gratiæ sive remissionis peccatorum; non simpliciter, sicut ipsa prima pœnitentia, sed secundum quid; quia est causa efficacis gratiæ qua fit remissio peccati, quantum ad aliquem effectum in pœnitente, ad minus quantum ad remissionem sequelæ ipsius peccati. scilicet pœnæ." Alex. p. iv. q. 14. memb. 2. "Potestas clavium proprie loquendo non se extendit supra culpam: ad illud quod objicitur." To. 22. "Quorum remiseritis peccata; dicendum, quod vel illud de remissione dicitur quantum ad offensionem, vel solum quantum ad pœnam." Bon. sent. l. i. d. 18. q. 1. "Ab æterna pœna nullo modo solvit Sacerdos, sed a Purgatorio; neque hoc per se, sed per accidens, quod cum in pœnitente, virtute clavium, minuitur debitum pœnæ temporalis, non ita acriter punietur in Purgatorio, sicut si non esset absolutus." Sent. l. iv. d. 18. q. 2.

having hitherto spoken of the Virtue of Repentance re- [Sect.4.]
quired; of the Discipline of Repentance which Christ did [5.]
establish; and of the Sacrament of Repentance invented [6.]
sithence, against the pretended force of human Absolution
in Sacramental Penitency; let it suffice thus far to have
shewed how God alone doth truly give, the Virtue of
Repentance alone procure, and private Ministerial Abso-
lution but declare, Remission of sins.

Now the last and sometimes hardest to be satisfied by
Repentance, are our minds; and our minds we have then
satisfied, when the Conscience is of guilty become clear.
For, as long as we are in ourselves privy to our most
heinous crimes, but without sense of God's mercy and grace
towards us, unless the heart be either brutish for want of
knowledge, or altogether hardened by wilful Atheism, the
remorse of sin is in it, as the deadly sting of a serpent.
Which point since very Infidels and Heathens have observed
in the nature of sin (for the disease they felt, though they
knew no remedy to help it), we are not rashly to despise
those sentences which are the testimonies of their expe-
rience touching this point. They knew that the eye of a
man's own conscience is more to be feared by evil-doers
than the presence of a thousand witnesses, inasmuch as the
mouths of other accusers are many ways stopt, the ears of
the accused not always subject to glowing with contumely
and exprobration; whereas a guilty mind being forced to
be still both a martyr and a tyrant, itself must of necessity
endure perpetual anguish and grief; for, as the body is rent
with stripes, so the mind with guiltiness of cruelty, lust,
and wicked resolutions. Which furies brought the Emperor
Tiberius sometimes into such perplexity, that writing to the
Senate, his wonted art of dissimulation failed him utterly in
this case; and whereas it had been ever his peculiar delight
so to speak that no man might be able to sound his meaning,
he had not the power to conceal what he felt through the
secret scourge of an evil conscience, though no necessity
did now enforce him to disclose the same. "What to
write, or how to write, at this present, if I know (saith
Tiberius), let the gods and goddesses, who thus continually
eat me, only be worse to me than they are." It was not
his imperial dignity and power that could provide a way to
protect him against himself; the fears and suspicion which

improbability had bred being strength'ned by every occasion, and those virtues clean banished which are the only foundation of sound tranquillity of mind. For which cause it hath been truly said, and agreeably with all men's experience, that if the virtuous did excel in no other privilege, yet far happier they are than the contrary sort of men, for that their hopes be always better. Neither are we to marvel, that these things, known unto all, do stay so few from being authors of their own woe. For we see by the ancient example of Joseph's unkind brethren, how it cometh to remembrance easily when crimes are once past, what the difference is of good from evil, and of right from wrong: but such considerations, when they should have prevented sin, were over-matcht by inordinate desires. Are we not bound then with all thankfulness to acknowledge his infinite goodness and mercy, which hath revealed unto us the way how to rid ourselves of these mazes; the way how to shake off that yoke, which no flesh is able to bear; the way how to change most grisly horror into a comfortable apprehension of heavenly joy? Whereunto there are many which labour with so much the greater difficulty, because imbecility of mind doth not suffer them to censure rightly their own doings. Some fearful lest the enormity of their crimes be so unpardonable that no Repentance can do them good; some, lest the imperfection of their Repentance make it uneffectual to the taking away of sin. The one drive all things to this issue, Whether they be not men that have sinned against the Holy Ghost; the other to this, What Repentance is sufficient to clear sinners, and to assure them that they are delivered. Such as by error charge themselves of unpardonable sin must think, it may be they deem, that unpardonable which is not. Our Saviour speaketh indeed of blasphemy which shall never be forgiven; but have they any sure and infallible knowledge what that blasphemy is? If not, why are they unjust and cruel to their own souls, imagining certainty of guiltiness in a crime concerning the very nature whereof they are uncertain? For mine own part, although, where this blasphemy is mentioned, the cause why our Saviour spake thereof, was the Pharisees' blasphemy, which was not afraid to say, "He had an unclean spirit, and did cast out spirits by the power of Beelzebub;" nevertheless I dare not precisely deny, but that even the

[Matt.
xii. 31,
32.]

Matt.
xii. 24.
Mark
iii. 22.

Pharisees themselves might have repented and been forgiven, and that our Lord Jesus Christ peradventure might but take occasion at their blasphemy, which, as yet, was pardonable, to tell them further of an unpardonable blasphemy, whereunto he foresaw that the Jews would fall. For it is plain, that many thousands, at the first professing Christian Religion, became afterwards wilful apostates, moved with no other cause of revolt, but mere indignation that the Gentiles should enjoy the benefit of the Gospel as much as they, and yet not be burthened with the yoke of Moses' Law. The Apostles by preaching had won them to Christ, in whose name they embraced with great alacrity the full remission of their former sins and iniquities; they received by the imposition of the Apostles' hands that grace Acts ii. 38. and power of the Holy Ghost whereby they cured diseases, prophesied, spake with tongues: and yet in the end, after all this, they fell utterly away, renounced the mysteries of Christian Faith, blasphemed in their formal abjurations that most glorious and blessed Spirit, the gifts whereof themselves had possest; and by this means sunk their souls in the gulf of that unpardonable sin, whereof as our Lord Jesus Christ had told them beforehand, so the Apostle at the first appearance of such their revolt, putteth them in mind again, that falling now to their former blasphemies, their salvation was irrecoverably gone: it was for them in Heb. vi. 4—6. this case impossible to be renewed by any Repentance; because they were now in the state of Satan and his angels; the Judge of quick and dead had passed his irrevocable sentence against them. So great difference there is between Infidels unconverted, and backsliders in this manner fallen away, that always we have hope to reclaim the one which only hate whom they never knew; but to the other which know and blaspheme, to them that with more than infernal malice accurse both the seen brightness of glory which is in Him, and in themselves the tasted goodness of divine grace, as those execrable miscreants did, who first received in extraordinary miraculous manner, and then in outrageous sort blasphemed the Holy Ghost, abusing both it and the whole Religion, which God by it did confirm and magnify; to such as wilfully thus sin, after so great light of the truth Heb. x. 26. and gifts of the Spirit, there remaineth justly no fruit or benefit to be expected by Christ's sacrifice. For all other

offenders, without exception or stint, whether they be strangers that seek access, or followers that will make return unto God; upon the tender of their Repentance, the grant of his grace standeth everlastingly signed with his blood in the Book of eternal Life. That which in this case over-terrifieth fearful souls is, a misconceit whereby they imagine every act which they do, knowing that they do amiss, and every wilful breach or transgression of God's Law to be mere sin against the Holy Ghost; forgetting that the Law of Moses itself ordained sacrifices of expiation, as well for faults presumptuously committed, as things wherein men offend by error.

Now, there are on the contrary side others, who, doubting not of God's mercy towards all that perfectly repent, remain notwithstanding scrupulous and troubled with continual fear, lest defects in their own Repentance be a bar against them. These cast themselves first into very great, and peradventure needless, agonies, through misconstruction of things spoken about proportioning our griefs to our sins, for which they never think they have wept and mourned enough, yea, if they have not always a stream of tears at command, they take it for a heart congealed and hardened in sin; when to keep the wound of Contrition bleeding, they unfold the circumstances of their transgressions, and endeavour to leave nothing which may be heavy against themselves.* Yet, do what they can, they are still fearful, lest herein also they do not that which they ought and might. Come to Prayer, their coldness taketh all heart and courage from them; with Fasting, albeit their flesh should be withered, and their blood clean dried up, would they ever the less object, What is this to David's humiliation? wherein notwithstanding there was not any thing more than necessary. In works of Charity and Alms-deeds, it is not all the world can persuade them they did ever reach the poor bounty of the widow's two mites, or by many millions of leagues come near to the mark which Cornelius touched; so far they are off from the proud surmise of any penitential supererogation in miserable

Jer.
vi. 26.
Micah
i. 8, 9.
Lam.
ii. 18.

Psalm
vi. 6.

Mark
xii. 42.
Acts
x. 31.

* "Quam magna deliquimus, tam granditer defleamus. Alto vulnere diligens et longa medicina non desit; pœnitentia crimine minor non sit." Cypr. de Laps. "Non levi agendum est contritione, ut debita illa redimantur, quibus mors æterna debetur; nec transitoria opus est satisfactione pro malis illis, propter quæ paratus est ignis æternus." Euseb. Emissenus, vel potius Salv. p. 106.

wretched worms of the earth. Notwithstanding, forasmuch as they wrong themselves with over rigorous and extreme exactions, by means whereof they fall sometimes into such perplexities as can hardly be allayed; it hath therefore pleased Almighty God, in tender commiseration over these imbecilities of men, to ordain for their spiritual and ghostly comfort Consecrated Persons, which by Sentence of power and authority given from above, may, as it were, out of his very mouth ascertain timorous and doubtful minds in their own particular, ease them of all their scrupulosities, leave them settled in peace and satisfied touching the mercy of God towards them. To use the benefit of this help for our better satisfaction in such cases is so natural, that it can be forbidden no man; but yet not so necessary, that all men should be in case to need it.

They are, of the two, the happier, therefore, that can content and satisfy themselves, by judging discreetly what they perform, and soundly what God doth require of them. For having, that which is most material, the substance of Penitency rightly bred touching signs and tokens thereof, we may boldly affirm that they do [err], which imagine for every offence a certain proportionable degree in the passions and griefs of mind, whereunto whosoever aspireth not, repenteth in vain. That to frustrate men's confessions and considerations of sin, except every circumstance which may aggravate the same be unript and laid in the balance, is a merciless extremity; although it be true, that as near as we can such wounds must be searched to the very bottom. Last of all, to set down the like stint, and to shut up the doors of mercy against Penitents which come short thereof in the devotion of their Prayers, in the continuance of their Fasts, in the largeness and bounty of their Alms, or in the course of any other such like duties; is more than God himself hath thought meet, and consequently more than mortal men should presume to do. That which God doth chiefly respect in men's Penitency is their hearts. The heart is it which maketh Repentance sincere, sincerity that which findeth favour in God's sight, and the favour of God that which supplieth by gracious acceptation whatsoever may seem defective in the faithful, hearty, and true offices of his servants. "Take it (saith Chrysostom) upon my credit, Such is God's merciful inclination towards men, that

Jer.
xxix. 13.
Joel
ii. 12.

Chrys.
de
Repar.

laps. lib.
ad
Theodor.
Deposit.
dist. 3.
c. Talis.

Aug.
in Psal.
cxxxix.
[16.]

Repentance offered with a single and sincere mind he never refuseth; no, not although we be come to the very top of iniquity." If there be a will and desire to return, he receiveth, embraceth, omitteth nothing which may restore us to former happiness; yea, that which is above all the rest, albeit we cannot, in the duty of satisfying him, attain what we ought, and would, but come far behind our mark, he taketh nevertheless in good worth that little which we do; be it never so mean, we lose not our labour therein. The least and lowest step of Repentance in Saint Chrysostom's judgment severeth and setteth us above them that perish in their sin: I therefore will end with St. Augustine's conclusion, " Lord, in thy Book and Volume of Life all shall be written, as well the least of thy Saints, as the chiefest." Let not therefore the unperfect fear; let them only proceed and go forward.

BOOK VII.

THEIR SIXTH ASSERTION, THAT THERE OUGHT NOT TO BE IN THE CHURCH, BISHOPS INDUED WITH SUCH AUTHORITY AND HONOUR AS OURS ARE.

THE MATTER CONTAINED IN THIS SEVENTH BOOK.

1. The state of Bishops although sometime oppugned, and that by such as therein would most seem to please God, yet by his providence upheld hitherto, whose glory it is to maintain that whereof himself is the author.
2. What a Bishop is, what his Name doth import, and what doth belong unto his Office as he is a Bishop.
3. In Bishops two things traduced; of which two, the one their Authority; and in it the first thing condemned, their Superiority over other Ministers: what kind of Superiority in Ministers it is which the one part holdeth, and the other denieth, lawful.
4. From whence it hath grown, that the Church is governed by Bishops.
5. The time and cause of instituting every where Bishops with restraint.
6. What manner of power Bishops from the first beginning have had.
7. After what sort Bishops, together with Presbyters, have used to govern the Churches which were under them.
8. How far the power of Bishops hath reached from the beginning in respect of territory, or local compass.
9. In what respects Episcopal Regiment hath been gainsayed of old by Aërius.
10. In what respects Episcopal Regiment is gainsayed by the authors of pretended reformation at this day.
11. Their arguments in disgrace of Regiment by Bishops, as being a mere invention of man, and not found in Scripture, answered.
12. Their arguments to prove, there was no necessity of instituting Bishops in the Church.
13. The fore-alleged arguments answered.
14. An answer unto those things which are objected, concerning the difference between that power which Bishops now have, and that which ancient Bishops had, more than other Presbyters.
15. Concerning the Civil power and authority which our Bishops have.
16. The arguments answered, whereby they would prove, that the Law of God, and the judgment of the best in all ages, condemneth the ruling superiority of one Minister over another.
17. The second malicious thing wherein the state of Bishops suffereth obloquy, is their Honour.
18. What good doth publicly grow from the Prelacy.
19. What kinds of Honour be due unto Bishops.
20. Honour in title, place, ornament, attendance, and privilege.
21. Honour by endowment with Lands and Livings.
22. That of Ecclesiastical goods, and consequently of the Lands and Livings which Bishops enjoy, the propriety belongs unto God alone.
23. That Ecclesiastical persons are receivers of God's rents, and that the Honour of Prelates is to be thereof his chief receivers, not without liberty from him granted of converting the same unto their own use, even in large manner.
24. That for their unworthiness to deprive both them and their successors of such goods, and to convey the same unto men of secular callings, [were] now extreme sacrilegious injustice.

The
state of
Bishops
although
some-
time op-
pugned,
and that
by such
as there-
in would
most
seem to
please
God, yet
by his
provi-
dence
upheld
hitherto,
whose
glory it
is to
main-
tain that,
whereof
himself
is the
author.

[Lam.
ii 13.]

1. I HAVE heard that a famous Kingdom in the world being solicited to reform such disorders as all men saw the Church exceedingly burthened with, when of each degree great multitudes thereunto inclined, and the number of them did every day so increase that this intended work was likely to take no other effect than all good men did wish and labour for; a principal Actor herein (for zeal and boldness of spirit) thought it good to shew them betimes what it was which must be effected, or else that there could be no work of perfect Reformation accomplished. To this purpose, in a solemn Sermon, and in a great Assembly, he described unto them the present quality of their Public Estate by the parable of a tree, huge and goodly to look upon, but without that fruit which it should and might bring forth; affirming, that the only way of redress was a full and perfect establishment of Christ's Discipline (for so their manner is to entitle a thing hammered out upon the forge of their own invention), and that to make way of entrance for it, there must be three great limbs cut off from the body of that stately tree of the Kingdom. Those three limbs were three sorts of men: Nobles, whose high estate would make them otherwise disdain to put their necks under that yoke; Lawyers, whose Courts being not pulled down, the new Church-consistories were not like to flourish; finally, Prelates, whose ancient dignity, and the simplicity of their intended Church-discipline, could not possibly stand together. The proposition of which device being plausible to active spirits, restless through desire of innovation, whom commonly nothing doth more offend than a change which goeth fearfully on by slow and suspicious paces; the heavier and more experienced sort began presently thereat to pull back their feet again, and exceedingly to fear the stratagem of Reformation for ever after. Whereupon ensued those extreme conflicts of the one part with the other; which continuing and increasing to this very day, have now made the state of that flourishing Kingdom even such, as whereunto we may most fitly apply those words of the Prophet Jeremiah, "Thy breach is great like the sea, who can heal thee?" Whether this were done in truth, according to the constant affirmation of some avouching the same, I take not upon me to examine; that which I note therein is, How with us that policy hath been corrected. For to the Authors of pretended Reformation

with us, it hath not seemed expedient to offer the edge of the axe unto all three boughs at once, but rather to single them, and strike at the weakest first, making show that the lop of that one shall draw the more abundance of sap to the other two, that they may thereby the better prosper. All prosperity, felicity, and peace, we wish multiplied on each Estate, as far as their own hearts' desire is: but let men know that there is a God, whose eye beholdeth them in all their ways; a God, the usual and ordinary course of whose justice, is to return upon the head of malice the same devices which it contriveth against others. The foul practices which have been used for the overthrow of Bishops, may perhaps wax bold in process of time to give the like assault even there, from whence at this present they are most seconded.* Nor let it over-dismay them who suffer such things at the hands of this most unkind world, to see that heavenly estate and dignity thus conculcated, in regard whereof so many their predecessors were no less esteemed than if they had not been men, but angels, amongst men. With former Bishops it was as with Job in the days of that prosperity which at large he describeth, saying, "Unto me men gave ear, they waited and held their tongue at my counsel; after my words they replied not; I appointed out their way and did sit as chief; I dwelt as it had been a King in an army." At this day, the case is otherwise with them; and yet no otherwise than with the self-same Job at what time the alteration of his estate wrested these contrary speeches from him, "But now they that are younger than I mock at me, the children of fools, and offspring of slaves, creatures more base than the earth they tread on; such as if they did shew their heads, young and old would shout at them and chase them through the street with a cry: their song I am, I am a theme for them to talk on." An injury less grievous, if it were not offered by them whom Satan hath through his fraud and subtilty so far beguiled, as to make them imagine herein they do unto God a part of most faithful service. Whereas the Lord in truth whom they serve herein is, as St. Cyprian

[Job
xxix. 21,
22, 25.]

[Job
xxx.
1, 8, 9.]

Cyp.
lib. i.
Ep. 3.

* [In the struggle for a thorough Reformation much that is not defensible must have occurred, but impartial history has pronounced its judgment on the provocations. Of the infringements made and maintained by Authority in relation to Religion the reader may in part judge from what is before him: of those relating to Civil Affairs, Dr. WARNER, Rector of St. Michael, Queenhithe, in Vol. II. p. 247, of his *Eccl. Hist. of England*, 1757, has not hesitated to denominate Queen Elizabeth, "a Tyrant, who violated the Laws by which she held her Crown."]

telleth them, like, not Christ (for he it is that doth appoint and protect Bishops), but rather Christ's adversary and enemy of his Church. A thousand five hundred years and upward the Church of Christ hath now continued under the sacred Regiment of Bishops.* Neither for so long hath Christianity been ever planted in any Kingdom throughout the world but with this kind of Government alone; which to have been ordained of God, I am for mine own part even as resolutely persuaded, as that any other kind of Government in the world whatsoever is of God. In this Realm of England, before Normans, yea before Saxons, there being Christians, the chief Pastors of their souls were Bishops. This Order from about the first establishment of Christian Religion, which was publicly begun through the virtuous disposition of King Lucie not fully two hundred years after Christ,† continued till the coming in of the Saxons; by whom Paganism being every where else replanted, only one part of the Island, whereinto the ancient natural inhabitants, the Britons, were driven, retained constantly the Faith of Christ, together with the same form of spiritual Regiment, which their fathers had before received. Wherefore in the Histories of the Church we find very ancient mention made of our own Bishops. At the Council of Ariminum, about the year three hundred and fifty-nine, Britain had three of her Bishops present. At the arrival of Augustine, the Monk, whom Gregory sent hither to reclaim the Saxons from

Sulpit.
Sever.
lib. ii.

Beda,
Eccl.

* ["It is well observed by Gerhard, that a Bishop, 'phrasi Apostolica,' that is, a Bishop that is the same with a Presbyter, is of fifteen hundred years' standing; but a Bishop, 'phrasi Pontificia,' that is, a distinct Order superior to a Presbyter invested with sole power of Ordination and Jurisdiction, is but a novel invention."—*An Answer to a Book entitled An Humble Remonstrance*, &c. By SMECTYMNUUS. 1641. 4to. p. 19.]

† ["The story of king Lucius is manifestly a fable; the bare relation of which will suffice to expose its absurdity. The earliest writer that mentions him is Nennius (Hist. Brit. c. 18), who lived in the seventh century, and states that, 'In the year 164, from the incarnation of our Lord, Lucius monarch of Britain, with all the other petty kings of Britain, received baptism from a deputation sent by the Roman emperors, and by the Roman pope Evaristus.' That this short relation contains as many ridiculous falsehoods as it does incidents, will be apparent to an ordinary understanding. For if there ever existed such a person as this king Lucius, which is extremely doubtful, he could be no other than a petty prince, holding his limited authority by favour of the Roman governor. But to complete the farce, two Pagan emperors are represented as uniting with the Pastor of the Roman Christians, in sending forth Missionaries to convert and baptize a people into the faith which they persecuted! Gildas, the most ancient of our historians, who was himself a Briton, and a zealous Christian, makes no mention of this extraordinary event; which affords good ground to believe that it was no other than a *pious fraud* invented by the Monks to delude the people." WILSON, *Hist. and Antiq. of Dissenting Churches*, &c. 1814. Vol. IV. App. chap. ii. pp. 453, 4. On the authenticity of this pretended introduction of Christianity into Britain, T. C. (lib. ii. p. 476) concludes a statement of four pages with saying, "Upon all which matter may appear, what follies they be which the Doctor (Whitgift) avoucheth for truth."]

Gentility about six hundred years after Christ, the Britons he found observers still of the self-same Government by Bishops over the rest of the Clergy; under this form Christianity took root again, where it had been exiled. Under the self-same form it remained till the days of the Norman Conqueror. By him and his successors thereunto sworn,* it hath from that time till now, by the space of above five hundred years more, been upheld. O nation utterly without knowledge, without sense! We are not through error of mind deceived, but some wicked thing hath undoubtedly bewitched us, if we forsake that Government, the use whereof universal experience hath for so many years approved, and betake ourselves unto a Regiment neither appointed of God himself, as they who favour it pretend, nor till yesterday ever heard of among men.† By the Jews Festus was much complained of, as being a Governor marvellous corrupt, and almost intolerable: such notwithstanding were they who came after him, that men which thought the public condition most afflicted under Festus, began to wish they had him again, and to esteem him a ruler commendable. Great things are hoped for at the hands of these new Presidents, whom Reformation would bring in: notwithstanding the time may come, when Bishops, whose Regiment doth now seem a yoke so heavy to bear, will be longed for again, even by them that are the readiest to have it taken off their necks.‡ But in the hands of divine Providence we leave the ordering of all such events, and come now to the Question itself which is raised concerning Bishops. For the better understanding whereof, we must beforehand set down what is meant, when in this question we name a Bishop.

2. For whatsoever we bring from Antiquity, by way of defence, in this cause of Bishops, it is cast off as impertinent matter; all is wiped away with an odd kind of shifting answer, That the Bishops which now are, be not like unto

Hist.
lib. ii.
c. 2.

An.
1066.

What a
Bishop
is, what
his
Name
doth im-
port, and

* “Alfredus Eboracensis Archiepiscopus Gulielmum, cognomento Nothum, spirantem adhuc minarum et cædis in populum, mitem reddidit: et religionis pro conservanda Repub. tuendaque Ecclesiastica Disciplina sacramento adstrinxit.” Nabrig. lib. i. c. 1.

† [See p. 3, Note.]

‡ [2 Pet. ii. 20—22. HOOKER’S prediction affords but a partial triumph to the Cause of Episcopacy, while SCOTLAND,—notwithstanding the example of her neighbours, the benefit of her own *experience*, and the effort still more to enlighten her by an additional argument (as History amply records) of the most *forcible* nature, even the *ultima ratio*,—cannot be persuaded to prefer her reputed Solomon’s adopted “religion of a gentleman” before that against which Hooker exhausted the energies of his mighty mind!]

what
doth be-
long to
his
Office as
he is a
Bishop.

them which were. We therefore beseech all indifferent judges to weigh sincerely with themselves how the case doth stand. If it should be at this day a controversy whether Kingly Regiment were lawful or no, peradventure in defence thereof, the long continuance which it hath had sithence the first beginning might be alleged; mention perhaps might be made what Kings there were of old, even in Abraham's time, what Sovereign Princes both before and after. Suppose that herein some man, purposely bending his wit against Sovereignty, should think to elude all such allegations by making ample discovery through a number of particularities, wherein the Kings that are, do differ from those that have been, and should therefore in the end conclude, that such ancient examples are no convenient proofs of that Royalty which is now in use. Surely for decision of truth in this case there were no remedy, but only to shew the nature of Sovereignty; to sever it from accidental properties; make it clear that ancient and present Regality are one and the same in substance, how great odds soever otherwise may seem to be between them.* In like manner, whereas a question of late hath grown, Whether Ecclesiastical Regiment by Bishops be lawful in the Church of Christ or no? in which question, they that hold the negative, being pressed with that general received Order, according whereunto the most renowned Lights of the Christian world have governed the same in every age as Bishops; seeing their manner is to reply, that such Bishops as those ancient were, ours are not, there is no remedy but to shew, That to be a Bishop is now the self-same thing which it hath been; that one definition agreeth fully and truly as well to those elder, as to these latter, Bishops. Sundry Dissimilitudes we grant there are, which notwithstanding are not such that they cause any equivocation in the Name, whereby we should think a Bishop in those times to have had a clean other definition than doth rightly agree unto Bishops as they are now. Many things there are in the state of Bishops, which the times have changed; many a Parsonage at this day is larger than some ancient Bishoprics were; many an ancient Bishop poorer than at this day sundry

* [The cases are not parallel; for who doubts that Civil Officers may not be accommodated to circumstances? But Scriptural Church Officers have a definite character and purpose.]

under them in degree. The simple hereupon, lacking judgment and knowledge to discern between the nature of things which changeth not, and these outward variable accidents, are made believe that a Bishop heretofore and now are things in their very nature so distinct that they cannot be judged the same. Yet to men that have any part of skill, what more evident and plain in Bishops, than that augmentation or diminution in their precincts, allowances, privileges, and such like, do make a difference indeed, but no essential difference between one Bishop and another?*

As for those things in regard whereof we use properly to term them Bishops, those things whereby they essentially differ from other Pastors, those things which the natural definition of a Bishop must contain; what one of them is there more or less applicable unto Bishops now than of old? The name Bishop hath been borrowed from the Grecians,† with whom it signifieth one which hath principal charge to guide and oversee others. The same word in Ecclesiastical Writings being applied unto Church-governors, at the first unto all and not unto the chiefest only, grew in short time peculiar and proper to signify such Episcopal authority alone, as the chiefest Governors exercised over the rest:‡ for with all names this is usual, that inasmuch as they are not given till the things whereunto they are given have been sometime first observed;§ therefore generally, things are ancients than the Names whereby they are called.

Acts
xx. 28.
Phil.
i. 1.

Again, sith the first things that grow into general observation, and do thereby give men occasion to find Names for them, are those which being in many subjects are thereby the easier, the oftner, and the more universally noted; it followeth, that names imposed to signify common qualities or operations are ancients, than is the restraint of those names, to note an excellency of such qualities or operations in some one or few amongst others. For example, the name

* [And therefore none between a Bishop and the Universal Bishop, or Pope!]

† Οἱ παρ' Ἀθηναίων εἰς τὰς ὑπηκόους πόλεις ἐπισκέψασθαι τὰ παρ' ἑκάστοις πεμπόμενοι, ἐπίσκοποι καὶ φύλακες ἐκαλοῦντο, οὓς οἱ Λάκωνες ἀρμοστὰς ἔλεγον. Suid. Κατέστησεν ἐφ' ἑκάστοις τῶν πάγων ἄρχοντα ἐπίσκοπόν τε καὶ περίπολον τῆς ἰδίας μοίρας. Dionys. Halicar. de Numa Pompilio, Antiq. lib. ii. “Vult me Pompeius esse quem tota hæc Campania et maritima ora habeat Ἐπίσκοπον, ad quem delectus et negotii summa referatur.” Cic. ad Attic. lib. vii. Epist. 11.

‡ [The deduction then must be, that according to Hooker, the name of the Scriptural Bishop is now so exclusively appropriated as to be properly applied only to *ultra*-Scriptural Officers.]

§ “And God brought them unto Adam, that Adam might see or consider what name it was meet he should give unto them.” Gen. ii. 19.

Disciple being invented to signify generally a Learner, it cannot choose but in that signification be more ancient than when it signifieth, as it were by a kind of appropriation, those Learners who, being taught of Christ, were in that respect termed Disciples by an excellency.* The like is to be seen in the name Apostle, the use whereof to signify a Messenger must needs be more ancient than that use which restraineth it unto Messengers sent concerning Evangelical affairs; yea this use more ancient than that whereby the same word is yet restrained farther to signify only those whom our Saviour himself immediately did send. After the same manner the Title or name of a Bishop, having been used of old to signify both an Ecclesiastical Overseer in general, and more particularly also a principal Ecclesiastical Overseer; it followeth, that this latter restrained signification is not so ancient as the former, being more common.† Yet because the things themselves are always ancients than their Names; therefore that thing, which the restrained use of the word doth import, is likewise ancients than the restraint of the word is, and consequently that power of chief Ecclesiastical Overseers, which the term of a Bishop importeth, was before the restrained use of the name which doth import it. Wherefore a lame and impotent kind of reasoning it is, when men go about to prove, that in the Apostles' times there was no such thing as the restrained Name of a Bishop doth now signify; because in their Writings there is found no restraint of that name, but only a general use, whereby it reacheth unto all spiritual Governors and Overseers.

But, to let go the Name, and come to very nature of that thing which is thereby signified. In all kinds of Regiment, whether Ecclesiastical or Civil, as there are sundry operations public, so likewise great inequality there is in the same operations, some being of principal respect, and therefore not fit to be dealt in by every one to whom public actions and those of good importance, are notwithstanding well and fitly enough committed. From hence have grown those different degrees of Magistrates or public persons, even Ecclesiastical as well as Civil. Amongst Ecclesiastical persons,

* So also the name Deacon, is a Minister appropriated to a certain Order of Ministers.

† The name likewise of a Minister was common to divers degrees, which now is peculiarly among ourselves given only to Pastors, and not, as anciently, to Deacons also.

therefore, Bishops being chief ones, a Bishop's Function must be defined by that wherein his Chieftly consisteth. A Bishop is a Minister of God, unto whom, with permanent continuance, there is given, not only Power of administering the Word and Sacraments, which power other Presbyters have; but also a farther power to ordain Ecclesiastical persons, and a power of chieftly in government over Presbyters as well as Laymen, a power to be by way of jurisdiction a Pastor even to Pastors themselves. So that his Office, as he is a Presbyter or Pastor, consisteth in those things which are common unto him with other Pastors, as in ministering the Word and Sacraments; but those things incident unto his Office, which do properly make him a Bishop, cannot be common unto him with other Pastors. Now even as Pastors, so likewise Bishops, being principal Pastors, are either at large or else with restraint: at large, when the subject of their Regiment is indefinite, and not tied to any certain place; Bishops with restraint, are they whose Regiment over the Church is contained within some definite, local compass, beyond which compass their jurisdiction reacheth not. Such therefore we always mean, when we speak of that Regiment by Bishops which we hold a thing most lawful, divine, and holy, in the Church of Christ.

3. In our present Regiment by Bishops two things there are complained of; the one their great Authority, and the other their great Honour. Touching the Authority of our Bishops, the first thing which therein displeaseth their adversaries, is their Superiority which Bishops have over other Ministers. They which cannot brook the Superiority which Bishops have, do notwithstanding themselves admit that some kind of difference and inequality there may be lawfully amongst Ministers. Inequality as touching gifts and graces they grant, because this is so plain that no mist in the world can be cast before men's eyes so thick, but that they needs must discern through it, that one Minister of the Gospel may be more learned, holier, and wiser, better able to instruct, more apt to rule and guide them than another: unless thus much were confest, those men should lose their fame and glory whom they themselves do entitle the Lights and grand Worthies of this present age. Again, a priority of Order they deny not but that there may be, yea, such a

In Bishops two things traced; of which two, the one their Authority; and in it the first thing condemned, their Superiority over other Ministers: what kind of Superiority in Ministers it is which the one part holdeth, and the other denieth, lawful.

priority as maketh one man amongst many a principal actor in those things whereunto sundry of them must necessarily concur, so that the same be admitted only during the time of such actions, and no longer ; that is to say, just so much Superiority, and neither more nor less may be liked of, than it hath pleased them in their own kind of Regiment to set down. The inequality which they complain of is, That one Minister of the Word and Sacraments should have a permanent Superiority above another, or in any sort a Superiority of Power mandatory, judicial, and coercive over other Ministers. By us, on the contrary side, Inequality, even such inequality as unto Bishops, being Ministers of the Word and Sacraments, granteth a Superiority permanent above Ministers, yea a permanent Superiority of Power mandatory, judicial, and coercive over them, is maintained a thing allowable, lawful, and good. For, Superiority of Power may be either above them, or upon them, in regard of whom it is termed Superiority. One Pastor hath Superiority of Power above another, when either some are authorized to do things worthier than are permitted unto all ; some are preferred to be principal agents, the rest agents with dependency and subordination. The former of these two kinds of Superiority is such as the High-priest had above other Priests of the Law, in being appointed to enter once a year the Holy Place, which the rest of the Priests might not do. The latter Superiority, such as Presidents have in those actions which are done by others with them, they nevertheless being principal and chief therein. One Pastor hath Superiority of Power, not only above, but upon, another, when some are subject unto others' commandment and judicial controlment by virtue of public jurisdiction. Superiority in this last kind is utterly denied to be allowable ; in the rest it is only denied, that the lasting continuance and settled permanency thereof is lawful. So that, if we prove at all the lawfulness of Superiority in this last kind, where the same is simply denied, and of permanent Superiority in the rest, where some kind of Superiority is granted, but with restraint to the term and continuance of certain actions, with which the same must, as they say, expire and cease ; if we can shew these two things maintainable, we bear up sufficiently that which the adverse party endeavoureth to overthrow. Our desire therefore is, that this issue may be strictly

observed, and those things accordingly judged of, which we are to allege. This we boldly therefore set down as a most infallible truth, That the Church of Christ is at this day lawfully,* and so hath been sithence the first beginning, governed by Bishops having permanent Superiority and ruling Power over other Ministers of the Word and Sacraments.

For the plainer explication whereof, let us briefly declare, first, The birth and original of the same Power, whence and by what occasion it grew. Secondly, What manner of Power antiquity doth witness Bishops to have had more than Presbyters which were no Bishops. Thirdly, After what sort Bishops, together with Presbyters, have used to govern the Churches under them, according to the like testimonial evidence of antiquity. Fourthly, How far the same Episcopal Power hath usually extended; unto what number of persons it hath reached; what bounds and limits of place it hath had. This done, we may afterwards descend unto those by whom the same either hath been heretofore, or is at this present hour, gainsaid.

4. The first Bishops in the Church of Christ were his blessed Apostles. For the Office whereunto Matthias was chosen the Sacred History doth term *Ἐπισκοπήν*, an Episcopal Office; which being spoken expressly of one, agreeth no less unto them all than unto him. For which cause St. Cyprian speaking generally of them all doth call them Bishops.† They which were termed Apostles, as being sent of Christ to publish his Gospel throughout the world, and were named likewise Bishops, in that the care of government was also committed unto them, did no less perform the Offices of their Episcopal Authority by governing, than of their Apostolical by teaching. The word *Ἐπισκοπή* expressing that part of their Office which did consist in Regiment, proveth not (I grant) their Chieftly in Regiment over others, because as then that name was common unto the Function of their inferiors, and not peculiar unto theirs. But the history of their actions sheweth, plainly enough, how the thing itself which that name appropriated importeth,

From whence it hath grown that the Church is governed by Bishops.

* [In this word, "lawfully," the ambiguity lies, and on which a Volume might be written. But the shortest and best method is to try the meaning by substituting Scriptural, for lawful, here and elsewhere.]

† "Meminisse Diaconi debent, quoniam Apostolos, id est, Episcopos et Præpositos, Dominus elegit." Cypr. l. iii. ep. 9.

that is to say, even such spiritual Chieftly, as we have already defined to be properly Episcopal, was in the holy Apostles of Christ. Bishops therefore they were at large. But was it lawful for any of them to be a Bishop with restraint? True it is their charge was indefinite; yet so, that in case they did all, whether severally or jointly, discharge the Office of proclaiming every where the Gospel and of guiding the Church of Christ, none of them casting off his part in their burthen which was laid upon them, there doth appear no impediment, but that they having received their common charge indefinitely, might in the execution thereof notwithstanding restrain themselves, or at leastwise be restrained by the after commandment of the Spirit, without contradiction or repugnancy unto that charge more indefinite and general before given them; especially if it seemed at any time requisite, and for the greater good of the Church, that they should in such sort tie themselves unto some special part of the flock of Jesus Christ, guiding the same in several as Bishops. For, first, notwithstanding our Saviour's commandment unto them all, to go and preach unto all nations; yet some restraint we see there was made, when by agreement between Paul and Peter, moved with those effects of their labours which the providence of God brought forth, the one betook himself unto the Gentiles, the other unto the Jews, for the exercise of that Office of everywhere preaching. A further restraint of their Apostolical labours as yet there was also made, when they divided themselves into several parts of the world; John for his charge taking Asia,* and so the residue other quarters, to labour in. If nevertheless it seem very hard that we should admit a restraint so particular, as after that general charge received, to make any Apostle notwithstanding the Bishop of some one Church; what think we of the Bishop of Jerusalem, James,† whose consecration unto that Mother See of the world, because it was not meet that it should at any time

Rom. ii.
14, 15
1 Cor.
ix. 16.
John
xxi.
15, 16.

Gal.
ii. 8.

* Him Eusebius doth name the Governor of the Churches in Asia, Hist. Eccles. lib. iii. c. 23. Tertullian calleth the same Churches St. John's Foster-daughters. Advers. Marcion. lib. iii.

† "Jacobus, qui appellatur Frater Domini, cognomento Justus, post Passionem Domini statim ab Apostolis Hierosolymorum Episcopus ordinatus est." Hieron. de Scrip. Eccles. "Eodem tempore Jacobum primum sedem Episcopalem Ecclesiæ, quæ est Hierosolymis, obtinuisse memoriæ traditur." Euseb. Hist. Ecclesiæ. lib. ii. cap. 1. The same seemeth to be intimated, Acts xv. 13. xxi. 18.

be left void of some Apostle,* doth seem to have been the very cause of St. Paul's miraculous vocation, to make up the number of the Twelve again, for the gathering of nations abroad, even as the martyrdom of the other James, the reason why Barnabas in his stead was called. Finally, Apostles, whether they did settle in any one certain place, as James,† or else did otherwise, as the Apostle Paul, Episcopal Authority either at large or with restraint they had and exercised. Their Episcopal Power they sometimes gave unto others to exercise as agents only in their stead, and as it were by commission from them. Thus Titus, and thus Timothy, at the first, though afterwards indued with Apostolical Power of their own.‡ For in process of time the Apostles gave Episcopal Authority, and that to continue always with them which had it. "We are able to number up them (saith Irenæus), who by the Apostles were made Bishops." In Rome he affirmeth that the Apostles themselves made Linus the first Bishop.§ Again, of Polycarp he saith likewise, that the

Acts
xii. 2.
xiii. 2.

Titus
i. 5.

Iren.
lib. iii.
cap. 3.

* [VALESIUS in a Note on where Eusebius states that this James "is said to have been one of the Seventy," Eccl. Hist. lib. i. c. 12. ; says, Many ancient writers affirm that he was not of the Apostles, but of the Disciples; which appears to be corroborated by 1 Cor. xv. 7. Eusebius also says "many more besides the Twelve were called Apostles by way of imitation, as was Paul." Hooker himself seems elsewhere to have observed a distinction; in Book viii. Sect. 8., "The Apostles and James."

† [On Beausobre (Hist. de Manich. lib. ii. cap. 3. vol. i. p. 181.) remarking that "As Peter was the Apostle of the Jews scattered abroad among the Gentiles, St. James having stayed in Judea, he went to Babylon, where a great number of the Israelites had remained:" Dr. LARDNER (lib. iii. p. 421, 4to.) writes, "May I not take the liberty to ask a question, and say, Who assigned to those Apostles those several provinces, with such limitations? St. James stayed in Judea, it is allowed. We are certain of it from the history in the Acts. Nevertheless he did not confine his regards to the Jews in the land of Israel: for he wrote an Epistle addressed 'To the twelve Tribes scattered abroad.' And if Peter also was an Apostle, chiefly of the Circumcision; it was not of those only who were in Gentile countries, but of those likewise who were in Judea: where, as I apprehend, he spent the greatest part of his life, even after our Saviour's ascension."]

‡ This appeareth by those subscriptions which are set after the Epistle to Titus, and the second to Timothy, and by Euseb. Eccles. Hist. lib. iii. cap. 4. [As Baxter remarks, those subscriptions "are no part of the Holy Scripture."]

§ [It becomes the advocates of the necessity of a lineal succession to produce credentials that cannot be impeached, and to definitively decide between conflicting claimants: all which, when it suits them, they are very ready to shew is confused and dubious. Till, however, these points be indisputably fixed it will avail them nothing to tell us that one party says Linus was "the first Bishop" of Rome, while a second party says the same of Clement, and between those names a third party interpose Cletus or Anacletus; some taking these latter to be different names of the same individual, others believing them to relate to two individuals. See the parties adduced in Barrow's Treat. of the Pope's Supremacy, 1680. 4to. pp. 128, et seq. That great writer's great precursor, Bishop JEWEL, in his *Apology*, Edit. 1719. 8vo. p. 154, wrote with the influence of truth actuating his own mind, that "God hath promised his grace to a pious mind, and to one that fears him; not to Sees and Successions. Riches, says St. Jerome, may make one Bishop more powerful than the rest, but all Bishops, whatsoever they be, are the successors of the Apostles. If the place, and consecration, only be

In Ep.
ad An-
tioch.

Hieron.
Ep. 85.
Cypr.
Ep. ad
Flor.

Theod.
in 1 Tim.
iii.

Apostles made him Bishop of the Church of Smyrna. Of Antioch they made Evodius Bishop, as Ignatius witnesseth; exhorting that Church to tread in his holy steps, and to follow his virtuous example. The Apostles therefore were the first which had such Authority, and all others who have it after them in orderly sort are their lawful Successors, whether they succeed in any particular Church, where before them some Apostle hath been seated, as Simon succeeded James in Jerusalem; or else be otherwise endued with the same kind of Bishoply Power, although it be not where any Apostle before hath been. For to succeed them, is after them to have that Episcopal kind of Power which was first given to them. "All Bishops are (saith Jerome) the Apostles' successors." In like sort Cyprian doth term Bishops, "Præpositos, qui Apostolis vicaria ordinatione succedunt." From hence it may happily seem to have grown, that "they whom we now call Bishops were usually termed at the first Apostles," and so did carry their very names in whose rooms of spiritual Authority they succeeded. Such as deny Apostles to have any successors* at all in the Office of their Apostleship, may hold that opinion without contradiction to this of ours, if they will explain themselves in declaring what truly and properly Apostleship is. In some things every Presbyter, in some things only Bishops, in some things neither one nor the other, are the Apostles' Successors. The Apostles were sent as special chosen eye-witnesses of Jesus Christ,† from

sufficient, then Manasses succeeded David, and Caiphas, Aaron; and an idol hath often stood in the temple of God." Compare this with Hooker's quotation of what "saith Jerome," a few lines hereafter, in the text. The uncertainty on this subject is manifested in the following Table, which shews that the Fathers therein mentioned did not agree.

| AUTHORITIES. | ROME. | ANTIOCH. |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| IRENÆUS, Cent. II. . . | 1. Linus, made Bp. by Peter and Paul. 2. Anacletus. 3. Clement. | |
| TERTULLIAN, Cent. II. | Clement, <i>first after</i> Peter. | |
| EUSEBIUS, Cent. IV. . | Linus, <i>first after</i> martyrdom of Peter. | { Peter, <i>first</i> Bp. Evodius, <i>first</i> . Ignatius, <i>second after</i> Peter. |
| ORIGEN, Cent. III. | | |
| EPIPHANIUS, Cent. IV. | Peter and Paul. | |
| DAMASCUS, Cent. IV. . | Peter, 25 years; came to Rome in the <i>beginning</i> of Nero's reign. | |
| JEROME, Cent. V. . . | Peter, 25 years; till <i>last</i> year of Nero.* | Ignatius, <i>third after</i> Peter.] |

* "Ipsius Apostolatus nulla successio. Finitur enim legatio cum legato, nec ad successores ipsius transit." Stapl. doct. prin. lib. vi. cap. 7.

† Acts i. 21, 22. 1 John i. 3.

* [Nero reigned but fourteen years.]

whom immediately* they received their whole embassy and their commission to be the principal first founders† of an House of God, consisting as well of Gentiles as of Jews.‡ In this there are not after them any other like unto them; and yet the Apostles have now their successors upon earth, their true successors, if not in the largeness, surely in the kind of that Episcopal Function, whereby they had power to sit as spiritual ordinary Judges, both over Laity and over Clergy, where Churches Christian were established.§

* Gal. i. 1.

† Apoc. xxi. 14.

‡ Matt. xxviii. 19.

§ [HOOKER'S Episcopal edifice required other "foundation" than that "of the Apostles and Prophets," Eph. ii. 20 : he has accordingly placed it here on Irenæus and Ignatius, whose writings are not pretended to be inspired, and whose terms are the subject of controversy. It cannot be proved from Irenæus that Bishop and Presbyter are not convertible terms; and his alleged ability to number up the Bishops in no way helps to define the nature and extent of their charges. Of Ignatius, as he calls upon the Bishop to know all his flock by name, even to the servants; that was certainly such a Bishop as Hooker ought not to assimilate to those whose cause he espoused. The particular Book of Irenæus referred to by Hooker, is described by Dr. Maclaine, in a Note to Mosheim's Eccl. Hist. (Cent. ii. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 5), as being only preserved in an excessively barbarous Latin version, the original, in Greek, being lost. And the Bishop of Bristol, in his Eccl. Hist. of the 2nd and 3rd Cent. 1826. 2nd Edit. 8vo. p. 90, says, "that far the greater portion of the work of Irenæus is extant only in a barbarous Latin translation, which lies under heavy suspicions of interpolation." Respecting Ignatius, the first of these writers in the order of time; Dr. Mosheim himself says, "There are yet extant several Epistles attributed to him, concerning the authenticity of which there have been tedious and warm disputes among the learned, which still subsist;" and he adds, "The whole question, relating to the Epistles of Ignatius in general, seems to me to labour under much obscurity, and to be embarrassed with many difficulties." Ibid. Cent. i. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 20. Whether this be a sandy foundation (Matt. vii. 26) on which to build a Christian Church, needs no farther proof. "In te omnis domus inclinata recumbit." The phrases in the text, "orderly sort" and "lawful successors," and the concluding word of this section, "established," are alike ambiguous, and only prove the skill necessary to be exercised by the advocate of the theory. The practical effects of maintaining the alleged source of Episcopalian and Sacerdotal *domination* may be seen more at large in the Letter from which this extract is taken: "There are some serious dangers to which such meetings (Prayer-meetings at each other's houses) are liable, against which it is my duty to caution you, and by avoiding which you may keep your intercourse with your fellow-labourers, as now, always harmless and unblamed. The first of these is the risk of *levelling*, in the eyes of others, and even in your own, the *peculiar* claims to attention on the part of men, and the *peculiar* hopes of grace and blessing from the Most High, which, as we believe, are possessed by *the holders of an Apostolic Commission* over those whose call to the Ministry is less regular, though their labours are no less sincere. God forbid, my brethren, that I should teach you to think on this account highly of yourselves! Far otherwise. This sense of the advantages which we enjoy should humble us to the dust, when we bethink us who we are, and what we ought to be, who *have received* the Spirit of God, by the dispensation of a long line of saints and martyrs, . . . and who are, by the external dispensation, at least, of Providence, the inheritors of that grace which fell on St. Paul. But humbly, yea meanly, as we are bound to think of ourselves, we must not appear to *undervalue* our Apostolic bond of union. . . . The neglect, or abandonment, or apparent abandonment of this principle, is the first danger which I apprehend to be incidental to such (Prayer) meetings as I have described." *Pastoral Letter* of Dr. R. HEBER, Bishop of Calcutta, Sept. 13, 1825, in *Narrative of a Journey through the Upper Provinces of India*. 3rd Edit. 1828. 8vo. Vol. III. p. 155. "It is a very precarious and uncomfortable foundation for Christian hope, which is laid in the doctrine of an *uninterrupted succession* of Bishops, and which makes the validity of the administration of Christian Ministers depend upon such a Succession; since there is so great a darkness upon many periods of Ecclesiastical History, insomuch that it is not agreed, who were the first seven Bishops of the Church of Rome, though that Church was so celebrated; and Eusebius himself, from whom the greatest patrons of this doctrine have

The
time and
cause
of insti-
tuting
every
where
Bishops
with re-
straint.

Acts xx.
36, 37.

Acts xx.
28.

Acts
xx. 30.

5. The Apostles of our Lord did, according unto those directions which were given them from above, erect Churches in all such cities as received the Word of Truth, the Gospel of God. All Churches by them erected received from them the same Faith, the same Sacraments, the same Form of public Regiment. The Form of Regiment by them established at first was, That the Laity or people should be subject unto a College of Ecclesiastical persons, which were in every such city appointed for that purpose. These in their writings they term sometime Presbyters, sometime Bishops. To take one Church out of a number for a pattern what the rest were; the Presbyters of Ephesus, as it is in the history of their departure from the Apostle Paul at Miletum, are said to have wept abundantly "all," which speech doth shew them to have been many. And by the Apostle's exhortation it may appear that they had not each his several flock to feed, but were in common appointed to feed that one flock, the Church of Ephesus; for which cause the phrase of his speech is this, "Attendite gregi, Look all to that one flock, over which the Holy Ghost hath made you Bishops." These persons Ecclesiastical being termed as then, Presbyters and Bishops both, were all subject unto Paul, as to an higher Governor appointed of God to be over them.* But forasmuch as the Apostles could not themselves be present in all Churches, and as the Apostle St. Paul foretold the Presbyters of the Ephesians, that there would "rise up from amongst their ownelves men speaking perverse things, to draw disciples after them;" there did grow in short time amongst the Governors of each Church those emulations, strifes, and contentions, whereof there could be no sufficient remedy provided, except, according unto the Order of Jerusalem

made their Catalogues, expressly owns, that it is no easy matter to tell who succeeded the Apostles in the government of the Churches, excepting such as may be collected from St. Paul's own words; (Eccl. Hist. lib. ii. c. 35. lib. iii. c. 4, 5.) Contested elections in almost all considerable cities make it very dubious which were the *true* Bishops; and Decrees of Councils, rendering all those Ordinations null, where any *simoniacal* contract was the foundation of them, makes it impossible to prove that there is now upon earth any one person, who is a *legal* successor of the Apostles." *Lect. on Pneumatology, Ethics, and Divinity*; by P. DODDRIDGE, D.D. Edit. 1799. 8vo. Vol. II. Part IX. Prop. 150. p. 354.]

* As appeareth both by his sending to call the Presbyters of Ephesus before him as far as to Miletum (Acts xx. 17.), which was almost fifty miles, and by his leaving Timothy in his place with authority and instructions for ordaining of Ministers there (1 Tim. v. 22.); and for proportioning their maintenance (ver. 17, 18.); and for judicial hearing of accusations brought against them (ver. 19.); and for holding them in a uniformity of doctrine (Ch. i. 3.)

already begun, some one were indued with Episcopal Authority over the rest, which one being resident might keep them in order, and have pre-eminence or principality in those things wherein the equality of many agents was the cause of disorder and trouble. This one President or Governor, amongst the rest, had his known Authority established a long time before that settled difference of Name and Title took place, whereby such alone were named Bishops.* And therefore in the Book of St. John's Revelation we find they are entituled Angels. It will perhaps be answered, that the Angels of those Churches were only in every Church a Minister of the Word and Sacraments:† but then we ask, Is it probable that in every of these Churches, even in Ephesus itself, where many such Ministers were long before, as hath been proved, there was but one such, when John directed his speech to the Angel of that Church? If there were many, surely St. John, in naming but only one of them an Angel, did behold in that one somewhat above the rest.‡ Nor was this Order peculiar unto some few Churches, but the whole world universally became subject thereunto; insomuch as they did not account it to be a Church which was not subject unto a Bishop. It was the general received persuasion of the ancient Christian world, that *Ecclesia est in Episcopo*, the outward being of a Church consisteth in the having of a Bishop. That where Colleges of Presbyters were, there was at the first equality amongst them, St. Jerome thinketh it a matter clear; but when the rest were thus equal, so that no one of them could command any other as inferior unto

Rev. ii.
[1, &c.]

Cypr. iv.
Epist. 9.

* [Dr. Doddridge, in a Note on Acts xx. 25, *Fam. Expos.* writes, "Dr. E. Calamy observes, that if the Apostles had been used, as some assert, to ordain Diocesan Bishops in their last visitation, this had been a proper time to do it; or that if Timothy had been already ordained Bishop of Ephesus, Paul, instead of calling them all Bishops, would surely have given some hint to enforce Timothy's authority among them, especially considering what is added, ver. 29, 30."]

† ["The Minister of the Synagogue, who officiated in offering up the public Prayers, being the mouth of the Congregation, delegated by them, as their Representative, Messenger, or Angel, to address God in prayer for them, was in Hebrew, called Sheliach-Zibbor, the *Angel of the Church*, and from hence the Bishops of the seven Churches of Asia, are in the Revelations, by a name borrowed from the Synagogue, called, Angels of those Churches." PRIDEAUX, *Connect. Old and New Test.* Part I. Book VI. See Malachi ii. 7.]

‡ ["That there was one Pastor who presided in each of these Churches, is indeed evident from the expression here used: but that he was a Diocesan Bishop, or had several congregations of Christians under his care, can by no means be proved. Nor is there the least hint of it, that I know of, in any of these Epistles." DODDRIDGE, *Fam. Expos.* Rev. ii. 1. In chap. ii. 24, the pronoun "thou" is changed into "you;" the very same party being still addressed. "But unto *you* I say (*ὡμῖν δὲ λέγω*), and unto the rest in Thyatira." Hence the argument from "Angel" is too indefinite for Hooker's purpose.]

Hieron.
Ep. ci.
ad
Evang.

Exod.
xviii. 19.

Ep. ad
Januar.

Ep. ci.
ad Eva.

him, they all were controllable by the Apostles, who had that Episcopal Authority abiding at the first in themselves, which they afterwards derived unto others. The cause wherefore they under themselves appointed such Bishops as were not every where at the first, is said to have been those strifes and contentions, for remedy whereof, whether the Apostles alone did conclude of such a Regiment, or else they together with the whole Church judging it a fit and needful policy did agree to receive it for a custom; no doubt but being established by them on whom the Holy Ghost was poured in so abundant measure for the ordering of Christ's Church, it had either divine appointment beforehand, or divine approbation afterwards, and is in that respect to be acknowledged the Ordinance of God, no less than that ancient Jewish Regiment, whereof though Jethro were the deviser, yet after that God had allowed it, all men were subject unto it, as to the Polity of God, and not of Jethro. That so the ancient Fathers did think of Episcopal Regiment; that they held this Order as a thing received from the blessed Apostles themselves, and authorized even from Heaven, we may perhaps more easily prove, than obtain that they all shall grant it who see it proved. St. Augustine setteth it down for a principle, that whatsoever positive Order the whole Church every where doth observe, the same it must needs have received from the very Apostles themselves, unless perhaps some general Council were the authors of it. And he saw that the ruling Superiority of Bishops was a thing universally established, not by the force of any Council (for Councils do all presuppose Bishops, nor can there any Council be named so ancient, either General, or so much as Provincial, sithence the Apostles' own times, but we can shew that Bishops had their Authority before it, and not from it): wherefore St. Augustine, knowing this, could not choose but reverence the Authority of Bishops, as a thing to him apparently and most clearly Apostolical. But it will be perhaps objected, that Regiment by Bishops was not so universal nor ancient as we pretend; and that an Argument hereof may be Jerome's own Testimony, who, living at the very same time with St. Augustine, noteth this kind of Regiment as being no where ancient, saving only in Alexandria; his words are these: "It was for a remedy of Schism that one was afterwards chosen to be

placed above the rest; lest every man's pulling unto himself, should rend asunder the Church of Christ. For (that which also may serve for an argument or token hereof), at Alexandria, from Mark the Evangelist, unto Heraclas and Dionysius, the Presbyters always chose one *of themselves*, whom they placed in higher degree, and gave unto him the title of Bishop." Now St. Jerome, they say,* would never have picked out that one Church from amongst so many, and have noted that in it there had been Bishops from the time that St. Mark lived, if so be the self-same Order were of like antiquity every where:† his words therefore must be thus scholied, In the Church of Alexandria, Presbyters indeed had, even from the time of St. Mark the Evangelist, always a Bishop to rule over them, for a remedy against divisions, factions, and schisms; not so in other Churches, neither in that very Church any longer than *usque ad Heraclam et Dionysium*, till Heraclas and his successor Dionysius were Bishops. But this construction doth bereave the words construed, partly of wit, and partly of truth; it maketh them both absurd and false. For, if the meaning be that Episcopal Government in that Church was then expired, it must have expired with the end of some one, and not of two several Bishops' days, unless perhaps it fell sick under Heraclas, and with Dionysius gave up the ghost. Besides, it is clearly untrue that the Presbyters of that Church did then cease to be under a Bishop. Who doth not know that after Dionysius, Maximus was Bishop of

* "It is to be observed that Jerome saith, it was so in Alexandria; signifying that in other Churches it was not so." T. C. lib. i. p. 105.

† ["In saying that the word 'Bishop' is 'not commonly used' but for him that in degree is above the rest, he (Dr. Whitgift) at unawares confesseth that there were divers Bishops in some Churches. . . . And seeing that the Answerer (Dr. W.) cannot deny but that one such always is not sufficient for some Churches, especially where the commodity of assemblies is so good that every day the Word of God is to be preached, it cannot be denied but that there both may and ought to be more Bishops in a Church, much more in a city, than one. . . . Also it is not to be forgotten, that although this corruption of giving the name of Bishop to one in a Church from the rest to whom it did of right belong be ancient, yet godly men misliked it, and by all likelihood broke it off. Which Jerome's words do apparently import; 'this custom was in the Church of Alexandria from St. Mark until Heraclas and Dionysius;' for unless there were some change then, why would he not rather have said, from St. Mark until his (Jerome's) time? considering that all that time there was continual succession of Church and Bishops. . . . Furthermore, it is diligently to be considered, that this order of having only one in *every* Church to have the name of Bishop, overspread not the Churches suddenly, 'but entered by little and little,' (Jerome on the Ep. to Tit.) so that it is like there were divers ages past, or ever this had a general passage through all the Churches in the world. By all which, it may appear, how the Answerer is abused in saying, 'It cannot be shewed from Christ's time, that ever there were two Bishops in one Church.'" T. C. lib. ii. p. 527, 529.]

Socrat.
lib. i.
c. 5.

Alexandria, after him Theonas, after him Peter, after him Achilles, after him Alexander? of whom Socrates in this sort writeth: It fortuneth on a certain time that this Alexander, in the presence of the Presbyters which were under him, and of the rest of the Clergy there, discoursed somewhat curiously and subtilly of the holy Trinity, bringing high philosophical proofs, that there is in the Trinity an Unity. Whereupon Arius, one of the Presbyters which were placed in that degree under Alexander, opposed eagerly himself against those things which were uttered by the Bishop. So that thus long Bishops continued even in the Church of Alexandria. Nor did their Regiment here cease, but these also had others their Successors till St. Jerome's own time, who living long after Heraclas and Dionysius had ended their days, did not yet live himself to see the Presbyters of Alexandria otherwise than subject unto a Bishop. So that we cannot, with any truth, so interpret his words as to mean, that in the Church of Alexandria there had been Bishops indued with Superiority over Presbyters from St. Mark's time only till the time of Heraclas and of Dionysius. Wherefore, that St. Jerome may receive a more probable interpretation than this,—We answer, that generally of Regiment by Bishops, and what term of continuance it had in the Church of Alexandria, it was no part of his mind to speak, but to note one only circumstance belonging to the manner of their election, which circumstance is, that in Alexandria they used to choose their Bishops altogether out of the College of their own Presbyters, and neither from abroad nor out of any other inferior Order of the Clergy; whereas oftentimes elsewhere the use was to choose as well from abroad as at home, as well inferior unto Presbyters, as Presbyters when they saw occasion.* This Custom, saith he, the Church of Alexandria did always keep, till in Heraclas and Dionysius they began to do otherwise. These two were the very first not chose out of their College of Presbyters.

The drift and purpose of St. Jerome's speech doth plainly show what his meaning was: for whereas some did overextol the Office of the Deacon in the Church of Rome,

* Unto Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch, Hero a Deacon there was made successor. Chrysostom, being a Presbyter of Antioch, was chosen to succeed Nectarius in the Bishoprick of Constantinople.

where Deacons being grown great, through wealth, challenged place above Presbyters; St. Jerome, to abate this insolency, writing to Evagrius,* diminisheth by all means the Deacons' estimation, and lifteth up Presbyters as far as possible the truth might bear. "An Attendant (saith Ep. ci. he) upon tables and widows proudly to exalt himself above them at whose prayers is made the Body and Blood of Christ; above them, between whom and Bishops there was at the first for a time no difference neither in Authority nor in Title. And whereas afterward schisms and contentions made it necessary, that some one should be placed over them, by which occasion the Title of Bishop became proper unto that one, yet was that one chosen out of the Presbyters, as being the chiefest, the highest, the worthiest degree of the Clergy, and not of Deacons: in which consideration also it seemeth that in Alexandria, even from St. Mark to Heraclas and Dionysius, Bishops there, the Presbyters evermore have chosen one of themselves, and not a Deacon at any time, to be their Bishop. Nor let any man think that Christ hath one Church in Rome, and another in the rest of the world; that in Rome he alloweth Deacons to be honoured above Presbyters, and elsewhere will have them to be in the next degree to the Bishop. If it be deemed that abroad where Bishops are poorer, the Presbyters under them may be the next unto them in honour, but at Rome, where the Bishop hath ample revenues, the Deacons, whose estate is nearest for wealth, may be also for estimation the next unto him; we must know that a Bishop in the meanest city is no less a Bishop than he who is seated in the greatest; the countenance of a rich, and the meanness of a poor estate, doth make no odds between Bishops: and therefore, if a Presbyter at Eugubium be the next in degree to a Bishop, surely, even at Rome it ought in reason to be so likewise; and not a Deacon for wealth's sake only to be above, who by Order should be, and elsewhere is, underneath a Presbyter. But ye will say, that according to the Custom of Rome, a Deacon presenteth unto the Bishop him which standeth to be ordained Presbyter, and upon the Deacon's testimony given concerning his fitness, he receiveth at the Bishop's hands Ordination: so that in Rome the Deacon having this special

* [Evangelus.]

pre-eminence, the Presbyter ought there to give place unto him. Wherefore is the Custom of one city brought against the practice of the whole world? The paucity of Deacons in the Church of Rome hath gotten the credit, as unto Presbyters their multitude hath been cause, of contempt: howbeit, even in the Church of Rome, Presbyters sit, and Deacons stand; an argument as strong against the Superiority of Deacons, as the fore-alleged reason doth seem for it. Besides, whosoever is promoted must needs be raised from a lower degree to an higher; wherefore either let him which is Presbyter be made a Deacon, that so the Deacon may appear to be the greater, or, if of Deacons Presbyters be made, let them know themselves to be in regard of Deacons, though below in gain, yet above in Office. And to the end we may understand that those Apostolical Orders are taken out of the Old Testament, what Aaron and his sons and the Levites were in the Temple, the same in the Church may Bishops, and Presbyters, and Deacons challenge unto themselves." This is the very drift and substance, this the true construction and sense, of St. Jerome's whole discourse in that Epistle: which I have therefore endeavoured the more at large to explain, because no one thing is less effectual, or more usual to be alleged against the ancient Authority of Bishops; concerning whose Government St. Jerome's own words elsewhere are sufficient to shew his opinion, that this Order was not only in Alexandria so ancient, but even as ancient in other Churches. We have before alleged his testimony touching James the Bishop of Jerusalem. As for Bishops in other Churches, on the first of the Epistle to Titus thus he speaketh, "Till through instinct of the Devil there grew in the Church factions, and among the people it began to be profest, I am of Paul, I of Apollos, and I of Cephas, Churches were governed by the common advice of Presbyters; but when every one began to reckon those whom himself had baptized, his own and not Christ's, it was decreed *in the whole World*, that one chosen out of the Presbyters should be placed above the rest, to whom all care of the Church should belong, and so the seeds of Schism be removed."* If it be so, that by St. Jerome's

* [That this difference between Bishops and Presbyters was brought in as a remedy against Schism, is, says Dr. WHITAKER, "a remedy almost worse than the disease, for it

own confession this Order was not then begun when people in the Apostles' absence began to be divided into factions by their Teachers, and to rehearse, "I am of Paul," but that even at the very first appointment thereof was agreed upon and received throughout the world; how shall any man be persuaded that the same Jerome thought it so ancient no where saving in Alexandria, one only Church of the whole world? A sentence there is indeed of St. Jerome's, which, being not thoroughly considered and weighed, may cause his meaning so to be taken, as if he judged Episcopal Regiment to have been the Church's invention long after, and not the Apostles' own institution; as namely, when he admonisheth Bishops in this manner, "As therefore Presbyters do know that the Custom of the Church makes them subject to the Bishop which is set over them; so let Bishops know,* that *custom* rather than the truth of any Ordinance of the Lord's maketh them greater than the rest, and that with common advice they ought to govern the Church." To clear the sense of these words therefore, as we have done already the former: Laws which the Church from the beginning universally hath observed, were some delivered by Christ himself, with a charge to keep them till the world's end, as the Law of baptizing, and administering the holy Eucharist; some brought in afterwards by the Apostles, yet not without the special direction of the Holy Ghost, as occasions did arise. Of this sort are those Apostolical Orders and Laws, whereby Deacons, Widows, Virgins, were first appointed in the Church.

This answer to St. Jerome, seemeth dangerous; I have qualified it as I may, by addition of some words of restraint; yet I satisfy not myself, in my judgment it would be altered.† *Now whereas Jerome doth term the Government of Bishops*

begat and brought in the Pope with his supremacy into the Church." *De Eccl. Regim. Contr. iv. q. 1. sect. 29.*]

* Bishops he meaneth by restraint; for the Episcopal power was always in the Church instituted by Christ himself, the Apostles being in Government Bishops at large; as no man will deny, having received from Christ himself that Episcopal Authority. For which cause Cyprian hath said of them: "Meminisse Diaconi debent, quoniam Apostolos, id est, Episcopos et Præpositos, Dominus elegit: Diaconos autem, post ascensum Domini in cœlos, Apostoli sibi constituerunt, Episcopatus sui et Ecclesiæ Ministros." Lib. iii. ep. 9.

† [Dr. M'CRIE, in his *Life of Andrew Melville*, 1819. 8vo. Vol. I. p. 156, says, "He (Melville) knew that the words Bishop and Presbyter are interchangeably used in the New Testament, and that the most popular arguments for the divine origin of Episcopacy are founded on ignorance of the original language of Scripture." This passage has the following Note: "Acts xx. 17, 28. 1 Pet. v. 1, 2. In the venerable Syriac version called the Peshito, 'Ἐπίσκοποι is translated 'the Elders,' and 'Ἐπισκοπή 'the Office of an Elder.' Philip. i. 1.

by restraint an Apostolical Tradition, acknowledging thereby the same to have been of the Apostles' own institution, it may be demanded, How these two will stand together; namely, that the Apostles by divine instinct should be, as Jerome confesseth, the authors of that Regiment; and yet the Custom of the Church be accompted (for so by Jerome it may seem to be in this place accompted) the chiefest prop that upholdeth the same? To this we answer, That forasmuch as the whole body of the Church hath power to alter, with general consent and upon necessary occasions, even the positive Laws of the Apostles, if there be no commandment to the contrary; and it manifestly appears to her, that change of times have clearly taken away the very reason of God's first institution, as by sundry examples may be most clearly proved;—what Laws the universal Church might change, and doth not, if they have long continued without any alteration, it seemeth that St. Jerome ascribeth the continuance of such positive Laws, though instituted by God himself, to the judgment of the Church. For they which might abrogate a Law and do not, are properly said to uphold, to establish it, and to give it being. The Regiment therefore whereof Jerome speaketh being positive, and consequently not absolutely necessary, but of a changeable nature, because there is no divine voice which in express words forbiddeth it to be changed;

1 Tim. iii. 1. This proves, says Dr. Marsh (Bishop of Peterborough) that the Syriac translator understood his original, and that he made a proper distinction between that of the Primitive and that of the Hierarchical Church. Michaelis, *Introd. to New Test.* 1802, Vol. II. pp. 32, 553." In a Note to p. 461 of Vol. I. *Life of Mel. Dr. M'Crie* writes, "Nothing has proved more puzzling to the *jure divino* Prelatists, who feel a great veneration for the Fathers, than the sentiments which St. Jerome has expressed, in various parts of his writings, concerning the origin of Episcopacy. A very curious instance of this occurs in HOOKER'S *Eccl. Polity*. Hooker enters into an elaborate reply to the objections which the Presbyterians have raised from Jerome's assertion, that the superiority of Bishops to Presbyters arose from custom rather than divine institution. In the middle of this reply the following singular sentence occurs, 'This answer to St. Jerome seemeth dangerous,' &c. It will be obliging if some of the admirers of the *Eccl. Polity* will examine this passage, and furnish a key to its meaning, and the design with which it was introduced. In the mean time they are welcome to any assistance which they can derive from the following explication. It is known that the last three Books, including the Seventh, of the *Polity* were not published during the life-time of their Author. In looking over his Manuscripts, what he had written on this part of the subject appeared to Hooker 'dangerous;' he retouched it, and 'qualified' his expressions, but still his answer *satisfied not himself*; it required yet to be altered: and to keep this in mind he made a jotting of it on the margin. The Manuscript coming into the hands of Dr. Gauden, Bishop of Exeter, he introduced the marginal note into the text and published both together. We may easily conceive how 'the judicious Hooker' would have felt at seeing his acknowledgment of his perplexity in answering this objection thus ignorantly and rudely exposed to the public eye. Yet the blunder has been retained in all the Editions which I have seen, from that of 1662 down to that which was lately printed at Oxford! The *Eccl. Polity* is one of the Books on which Candidates for Holy Orders are examined; but this does not necessarily imply that either they or their Examinators have made themselves masters of its meaning and contents."]

*he might imagine both that it came by the Apostles by very divine appointment at the first, and notwithstanding be, after a sort, said to stand in force, rather by the Custom of the Church, choosing to continue in it, than by the necessary constraint of any commandment from the Word, requiring perpetual continuance thereof.** So that St. Jerome's admonition is reasonable, sensible, and plain, being contrived to this effect; The ruling Superiority of one Bishop over many Presbyters in each Church, is an Order descended from Christ to the Apostles, who were themselves Bishops at large; and from the Apostles to those whom they in their steads appointed Bishops over particular countries and cities; and even from those ancient times universally established, thus many years it hath continued throughout the World; for which cause Presbyters must not grudge to continue subject unto their Bishops, unless they will proudly oppose themselves against that which God himself ordained by his Apostles, and the whole Church of Christ approveth and judgeth most convenient. On the other side, Bishops albeit they may avouch, with conformity of truth, that their Authority hath thus descended even from the very Apostles themselves, yet the absolute and everlasting continuance of it they cannot say that any commandment of the Lord doth injoin; *and therefore must acknowledge that the Church hath power by universal consent upon urgent cause to take it away, if thereunto she be constrained through the proud, tyrannical, and unreformable dealings of her Bishops, whose Regiment she hath thus long delighted in, because she hath found it good and requisite to be so governed. Wherefore, lest Bishops forget themselves, as if none on earth had Authority to touch their states, let them continually bear in mind, that it is rather the force of Custom, whereby the Church having so long found it good to continue under the Regiment of her virtuous Bishops, doth still uphold, maintain, and honour them in that respect, than that any such true and heavenly Law can be showed, by the evidence whereof it may of a truth appear that the Lord himself hath appointed Presbyters for ever to be under the Regiment of Bishops, in what sort soever they behave themselves; let this consideration be a bridle unto them, let it teach them not to disdain the*

* [The passages in *italics*, are so distinguished in the Ed. of 1662.]

advice of their Presbyters, but to use their Authority with so much the greater humility and moderation, as a sword which the Church hath power to take from them. In all this there is no let why St. Jerome might not think the authors of Episcopal Regiment to have been the very blessed Apostles themselves, directed therein by the special motion of the Holy Ghost, which the ancients all before, and besides him and himself also elsewhere, being known to hold, we are not, without better evidence than this, to think him in judgment divided both from himself and from them. Another Argument that the Regiment of Churches by one Bishop over many Presbyters hath been always held Apostolical, may be this. We find that throughout all those cities where the Apostles did plant Christianity, the history of times hath noted succession of Pastors in the seat of one, not of many (there being in every such Church evermore many Pastors), and the first one in every rank of succession we find to have been, if not some Apostle, yet some Apostle's Disciple. By Epiphanius the Bishops of Jerusalem are reckoned down from James to Hilarion then Bishop.* Of them which boasted that they held the same things which they received of such as lived with the Apostles themselves, Tertullian speaketh after this sort: "Let them therefore shew the beginnings of their Churches, let them recite their Bishops one by one, each in such sort succeeding other, that the first Bishop of them have had for his author and predecessor some Apostle, or at least some Apostolical person who persevered with the Apostles. For so Apostolical Churches are wont to bring forth the evidence of their estates. So doth the Church of Smyrna, having Polycarp, whom John did consecrate."† Catalogues of Bishops in a number of other Churches; Bishops, and succeeding one another from the very Apostles' times; are by Eusebius‡ and Socrates

Lib. ii.
Hæres.
66.

De Præ-
script.
advers.
hæret.

* ["Epiphanius wrote a Book against all the Heresies that had sprung up in the Church until his time. This work has little or no reputation, as it is full of inaccuracies and errors, and discovers almost in every page the levity and ignorance of its author." MOSHEIM, *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. iv. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 9. Maclaine's Ed.]

† [Where is the Church of England's *exact* Catalogue, to evidence that Heretics and Impostors have neither vitiated her Communion nor stepped into the ranks of her Clergy some with forged Orders and others with Orders simoniacally obtained? See BAXTER's *Dissertations*, p. 170.]

‡ ["It being *impossible* for us to recount by name all those who in the *first succession* of the Apostles were Pastors or Evangelists in the Churches throughout the world, we will here commit to writing the mention of their names only, whose *writings*, containing the Apostolical Doctrine they delivered, are to this day extant amongst us." EUSEB. *Eccl. Hist.* lib. iii. c. 37.]

collected; whereby it appeareth so clear, as nothing in the world more, that under them and by their appointment this Order began, which maketh many Presbyters subject unto the Regiment of some one Bishop. For as in Rome while the civil ordering of the Commonwealth was jointly and equally in the hands of two Consuls, historical records concerning them did evermore mention them both, and note which two, as colleagues, succeeded from time to time. So there is no doubt but Ecclesiastical antiquity had done the very like, had not one Pastor's place and calling been always so eminent above the rest in the same Church. And what need we to seek far for proofs that the Apostles, who began this Order of Regiment of Bishops, did it not but by divine instinct, when without such direction things of far less weight and moment they attempted not? Paul and Barnabas did not open their mouths to the Gentiles, till the Spirit had said, "Separate me Paul and Barnabas for the work where-
unto I have sent them."* The Eunuch by Philip was neither baptized nor instructed, before the Angel of God was sent to give him notice that so it pleased the Most High. In Asia, Paul and the rest were silent, because the Spirit forbade them to speak: when they intended to have seen Bithynia they stayed their journey, the Spirit not giving them leave to go. Before Timothy was employed in those Episcopal affairs of the Church, about which the Apostle St. Paul used him, the Holy Ghost gave special charge for his Ordination, and propheticall intelligence more than once, what success the same would have. And shall we think that James was made Bishop of Jerusalem, Evodius Bishop of the Church of Antioch, the Angels in the Churches of Asia Bishops, that Bishops every where were appointed to take away factions, contentions, and schisms, without some like divine instigation and direction of the Holy Ghost?† Where-

Acts
xiii. 2.
Acts
viii. 26.

Acts
xvi. 6, 7.

1 Tim.
i. 18.

* [On the phrase in ver. 4. "So they being sent forth by the Holy Ghost," Dr. Doddridge remarks, *in loc.*, "This seems to be added to remind us, that though they were solemnly recommended to God by the prayers of their brethren, their Authority was not derived from them, but from the Holy Spirit himself."]

† ["Mark whether this rare device of man's brain, thus preferred before the Ordinance of God, had better success than fleshly wisdom, not counselling with God, is wont to have. So far was it from removing Schism, that if Schism parted the Congregations before, now it rent and mangled, now it ragged. Heresy begat Heresy with a certain monstrous haste of pregnancy in her birth, at once born and bringing forth. Contentions, before brotherly, were now hostile; Men went to choose their Bishop as they went to a pitched field, and the day of his election was like the sacking of a city; sometimes ended with the blood of thousands. Nor

fore let us not fear to be herein bold and peremptory, That if any thing in the Church's Government, surely the first institution of Bishops was from Heaven, was even of God; the Holy Ghost was the author of it.

What
manner
of Power
Bishops
from the
first be-
ginning
have
had.

6. A Bishop, saith St. Augustine, is a Presbyter's superior:* but the question is now, Wherein that Superiority did consist. The Bishop's pre-eminence we say therefore was twofold. First, he excelled in latitude of the Power of Order; secondly, in that kind of Power which belongeth unto Jurisdiction. Priests in the Law had authority and power to do greater things than Levites, the High-priest greater than inferior Priests might do; therefore Levites

this among Heretics only, but men of the same belief, yea Confessors; and that with such odious ambition, that Eusebius, in his Eighth Book, testifies he abhorred to write. And the reason is not obscure, for the poor dignity, or rather burden, of a parochial Presbyter could not engage any great party, nor that to any deadly feud: but Prelaty was a power of that extent and sway, that if her election were popular, it was seldom not the cause of some faction or broil in the Church. But if her dignity came by favour of some Prince, she was from that time his creature, and obnoxious to comply with his ends in State, were they right or wrong. So that, instead of finding Prelaty an impeacher of Schism or Faction, the more I search, the more I grow into all persuasion to think rather that Faction and she, as with a sponsal ring, are wedded together, never to be divorced. But here let every one behold the just and dreadful judgment of God meeting with the audacious pride of men, that durst offer to mend the Ordinances of Heaven. God out of the strife of men brought forth by his Apostles to the Church that beneficent and ever distributing Office of Deacons, the Stewards and Ministers of holy alms: Man out of the pretended care of peace and unity, being caught in the snare of his impious boldness to correct the will of Christ, brought forth to himself upon the Church that irreconcilable Schism of Perdition and Apostacy, the Roman Antichrist; for that the exaltation of the Pope arose out of the reason of Prelaty, it cannot be denied. And, that the pattern of the High Priest pleaded for in the Gospel (for take away the head Priest, the rest are but a carcass) sets up with better reason a Pope than an Archbishop; for if Prelaty must still rise and rise till it come to a Primate, why should it stay there? whereas the Catholic Government is not to follow the division of Kingdoms, the Temple best representing the Universal Church, and the High Priest the Universal Head: so I observe here, that if to quiet Schism there must be one head of Prelaty in a land or monarchy, rising from a provincial to a national Primacy, there may upon better grounds of repressing Schism be set up one Catholic Head over the Catholic Church. For the peace and good of the Church is not terminated in the schismless estate of one or two kingdoms, but should be provided for by the joint consultation of all reformed Christendom: that all controversy may end in the first pronounce or Canon of one Arch-Primate or Protestant Pope. Although by this means, for aught I see, all the diameters of Schism may as well meet and be knit up in the centre of one grand Falsehood.... It was not the prevention of Schism, but it was Schism itself, and the hateful thirst of lording in the Church, that first bestowed a being upon Prelaty; this was the true cause, but the pretence is still the same. The Prelates, as they would have it thought, are the only mauls of Schism. Forsooth if they be put down, a deluge of innumerable sects will follow; we shall be all Brownists, Familists, Anabaptists! For the word Puritan seems to be quashed, and all that heretofore were counted such, are now Brownists.... Your Prelaty is nothing else but your ambition, an insolent preferring of yourselves above your brethren; and all your learned scraping in antiquity, even to disturb the bones of old Aaron and his sons in their graves, is but to maintain and set upon our necks a stately and severe dignity, which you call sacred, and is nothing in very deed but a grave and reverent gluttony, a sanctimonious avarice; in comparison of which, all the duties and dearnesses which ye owe to God or to his Church, to Law, Custom, or Nature, ye have resolved to set at nought." MILTON'S *Reason of Church Gov.* Edit. 1738, fol. Book I. chap. vi. pp. 50, 51, 54.

* Aug. Ep. 19. ad Hieron. et de Hæres. 53.

were beneath Priests, and Priests inferior to the High-priest, by reason of the very degree of dignity and of worthiness in the nature of those functions which they did execute; and not only, for that the one had power to command and control the other. In like sort, Presbyters having a weightier and a worthier charge than Deacons had, the Deacon was in this sort the Presbyter's inferior; and where we say that a Bishop was likewise ever accompted a Presbyter's superior, even according unto his very Power of Order, we must of necessity declare what principal duties belonging unto that kind of Power a Bishop might perform, and not a Presbyter. The custom of the primitive Church in consecrating holy Virgins and Widows unto the service of God and his Church, is a thing not obscure, but easy to be known, both by that which St. Paul himself concerning them hath, and by the latter consonant evidence of other men's writings: now a part of the pre-eminence which Bishops had in their Power of Order was, that by them only such were consecrated. Again, the Power of ordaining both Deacons and Presbyters, the Power to give the Power of Order unto others, this also hath been always peculiar unto Bishops. It hath not been heard of, that inferior Presbyters were ever authorized to ordain. And concerning Ordination, so great force and dignity it hath, that whereas Presbyters, by such power as they have received for administration of the Sacraments, are able only to beget Children unto God; Bishops, having power to ordain, do by virtue thereof create Fathers to the people of God, as Epiphanius fitly disputeth. There are which hold, that between a Bishop and a Presbyter, touching Power of Order, there is no difference. The reason of which conceit is, for that they see Presbyters, no less than Bishops, authorized to offer up the Prayers of the Church, to preach the Gospel, to baptize, to administer the holy Eucharist; but they considered not withal, as they should, that the Presbyter's authority to do these things is derived from the Bishop's which doth ordain him thereunto: so that even in those things which are common unto both, yet the power of the one is as it were a certain light borrowed from the others' lamp. The Apostles being Bishops at large, ordained every where Presbyters. Titus and Timothy having received Episcopal Power, as Apostolical ambassadors or legates, the one in Greece, the other in Ephesus, they both

1 Cor.
vii. 25.
1 Tim.
v. 9.

Tertul.
de vel.
virg.

Epiph.
lib. iii.
Hær. 75.

Acts
xiv. 23.

Tit.
i. 5.
1 Tim.
v. 22.

did,* by virtue thereof, likewise ordain, throughout all Churches, Deacons and Presbyters within the circuits allotted unto them. As for Bishops by restraint, their Power this way incommunicable unto Presbyters, which of the ancients do not acknowledge? I make not Confirmation any part of that Power, which hath always belonged only unto Bishops; because in some places† the custom was, that Presbyters might also confirm in the absence of a Bishop, albeit, for the most part, none but only Bishops were thereof the allowed Ministers.

[Matt.
xix. 28.]

[John
v. 22.]

Here it will be perhaps objected, that the Power of Ordination itself was not every where peculiar and proper unto Bishops, as may be seen by a Council of Carthage, which sheweth their Church's Order to have been, That Presbyters should together with the Bishop lay hands upon the ordained. But the answer hereunto is easy; for doth it hereupon follow, that the Power of Ordination was not principally and originally in the Bishop? Our Saviour hath said unto his Apostles, "With me ye shall sit, and judge the twelve tribes of Israel;" yet we know that to him alone it belongeth to judge the world, and that to him "all judgment" is given. With us, even at this day, Presbyters are licensed to do as much as that Council speaketh of, if any be present: yet will not any man thereby conclude, that in this Church others than Bishops are allowed to ordain. The association of Presbyters is no sufficient proof that the Power of Ordination is in them; but rather that it never was in them we may hereby understand, for that no man is able to shew either Deacon or Presbyter ordained by Presbyters only,‡ and his Ordination accounted lawful in any ancient part of the Church; every where examples being found both of Deacons and of Presbyters ordained by Bishops alone oftentimes, neither ever in that respect thought insufficient. Touching that other Chieftly, which

* [When, and where in any Church? Though the Epistle to Titus, and also the first Epistle to Timothy, are supposed to have been written about A. D. 56, no trace is found of any Ordination by either of those "Ambassadors," notwithstanding that the Sacred Writings extend to A. D. 95 or 96; and that all the Evangelists and Epistles, except the 1 and 2 Thess. Gal. and the 1 Cor. were, on the supposition of Dr. Lardner, written subsequently.]

† "Apud Ægyptum Presbyteri consignant, si præsens non sit Episcopus." Com. q. vulgo Ambros. dic. in 4. Ep. ad Ephes.

‡ [See Note on 1 Tim. Sect. 9, of this Book. Surely when those *extraordinary* Officers, the Apostles, were all dead, their *rank* became extinct, and therefore left Presbyters to ordain Presbyters.]

is of Jurisdiction; amongst the Jews he which was highest through the worthiness of peculiar duties incident into his function in the Legal service of God, did bear always in Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction the chiefest sway. As long as the glory of the Temple of God did last, there were in it sundry orders of men consecrated unto the service thereof, one sort of them inferior unto another in dignity and degree; the Nathiners subordinate unto the Levites, the Levites ^[Ezra viii. 20.] unto the Priests, the rest of the Priests to those twenty-four which were Chief-priests, and they all to the High-priest. If any man surmise that the difference between them was only by distinction in the former kind of Power, and not in this latter of Jurisdiction, are not the words of the Law manifest which made Eleazar, the son of Aaron the Priest, ^{Numb. iii. 32.} chief captain of the Levites, and overseer of them unto whom the charge of the Sanctuary was committed? Again, ^{iv. 27.} at the commandment of Aaron and his sons, are not the Gersonites themselves required to do all their service in the whole charge belonging unto the Gersonites, being inferior Priests, as Aaron and his sons were High-priests? Did not ^{2 Chron. xix. 11.} Jehoshaphat appoint Amariah the Priest to be chief over them who were Judges for the cause of the Lord in Jerusalem? “Priests (saith Josephus) worship God continually, and the eldest of the stock are Governors over the rest. ^{Joseph. Antiq. p. 612.} He doth sacrifice unto God before others, he hath care of the Laws, judgeth controversies, correcteth offenders, and whosoever obeyeth him not is convict of impiety against God.”* But unto this they answer, That the reason thereof was because the High-priest did prefigure Christ, and represent to the people that Chieftly of our Saviour which was to come; so that Christ being now come, there is

* [“The Christian Doctors had the good fortune to persuade the people, that the Ministers of the Christian Church succeeded to the character, rights, and privileges, of the Jewish Priesthood: and this persuasion was a new source both of honour and profit to the sacred Order. This notion was propagated with industry some time after the reign of Adrian, when the second destruction of Jerusalem had extinguished among the Jews all hopes of seeing their government restored to its former lustre, and their country arising out of its ruins. And, accordingly, the Bishops considered themselves as invested with a rank and character similar to those of the High Priest among the Jews, while the Presbyters represented the Priests, and the Deacons the Levites. It is, indeed, highly probable, that they who first introduced this absurd comparison of Offices, so entirely distinct, did it rather through ignorance and error than through artifice or design. The notion, however, once introduced, produced its natural effects; and these effects were pernicious. The errors to which it gave rise were many; and one of its immediate consequences was, the establishing a greater difference between the Christian Pastors and their Flock than the genius of the Gospel seems to admit.”—MOSHEIM, *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. ii. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 4.]

no cause why such pre-eminence should be given unto any one. Which fancy pleaseth so well the humour of all sorts of rebellious spirits, that they all seek to shroud themselves under it. Tell the Anabaptist, which holdeth the use of the sword unlawful for a Christian man, that God himself did allow his people to make wars; they have their answer round and ready, "Those ancient wars were figures of the spiritual wars of Christ." Tell the Barrowist what sway David, and others the Kings of Israel, did bear in the ordering of spiritual affairs, the same answer again serveth, namely, "That David and the rest of the Kings of Israel prefigured Christ." Tell the Martinist of the High-priest's great authority and jurisdiction amongst the Jews, what other thing doth serve his turn but the self-same shift: "By the power of the High-priest the universal supreme authority of our Lord Jesus Christ was shadowed." The thing is true, that indeed High-priests were figures of Christ, yet this was in things belonging unto their Power of Order; they figured Christ by entering into the Holy Place, by offering for the sins of all the people once a year, and by other the like duties: but, that to govern and maintain order amongst those that were subject to them, is an Office figurative, and abrogated by Christ's coming into the Ministry; that their exercise of Jurisdiction was figurative, yea, figurative in such sort, that it had no other cause of being instituted, but only to serve as a representation of somewhat to come, and that herein the Church of Christ ought not to follow them; this article is such as must be confirmed, if any way, by miracle, otherwise it will hardly enter into the heads of reasonable men, why the High-priest should more figure Christ in being a Judge, than in being whatsoever he might be besides. St. Cyprian deemed it no wresting of Scripture, to challenge as much for Christian Bishops as was given to the High-priest amongst the Jews, and to urge the Law of Moses as being most effectual to prove it.* St. Jerome

Cypr.
l. 3.
Ep. 9.
ad Roga-
tianum.

* ["The face of things began now (in the Third Century) to change in the Christian Church. The ancient method of Ecclesiastical Government seemed, in general, still to subsist, while, at the same time, by imperceptible steps, it varied from the primitive rule, and degenerated towards the form of a religious monarchy. For the Bishops aspired to higher degrees of power and authority than they had formerly possessed; and not only violated the rights of the people, but also made gradual encroachments upon the privileges of the Presbyters. And that they might cover these usurpations with an air of justice, and an appearance of reason, they published new doctrines concerning the nature of the Church, and of the Episcopal dignity, which, however, were, in general so obscure, that they themselves seem to have understood them as little as those to whom they were delivered. One of the principal

likewise thought it an argument sufficient to ground the authority of Bishops upon: “To the end (saith he) we may understand Apostolical Traditions to have been taken from the Old Testament; that which Aaron, and his sons, and the Levites were in the Temple, Bishops, and Presbyters, and Deacons in the Church may lawfully challenge to themselves.” In the office of a Bishop, Ignatius observeth these two functions, *ἱερατεύειν καὶ ἄρχειν*: concerning the one, such is a pre-eminence of a Bishop, that he only hath the heavenly mysteries of God committed originally unto him, so that otherwise than by his Ordination, and by Authority received from him, others besides him are not licensed therein to deal as ordinary Ministers of God’s Church; and touching the other part of their sacred function, wherein the Power of their Jurisdiction doth appear, first how the Apostles themselves, and secondly how Titus and Timothy had rule and jurisdiction over Presbyters,* no man is ignorant.† And had not Christian Bishops afterward the like power? Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch, being ready by blessed martyrdom to end his life, writeth unto his Presbyters, the Pastors under him, in this sort; *Οἱ πρεσβύτεροι, ποιμάνετε τὸ ἐν ὑμῖν ποιμνίον, ἕως ἀναδείξῃ ὁ Θεὸς τὸν μέλλοντα ἄρχειν ὑμῶν. Ἐγὼ γὰρ ἤδη σπένδομαι.* Hier. Ep. 85. After the death of Fabian, Bishop of Rome, there growing some trouble about the receiving of such persons into the Church as had fallen away in persecution, and did now repent their fall, the Presbyters and Deacons of the same Church advertised St. Cyprian thereof, signifying, “That they must of necessity defer to deal in that cause till God did send them a new Bishop which might moderate all things.” Much we read of extraordinary Fasting usually in the Church; and in this appeareth also somewhat concerning the Chieftly of Bishops. Ep. ad Smyr. Ignat. Epist. ad Antioch. Cyp. Ep. 9.

authors of this change, in the government of the Church, was Cyprian, who pleaded for the power of the Bishops with more zeal and vehemence than had ever been hitherto employed in that cause, though not with an unshaken constancy and perseverance; for, in difficult and perilous times, necessity sometimes obliged him to yield, and to submit several things to the judgment and authority of the Church. This change in the form of Ecclesiastical government, was soon followed by a train of vices, which dishonoured the character and authority of those to whom the administration of the Church was committed.” MOSHEIM, *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. iii. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 3, 4.]

• “Against a Presbyter receive no accusation under two or three witnesses.” 1 Tim. v. 19.

† [And no man in his senses will admit Hooker’s Note to be a sufficient proof of their exclusive jurisdiction: *civil* magistrates always act with double caution in accusations against a brother magistrate; it does not follow that there is therefore an imparity among them. The Chairman of a bench of Justices at Quarter Sessions is not thereby elevated to another Order of the Magistracy.]

Cypr.
Ep. 27.

Cypr.
Ep. 30.
Vide
Ignat. ad
Magnes.

Ignat.
Ep. ad.
Tra.

Institut.
lib. iv.
c. 4.
sect. 2.

“The custom is (saith Tertullian), that Bishops do appoint when the people shall all fast.”* Yea, it is not a matter left to our own free choice, whether Bishops shall rule or no, but “the will of our Lord and Saviour is (saith Cyprian), that every act of the Church be governed by her Bishops.” An argument it is of the Bishop’s high pre-eminence, rule, and government over all the rest of the Clergy, even that the sword of persecution did strike, especially, always at the Bishop as at the head; the rest by reason of their lower estate being more secure, as the self-same Cyprian noteth; the very manner of whose speech unto his own both Deacons and Presbyters who remained safe, when himself, then Bishop, was driven into exile, argueth likewise his eminent authority and rule over them: “By these letters (saith he) I both exhort and *command*, that ye whose presence there is not envied at, nor so much beset with dangers, supply my room in doing those things which the exercise of Religion doth require.” Unto the same purpose serve most directly those comparisons, than which nothing is more familiar in the books of the ancient Fathers, who as oft as they speak of the several degrees in God’s Clergy, if they chance to compare Presbyters with Levitical Priests of the Law, the Bishop they compare unto Aaron the High-priest;† if they compare the one with the Apostles, the other they compare (although in a lower proportion) sometime to Christ, and sometime to God himself, evermore shewing that they placed the Bishop in an eminent degree of ruling Authority and Power above other Presbyters.‡ Ignatius, comparing Bishops with Deacons, and with such Ministers of the Word and Sacraments as were but Presbyters, and had no authority over Presbyters; “What is (saith he) the Bishop, but one which hath all principality and power over all, so far forth as man may have it, being to his power a follower even of God’s own Christ?” Mr. Calvin himself, though an enemy unto Regiment by Bishops, doth notwithstanding confess, that in old times§ “the Ministers which had charge to teach, chose of their company one in every city, to whom they

* “Episcopi universæ plebi mandare jejunia assolent.” Tertul. advers. Psychic.

† “Quod Aaron et filios ejus, hoc Episcopum et Presbyteros esse noverimus.” Hier. ad Nepotianum, Ep. 2.

‡ “Ita est, ut in Episcopis Dominum, in Presbyteris Apostolos recognoscas.” Auctor opusc. de sept. ordinib. Eccl. inter opera Hieron.

§ [By “old time” Calvin means that between the Apostolic and the Papal.]

appropriated the title of Bishop, lest equality should breed dissension." He addeth farther, that "look what duty the Roman Consuls did execute in proposing matters unto the Senate, in asking their opinions, in directing them by advice, admonition, exhortation, in guiding actions by their Authority, and in seeing that performed which was with common consent agreed on, the like charge had the Bishop in the assembly of other Ministers." Thus much Calvin being forced by the evidence of truth to grant, doth yet deny "the Bishops to have been so in Authority at the first as to bear rule over other Ministers:" wherein what rule he doth mean, I know not.* But if the Bishops were so far in dignity above other Ministers as the Consuls of Rome for their year above other Senators, it is as much as we require. And, undoubtedly, if as the Consuls of Rome, so the Bishops in the Church of Christ, had such authority, as both to direct other Ministers, and to see that every of them should observe that which their common consent had agreed on, how this could be done by the Bishop not bearing rule over them, for mine own part I must acknowledge that my poor conceit is not able to comprehend. One objection there is of some force to make against that which we have hitherto endeavoured to prove, if they mistake it not who allege it. St. Jerome, comparing other Presbyters with him unto whom the name of Bishop was then appropriate, asketh, "What a Bishop, by virtue of his place and calling, may do more than a Presbyter, except it be only to ordain?" In like sort, Chrysostom having moved a question, wherefore St. Paul should give Timothy precept concerning the quality of Bishops, and descend from them to Deacons, omitting the order of Presbyters between, he maketh thereunto this answer, "What things he spake concerning Bishops, the same are also meet for Presbyters, whom Bishops seem not to excel in any thing but only in the Power of Ordination:" wherefore, seeing this doth import no ruling Superiority, it follows that Bishops were as then no rulers over that part of the Clergy of God. Whereunto we answer, that both St. Jerome and

Hieron.
Ep. ad
Evang.
85.

Chrys.
Hom. x.
in 1 Tim.
iii.

* ["Every city had a company of Priests which were Pastors and Teachers; for they all did execute among the people that Office of teaching, exhorting and correcting, which Paul appointeth to the Bishops, Tit. i. 9. . . . Every Company, only for preservation of policy and peace, were under one Bishop, which was so above the rest in dignity, that he was subject to the assembly of his brethren." CALV. *Inst.* lib. iv. cap. 4. sect. 2. Norton's Transl.]

St. Chrysostom had in those their speeches an eye no farther than only to that function for which Presbyters and Bishops were consecrated unto God. Now we know that their consecration had reference to nothing but only that which they did by force and virtue of the Power of Order, wherein sith Bishops received their charge, only by that one degree, to speak of, more ample than Presbyters did theirs, it might be well enough said that Presbyters were that way authorized to do, in a manner, even as much as Bishops could do, if we consider what each of them did by virtue of solemn consecration: for as concerning Power of Regiment and Jurisdiction, it was a thing withal added unto Bishops for the necessary use of such certain persons and people as should be thereunto subject in those particular Churches whereof they were Bishops, and belonged to them only, as Bishops of such or such a Church; whereas the other kind of Power had relation indefinitely unto any of the whole society of Christian men, on whom they should chance to exercise the same, and belonged to them absolutely, as they were Bishops, where-soever they lived. St. Jerome's conclusion therefore is, "That seeing in the one kind of Power there is no greater difference between a Presbyter and a Bishop, Bishops should not, because of their pre-eminence in the other, too much lift up themselves above the Presbyters under them:" St. Chrysostom's collection, "That whereas the Apostle doth set down the qualities whereof regard should be had in the consecration of Bishops, there was no need to make a several discourse how Presbyters ought to be qualified when they are ordained; because there being so little difference in the functions whereunto the one and the other receive Ordination, the same precepts might well serve for both; at leastwise by the virtues required in the greater, what should need in the less might be easily understood. As for the difference of Jurisdiction, the truth is, the Apostles yet living, and themselves, where they were resident, exercising the Jurisdiction in their own persons, it was not every where established in Bishops." When the Apostles prescribed those Laws, and when Chrysostom thus spake concerning them, it was not by him at all respected, but his eye was the same way with Jerome's; his cogitation was wholly fixed on that Power which by Consecration is

given to Bishops more than to Presbyters, and not on that which they have over Presbyters by force of their particular accessory Jurisdiction. Wherein if any man suppose that Jerome and Chrysostom knew no difference at all between a Presbyter and a Bishop, let him weigh but one or two of their sentences. The pride of insolent Bishops hath not a sharper enemy than Jerome, for which cause he taketh often occasions most severely to inveigh against them, sometimes for shewing disdain and contempt of the Clergy under them;* sometime for not suffering themselves to be told of their faults, and admonished of their duty by inferiors;† sometime for not admitting their Presbyters to teach, if so be themselves were in presence; sometimes for not vouchsafing to use any conference with them, or to take any counsel of them.‡ Howbeit, never doth he, in such wise, bend himself against their disorders as to deny their rule and authority over Presbyters. Of Vigilantius, being a Presbyter, he thus writeth, “*Miror sanctum Episcopum, in cujus Parochia Presbyter esse dicitur, acquiescere furori ejus, et non virga Apostolica virgaque ferrea confringere vas inutile: I marvel that the holy Bishop, under whom Vigilantius is said to be a Presbyter, doth yield to his fury, and not break that unprofitable vessel with his Apostolic and iron rod.*” With this agreeth most fitly the grave advice he giveth to Nepotian; “*Be thou subject unto thy Bishop, and receive him as the Father of thy soul.* This also I say, that Bishops should know themselves to be Priests, and not Lords;§ that they ought to honour the Clergy as beseemeth the Clergy to be honoured, to the end their Clergy may yield them the honour which, as Bishops, they ought to have.” That of the Orator Domitius is famous; “*Wherefore should I esteem of thee as of a Prince, when thou makest not of me that reckoning which should in reason be made of a Senator?* Let us know the Bishop

Ep. 54.
ad
Ripar.Hier. ad
Nepot.

* “*Velut in aliqua sublimi specula constituti, vix dignantur videre mortales et alloqui conservos suos.*” In c. 4. Epist. ad Gal.

† “*Nemo peccantibus Episcopis audet contradicere; nemo audet accusare majorem; propterea quasi sancti et beati et in præceptis Domini ambulantes augent peccata peccatis. Difficilis est accusatio in Episcopum. Si enim peccaverit, non creditur; et si convictus fuerit, non punitur.*” In cap. 8. Ecclesiast.

‡ “*Pessimæ consuetudinis est, in quibusdam Ecclesiis tacere Presbyteros et præsentibus Episcopis non loqui; quasi aut invideant aut non dignentur audire.*” Ep. 2. ad Nepotian.

§ No Bishop may be a Lord in reference unto the Presbyters which are under him, if we take that name in the worse part, as Jerome here doth. For a Bishop is to rule his Presbyters, not as Lords do their slaves, but as Fathers do their children.

and his Presbyters to be the same which Aaron sometime and his sons were." Finally, writing against the Heretics which were named Luciferians, "The very safety of the Church (saith he) dependeth on the dignity of the Chief-Priest, to whom unless men grant an exceeding and an eminent power, there will grow in Churches even as many Schisms as there are persons which have authority."*

In Vita
Chrys.
per
Cassiod.
Sen.

Touching Chrysostom, to shew that by him there was also acknowledged a ruling Superiority of Bishops over Presbyters, both then usual, and in no respect unlawful, what need we allege his words and sentences, when the history of his own Episcopal actions in that very kind is till this day extant for all men to read that will? For St. Chrysostom, of a Presbyter in Antioch, grew to be afterwards Bishop of Constantinople;† and, in process of time, when the Emperor's heavy displeasure had, through the practice of a powerful faction against him, effected his banishment, Innocent the Bishop of Rome, understanding thereof, wrote his letters unto the Clergy of that Church, "That no successor ought to be chosen in Chrysostom's room; *nec ejus Clerum alii parere Pontifici*, nor his Clergy obey any other Bishop than him." A fond kind of speech, if so be there had been, as then, in Bishops no ruling Superiority over Presbyters. When two of Chrysostom's Presbyters had joined themselves to the faction of his mortal enemy Theophilus, Patriarch in the Church of Alexandria, the same Theophilus and other Bishops which were of his conventicle, having sent those two amongst others to cite Chrysostom their lawful Bishop, and to bring

* [It is proper for the Reader to be reminded of the true character of Jerome, than whom, Hooker has just said, "The pride of insolent Bishops hath not a sharper enemy." MOSHEIM, *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. iv. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 10, writes thus, "His complexion was exceedingly warm and choleric; his bitterness against those who differed from him, extremely keen; and his thirst of glory insatiable. He was so prone to censure, that several persons, whose lives were not only irreproachable, but even exemplary, became the objects of his unjust accusations. All this, joined to his *superstitious* turn of mind, and the enthusiastic encomiums which he lavished upon a false and degenerate sort of piety which prevailed in his time, sunk his reputation greatly, and that even in the esteem of the candid and the wise." This is one of those *pseudo*-successors of the Apostles, on whose authority we are required by the Church of Rome to receive tainted *transfused* Doctrines of Faith; and agreed with by another Church, to require our submission to and our approbation of the *transmuted* kinds and powers of those offices for which the Holy Spirit formerly qualified such as were appointed to them; Acts vi. 3.]

† [MOSHEIM, in a Note on Chrysostom, has introduced a refined sarcasm, which "they may feel who deserve it most:" "He was *Preacher* at Antioch (a function, indeed, which before him was always attached to *the episcopal* dignity), and afterwards Patriarch of Constantinople." *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. iv. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 9.]

him into public judgment, he taketh against this one thing special exception, as being contrary to all order, That those Presbyters should come as Messengers, and call him to judgment, who were a part of that Clergy whereof himself was Ruler and Judge. So that Bishops to have had in those times a ruling Superiority over Presbyters, neither could Jerome nor Chrysostom be ignorant; and therefore, hereupon it were superfluous that we should any longer stand.

7. Touching the next point, How Bishops, together with Presbyters, have used to govern the Churches which were under them: it is by Zonaras somewhat plainly and at large declared, That the Bishop had his seat on high in the Church above the residue which were present; that a number of Presbyters did always there assist him; and that in the oversight of the people those Presbyters were after a sort the Bishop's coadjutors.* The Bishops, and Presbyters who together with him governed the Church, are for the most part by Ignatius jointly mentioned. In the Epistle to them of Trallis, he saith of Presbyters, that they are Σύμβουλοι καὶ Συνεδρευταὶ τοῦ Ἐπισκόπου, "Counsellors and Assistants of the Bishop;" and concludeth in the end, "He that should disobey these were a plain Atheist, and an irreligious person, and one that did set Christ himself and his own Ordinances at nought." Which Order making Presbyters or Priests the Bishop's Assistants, doth not import that they were of equal authority with him, but rather so adjoined, that they also were subject, as hath been proved. In the writings of St. Cyprian nothing is more usual, than to make mention of the College of Presbyters subject unto the Bishop; although in handling the common affairs of the Church they assisted him.† But of all other places which open the ancient Order of Episcopal Presbyters, the most clear is that Epistle of Cyprian unto Cornelius,‡ concerning certain Novatian Heretics, received again, upon their conversion, into the unity of the Church. "After that Urbanus and Sidonius, Confessors, had come and signified unto our Presbyters, that Maximus, a Confessor and Presbyter, did, together with them, desire to

Pallad.
in Vita
Chrys.

After
what
sort
Bishops,
together
with
Presby-
ters,
have
used to
govern
the
Churches
which
were
under
them.

[In Cyprian
Ep.]

* "Ὅσπερ σύμβουλοι δοθέντες τῷ Ἐπισκόπῳ. Zon. in can. Apost. 53.

† "Cum Episcopo Presbyteri Sacerdotali honore conjuncti." Ep. 28. "Ego et Compresbyteri nostri qui nobis adsidebant." Ep. 27.

‡ [It should be "Cornelius unto Cyprian."]

return into the Church, it seemed meet to hear from their own mouths and confessions that which by message they had delivered. When they were come, and had been called to accompt by the Presbyters touching those things they had committed, their answer was, That they had been deceived; and did request, that such things as there they were charged with might be forgotten. It being brought unto me what was done, I took order that the Presbytery might be assembled. There were also present five Bishops, that, upon settled advice, it might be with consent of all determined what should be done about their persons." Thus far St. Cyprian.* Wherein it may be, peradventure, demanded, Whether he and other Bishops did thus proceed with advice of their Presbyters in all such public affairs of the Church, as being thereunto bound by Ecclesiastical Canons; or else that they voluntarily so did, because they judged it in discretion as then most convenient. Surely the words of Cyprian are plain, that of his own accord he chose this way of proceeding. "Unto that (saith he) which Donatus, and Fortunatus, and Novatus, and Gordius, our Com-presbyters, have written, I could by myself alone make no answer, forasmuch as at the very first entering into my Bishoprick I resolutely determined not to do any thing of mine own private judgment, without your counsel, and the people's consent." The reason whereof he rendereth in the same Epistle, saying, "When by the grace of God myself shall come unto you" (for St. Cyprian was now in exile), "of things which either have been or must be done we will consider, *sicut honor mutuus poscit*, as the law of courtesy which one doth owe to another of us requireth." And to this very mark doth St. Jerome evermore aim, in telling Bishops, that Presbyters were at the first their equals; that, in some Churches, for a long time no Bishop was made, but only such as the Presbyters did choose out amongst themselves, and therefore no cause why the Bishop should disdain to consult with them, and in weighty affairs of the Church to use their advice; sometime to countenance their own actions, or to repress the boldness of proud and insolent spirits, that which Bishops had in themselves sufficient Authority and Power to have done, notwithstanding they

Cypr.
Ep. 93.

* [See Note † p. 125.]

would not do alone, but craved therein the aid and assistance of other Bishops, as in the case of those Novatian Heretics, before alleged, Cyprian himself did. And in Cyprian we find of others the like practice. Rogatian, a ^{Cypr.} Bishop, having been used contumeliously by a Deacon of ^{Ep. 88.} his own Church, wrote thereof his complaint unto Cyprian and other Bishops. In which case their answer was, "That although in his own cause he did of humility rather shew his grievance, than himself take revenge, which by the rigour of his Apostolical Office, and the Authority of his Chair, he might have presently done, without any farther delay; yet if the party should do again as before, their judgments were " *fungaris circa eum potestate honoris tui, et eum vel deponas vel abstineas*; Use on him that power which the honour of thy place giveth thee, either to depose him, or exclude him from access unto holy things." The Bishop, for his assistance and ease, had under him, to guide and direct Deacons in their charge, his Archdeacon: so termed in respect of care over Deacons, albeit himself were not Deacon, but Presbyter. For the guidance of Presbyters in their function, the Bishop had likewise under him one of the self-same Order with them, but above them in authority, one whom the ancient termed usually an Arch-presbyter,* we at this day name him Dean.† For, most certain truth it is, that Churches Cathedral, and

* Such a one was that Peter whom Cassiodore, writing the Life of Chrysostom, doth call the Archpresbyter of the Church of Alexandria, under Theophilus, at that time Bishop.

† [How the Ecclesiastical Regiment (as Hooker elsewhere calls it) works, in the Nineteenth Century, with all its parade of Officers superinduced on the Scripturally constituted Church-officers, (See Bk. V. Sect. 78, p. 394,) is exhibited, on authority that will not be questioned, in the following extracts. "In the lapse of time the Office of Dean has been abolished, although the name of his Jurisdiction, as an Ecclesiastical Division, is retained. . . . Had this Office of Rural-Dean existed in plenitude of power and activity, it is not conceivable that the Church would have experienced that neglect in Parochial duty, which has too frequently occurred. The instances to which I advert are, a *total* omission of Divine Service, sometimes for several successive Sundays, occasionally, subject to no authoritative inspection or controul, but occurring at the discretion of the Officiating Minister with perfect impunity; a *partial* omission, where the duty is performed only every other Sunday, or less frequently, in direct opposition to the Canons, which ordain its regular performance every Sunday; a *virtual* omission, where the Minister undertakes so many Cures as to render it impossible for him to attend at a time convenient for the Parishioners. This overcharge of duty induces the necessity, so unfavourable to devotion, of rapidly hurrying through a very impressive Service; and, with not inferior speed, reading the Sermon. The natural consequence follows: it fails in communicating the purposed instruction, and producing any of those desirable effects which correspond with the place and the object of Worship!" *The present State of the Established Church; pointing out certain operating causes of Separation, and their Remedy.* By the Rev. J. L'OSTE, LL.B. Rector of Hayneford, Norfolk. 1812, 8vo. p. 10.]

the Bishops of them, are as glasses, wherein the face and very countenance of Apostolical antiquity remaineth even as yet to be seen, notwithstanding the alterations which tract of time and the course of the world hath brought. For defence and maintenance of them we are most earnestly bound to strive, even as the Jews were for their Temple and the High-priest of God therein: the overthrow and ruin of the one, if ever the sacrilegious avarice of Atheists should prevail so far, which God of his infinite mercy forbid, ought no otherwise to move us than the people of God were moved, when having beheld the sack and combustion of his Sanctuary, in most lamentable manner flaming before their eyes, they uttered from the bottom of their grieved spirits, those voices of doleful supplication, “ Exurge, Domine, et miserearis Sion; Servi tui diligunt lapides ejus, pulveris ejus miseret eos.”

Psal.
cli.
13, 14.

How far
the
Power of
Bishops
hath
reached
from the
begin-
ning in
respect
of terri-
tory, or
local
compass.

8. How far the Power which Bishops had did reach, what number of persons was subject unto them at the first, and how large their territories were, it is not for the question we have in hand a thing very greatly material to know. For if we prove that Bishops have lawfully* of old ruled over other Ministers, it is enough, how few soever those Ministers have been, how small soever the circuit of place which hath contained them. Yet hereof somewhat, to the end we may so far forth illustrate Church-antiquities. A Law Imperial there is, which sheweth that there was great care had to provide for every Christian city a Bishop, as near as might be,† and that each city had some territory belonging unto it, which territory was also under the Bishop of the same city; that because it was not universally thus, but in some countries one Bishop had subject unto him many cities and their territories, the Law which provided for establishment of the other Orders, should not prejudice those Churches wherein this contrary custom had before prevailed. Unto the Bishop of every such city, not only the Presbyters of the same city, but also of the territory

* [See Note * p. 97.]

† Ἐκάστη πόλις ἴδιον Ἐπίσκοπον ἐχέτω· καὶ καθὼν διὰ θείας ἀντιγραφῆς τολμήσῃ τις ἀφελέσθαι πόλιν τοῦ ἰδίου Ἐπισκόπου ἢ τῆς περιοικίδος αὐτῆς ἢ τινὸς ἄλλου δικαίου, γυμνοῦται τῶν ὄντων καὶ ἀτιμοῦται. Ἐξήρηται δὲ ἡ Τομέων Σκυθίας πόλις. Ὁ γὰρ Ἐπίσκοπος αὐτῆς καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν προνοεῖ. Καὶ ἡ Λεοντόπολις Ἰσαυρίας ὑπὸ τὸν Ἐπίσκοπόν ἐστίν Ἰσαυροπόλεως. L. xxxvi. can. de Epis. ad Cler. Besides, Cypr. Ep. 52. “Cum jam pridem per omnes provincias et per urbes singulas ordinarii sunt Episcopi.”

thereunto belonging, were from the first beginning subject. For we must note, that when as yet there were in cities no Parish Churches, but only Colleges of Presbyters under their Bishop's Regiment, yet smaller Congregations and Churches there were even then abroad, in which Churches there was but some one only Presbyter to perform amongst them divine duties.* Towns and villages abroad receiving the Faith of Christ from cities whereunto they were adjacent, did as spiritual and heavenly colonies, by their subjection, honour those ancient Mother-churches out of which they grew. And in the Christian cities themselves, when the mighty increase of believers made it necessary to have them divided into certain several companies, and over every of those companies one only Pastor to be appointed for the ministry of holy things; between the first, and the rest after it, there could not be but a natural inequality, even as between the Temple and Synagogues in Jerusalem. The Clergy of cities were termed *Urbici*, to shew a difference Cypr. Ep. 25. between them and the Clergies of the towns, of villages, of castles abroad. And how many soever these Parishes or Congregations were in number, which did depend on any one principal City-church, unto the Bishop of that one Church they and their several sole Presbyters were all subject.

For if so be, as some imagine, every petty congregation or hamlet had had his [*its*] own particular Bishop, what sense could there be in those words of Jerome concerning castles, Hieron. advers. Lucifer. villages, and other places abroad, which having only Presbyters to teach them, and to minister unto them the Sacraments, were resorted unto by Bishops for the administration of that wherewith their Presbyters were not licensed to meddle. To note a difference of that one Church where the Bishop hath his seat, and the rest which depend upon it, that one hath usually been termed Cathedral, according to the same sense wherein Ignatius, speaking of the Church of Antioch, termeth it his Throne; and Cyprian, making mention of Cypr. Ep. 49. Evaristus, who had been Bishop and was now deposed, termeth him *Cathedræ extorrem*, one that was thrust besides

* "Ubi Ecclesiastici ordinis non est consessus, et offert et tingit Sacerdos qui est ibi solus." Tertull. exhort. ad castit. ["This expression is quite different in all the best manuscripts, and most correct editions; "Ubi Ecclesiastici ordinis non est concessus, et offers, et tinguis, et Sacerdos es tibi solus." Cap. 7. CAMPBELL's *Lect. on Eccl. Hist.* Edit. 1815. 8vo. vol. i. Lect. vii. p. 236. After "solus" follow, in Tertullian, the words, "Sed ubi tres, ecclesia est, licet laici."]

his Chair. The Church where the Bishop is set with his College of Presbyters about him we call a See; the local compass of his authority we term a Diocess. Unto a Bishop within the compass of his own both See and Diocess, it hath by right of his place evermore appertained to ordain Presbyters, to make Deacons, and with judgment to dispose of all things of weight.* The Apostle St. Paul had Episcopal Authority, but so at large, that we cannot assign unto him any one certain Diocess. His† positive Orders and Constitutions Churches every where did obey. Yea, “a charge and a care (saith he) I have even of all the Churches.”‡ The walks of Titus and Timothy were limited within the bounds of a narrow precinct: as for other Bishops, that which Chrysostom hath concerning them, if they be evil, could not possibly agree unto them, unless their Authority had reached farther than to some one only Congregation: “The danger being so great, as it is, to him that scandalizeth one soul, what shall he (saith Chrysostom, speaking of a Bishop), what shall he deserve, by whom so many souls, yea, even whole cities and peoples, men, women, and children, citizens, peasants, inhabitants, both of his own city, and of other towns subject unto it, are offended?” A thing so unusual it was for a Bishop not to have ample Jurisdiction, that Theophilus, Patriarch of Alexandria, for making one a Bishop of a small town, is noted as a proud despiser of the commendable Orders of the Church with this censure, “Such novelties Theophilus presumed every where to begin, taking upon him, as it had been another Moses.” Whereby is discovered also their error, who think, that such as in Ecclesiastical writings they find termed *Chorepiscopos*, were the same in the country which the Bishop was in the city: whereas the old *Chorepiscopi* are they that were appointed of the Bishops to have, as his Vicegerents, some oversight of those Churches abroad, which were subject unto his See; in which Churches they had also power to make Sub-deacons, Readers, and such like petty Church-officers. With which power so stinted, they not contenting

2 Cor.
xi. 28.

Chrys.
in l. ad
Tit.

Pallad.
in Vita
Chrys.

* Conc. Antioch. can. 9. Ἀκλήτους δὲ Ἐπισκόπους ὑπὲρ Διοίκησιν μὴ ἐπιβαίνειν, οὐδὲ χειροτονίᾳ ἢ τισιν ἄλλαις οἰκονομίαις ἐκκλησιαστικαῖς. Conc. Const. can. 2. Τοῦτο γὰρ πρότερον διὰ τοὺς διαγμοὺς ἐγένετο ἀδιαφόρως. Socr. lib. v. cap. 8.

† “As I have ordained in the Churches of Galatia, the same do ye also.” 1 Cor. xvi. 1.

‡ [He had but a conjoint care of “all;” and an Apostolic care of all those Churches which he was instrumental in planting.]

themselves, but adventuring at the length to ordain even Deacons and Presbyters also, as the Bishop himself did, their presumption herein was controlled and stayed by the ancient edict of Councils. For example, that of Antioch, Concil. Antioch, can. 10. "It hath seemed good to the holy Synod, that such in towns and countries as are called *Chorepiscopi* do know their limits, and govern the Churches under them, contenting themselves with the charge thereof, and with authority to make Readers, Sub-deacons, Exorcists, and to be leaders or guiders of them; but not to meddle with the Ordination either of a Presbyter or of a Deacon, without the Bishop of that city, whereunto the *Chorepiscopus* and his territory also is subject." The same Synod appointeth likewise that those *Chorepiscopi* shall be made by none but the Bishop of that city under which they are. Much might hereunto be added, if it were further needful to prove, that the local compass of a Bishop's Authority and Power was never so straitly listed, as some men would have the world to imagine. But to go forward; degrees there are, and have been of old, even amongst Bishops also themselves; one sort of Bishops being superiors unto Presbyters only, another sort having pre-eminence also above Bishops. It cometh here to be considered in what respect inequality of Bishops was thought at the first a thing expedient for the Church, and what odds there hath been between them, by how much the Power of one hath been larger, higher, and greater than of another. Touching the causes for which it hath been esteemed meet that Bishops themselves should not every way be equals; they are the same for which the wisdom both of God and man hath evermore approved it as most requisite that where many governors must of necessity concur, for the ordering of the same affairs, of what nature soever they be, one should have some kind of sway or stroke more than all the residue. For where number is there must be order, or else of force there will be confusion. Let there be divers agents, of whom each hath his private inducements with resolute purpose to follow them (as each may have), unless in this case some had pre-eminence above the rest, a chance it were, if ever any thing should be either begun, proceeded in, or brought unto any conclusion by them; deliberations and councils would seldom go forward, their meetings would always be

in danger to break up with jars and contradictions. In an Army, a number of Captains, all of equal power, without some higher to oversway them; what good would they do? In all nations where a number are to draw any one way, there must be some one principal mover. Let the practice of our very adversaries themselves herein be considered; are the Presbyteries able to determine of Church-affairs, unless their Pastors do strike the chiefest stroke, and have power above the rest? Can their pastoral Synod do any thing, unless they have some President amongst them? In Synods, they are forced to give one Pastor pre-eminence and superiority above the rest. But they answer, That he, who being a Pastor according to the order of their Discipline is for the time some little deal mightier than his brethren, doth not continue so longer than only during the Synod. Which answer serveth not to help them out of the briers; for, by their practice they confirm our principle, touching the necessity of one man's pre-eminence wheresoever a concurrency of many is required unto any one solemn action: this, Nature teacheth, and this they cannot choose but acknowledge. As for the change of his person to whom they give this pre-eminence, if they think it expedient to make for every Synod a new superior, there is no Law of God which bindeth them so to [do]; neither any that telleth them, that they might suffer one and the same man being made President, even to continue so during life, and to leave his pre-eminence unto his successors after him, as, by the ancient order of the Church, Archbishops, Presidents amongst Bishops, have used to do. The ground therefore of their pre-eminence above Bishops, is the necessity of often concurrency of many Bishops about the public affairs of the Church: as, consecrations of Bishops, consultations of remedy of general disorders, audience judicial, when the actions of any Bishop should be called in question, or appeals are made from his sentence by such as think themselves wronged. These, and the like affairs, usually requiring that many Bishops should orderly assemble, begin, and conclude somewhat; it hath seemed, in the eyes of reverend antiquity, a thing most requisite, that the Church should not only have Bishops, but even amongst Bishops some to be in Authority chiefest. Unto which purpose the very state of the whole world, immediately

before Christianity took place, doth seem by the special providence of God to have been prepared. For we must know, that the countries where the Gospel was first planted, were for the most part subject to the Roman empire. The Romans' use was commonly, when by war they had subdued foreign nations, to make them Provinces, that is, to place over them Roman Governors, such as might order them according to the Laws and Customs of Rome. And, to the end that all things might be the more easily and orderly done, a whole country being divided into sundry parts, there was in each part some one city, whereinto they about did resort for justice. Every such part was termed a Diocess.* Howbeit, the name *Diocess* is sometime so generally taken, that it containeth not only mo [more] such parts of a Province, but even moe† Provinces also than one; as, the Diocess of Asia contained eight, the Diocess of Africa seven. Touching Diocesses according unto a stricter sense, whereby they are taken for part of a Province, the words of Livy do plainly shew what order the Romans did observe in them. For at what time they had brought the Macedonians into subjection, the Roman Governor, by order from the Senate of Rome, gave charge that Macedonia should be divided into four Regions or Diocesses. “Capita Regionum ubi Concilia fierent, primæ sedis Amphipolim, secundæ Thessalonicen, tertiæ Pellam, quartæ Pelagoniam fecit. Eo, Concilia suæ cujusque regionis indici, pecuniam conferri, ibi Magistratus creari jussit.” This being before the days of the Emperors, by their appointment Thessalonica was afterwards the chiefest, and in it the highest Governor of Macedonia had his seat. Whereupon the other three Diocesses were in that respect inferior unto it, as daughters unto a Mother-city; for not unto every town of justice was that title given, but was peculiar unto those cities wherein principal Courts were kept. Thus in Macedonia the Mother-city was Thessalonica; in Asia, Ephesus;‡ in Africa, Carthage, for so

* “Si quid habebis cum aliquo Hellespontio controversiæ, ut in illam *Διοίκησιν* rejicias.” Cic. Fam. Ep. 53. lib. xiii. The suit which Tully maketh was this, that the party, in whose behalf he wrote to the Proprætor, might have his causes put over to that Court which was held in the Diocess of Hellespont, where the man did abide, and not to his trouble be forced to follow them at Ephesus, which was the chiefest Court in that Province.

† [See Vol. I. p. 3, Note †.]

‡ Cic. ad. Attic. lib. v. Ep. 13. Item, 1. observ. D. de officio Proconsulis et Legati.

Justinian in his time made it.* The Governors, Officers, and inhabitants of these Mother-cities were termed for difference' sake *Metropolitæ*, that is to say, *Mother-city men*; than which nothing could possibly have been devised more fit to suit with the nature of that Form of spiritual Regiment, under which afterward the Church should live.† Wherefore, if the Prophet saw cause to acknowledge unto the Lord, that the light of his gracious providence did shine no where more apparently to the eye, than in preparing the land of Canaan to be a receptacle for that Church which was of old, "Thou hast brought a Vine out of Egypt, thou hast cast out the Heathen and planted it, thou madest room for it, and when it had taken root it filled the land;" how much more ought we to wonder at the handy-work of Almighty God, who to settle the Kingdom of his dear Son, did not cast out any one people, but directed in such sort the politic councils of them who ruled far and wide over all, that they throughout all nations, people, and countries, upon earth, should unwittingly prepare the field wherein the Vine which God did intend, that is to say, the Church of his dearly-beloved Son, was to take root? For unto nothing else can we attribute it, saving only unto the very incomprehensible force of Divine Providence, that the world was in so marvellous fit sort divided, levelled, and laid out before-hand. Whose work could it be but his alone to make such provision for the direct implantation of his Church?‡ Wherefore, inequality of Bishops being found a thing convenient for the Church of God, in such consideration as hath been shewed, when it came secondly in question, which Bishops should be higher and which lower, it seemed herein not to the Civil Monarch only, but to the most, expedient that the dignity and celebrity of Mother-cities should be respected.§ They which dream, that if Civil Authority had not given such pre-eminence unto one city more than another,

Psal.
lxxx.
8. 9.

* "Sancimus, ut sicut Oriens atque Illyricum, ita et Africa prætoriana maxima potestate specialiter a nostra clementia decoretur. Cujus sedem jubemus esse Carthaginem; et ab ea, auxiliante Deo, septem Provinciæ cum suis Judicibus disponantur." Lib. i. Tit. 27. l. 1. sect. 1, 2. Cod. Justin.

† [As no whole nation became Christianized before the Fourth Century, the practice on which this argument is founded could not take effect till then.]

‡ [Is not this a straining of Providence?]

§ Τοὺς καθ' ἐκάστην ἐπαρχίαν Ἐπισκόπους εἰδέναι χρὴ τὸν ἐν τῇ Μητροπόλει προεστῶτα Ἐπίσκοπον, καὶ τὴν φροντίδα ἀναδέχεσθαι πάσης τῆς ἐπαρχίας διὰ τὸ ἐν τῇ Μητροπόλει πανταχόθεν συντρέχειν πάντα τοὺς τὰ πράγματα ἔχοντας, ὅθεν ἔδοξε καὶ τῇ τιμῇ προηγεῖσθαι αὐτόν. Concil. Antiochen. can. 9.

there had never grown an inequality among Bishops are deceived: superiority of one Bishop over another would be requisite in the Church, although that civil distinction were abolished. Other causes having made it necessary, even amongst Bishops, to have some in degree higher than the rest, the Civil dignity of place was considered only as a reason wherefore this Bishop should be preferred before that: which deliberation had been likely enough to have raised no small trouble, but that such was the circumstance of place, as being followed in that choice; besides, the manifest conveniency thereof, took away all show of partiality, prevented secret emulations, and gave no man occasion to think his person disgraced, in that another was preferred before him.*

Thus we see upon what occasion Metropolitan Bishops became Archbishops. Now while the whole Christian world, in a manner, still continued under one Civil Government, there being oftentimes, within some one more large territory, divers and sundry Mother-churches, the Metropolitans whereof were Archbishops, as, for order's sake, it grew hereupon expedient, there should be a difference also among them; so no way seemed, in those times, more fit than to give pre-eminence unto them whose Metropolitan Sees were of special desert or dignity. For which cause these, as being Bishops in the chiefest Mother-churches, were termed Primates, and at the length, by way of excellency, Patriarchs: for ignorant we are not, how sometimes the title of Patriarch is generally given to all Metropolitan Bishops. They are mightily therefore to blame which are so bold and confident, as to affirm that, for the space of above four hundred and thirty years after Christ, all Metropolitan Bishops were in every respect equals, till the second Council of Constantinople exalted certain Metropolitans above the rest. True it is, they were equals, as touching the exercise of Spiritual Power within their Diocesses, when they dealt with their own flock. For what is it that one of them might do within the compass of his own precinct, but another within his might do the same? But that there was no subordination at all of one of them unto another; that when they all, or sundry of them, were

Villicrius
de statu
primi-
tivæ Ec-
clesiæ.

* [No one can justly quarrel with the distinction that age, wisdom, and priority of standing, confer; but these make a very different sort of *Præsides* to those who combine "civil" rank and secular power with such Ecclesiastical rank as the New Testament points out and enjoins: Matt. xxiii. 8—12. Luke xxi. 24—27. Rom. xii. 10.]

to deal in the same causes, there was no difference of first and second in degree, no distinction of higher and lower in Authority acknowledged amongst them, is most untrue. The great Council of Nice was after our Saviour Christ but three hundred [and] twenty-four years, and in that Council certain Metropolitans are said even then to have had ancient pre-eminence and dignity above the rest; namely, the Primate of Alexandria, of Rome, and of Antioch. Threescore years after this, there were Synods [*was a Synod*] under the

Socr. l.
v. c. 8

Emperor Theodosius; which Synod was the first at Constantinople, whereat one hundred and fifty Bishops were assembled: at which Council it was decreed, that the Bishop of Constantinople should not only be added unto the former Primates, but also that his place should be second amongst them, the next to the Bishop of Rome in dignity.

Can. 28. The same decree [was] again renewed concerning Constantinople, and the reason thereof laid open in the Council of

Can. 36. Chalcedon. At the length came that second of Constantinople, whereat were six hundred and thirty Bishops for a third confirmation thereof. Laws Imperial there are likewise extant to the same effect. Herewith the Bishop of Constantinople being overmuch puffed up, not only could not endure that See to be in estimation higher, whereunto his own had preferment to be the next, but he challenged more than ever any Christian Bishop in the world before either had, or with reason could have. What he challenged, and was therein as then refused by the Bishop of Rome, the same the Bishop of Rome in process of time obtained for himself, and, having gotten it by bad means, hath both upheld and augmented it, and upholdeth it by acts and practices much worse.* But Primates, according to their

Novel.
cxxiii,
can. 22.

first institution, were all, in relation unto Archbishops, the same by prerogative which Archbishops were being compared unto Bishops. Before the Council of Nice, albeit there were both Metropolitans and Primates, yet could not this be a means forcible enough to procure the peace of the Church; but all things were wonderful tumultuous and troublesome, by reason of one special practice common unto the Heretics of those times; which was, That when they had been condemned and cast out of the Church by

* [Thus Hooker has brought his argument to a notable situation; it could not but inevitably introduce Popedom. See Note †, p. 113.]

the sentence of their own Bishops, they, contrary to the ancient-received Orders of the Church, had a custom to wander up and down, and to insinuate themselves into favour where they were not known, imagining themselves to be safe enough, and not to be clean cut off from the body of the Church, if they could any where find a Bishop which was content to communicate with them: whereupon ensued, as in that case there needs must, every day quarrels and jars unappeasable amongst Bishops. The Nicene Council, for redress hereof, considered the bounds of every Archbishop's Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction, what they had been in former times; and accordingly appointed unto each grand part of the Christian world some one Primate, from whose judgment no man living within his territory might appeal, unless it were to a Council-general of all Bishops. The drift and purpose of which Order was, that neither any man oppressed by his own particular Bishop might be destitute of a remedy, through appeal unto the more indifferent sentence of some other ordinary Judge; nor yet every man be left at such liberty, as before, to shift himself out of their hands for whom it was most meet to have the hearing and determining of his cause. The evil, for remedy whereof this Order was taken, annoyed at that present especially the Church of Alexandria in Egypt, where Arianism begun: for which cause the state of that Church is in the Nicene Canons, concerning this matter, mentioned before the rest. The words of their sacred Edict are these: "Let those customs remain in force which have been of old the customs of Egypt, and Libya, and Pentapolis; by which customs the Bishop of Alexandria hath Authority over all these; the rather, for that this hath also been the use of the Bishop of Rome, yea, the same hath been kept in Antioch, and in other Provinces." Now, because the custom likewise had been, that great honour should be done to the Bishop of Ælia or Jerusalem; therefore, lest their Decree concerning the Primate of Antioch should any whit prejudice the dignity and honour of that See, special provision is made, that although it were inferior in degree, not only unto Antioch the chief of the East, but even unto Cæsarea too; yet such pre-eminence it should retain as belonged to a Mother-city, and enjoy whatsoever special prerogative or privilege it had besides. Let men, therefore, hereby judge

Conc.
Nic.
can. 6.

Ejused.
Conc.
can. 7.

of what continuance this Order which upholdeth degrees of Bishops must needs have been, when a General Council of three hundred and eighteen Bishops, living themselves within three hundred years after Christ, doth reverence the same for antiquity's sake, as a thing which had been even then of old observed in the most renowned parts of the Christian world. Wherefore, needless altogether are those vain and wanton demands, "No mention of an Archbishop in Theophilus Bishop of Antioch? None in Ignatius? None in Clemens of Alexandria? None in Justin Martyr, Irenæus, Tertullian, Cyprian? None in all those old Historiographers, out of which Eusebius gathereth his story? None till the time of the Council of Nice, three hundred and twenty years after Christ?"* As if the mention, which is thereof made in that very Council where so many Bishops acknowledge Archiepiscopal Dignity even then ancient, were not of far more weight and value than if every of those Fathers had written large discourses thereof. But what is it which they will blush at who dare so confidently set it down, that in the Council of Nice some Bishops being termed Metropolitans, no more difference is thereby meant to have been between one Bishop and another than is shewed between one Minister and another, when we say such a one is a Minister in the city of London, and such a one a Minister in the town of Newington?† So that, to be termed a Metropolitan Bishop did, in their conceit, import no more pre-eminence above other Bishops, than we mean that a girdler hath over others of the same trade, if we term him which doth inhabit some Mother-city for difference' sake a Metropolitan girdler. But the truth is too manifest to be so deluded;‡ a Bishop at that time had Power in his

* "What! no mention of him in Theophilus Bishop of Antioch? none in Ignatius? none in Clemens Alexandrinus? none in Justin Martyr? in Irenæus, in Tertullian, in Origen, in Cyprian? in those old Historiographers, out of which Eusebius gathereth his story? Was it for his baseness and smallness that he could not be seen amongst the Bishops, Elders, and Deacons, being the chief and principal of them all? Can the cedar of Lebanon be hid amongst the box-trees?" T. C. lib. i. p. 92.

† "A Metropolitan Bishop was nothing else but a Bishop of that place which it pleased the Emperor or Magistrate to make the chief city of the Diocess or Shire; and as for this name, it maketh no more difference between Bishop and Bishop, than when I say a Minister of London and a Minister of Newington." T. C. lib. i. p. 92.

‡ [*Frustrated.*]

"I have sought,
To have the Word of God *deluded* utterly;
Oh, for sorrow! yet it will not be."

Lusty Juventus, an Interlude by ABR. VEALE, temp. ELIZ.]

own Diocess over all other Ministers there, and a Metropolitan Bishop sundry pre-eminences above other Bishops, one of which pre-eminences was, in the Ordination of Bishops, to have *κῦρος τῶν γινομένων*, *the chief Power of ordering all things done*. Which pre-eminence that Council itself doth mention,* as also a greater belonging unto the Patriarch or Primate of Alexandria, concerning whom it is there likewise said, that to him did belong *ἐξουσία, authority and power over all Egypt, Pentapolis, and Libya*: within which compass sundry Metropolitan Sees to have been, there is no man ignorant, which in those antiquities have any knowledge. Certain prerogatives there are wherein Metropolitans excelled other Bishops, certain also wherein Primates excelled other Metropolitans.† Archiepiscopal or Metropolitan prerogatives are those mentioned in old Imperial Constitutions, to convocate the holy Bishops under them, within the compass of their own Provinces, when need required their meeting together for inquisition and redress of public disorders; to grant unto Bishops under

Novel.
cxxiii.
can. 10.

cxxiii.
can. 9.

* Conc. Nicen. can. 6. "Illud autem omnino manifestum, quod si quis absque Metropolitanis sententia factus sit Episcopus, hunc magna Synodus definivit Episcopum esse non oportere." Can. 4.

† ["The Churches, in those early times, were entirely Independent; none of them subject to any foreign jurisdiction, but each one governed by its own Rulers and its own Laws. For though the Churches founded by the Apostles had this particular difference shewn them, that they were consulted in difficult and doubtful cases; yet they had no juridical authority, no sort of supremacy over the others, nor the least right to enact laws for them. Nothing, on the contrary, is more evident than the perfect equality that reigned among the Primitive Churches; nor does there even appear in this First Century, the smallest trace of that association of provincial Churches, from which Councils and *Metropolitans* derive their origin. . . . These Councils, of which we find not the smallest trace before the middle of this (Second) Century, changed the whole face of the Church, and gave it a new form; for by them the ancient privileges of the people were considerably diminished, and the power and authority of the Bishops greatly augmented . . . At their first appearance in these general Councils, they acknowledged that they were no more than the Delegates of their respective Churches, and that they acted in the name, and by the appointment of their people. But they soon changed this humble tone, imperceptibly extended the limits of their authority, turned their influence into Dominion, and their counsels into Laws; and openly asserted, at length, that Christ had empowered them to prescribe to his people authoritative Rules of Faith and Manners. Another effect of these Councils was, the gradual abolition of that perfect equality, which reigned among all Bishops in the Primitive times. For the order and decency of these assemblies required, that some one of the provincial Bishops met in Council, should be invested with a superior degree of power and authority; and hence the rights of Metropolitans derive their origin. In the mean time, the bounds of the Church were enlarged; the custom of holding Councils was followed wherever the sound of the Gospel had reached; and the universal Church had now the appearance of one vast republic, formed by a combination of a great number of little states. This occasioned the creation of a new order of Ecclesiastics, who were appointed, in different parts of the world, as Heads of the Church, and whose office it was to preserve the consistence and union of that immense body, whose members were so widely dispersed throughout the nations. Such was the nature and office of the *Patriarchs*, among whom, at length, ambition being arrived at its most insolent period, formed a new dignity, investing the Bishop of Rome and his successors, with the title and authority of *Prince of the Patriarchs*." MOSHERM, *Ecc. Hist.* Cent. i. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 14. and Cent. ii. Part II. chap. ii. sect. 3.]

lxxix.
can. 2.

cxix.
can. 22.

cxix.
can. 23.

Can. 9.

Can. 16.

Can. 4.
τὸ κῶ-
ρος τῶν
γινο-
μένων.

Can. 23.

Can. 24.

Cassiod.
in Vita
Chry-
sost.

them leave and faculty of absence from their own Diocesses, when it seemed necessary that they should elsewhere converse for some reasonable while; to give notice unto Bishops under them of things commanded by supreme authority; to have the hearing and first determining of such causes as any man had against a Bishop; to receive the appeals of the inferior Clergy, in case they found themselves overborne by the Bishop, their immediate Judge. And lest happily it should be imagined that Canons Ecclesiastical we want to make the self-same thing manifest; in the Council of Antioch it was thus decreed, “The Bishops in every Province must know, that he which is Bishop in the Mother-city hath not only charge of his own Parish or Diocess, but even of the whole Province also.” Again: “It hath seemed good, that other Bishops without him should do nothing more than only that which concerneth each one’s Parish, and the places underneath it.” Further, by the self-same Council all Councils Provincial are reckoned void and frustrate, unless the Bishop of the Mother-city within that Province where such Councils should be, were present at them. So that the want of his presence, and, in Canons for Church-government, want of his approbation also, did disannul them: not so the want of any others. Finally, concerning elections of Bishops, the Council of Nice hath this general Rule, That the chief ordering of all things here, is in every Province committed to the Metropolitan. Touching them, who amongst Metropolitans were also Primates, and had of sundry united Provinces, the chiefest Metropolitan See, of such that Canon in the Council of Carthage was eminent, whereby a Bishop is forbidden to go beyond seas without the licence of the highest Chair within the same Bishop’s own country; and of such which beareth the name of Apostolical,* is that ancient Canon likewise, which chargeth the Bishops of each *Nation* to know him which is *First* amongst them, and to esteem of him as an *Head*, and to do no extraordinary thing but with his leave. The chief Primates of the Christian world were the Bishop of Rome, Alexandria, and Antioch. To whom the Bishop of Constantinople being afterwards added, St. Chrysostom the Bishop of that

* [“To quote the Apostolic Canons would bring on me severe ridicule, though, whatever opinion may be entertained of their genuineness, the greater part cannot be later than the Fourth Century.” *Episcopacy considered with reference to the modern Popular Societies*. 1820. Edit. 2. 8vo. p. 30. See Hooker, Vol. II. p. 227, Note.]

See is in that respect said to have had the care and charge not only of the city of Constantinople, “sed etiam totius Thraciæ, quæ sex Præfecturis est divisa, et Asiæ totius, quæ ab undecim Præsilibus regitur.” The rest of the East was under Antioch, the South under Alexandria, and the West under Rome. Whereas, therefore, John the Bishop of Jerusalem being noted of Heresy, had written an Apology for himself unto the Bishop of Alexandria, named Theophilus; St. Jerome reproveth his breach of the Order of the Church herein, saying, “Tu, qui regulas quæris Ecclesiasticas, et Niceni Concilii Canonibus uteris, responde mihi, ad Alexandrinum Episcopum Palæstina quid pertinet? Ni fallor, hoc ibi decernitur, ut Palæstinæ Metropolis Cæsarea sit, et totius Orientis Antiochia. Aut igitur ad Cæsariensem Episcopum referre debueras; aut si procul expectandum judicium erat, Antiochiam potius literæ dirigendæ.” Thus much concerning that local compass which was anciently set out to Bishops; within the bounds and limits whereof we find, that they did accordingly exercise that Episcopal Authority and Power which they had over the Church of Christ.*

9. The first whom we read to have bent themselves against the Superiority of Bishops were Aërius and his followers. Aërius seeking to be made a Bishop, could not brook that Eustathius was thereunto preferred before him. Whereas therefore he saw himself unable to rise to that greatness which his ambitious pride did affect, his way of revenge was to try what wit, being sharp'ned with envy and malice, could do in raising a new seditious opinion, That the Superiority which Bishops had was a thing which they should not have; that a Bishop might not Ordain; and that a Bishop ought not any way to be distinguished from a Presbyter.† For so doth St. Augustine deliver the opinion of Aërius. Epiphanius not so plainly nor so directly, but after a more rhetorical sort. His speech was rather furious than convenient for man to use: “What is (saith he) a Bishop

Hieron.
ep. 91.

In what
respects
Episco-
pal Re-
giment
hath
been
gainsay-
ed of
old by
Aerius.

Aug. de
Hær. ad
Quod
vult
deum.

* [“If there be a necessity of setting up one Bishop over many Presbyters for preventing Schisma, there is as great a necessity of setting up one Archbishop over many Bishops, and one Patriarch over many Archbishops, and one Pope over all; unless men will imagine, that there is a danger of Schism only among Presbyters and not among Bishops and Archbishops; which is contrary to reason, truth, history, and our own experience.” SMECTYMNUUS. 1641. p. 30. See *ut sup.* Note *, p. 90.]

† “Aeriani ab Aerio quodam sunt nominati; qui quum esset Presbyter, doluisse fertur, quod Episcopus non potest ordinari. Dicebat Episcopum a Presbytero nulla ratione debere discerni.” Aug. de Hær.

more than a Presbyter? The one doth differ from the other nothing. For their Order is one, their Honour one, one their Dignity. A Bishop imposeth his hands, so doth a Presbyter. A Bishop baptizeth, the like doth a Presbyter. The Bishop is a Minister of divine Service, a Presbyter is the same. The Bishop sitteth as judge in a throne, even the Presbyter sitteth also. A Presbyter therefore doing thus far the self-same thing which a Bishop did, it was by Aërius enforced, that they ought not in any thing to differ." Are we to think Aërius had wrong in being judged an Heretic for holding this opinion? Surely, if Heresy be an error falsely fathered upon Scriptures, but indeed repugnant to the truth of the Word of God, and by the consent of the universal Church in the Councils, or in her contrary uniform practice throughout the whole world, declared to be such; and the opinion of Aërius in this point be a plain error of that nature, there is no remedy, but Aërius, so schismatically and stiffly maintaining it, must even stand where Epiphanius and Augustine have placed him. An error repugnant unto the truth of the Word of God is held by them, whosoever they be, that stand in defence of any conclusion drawn erroneously out of Scripture, and untruly thereon fathered. The opinion of Aërius therefore being falsely collected out of Scripture, must needs be acknowledged an error repugnant unto the truth of the Word of God.* His opinion was, that there ought not to be any difference between a Bishop and a Presbyter. His grounds and reasons for his opinion were sentences of Scripture. Under pretence of which sentences, whereby it seemed that Bishops and Presbyters at the first did not differ, it was concluded by Aërius, that the Church did ill in permitting any difference to be made. The answer which Epiphanius maketh unto some part of the proofs by Aërius alleged, was not greatly studied or laboured; for through a contempt of so base an error (for this himself did perceive and profess)

* ["Indeed were we to determine things by importance of words, and things signified by them, the Power of Ordination was proper to the name Presbyter, and not Bishop, because the former name did then (in the Primitive Churches) import that Power, and not the latter." Thus the learned Bishop Stillingfleet strenuously supports the lawfulness and validity of Ordination by Presbyters; and gives his deliberate opinion in favour of Medina's judgment, that "Jerome, Austin, Ambrose, Sedulius, Primasius, Chrysostom, Theodoret, and Theophylact, were all of Aërius's judgment as to the identity of both Name and Order of Bishops and Presbyters in the Primitive Church." See STILLINGFLEET, *Irenicum*. Part II. chap. vi. sect. 11.]

yieldeth he thereof expressly this reason, Men that have wit do evidently see that all this is mere foolishness. But how vain and ridiculous soever his opinion seemed unto wise men, with it Aërius deceived many;* for which cause somewhat was convenient to be said against it. And in that very extemporal slightness which Epiphanius there useth, albeit the answer made to Aërius be in part but raw,† yet ought not hereby the truth to find any less favour than in other causes it doth, where we do not therefore judge Heresy to have the better, because now and then it allegeth that for itself, which Defenders of Truth do not always so fully answer. Let it therefore suffice, that Aërius did bring nothing unanswerable. The weak solutions which the one doth give, are to us no prejudice against the cause, as long as the other's oppositions are of no greater strength and validity. Did not Aërius, trow ye, deserve to be esteemed as a new Apollos, “mighty and powerful in the Word,” [Acts xviii. 24.] which could for maintenance of his cause bring forth so plain divine authorities, to prove by the Apostles' own writings that Bishops ought not in any thing to differ from other Presbyters? For example, where it is said that Presbyters made Timothy Bishop, is it not clear that a Bishop should not differ from a Presbyter, by having Power of Ordination?‡ Again, if a Bishop might by Order be distinguished from a Presbyter, would the Apostle have given as he doth unto Presbyters the title of Bishops?§ These were the invincible demonstrations wherewith Aërius did so fiercely assault Bishops. But the sentence of Aërius perhaps was only, That the difference between a Bishop and a Presbyter hath grown by the Order and Custom of the Church, the Word of God not appointing that any such difference should be. Well, let Aërius then find the favour to have

* *Ἐν τούτῳ πολλοὺς ἠπάτησε.* [Epiph. Hær. lxxv. 3.]

† As in that he saith, the Apostle doth name sometime Presbyters and not Bishops, 1 Tim. iv. 14, sometime Bishops and not Presbyters, Phil. i. 1, because all Churches had not both, for want of able and sufficient men. In such Churches, therefore, as had but the one, the Apostle could not mention the other. Which answer is nothing to the latter place above-mentioned: for that the Church of Philippi should have more Bishops than one, and want a few able men to be Presbyters under the Regiment of one Bishop, how shall we think it probable or likely?

‡ 1 Tim. iv. 14. “With the imposition of the Presbytery's hands.” Of which Presbytery St. Paul was chief, [?] 2 Tim. i. 6. And I think no man will deny that St. Paul had more than a simple Presbyter's Authority.

§ Phil. i. 1. “To all the Saints at Philippi, with the Bishops and Deacons.” For as yet in the Church of Philippi, there was no one which had Authority besides the Apostles, but their Presbyters or Bishops were all both in Title and in Power equal.

his sentence so construed; yet his fault in condemning the Order of the Church, his not submitting himself unto that Order, the schism which he caused in the Church about it, who can excuse? No, the truth is, that these things did even necessarily ensue, by force of the very opinion which he and his followers did hold. His conclusion was, That there ought to be no difference between a Presbyter and a Bishop. His proofs, those Scripture-sentences which make mention of Bishops and Presbyters without any such distinction or difference. So that if between his conclusion and the proofs whereby he laboured to strengthen the same, there be any shew of coherence at all, we must of necessity confess, that when Aërius did plead, There is by the Word of God no difference between a Presbyter and a Bishop; his meaning was, not only that the Word of God itself appointeth not, but that it enforceth on us the duty of not appointing, nor allowing, that any such difference should be made.

In what respects Episcopal Regiment is gain-sayed by the authors of pretended reformation at this day.

10. And of the self-same mind are the enemies of Government by Bishops, even at this present day. They hold, as Aërius did, that if Christ and his Apostles were obeyed, a Bishop should not be permitted to Ordain; that between a Presbyter and a Bishop the Word of God alloweth not any inequality or difference to be made; that their Order, their Authority, their Power, ought to be one; that it is but by usurpation and corruption that the one sort are suffered to have rule of the other, or to be any way superior unto them. Which opinion having now so many Defenders, shall never be able while the world doth stand to find in some, believing antiquity, as much as one which hath given it countenance, or borne any friendly affection towards it.* Touching these men, therefore, whose desire is to have all equal, three ways there are whereby they usually oppugn the received Order of the Church of Christ. First, by disgracing the inequality of Pastors, as a new and mere human invention, a thing which was never drawn out of Scripture, where all Pastors are found (they say) to have one and the same Power both of Order and Jurisdiction: secondly, by gathering together the differences between that Power which we give to Bishops, and that which was given them of old in the Church; so that, albeit even the ancient took more

* [See Note, p. 142.]

than was warrantable, yet so far they swerved not as ours have done: thirdly, by endeavouring to prove, that the Scripture directly forbiddeth, and that the judgment of the wisest, the holiest, the best, in all ages, condemneth utterly the inequality which we allow.

11. That inequality of Pastors is a mere human invention, a thing not found in the Word of God, they prove thus:

i. "All the places of Scripture where the word *Bishop* is used, or any other derived of that name, signify an oversight in respect of some particular Congregation only, and never in regard of Pastors committed unto his oversight. For which cause the names of Bishops, and Presbyters, or Pastoral Elders, are used indifferently, to signify one and the self-same thing. Which so indifferent and common use of these words for one and the self-same Office, so constantly and perpetually in all places, declareth that the word *Bishop* in the Apostles' writing importeth not a Pastor of higher Power and Authority over other Pastors."

ii. "All Pastors are called to their Office by the same means of proceeding; the Scripture maketh no difference in the manner of their Trial, Election, Ordination: which proveth their Office and Power to be by Scripture all one."

iii. "The Apostles were all of equal Power; and all Pastors do alike succeed the Apostles in their Ministry and Power, the commission and authority whereby they succeed being in Scripture but one and the same that was committed to the Apostles, without any difference of committing to one Pastor more, or to another less."

iv. "The Power of the Censures and Keys of the Church, and of Ordaining and Ordering Ministers (in which two points especially this Superiority is challenged), is not committed to any one Pastor of the Church more than to another; but the same is committed as a thing to be carried equally in the guidance of the Church. Whereby it appeareth, that Scripture maketh all Pastors, not only in the Ministry of the Word and Sacraments, but also in all Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction and Authority, equal."

v. "The Council of Nice doth attribute this difference not unto any Ordination of God, but to an ancient Custom used in former times, which judgment is also followed afterward by other Councils: Concil. Antioch. cap. 9."

vi. Upon these premises, their summary collection and

Their arguments in disgrace of Regiment by Bishops, as being a mere invention of man, and not found in Scripture, answered.

Titus i. 5.
1 Tim. iii. 5.
Phil. i. 1.
1 Pet. v. 1, 2.

[T. C.
lib. i.
p. 93.]

conclusion is, “That the Ministry of the Gospel, and the functions thereof, ought to be from Heaven and of God (John i. 23.); that if they be of God, and from Heaven, then are they set down in the Word of God;* that if they be not in the Word of God (as by the premises it doth appear (they say) that our kind of Bishops are not), it followeth, they are ‘invented by the brain of men,’ and are of the earth, and that consequently they can do no good in the Church of Christ, but harm.”

Answer. Our answer hereunto is, first, That their proofs are unavailable to shew that Scripture affordeth no evidence for the inequality of Pastors: secondly, That albeit the Scripture did no way insinuate the same to be God’s Ordinance, and the Apostles to have brought it in, albeit the Church were acknowledged by all men to have been the first beginner thereof a long time after the Apostles were gone; yet is not the Authority of Bishops hereby disannulled, it is not hereby proved unfit or unprofitable for the Church.

Acts
i. 20.

i. That the Word of God doth acknowledge no inequality of Power amongst Pastors of the Church; neither doth it appear by the signification of this word *Bishop*, nor by the indifferent use thereof. For, concerning signification, first, it is clearly untrue that no other thing is thereby signified but only an oversight in respect of a particular Church and Congregation. For, I beseech you, of what Parish or particular Congregation was Matthias Bishop? His Office Scripture doth term Episcopal: which being no other than was common unto all the Apostles of Christ, forasmuch as in that number there is not any to whom the oversight of many Pastors did not belong by force and virtue of that Office; it followeth that the very word doth sometimes, even in Scripture, signify an oversight such as includeth charge over Pastors themselves. And if we look to the use of the word, being applied with reference unto some one Church, as Ephesus, Philippi, and such like, albeit the Guides of those Churches be interchangeably in Scripture termed sometime Bishops, sometime Presbyters, to signify men having oversight and charge, without relation at all unto

* “So that it appeareth that the Ministry of the Gospel, and the functions thereof, ought to be from Heaven: . . . from Heaven, I say, and heavenly, because although it be executed by earthly men, and Ministers are chosen also by men like unto themselves, yet because it is done by the Word and Institution of God, it may well be accounted to come from Heaven and from God.” T. C. lib. i. p. 83.

other than the Christian Laity alone; yet this doth not hinder, but that Scripture may in some place have other names, whereby certain of those Presbyters or Bishops are noted to have the oversight and charge of Pastors, as out of all peradventure they had whom St. John doth entitle **Angels.*** Rev.
ii. 1.

ii. As for those things which the Apostle hath set down concerning Trial, Election, and Ordination of Pastors, that he maketh no difference in the manner of their calling; this also is but a silly argument to prove their Office and their Power equal by the Scripture. The Form of admitting each sort unto their offices, needed no particular instruction: there was no fear, but that such matters of course would easily enough be observed. The Apostle, therefore, toucheth those things wherein judgment, wisdom, and conscience is [*sic*] required; he carefully admonisheth of what quality Ecclesiastical persons should be, that their dealing might not be scandalous in the Church. And, forasmuch as those things are general, we see that of Deacons there are delivered, in a manner, the self-same precepts which are given concerning Pastors, so far as concerneth their Trial, Election, and Ordination. Yet who doth hereby collect that Scripture maketh Deacons and Pastors equal? If notwithstanding it be yet demanded, "Wherefore he which teacheth what kind of persons Deacons and Presbyters should be, hath nothing in particular about the quality of Chief-Presbyters, whom we call Bishops?" I answer briefly, that there it was no fit place for any such discourse to be made, inasmuch as the Apostle wrote unto Timothy and Titus, who, having by commission Episcopal Authority, were to exercise the same in ordaining, not Bishops (the Apostles themselves yet living, and retaining that power in their own hands) but Presbyters, such as the Apostles at the first did create throughout all Churches.† Bishops by restraint (only James at Jerusalem excepted) were not yet in being.‡

* [See Note * p. 103.]

† [There is so much equivocation, if not direct contradiction, in this sentence, that any attempt at explication might serve but to injure its effect on perusal, after approach made to it by regular progression.]

‡ ["The same man is called a Bishop, Tit. i. 7, who is called an Elder in ver. 5. If they were distinct Officers Paul neglected to describe one of them, which is not credible, when he describeth Deacons and Deaconesses. Dr. Hammond confesseth that there were in Scripture-times no Subject-Presbyters, save Bishops, that were under the Apostolic Order; but he thinks that Bishops had power from the Apostles to institute another Order of Presbyters under them afterwards. But, where is there any proof of that? . . . It is thus disproved: Paul giveth Timothy

iii. About equality amongst the Apostles there is by us no controversy moved. If in the rooms of the Apostles, which were of equal Authority, all Pastors do by Scripture succeed alike, where shall we find a commission in Scripture which they speak of, which appointed all to succeed in the self-same equality of Power? except that commission which doth authorize to preach and baptize should be alleged, which maketh nothing to the purpose; for in such things all Pastors are still equal. We must, I fear me, wait very long before any other will be shewed. For howsoever the Apostles were equals amongst themselves, all other Pastors were not equals with the Apostles while they lived, neither are they any where appointed to be afterward each other's equal. Apostles had, as we know, Authority over all such as were no Apostles; by force of which their Authority they might both command and judge. It was for the singular good and benefit of those Disciples whom Christ left behind him, and of the Pastors which were afterwards chosen; for the great good, I say, of all sorts, that the Apostles were in Power above them. Every day brought forth somewhat wherein they saw by experience, how much it stood them in stead to be under controlment of those Superiors and higher Governors of God's House. Was it a thing so behoveful that Pastors should be subject unto Pastors in the Apostles' own times; and is there any commandment that this subjection should cease with them, and that the Pastors of the succeeding ages should be all equals? No, no, this strange and absurd conceit of equality amongst Pastors (the mother of schism and of confusion) is but a dream newly brought forth, and seen never in the Church before.*

and Titus sufficient instructions what Officers to Ordain in the Church; which Canons were to be a guide to all after-ages. But Paul gave them no instruction or Canon for the instituting of any new Order between Bishops (or Elders,) and Deacons. Therefore it is not credible that any such power was then given to other Bishops, which he gave not to Timothy and Titus. But as to others, who say that the Apostles and Evangelists were then the only Bishops, I answer, *de re*, we confess that these had power to go about to gather and settle Churches; and *de nomine*, whether such may be called Bishops, let them quarrel about that have nothing else to do. But besides them, every town or Church had then their own fixed Bishop, one or more, and Deacons. If Diocesans or Metropolitans will be Successors of the Itinerant Apostles and Evangelists, or General Bishops, let them restore to every Church their particular proper Bishops, and not make Pastors that have not the power of the Keys. As for them that say, Paul includeth both Orders under the same names, Bishops and Presbyters; I answer, Paul useth not only the same name, but the same description, and so the Order or Office also must be the same; and both name and thing the same. Bishops are God's Stewards, entrusted to govern by his Law; and not Lords of his Church, or of their Faith." BAXTER's *Paraph. on New Test.* 1695. 8vo. 2d Edit. in Tit. i. 7.]

* [See the preceding Note.]

iv. Power of Censure and Ordination appeareth even by Scripture marvellous probable to have been derived from Christ to his Church, without this surmised equality in them to whom he hath committed the same. For I would know, whether Timothy and Titus were commanded by St. Paul to do any thing more than Christ hath authorized Pastors to do? And to the one it is Scripture which saith, “Against a Presbyter receive *thou* no accusation, saving under two or three witnesses;” Scripture which likewise hath said to the other, “For this very cause left I *thee* in Crete, that *thou* shouldst redress the things that remain, and shouldst *ordain* Presbyters in every city, as I appointed *thee*.” In the former place the power of Censure is spoken of, and the power of Ordination in the latter. Will they say, that every Pastor there was equal to Timothy and Titus in these things? If they do, the Apostle himself is against it, who saith, that of their two very persons he had made choice, and appointed in those places them for performances of those duties: whereas, if the same had belonged unto others no less than to them, and not principally unto them above others, it had been fit for the Apostle accordingly to have directed his Letters concerning these things in general unto them all which had equal interest in them; even as it had been likewise fit to have written those Epistles in St. John’s Revelation, unto whole Ecclesiastical Senates, rather than only unto the Angels of each Church, had not some one been above the rest in Authority to order the affairs of the Church. Scripture, therefore, doth most probably make for the inequality of Pastors, even in all Ecclesiastical affairs, and by very express mention, as well in Censures, as Ordinations.*

* [It is difficult to imagine otherwise than that Hooker did not feel at ease just here. He would willingly have dogmatized, but had misgivings: hence his cautious commencement, “marvellous probable;” and even his conclusion rests, in part, on “most probably.” At length, however, he ventures a *dictum*, which he fain would have to be received as decisive. Still inequality of Pastors in Power of Censures and Ordinations is not proved from the places quoted; any more than as in the case of parties deputed to arrange some local circumstances connected with the instituting and pronouncing on the qualifications of matters and persons of their own profession, imports any other superiority than what knowledge and experience confer. It cannot be objected that this case is not applicable because the parties are not invested with the like to Apostolic Authority; for neither Timothy nor Titus could have exercised their Powers, if the Churches had not willingly received them into their communion: New Testament Churches being entirely voluntary Societies, and therefore unlike National Churches over which compulsory power is exercised, derived from a pretended Order which, usurping Spiritual Dominion, erects itself into “a State whereunto the rest of God’s people must be subject.” See Vol. I. p. 260.]

v. In the Nicene Council there are confirmed certain prerogatives and dignities belonging unto Primates or Archbishops, and of them it is said, that the ancient Custom of the Church had been to give them such pre-eminence, but no syllable whereby any man should conjecture that those Fathers did not honour the Superiority which Bishops had over other Pastors only upon ancient Custom, and not as a true Apostolical, Heavenly, and Divine Ordinance.

vi. Now, although we should leave the general-received persuasion held from the first beginning, That the Apostles themselves left Bishops invested with Power above other Pastors; although, I say, we would give over this opinion, and embrace that other conjecture which so many have thought good to follow,* and which myself did sometimes judge a great deal more probable than now I do,† merely That after the Apostles were deceased, Churches did agree amongst themselves, for preservation of peace and order, to make one Presbyter in each city chief over the rest, and to translate into him that Power by force and virtue whereof the Apostles, while they were alive, did preserve and uphold Order in the Church, exercising spiritual Jurisdiction, partly
 Tit. i. 5. by themselves, and partly by Evangelists, because they could not always every where themselves be present: this Order taken by the Church itself (for so let us suppose, that the Apostles did neither by word nor deed appoint it) were notwithstanding more warrantable, than that it should give place and be abrogated, because “the Ministry of the Gospel, and
 [T. C. lib. i. p. 83.] the functions thereof, ought to be from Heaven.” There came Chief-Priests and Elders unto our Saviour Christ as he was teaching in the Temple, and the question which they moved unto him was this, “By what Authority dost thou these things, and who gave thee this authority?” their
 Matt. xxi. 23. question he repelled with a counter-demand, “The Baptism
 [Ver. 25, 26.] of John, whence was it, from Heaven, or of Men?” Hereat

* They of Walden, Æn. Syl. hist. Bohem. [c. 35.] Marsilius, defens. pac. Nicl. Thomas Wald. c. 1. lib. ii. c. 60. Calvin. Com. in 1. ad Tit. Bullinger, Decad. 1. Ser. 3. Juel. Def. Apol. par. 2. c. 9. di. 1. Fulk. Answ. to the Test. Tit. i. 5.

† [Be it noticed, that from this phraseology Hooker was still undecided respecting the original of prelatical Institution. The mode of reasoning he adopts in this Paragraph is fallacious and dangerous, for it will justify taking from, as well as what Hooker here contends for, adding to, the Order of New Testament Church-government. “Discourse of Reason,” and “unrepugnant unto revealed Laws, &c.” (p. 152) are phrases that amount to nothing on this subject, because the questions are always returning, What is Reason? What is Unrepugnancy? Hence there is no safety but in close adherence to the sublime simplicity of the organization of New Testament Churches.]

they paused, secretly disputing within themselves, “If we shall say, From Heaven, he will ask, Wherefore did ye not then believe him? and if we say, Of men, we fear the people, for all hold John a Prophet.” What is it now which here-upon these men would infer? That all functions Ecclesiastical ought in such sort to be from Heaven, as the function of John was? No such matter here contained. Nay, doth not the contrary rather appear most plainly by that which is here set down? For when our Saviour doth ask concerning the Baptism, that is to say, the whole spiritual function of John, whether it were “from Heaven, or of men,” he giveth clearly to understand that Men give authority unto some, and some God himself from Heaven doth authorize. Nor is it said, or in any sort signified, that none have lawful authority which have it not in such manner as John, from Heaven. Again, when the Priests and Elders were loth to say, that John had his calling from “men,” the reason was not because they thought that so John should not have had any good or lawful calling, but because they saw that by this means they should somewhat embase the calling of John; whom all men knew to have been sent from God, according to the manner of Prophets, by a mere celestial vocation. So that out of the evidence here alleged, these things we may directly conclude; first, that whoso doth exercise any kind of Function in the Church, he cannot lawfully so do, except Authority be given him; secondly, that if Authority be not given him from Men, as the Authority of teaching was given unto Scribes and Pharisees, it must be given him from Heaven, as Authority was given unto Christ, Elias, John Baptist, and the Prophets: for these two only ways there are to have Authority. But a strange conclusion it is, God himself did from Heaven authorize John to bear witness of the Light, to prepare a way for the promised Messiah, to publish the nearness of the Kingdom of God, to preach Repentance, and to baptize (for by this part, which was in the function of John most noted, all the rest are together signified); therefore the Church of God hath no power upon new occurrences to appoint, to ordain an Ecclesiastical Function, as Moses did upon Jethro’s advice devise a Civil. All things we grant which are in the Church ought to be of God. But, forasmuch as they may be two ways accompted such; one, if they be of his own institution,

Lib. i.

[T. C.
lib. i.
p. 83.]Rom.
i. 32.
Luke
i. 6.

and not of ours; another, if they be of ours, and yet with his approbation: this latter way there is no impediment, but that the same thing which is of Men, may be also justly and truly said to be of God, the same thing from Heaven which is from earth. Of all good things God himself is author, and consequently an approver of them. The Rule to discern when the actions of men are good, when they are such as they ought to be, is more ample and large than the Law which God hath set particular down in his holy Word; the Scripture is but a part of that Rule, as hath been heretofore at large declared. If therefore all things be of God which are well done; and if all things be well done, which are according unto the Rule of well-doing; and if the Rule of well-doing be more ample than the Scripture; what necessity is there, that every thing which is of God should be set down in Holy Scripture? True it is in things of some one kind, true it is, that what we are now of necessity for ever bound to believe or observe in the special mysteries of salvation, Scripture must needs give notice of it unto the world; yet true it cannot be, touching all things that are of God. Sufficient it is for the proof of lawfulness in any thing done, if we can shew that God approveth it. And of his approbation the evidence is sufficient, if either himself have by Revelation in his Word warranted it, or we by some discourse of Reason find it good of itself, and unrepugnant unto any of his revealed Laws and Ordinances. Wherefore, injurious we are unto God, the author and giver of human capacity, judgment, and wit, when, because of some things wherein he precisely forbiddeth men to use their own inventions, we take occasion to disauthorize and disgrace the works which he doth produce by the hand either of Nature or of Grace in them. We offer contumely, even unto him, when we scornfully reject what we list, without any other exception than this, “The brain of man” hath devised it. Whether we look into the Church or Commonweal, as well in the one as in the other, both the Ordination of Officers, and the very institution of their Offices, may be truly derived from God, and approved of him, although they be not always of him in such sort as those things are which are in Scripture. Doth not the Apostle term the Law of Nature, even as the Evangelist doth the Law of Scripture, *Δικαίωμα τοῦ Θεοῦ*,

God's own righteous Ordinance? The Law of Nature then being his Law, that must needs be of him which it hath directed men unto. Great odds, I grant, there is between things devised by men, although agreeable with the Law of Nature, and things in Scripture set down by the finger of the Holy Ghost. Howbeit the dignity of these is no hinderance, but that those be also reverently accompted of in their place. This much they very well saw, who although not living themselves under this kind of Church Polity, yet being, through some experience, more moderate, grave, and circumspect in their judgment, have given hereof their sounder and better-advised sentence: "That which the holy Fathers (saith Zanchius) have by common consent, without contradiction of Scripture, received, for my part, I neither will, nor dare with good conscience, disallow. And what more certain, than that the ordering of Ecclesiastical persons, one in Authority above another, was received into the Church by the common consent of the Christian world? What am I, that I should take upon me to control the whole Church of Christ in that which is so well known to have been lawfully, religiously, and to notable purpose, instituted?"* Calvin maketh mention even of Primates that have Authority above Bishops: "It was (saith he) the institution of the ancient Church, to the end that the Bishops might, by this bond of concord, continue the faster linked amongst themselves." And, lest any man should think that as well he might allow† the Papacy itself, to prevent this he addeth,

Confess.
169.Epist.
190.

* ["I may not *conceal* this from you, that although Zanchius hath written so modestly of the callings of Archbishops and Bishops, yet he *rather fancied* the new platform of Elder-ships: which Beza omitteth not to put Saravia in mind of, when having yielded to Zanchius his said opinion of Bishops, he addeth other places out of him for his allowance of the Elder-ship, and then concludeth, Si Zanchius asserentis, qua de re contendimus? If you agree with Zanchius, whereabout contend we? Beza cont. Sarav. p. 164." BANCROFT'S *Survey of the pretended Holy Discipline*, Anno 1593. 4to. Edit. 1663. p. 109.]

† ["But whereas every Province had among their Bishops one Archbishop: also in the Nicene Synod there were ordained Patriarchs: . . . The government so ordered many called a Hierarchy; by a name, as I think, unproper, and truly unused in the Scriptures. For the Holy Ghost willed to provide that no man should dream of a Principality, or Dominion, when the government of the Church is spoken of. But if, leaving the word, we look upon the thing, we shall find that the old Bishops meant to forge no form of ruling the Church differing from that which the Lord appointed by his Word." CALVIN. *Inst.* lib. iv. cap. 4. sect. 4. Norton's Transl. "That the Office of Archbishop, and Patriarch, by Calvin was nothing but to assemble the Synod, propound the matter, gather the voices, &c. I have shewed: condemning those names in the general, he must needs condemn them in the particular; for in both those names, the word of Dominion is put, which he condemneth. That he condemneth the Office with us, is clearer than the sun; and that in divers sorts; first, general, in that upon the Apostle's words, Heb. v. 4, he denieth it lawful 'to set up any government in the Church at the pleasure of men, without waiting for the Commandment of God; and

“*Aliud est moderatum gerere et honorem, quam totum terrarum orbem immenso imperio complecti.*” These things standing as they do, we may conclude, that, albeit the Offices which Bishops execute had been committed unto them only by the Church, and that the Superiority which they have over other Pastors were not first by Christ himself given to the Apostles, and from them descended to others, but afterwards in such consideration brought in and agreed upon, as is pretended; yet could not this be a just or lawful exception against it.

Their arguments to prove there was no necessity of instituting Bishops in the Church.

12. But they will say, “There was no necessity of instituting Bishops; the Church might have stood well enough without them; they are as those superfluous things, which neither while they continue do good, nor do harm when they are removed, because there is not any profitable use whereunto they should serve. i. For first, in the primitive Church their Pastors were all equal, the Bishops of those days were the very same which Pastors of Parish-churches at this day are with us, no one at commandment or controlment by any other’s Authority amongst them. The Church therefore may stand and flourish without Bishops: if they be necessary, wherefore were they not sooner instituted?” ii. Again, “if any such thing were needful for the Church, Christ would have set it down in Scripture, as he did all kind of Officers needful for Jewish Regiment. He which prescribed unto the Jews so particularly the least thing pertinent unto their Temple, would not have left so weighty Offices undetermined of in Scripture, but that he knew the Church could never have any profitable use of them.” iii. Furthermore, “it is the judgment of Cyprian, that equity requireth every man’s cause to be heard, where the fault he is charged with was committed: and the reason he allegeth is, forasmuch as there they may have both accusers and witnesses in their cause. Sith therefore every man’s cause is meetest to be handled at home by the judges of his own Parish, to what purpose

Ep. 3.
lib. i.

that the Church-Office devised without his Commandment and express Ordinance, is unlawful.’ . . . Secondly, in that he doth in flat words declare, that the Holy Ghost took great heed that one should not so much as dream of Principality and Dominion in the government of the Church, Inst. lib. iv. c. 4. sect. 4. Thirdly, in that he doth precisely mislike that any should have pastoral charge over a Province, in Philip. i.; which he declareth yet more manifestly when he saith, ‘the government of the High-Priest which was over one nation, being a figure of our Saviour Christ, ought not to be followed,’ Inst. lib. iv. c. 6. sect. 2.” T. C. lib. ii. p. 629.]

serveth their device, which have appointed Bishops unto whom such causes may be brought, and Archbishops to whom they may be also from thence removed?"

13. What things have necessary use in the Church, they of all others are the most unfit to judge, who bend themselves purposely against whatsoever the Church useth, except it please themselves to give it the grace and countenance of their favourable approbation; which they willingly do not yield unto any part of Church Polity, in the forehead whereof there is not the mark of that new-devised stamp. But howsoever men like or dislike, whether they judge things necessary or needless in the House of God, a conscience they should have touching that which they boldly affirm or deny. i. "In the primitive Church no Bishops, no Pastors having Power over other Pastors, but all Equals, every man supreme commander and ruler within the kingdom of his own Congregation or Parish. The Bishops that are spoken of in the time of the primitive Church, all such as Parsons or Rectors of Parishes are with us?" If thus it have been in the prime of the Church, the question is, how far they will have that prime to extend? and where the latter spring of this new-supposed disorder to begin? That primitive Church, wherein they hold that amongst the Fathers all which had pastoral charge were Equal, they must of necessity so far enlarge as to contain some hundred of years, because for proof hereof they allege boldly and confidently St. Cyprian, who suffered martyrdom about two hundred and threescore years after our blessed Lord's Incarnation. A Bishop, they say, such as Cyprian doth speak of, had only a Church or Congregation, such as the Ministers and Pastors with us, which are appointed unto several towns. Every Bishop in Cyprian's time was Pastor of one only Congregation, assembled in one place to be taught of one man.* A thing impertinent, although it were true. For the question is about personal inequality amongst Governors of the Church. Now to shew there was no such thing in the Church at such time as Cyprian lived, what bring they forth? Forsooth, that Bishops had then but a small circuit of place for the exercise of their

The fore-
alleged
argu-
ments
answer-
ed.

[See
Vol. I.
p. 33.]

* "The Bishop which Cyprian speaketh of, is nothing else but such as we call Pastor, or, as the common name with us is, Parson; and his Church, whereof he is Bishop, is neither Diocess nor Province, but a Congregation which met together in one place, and to be taught of one man." T. C. lib. i. p. 99.

[T. C.
lib. i.
p. 99.]

Authority. Be it supposed, that no one Bishop had more than one only Town to govern, one only Congregation to rule; doth it by Cyprian appear, that in any such Town or Congregation being under the cure and charge of some one Bishop, there were not, besides that one Bishop, others also Ministers of the Word and Sacraments; yet subject to the Power of the same Bishop? If this appear not, how can Cyprian be alleged for a witness that in those times there were no Bishops which did differ from other Ministers, as being above them in degree of Ecclesiastical Power? But a gross and a palpable untruth it is, "That Bishops with Cyprian were as Ministers are with us in Parish-churches; and that each of them did guide some Parish without any other Pastors under him." St. Cyprian's own person may serve for a manifest disproof hereof. Pontius, being Deacon under Cyprian, noteth that his admirable virtues caused him to be Bishop with the soonest; which advancement therefore himself endeavoured for a while to avoid. It seemed in his own eyes too soon for him to take the Title of so great honour, in regard whereof a Bishop is termed *Pontifex*, *Sacerdos*, *Antistes Dei*. Yet such was his quality, that whereas others did hardly perform that duty whereunto the Discipline of their Order, together with the Religion of the Oath they took at their entrance into the Office, even constrained them; him the Chair did not make, but receive, such a one, as behoved that a Bishop should be. But soon after followed that prescription, whereby being driven into exile, and continuing in that estate for the space of some two years, he ceased not by Letters to deal with his Clergy, and to direct them about the public affairs of the Church. They unto whom those Epistles were written, he commonly entitleth the Presbyters and Deacons of that Church.* If any man doubt whether those Presbyters of Carthage were Ministers of the Word and Sacraments or no, let him consider but that one only place of Cyprian, where he giveth them this careful advice, how to deal with circumspection in the perilous times of the Church, that neither they which

* "Etsi Fratres pro dilectione sua cupidi sunt ad conveniendum et visitandum Confessores bonos, quos illustravit jam gloriosis initiis divina dignatio, tamen caute hoc, et non glomeratim nec per multitudinem simul junctam, puto esse faciendum; ne ex hoc ipso invidia concitetur, et introeundi aditus denegetur, et dum insatiabiles multum volumus, totum perdamus: consulite ergo et providete ut cum temperamento hoc agi tutius possit; ita ut Presbyteri quoque, qui illic apud Confessores offerunt, singuli cum singulis Diaconis per vices alternent, quia et mutatio personarum, et vicissitudo convenientium minuit invidiam." Ep. 5.

were for the truth's sake imprisoned might want those ghostly comforts which they ought to have, nor the Church by ministering the same unto them incur unnecessary danger and peril. In which Epistle it doth expressly appear, that the Presbyters of whom he speaketh did offer, that is to say, administer the Eucharist; and that many there were of them in the Church of Carthage, so as they might have every day change for performance of that duty. Nor will any man of sound judgment, I think, deny, that Cyprian was in Authority and Power above the Clergy of that Church, above those Presbyters unto whom he gave direction. It is apparently therefore untrue, that in Cyprian's time Ministers of the Word and Sacraments were all equal, and that no one of them had either Title more excellent than the rest, or Authority and Government over the rest.* Cyprian, being Bishop of Carthage, was clearly superior unto all other Ministers there: yea, Cyprian was, by reason of the dignity of his See, an Archbishop, and so consequently superior unto Bishops.† Bishops, we say, there have been always, even as long as the Church of Christ itself hath been. The Apostles who planted it, did themselves rule as Bishops over it; neither could they so well have kept things in order during their own times, but that Episcopal Authority was given them from above, to exercise far and wide over all other Guides and Pastors of God's Church. The Church indeed for a time continued without Bishops by restraint, every where established in Christian cities. But shall we thereby conclude that the Church hath no use of them, that without them it may stand and flourish? No; the cause wherefore they were so soon universally appointed was, for that it plainly appeared, that without them the Church could not have continued long.‡ It was by the special providence of God, no doubt, so disposed, that the evil whereof this did serve for remedy might first be felt, and so the reverend Authority of Bishops be made

* [See back, sect. 6. p. 118. Note *.]

† ["We have a manifest example in the Centuries (iii. and vii.) which confessing there was no Metropolitan in Cyprian's time, call him Metropolitan." T. C. lib. ii. p. 481.]

‡ [See Matt. xxviii. 20, and Rom. iii. 4. "Machiavel did observe that Christian Religion had long since fallen to the ground had not the regular strictness of poor inferior Priests and Friars held and propped up the reputation of it in the world, as much as the pride and luxury of the great Cardinals and Prince-like-Bishops did strive to sink and demolish it. The same observation holds true amongst us Protestants at this day." *A Discourse concerning Puritans*. 1641. 4to. p. 36.]

by so much the more effectual, when our general experience had taught men what it was for Churches to want them.* Good Laws are never esteemed so good, nor acknowledged so necessary, as when precedent crimes are as seeds out of which they grow. Episcopal Authority was even in a manner sanctified unto the Church of Christ by that little bitter experience which it first had of the pestilent evil of schisms.† Again, when this very thing was proposed as a remedy, yet a more suspicious and fearful acceptance it must needs have found, if the self-same provident wisdom of Almighty God had not also given beforehand sufficient trial thereof in the Regiment of Jerusalem, a Mother-church, which having received the same Order even at the first, was by it most peaceably governed, when other Churches without it had trouble. So that by all means, the necessary use of Episcopal Government is confirmed, yea, strengthened it is and ratified, even by the not establishment thereof in all Churches every where at the first.‡ ii. When they further dispute, “That if any such thing were needful, Christ would in Scripture have set down particular Statutes and Laws, appointing that Bishops should be made, and prescribing in what Order, even as the Law doth for all kind of Officers which were needful in the Jewish Regiment;” might not a man that would bend his wit to maintain the fury of the Petrobrusian Heretics, in pulling down Oratories, use the self-same argument with as much countenance of reason? “If it were needful that we should assemble ourselves in Churches, would that God, which taught the Jews so exactly the frame of their sumptuous Temple, leave us no particular instructions in writing, no not so much as which way to lay any one stone?” Surely such kind of argumentation doth not so strengthen the sinews of their cause, as weaken the credit of their judgment which

* [Then (with reverence be it said), God might have saved Hooker all this trouble if he had made “the evil” more severely “felt,” that so the recollection of it, and the healing “Authority of Bishops,” might never have been forgotten!]

† [Read Cave’s *Lives of the Fathers* for an account of the contentions, abominations, and schismatical charges and counter-charges of Hooker’s protégé-Bishops.]

‡ [Admitting it to be true that the Church of Jerusalem was governed as Hooker would make to appear, and was therefore without trouble; the remedy for it in “other Churches” was obvious; but as they did not then adopt it, neither can it be concluded that the “Mother-church” was without trouble, nor that its “Regiment” could have allayed the troubles of those “other churches.”]

are led therewith. iii. And whereas, thirdly, in disproof of that use which Episcopal Authority hath in judgment of spiritual causes, they bring forth the verdict of Cyprian, who saith, “That equity requireth every man’s cause to be heard, where the fault he was charged with was committed, Cypr. lib. i. Ep. 3. forasmuch as there they may have both accusers and witnesses in the cause;” this argument grounding itself on principles no less true in Civil than in Ecclesiastical causes, unless it be qualified with some exceptions or limitations, overturneth the highest tribunal seats both in Church and Commonwealth; it taketh utterly away all appeals; it secretly condemneth even the blessed Apostle himself, as having transgressed the Law of Equity, by his appeal from the Court of Judea unto those higher which were in Rome. Acts xxv. 11. The generality of such kind of axioms deceiveth, unless it be construed with such cautions as the matter whereunto they are applicable doth require. An usual and ordinary transportation of causes out of Africa into Italy, out of one Kingdom into another, as discontented persons list, which was the thing that Cyprian disalloweth, may be unequal and unmeet; and yet not therefore a thing unnecessary to have the Courts erected in higher places, and judgment committed unto greater persons, to whom the meaner may bring their causes either by way of appeal or otherwise, to be determined according to the order of Justice; which hath been always observed every where in Civil States, and is no less requisite also for the State of the Church of God. The reasons which teach it to be expedient for the one, will shew it to be for the other at leastwise not unnecessary. Inequality of Pastors is an Ordinance both divine and profitable. Their exceptions against it, in these two respects, we have shewed to be altogether causeless, unreasonable, and unjust.

14. The next thing which they upbraid us with, is the difference between that inequality of Pastors which hath been of old, and which now is. For at length they grant, “That the Superiority of Bishops and of Archbishops is somewhat ancient, but no such kind of Superiority as ours have.” By the Laws of our Discipline a Bishop may ordain without asking the people’s consent, a Bishop may excommunicate and release alone, a Bishop may imprison, a Bishop may bear Civil Office in the Realm, a Bishop may

An answer unto those things which are objected, concerning the difference between that Power which Bishops now

have,
and that
which
ancient
Bishops
had,
more
than
other
Presby-
ters.

be a Counsellor of State; those things ancient Bishops neither did nor might do. Be it granted, that ordinarily neither in elections nor deprivations, neither in excommunicating nor in releasing the excommunicate, in none of the weighty affairs of Government, Bishops of old were wont to do any thing without consultation with their Clergy and consent of the people under them; be it granted, that the same Bishops did neither touch any man with corporal punishment, nor meddle with secular affairs and offices, the whole Clergy of God being then tied, by the strict and severe Canons of the Church, to use no other than ghostly power, to attend no other business than heavenly. Tarquinius was in the Roman Commonwealth deservedly hated, of whose unorderly proceedings the History speaketh thus: “Hic Regum primus traditum a prioribus morem de omnibus Senatum consulendi solvit: domesticis consiliis Rempub. administravit; bellum, pacem, foedera, societates, per seipsum, cum quibus voluit, injussu Populi ac Senatus, fecit diremitque.” Against Bishops the like is objected, “That they are invaders of other men’s right, and by intolerable usurpation take upon them to do that alone, wherein ancient Laws have appointed that others, not they only, should bear sway.” Let the case of Bishops be put, not in such sort as it is, but even as their very heaviest adversaries would devise it. Suppose that Bishops at the first had encroached upon the Church; that by sleights and cunning practices they had appropriated Ecclesiastical, as Augustus did Imperial Power; that they had taken the advantage of men’s inclinable affections, which did not suffer them for revenue’s sake to be suspected of ambition; that in the meanwhile their usurpation had gone forward by certain easy and unsensible degrees; that being not discerned in the growth, when it was thus far grown, as we now see it hath proceeded, the world at length perceiving there was just cause of complaint, but no place of remedy left, had assented unto it by a general secret agreement to bear it now as an helpless evil: all this supposed for certain and true; yet surely a thing of this nature, as for the Superior to do that alone unto which of right the consent of some other Inferiors should have been required by them; though it had an indirect entrance at the first, must needs, through continuance of so many ages

Liv.
lib. i.

as this hath stood, be made now a thing more natural to the Church, than that it should be opprest with the mention of contrary Orders worn so many ages since quite and clean out of ure.* But with Bishops the case is otherwise: for in doing that by themselves which others together with them have been accustomed to do, they do not any thing but that whereunto they have been upon just occasions authorized by orderly means. All things natural have in them naturally, more or less, the power of providing for their own safety; and as each particular man hath this power, so every politic Society of men must needs have the same, that thereby the whole may provide for the good of all parts therein. For other benefit we have not any, by sorting ourselves into Politic Societies, saving only that by this mean each part hath that relief which the virtue of the whole is able to yield it. The Church, therefore, being a Politic Society or Body, cannot possibly want the power of providing for itself; and the chiefest part of that power consisteth in the Authority of making Laws. Now, forasmuch as Corporations are perpetual, the Laws of the ancients Church cannot choose but bind the latter, while they are in force. But we must note withal, that because the Body of the Church continueth the same, it hath the same Authority still, and may abrogate old Laws, or make new, as need shall require. Wherefore, vainly are the ancient Canons and Constitutions objected as Laws, when once they are either let secretly to die by disusage, or are openly abrogated by contrary Laws.† The Ancient had cause to do no otherwise than they did; and yet so strictly they judged not themselves in conscience bound to observe those Orders, but that in sundry cases they easily dispensed therewith, which I suppose they would never have done, had they esteemed them as things whereunto everlasting, immutable, and indispensable observation did belong. The Bishop usually promoted none which were not first allowed as fit, by conference had with the rest of his Clergy and with the people. Notwithstanding, in the case of Aurelius, St. Cyprian did otherwise. In

* [Can length of time convert an abuse into its opposite, or error into truth? If that Doctrine be maintained, the REFORMATION can no longer be justified.]

† [The course of the argument at this place would enable its Author to make what escape he might choose from any opponents.]

matters of deliberation and counsel, for disposing of that which belongeth generally to the whole Body of the Church, or which being more particular, is nevertheless of so great consequence, that it needeth the force of many judgments conferred; in such things the common saying must necessarily take place, “An eye cannot see that which eyes can.” As for Clerical Ordinations, there are no such reasons alleged against the Order which is, but that it may be esteemed as good in every respect as that which hath been, and, in some considerations, better; at leastwise (which is sufficient to our purpose) it may be held in the Church of Christ without transgressing any Law, either ancient or late, divine or human, which we ought to observe and keep. The Form of making Ecclesiastical Officers hath sundry parts, neither are they all of equal moment. When Deacons having not been before in the Church of Christ, the Apostles saw it needful to have such ordained, they, first, assemble the multitude, and shew them how needful it is that Deacons be made: secondly, they name unto them what number they judge convenient, what quality the men must be of, and to the people they commit the care of finding such out: thirdly, the people hereunto assenting, make their choice of Stephen and the rest; those chosen men they bring and present before the Apostles; howbeit, all this doth not endue them with any Ecclesiastical Power. But when so much was done, the Apostles finding no cause to take exception, did with Prayer and Imposition of Hands make them Deacons. This was it which gave them their very being; all other things besides were only preparations unto this. Touching the Form of making Presbyters, although it be not wholly of purpose any where set down in the Apostles’ writings, yet sundry speeches there are which insinuate the chiefest things that belong unto that action: as, when Paul and Barnabas are said to have fasted, prayed, and made Presbyters: when Timothy is willed to “lay hands suddenly on no man,” for fear of participating with other men’s sins. For this cause the Order of the primitive Church was, between choice and Ordination, to have some space for such probation and trial as the Apostle doth mention in Deacons, saying, “Let them first be proved, and then minister, if so be they be found blameless.”

Acts
xiv. 23.
1 Tim.
v. 22.

1 Tim.
iii. 10.

Alexander Severus beholding in his time how careful the Church of Christ was, especially for this point; how, after the choice of their Pastors, they used to publish the names of the parties chosen, and not to give them the final act of Approbation, till they saw whether any let or impediment would be alleged; he gave commandment, That the like should also be done in his own Imperial Elections; adding this as a reason wherefore he so required, namely, “For that both Christians and Jews being so wary about the Ordination of their Priests, it seemed very unequal for him not to be in like sort circumspect to whom he committed the Government of Provinces, containing power over men’s both estates and lives.” This the Canon itself doth provide for, requiring, before Ordination, scrutiny: “Let them diligently be examined three days together before the Sabbath, and on the Sabbath let them be presented unto the Bishop.” And even this in effect also is the very use of the Church of England, at all solemn Ordaining of Ministers; and, if all Ordaining were solemn, I must confess it were much the better.

Lam-
prid. in
Alex.
Sever.

D. can.
quando
Epis.
sect.
Igitur.

The pretended disorder of the Church of England is, that Bishops ordain them to whose Election the people give no voices, and so the Bishops make them alone; that is to say, they give Ordination without popular election going before, which ancient Bishops neither did nor might do. Now in very truth, if the Multitude have hereunto a right, which right can never be translated from them for any cause, then is there no remedy but we must yield, that unto the lawful making of Ministers the voice of the people is required; and that, according unto the adverse party’s assertion, “such as make Ministers without asking the People’s consent, do but exercise a certain Tyranny.”

Eccles.
Discipl.
p. 34.

At the first erection of the Commonwealth of Rome, the People (for so it was then fittest) determined of all affairs: afterwards, this growing troublesome, their Senators did that for them which themselves before had done: in the end all came to one man’s hands; and the Emperor alone was instead of many Senators.*

* [At the first erection of *Christian Churches*, the People (for so it was then fittest) determined of all affairs: afterwards, this growing troublesome, their *Pastors* did that for them which themselves before had done: in the end all came to one man’s hands; and the *Universal Bishop (or Pope)* alone was instead of many *Pastors*!]

In these things, the experience of time may breed both Civil and Ecclesiastical change from that which hath been before received; neither do latter things always violently exclude former; but the one growing less convenient than it hath been, giveth place to that which is now become more. That which was fit for the people themselves to do at the first, might afterwards be more convenient for them to do by some other: which other is not hereby proved a Tyrant, because he alone doth that which a multitude were wont to do, unless by violence he take that authority upon him, against the order of Law, and without any public appointment; as with us, if any did, it should (I suppose) not long be safe for him so to do.

This answer (I hope) will seem to be so much the more reasonable, in that themselves, who stand against us, have furnisht us therewith. For, whereas against the making of Ministers by Bishops alone, their use hath been to object, What sway the People did bear when Stephen and the rest were ordained Deacons; they begin to espy how their own Platform swerveth not a little from that example wherewith they control the practice of others. For, touching the form of the people's concurrence in that action, they observe it not; no, they plainly profess, that they are not in this point bound to be followers of the Apostles. The Apostles ordained whom the People had first chosen. They hold, that their Ecclesiastical Senate ought both to choose, and also to ordain. Do not themselves then take away that which the Apostles gave the people, namely, the privilege of choosing Ecclesiastical Officers? They do. But behold in what sort they answer it.

*Eccl.
Discipl.
p. 41.*

“ By the sixth and the fourteenth of the Acts (say they) it doth appear, that the People had the chiefest power of choosing. Howbeit that, as unto me it seemeth, was done upon special cause which doth not so much concern us, neither ought it to be drawn unto the ordinary and perpetual Form of governing the Church. For, as in establishing Commonweals, not only if they be popular, but even being such as are ordered by the power of a few the chiefest, or as by the sole authority of one, till the same be established, the whole sway is in the people's hands, who voluntarily appoint those Magistrates by whose authority they may be governed; so that afterward not the

multitude itself, but those Magistrates which were chosen by the multitude, have the ordering of public affairs : after the self-same manner it fared in establishing also the Church ; when there was not as yet any placed over the people, all authority was in them all ; but when they all had chosen certain to whom the Regiment of the Church was committed, this power is not now any longer in the hands of the whole multitude, but wholly in theirs who are appointed Guides of the Church. Besides, in the choice of Deacons, there was also another special cause wherefore the whole Church at that time should choose them. For inasmuch as the Grecians murmured against the Hebrews, and complained that in the daily distribution which was made for relief of the poor, they were not indifferently respected, nor such regard had of their widows as was meet ; this made it necessary that they all should have to deal in the choice of those unto whom that care was afterwards to be committed, to the end that all occasion of jealousies and complaints might be removed. Wherefore, that which was done by the People for certain causes, before the Church was fully settled, may not be drawn out and applied unto a constant and perpetual Form of ordering the Church."

Let them cast the Discipline of the Church of England into the same scales where they weigh their own, let them give us the same measure which here they take, and our strifes shall soon be brought to a quiet end. When they urge the Apostles as precedents ; when they condemn us of Tyranny, because we do not in making Ministers the same which the Apostles did ; when they plead, " That with us one alone doth ordain, and that our Ordinations are without the people's knowledge, contrary to that example which the blessed Apostles gave ;" we do not request at their hands allowance as much as of one word we speak in our own defence, if that which we speak be of our own ; but that which themselves speak, they must be contented to listen unto. To exempt themselves from being over-far prest with the Apostles' example, they can answer, " That which was done by the people once upon special causes, when the Church was not yet established, is not to be made a Rule for the constant and continual ordering of the Church." In defence of their own election, although they

do not therein depend on the people so much as the Apostles in the choice of Deacons, they think it a very sufficient apology, that there were special considerations why Deacons at that time should be chosen by the whole Church, but not so now. In excuse of dissimilitudes between their own and the Apostles' Discipline, they are contented to use this answer, "That many things were done in the Apostles' times, before the settling of the Church, which afterward the Church was not tied to observe." For countenance of their own proceedings, wherein their Governors do more than the Apostles, and their People less than under the Apostles the first Churches are found to have done at the making of Ecclesiastial Officers, they deem it a marvellous reasonable kind of pleading to some, "That even as in Commonweals, when the multitude have once chosen many, or one to rule over them, the right which was at the first in the whole body of the people is now derived into those many, or that one which is so chosen; and, that this being done, it is not the whole multitude, to whom the administration of such public affairs any longer appertaineth, but that which they did, their Rulers may now do lawfully without them; after the self-same manner it standeth with the Church also."

How easy and plain might we make our defence, how clear and allowable even unto them, if we could but obtain of them to admit the same things consonant unto equity in our mouths, which they require to be so taken from their own! If that which is truth, being uttered in maintenance of Scotland and Geneva, do not cease to be truth when the Church of England once allegeth it, this great crime of "Tyranny," wherewith we are charged, hath a plain and an easy defence. Yea, but we do not at all ask the People's approbation, which they do, whereby they shew themselves more indifferent and more free from taking away the people's right. Indeed, when their Lay-elders have chosen whom they think good, the people's consent thereunto is asked, and, if they give their approbation, the thing standeth warranted for sound and good. But if not, is the former choice overthrown? No, but the people is to yield to reason; and, if they which have made the choice do so like the people's reason, as to reverse their own deed at the hearing of it, then a new election to be made;

otherwise the former to stand, notwithstanding the people's negative and dislike. What is this else but to deal with the people, as those nurses do with infants, whose mouths they besmear with the backside of the spoon, as though they had fed them, when they themselves do devour the food? They cry in the ears of the people, that all men's consent should be had unto that which concerns all; they make the people believe we wrong them, and deprive them of their right in making Ministers, whereas with us the people have commonly far more sway and force than with them. For, inasmuch as there are but two main things observed in every Ecclesiastical function, Power to exercise the duty itself, and some Charge of people whereon to exercise the same; the former of these is received at the hands of the whole visible Catholic Church. For it is not any one particular multitude that can give power, the force whereof may reach far and wide indefinitely, as the Power of Order doth, which whoso hath once received, there is no action which belongeth thereunto but he may exercise effectually the same in any part of the world without iterated Ordination. They whom the whole Church hath from the first beginning used as her agents in conferring this Power, are not either one or moe [*more*] of the Laity, and therefore it hath not been heard of that ever any such were allowed to ordain Ministers: only persons Ecclesiastical, and they, in place of calling, Superiors both unto Deacons and unto Presbyters; only such persons Ecclesiastical have been authorized to ordain both, and give them the Power of Order, in the name of the whole Church.* Such were the Apostles, such was Timothy, such was Titus, such are Bishops. Not that there is between these no difference, but that they all agree in pre-eminence of place above both Presbyters and Deacons, whom they otherwise might not ordain. Now whereas hereupon some do infer, that no Ordination can stand but only such as is made by Bishops, which have had their Ordination likewise by other Bishops before them, till we come to the very Apostles of Christ themselves; in which respect it was demanded of Beza at Poissie, "By what Authority he could administer the holy Sacraments, being not thereunto ordained by any other than Calvin, or

* "Neque enim fas erat aut licebat, ut inferior ordinaret majorem." Comment. q. Ambros. tribuuntur, in 1 Tim. iii.

by such as to whom the Power of Ordination did not belong, according to the ancient Orders and Customs of the Church; sith Calvin and they who joined with him in that action were no Bishops?" and Athanasius maintaineth the fact of Macarius a Presbyter, which overthrew the Holy Table whereat one Ischyra would have ministered the blessed Sacrament, having not been consecrated thereunto by Laying on of some Bishop's Hands,* according to the Ecclesiastical Canons; as also Epiphanius inveigheth sharply against divers for doing the like, when they had not Episcopal Ordination: to this we answer, that there may be sometimes very just and sufficient reason to allow Ordination made without a Bishop. The whole Church visible being the true original subject of all Power, it hath not ordinarily allowed any other than Bishops alone to ordain: howbeit, as the ordinary course is ordinarily in all things to be observed, so it may be in some cases not unnecessary that we decline from the ordinary ways. Men may be extraordinarily, yet allowably, two ways admitted unto Spiritual Functions in the Church. One is, when God himself doth of himself raise up any, whose labour he useth without requiring that Men should authorize them: but then he doth ratify their calling by manifest signs and tokens himself from Heaven: and thus even such as believed not our Saviour's teaching, did yet acknowledge him a lawful Teacher sent from God; "Thou art a Teacher sent from God, otherwise none could do those things which thou dost." [John iii. 2.] Luther did but reasonably, therefore, in declaring that the Senate of Mulhouse should do well to ask of Muncer,† from whence he received Power to teach? who it was that had called him? and if his answer were, that God had given him his charge, then to require at his hands some evident sign thereof for men's satisfaction; because so God is wont, when he himself is the author of any extraordinary calling.‡

* Ἐπισκοπῆς χειροθεσίαν.

† [A celebrated German Enthusiast. After being implicated in troubles in Thuringia and other places, he was put to death in 1526. His Biographer has accumulated testimonies of Muncer's learning, given by Melancthon, Luther, Spangenberg, Camerarius, and others; and from his own writings on Faith, on the Scriptures, and on Baptism. He also gives some proofs of the dreadful oppressions under which the peasantry laboured in the time of Muncer; from which there may be reason to conclude that an explosion would have taken place even if he had not existed. See CHALMERS' *Gen. Biog. Dic.* 1815. 8vo. Vol. xxii. p. 511.]

‡ ["If Christ had determined from the beginning, that nothing should be taught and preached without a license from the Bishops, and had referred all his doctrine to Annas and Caiphas, what had become of the Christian Faith by this time? or, who had ever heard any thing of the Gospel? JEWEL's *Apology*, 1562. Cheyne's edit. 1719, 8vo. p. 122.]

Another extraordinary kind of vocation is, when the exigence of Necessity doth constrain to leave the usual ways of the Church, which otherwise we would willingly keep: where the Church must needs have some ordained, and neither hath, nor can have possibly, a Bishop to ordain; in case of such Necessity, the ordinary institution of God hath given oftentimes, and may give, place. And therefore we are not, simply without exception, to urge a lineal descent of Power from the Apostles by continued succession of Bishops in every effectual Ordination.* These cases of inevitable Necessity excepted, none may ordain but only Bishops: by the Imposition of their Hands it is, that the Church giveth Power of Order both unto Presbyters and Deacons. Now, when that Power so received is once to have any certain subject whereon it may work, and whereunto it is to be tied, *here* cometh in the People's consent, and not before. The Power of Order I may lawfully receive, without asking leave of any Multitude; but that Power I cannot exercise upon any one certain people utterly against their wills; neither is there in the Church of England any man, by order of Law, possessed with Pastoral charge over any Parish, but the People in effect do choose him thereunto. For, albeit, they choose not by giving every man personally his particular voice, yet can they not say, that they have their Pastors violently obtruded upon them, inasmuch as their ancient and original interest therein hath been by orderly means derived into the Patron who chooseth for them.† And if any man be desirous to know how Patrons came to have such interest, we are to consider, that at the first erection of Churches, it seemed but reasonable in the eyes of the whole Christian world to pass that right to them and their successors, on whose soil and at whose charge the same were founded.‡ This all men gladly and willingly did, both in honour of so great piety, and for encouragement of many others unto the like, who peradventure else would have been as slow to erect

* [No doubt but Hooker would have allowed that his Doctrine on this point is conformable with experience. How thenceforth can they, who claim to be regularly constituted Successors, assure themselves and their charges that they are of the "lineal descent?" See Sec. 4. p. 101. Note §.]

† [Who may be so imbecile as to be all but an idiot; or even if otherwise mentally gifted, an unbeliever.]

‡ [That is, they were permitted to purchase a passport to Heaven by their good works; and were allowed a perpetual *lien* as a sort of "keeping back part of the price."]

Churches, as to endow them, as we are forward both to spoil them, and to pull them down.

It is no true assertion, therefore, in such sort as the pretended Reformers mean it, “That all Ministers of God’s Word ought to be made by consent of many, that is to say, by the People’s suffrages; that ancient Bishops neither did nor might ordain otherwise; and that ours do herein usurp a far greater power than was, or then lawfully could have been, granted unto Bishops which were of old.” Furthermore, as touching Spiritual Jurisdiction, our Bishops, they say, do that which of all things is most intolerable, and which the Ancient never did; “Our Bishops excommunicate and release alone, whereas the Censures of the Church neither ought, nor were wont to be, administered otherwise than by consent of many.” Their meaning here, when they speak of “many,” is not as before it was. When they hold that Ministers should be made with consent of many, they understand by *many*, the Multitude, or common people; but in requiring that many should evermore join with the Bishop in the administration of Church-censures, they mean by *many*, a *few* Lay-elders chosen out of the rest of the people to that purpose. This, they say, is ratified by ancient Councils, by ancient Bishops this was practised. And the reason hereof, as Beza supposeth, was, “Because if the Power of Ecclesiastical Censures did belong unto any one, there would this great inconveniency follow; Ecclesiastical Regiment should be changed into mere Tyranny, or else into a Civil Royalty: therefore no one, either Bishop or Presbyter, should or can alone exercise that Power, but with his Ecclesiastical Consistory he ought to do it, as may appear by the old Discipline.”

Concil.
Carthag.
iv. c. 23.
Cypr.
lib. iii.
Ep. 10,
14. lib. ii.
Ep. 8.

And is it possible, that one so grave and judicious should think it in earnest Tyranny for a Bishop to excommunicate, whom Law and Order hath authorized so to do? or be persuaded, that Ecclesiastical Regiment degenerateth into Civil Regality, when one is allowed to do that which hath been at any time the deed of moe [*more*]? Surely, far meaner witted men than the world accompteth Master Beza do easily perceive, that Tyranny is Power violently exercised against Order, against Law; and that the difference of these two Regiments Ecclesiastical and Civil, consisteth in the matter about which the actions of each are conversant; and not in

this, that Civil Royalty admitteth but one, Ecclesiastical Government requireth many supreme Correctors. Which allegation, were it true, would prove no more than only, that some certain number is necessary for the assistance of the Bishop: but that a number of such as they do require is necessary, how doth it prove? Wherefore, albeit Bishops should now do the very same which the Ancients did, using the Colleges of Presbyters under them as their assistants when they administer Church-censures, yet should they still swerve utterly from that which these men so busily labour for, because the agents whom they require to assist in those cases are a sort of Lay-elders, such as no ancient Bishop ever was assisted with.*

Shall these fruitless jars and janglings never cease? shall we never see end of them? How much happier were the world if those eager taskmasters, whose eyes are so curious and sharp in discerning what should be done by many, and what by few, were all changed into painful doers of that which every good Christian man ought either only or chiefly to do, and to be found therein doing when that great and glorious Judge of all men's both deeds and words shall appear?† In the meanwhile, be it one that hath this charge, or be they many that be his assistants, let there be careful provision that justice may be administered, and in this shall our God be glorified more than by such contentious disputes.‡

15. Of which nature that also is, wherein Bishops are, Concerning the Civil over and besides all this, accused “to have much more

* [Be it remembered that Hooker's whole argument on the Ministry goes to the alleged necessity, from experience of evil, and the Laws of the Jewish and Civil economies, that there should be grades of rank among them: but his principles carried out terminate in such an apex as it was one object of the Promoters of the REFORMATION to remove. For a substitute, however, Hooker is compelled to adopt a plan exactly the reverse of the Presbyterians', and therefore in the following Book he advocates 'a supreme Regent' over the Church in the single person, male or *female* of a *Lay* Officer, from whom emanates a *cong   d'  lire* without which there are none of Hooker's *modern* Bishops constituted.]

† [An Admonition equally to all who promoted the REFORMATION!]

‡ [“If any shall make advantage of this complaint, That where the People have their due liberty granted unto them, they are apt to assume that Power unto themselves which belongs not unto them; an evil attended with troublesome impertinencies and disorder, tending unto Anarchy: let them remember, on the other hand, how upon the confinement of Power and Authority unto the Guides, Bishops, or Rulers of the Church, they have changed the nature of Church-power, and enlarged their usurpation, until the whole Rule of the Church issued in absolute Tyranny. Wherefore, no fear of consequents that may ensue and arise from the darkness, ignorance, weakness, lusts, corruptions or secular interests of men ought to entice us unto the least alteration of the Rule by any *prudential* provisions of our own.” Dr. OWEN's *True Nature of a Gospel Church*. 1689. 4to. (Posth.) chap. viii. sect. 2. p. 163.]

Power
and Au-
thority
which
our Bi-
shops
have.

Jer.
xxix. 26.

excessive Power than the ancient, inasmuch as unto their Ecclesiastical Authority, the Civil Magistrate, for the better repressing of such as contemn Ecclesiastical Censures, hath for divers ages annexed Civil." The crime of Bishops herein is divided into these two several branches; "the one, that in causes Ecclesiastical they strike with the sword of secular punishments; the other, that offices are granted them, by virtue whereof they meddle with Civil affairs." Touching the one, it reacheth no farther than only unto restraint of liberty by imprisonment (which yet is not done but by the Laws of the land, and by virtue of Authority derived from the Prince). A thing which being allowable in Priests amongst the Jews, must needs have received some strange alteration in nature since, if it be now so pernicious and venomous to be coupled with a spiritual vocation in any man which beareth Office in the Church of Christ. She-maiah writing to the College of Priests which were in Jerusalem, and to Zephaniah the principal of them, told them they were appointed of God, "that they might be officers in the House of the Lord, for every man which raved, and did make himself a Prophet," to the end that they might, by the force of this their authority, "put such in prison, and in the stocks." His malice is reprov'd, for that he provoketh them to shew their power against the innocent. But surely, when any man justly punishable had been brought before them, it could be no unjust thing for them even in such sort then to have punished.* As for Offices, by virtue whereof Bishops have to deal in Civil affairs, we must consider that Civil affairs are of divers kinds; and as they be not all fit for Ecclesiastical persons to meddle with, so neither is it necessary, nor at this day happily convenient, that from meddling with any such thing at all they all should without exception be seclud'd. I will therefore set down some few causes wherein it cannot but clearly appear unto reasonable men that Civil and Ecclesiastical Functions may be lawfully united in one and the same person.

First, therefore, in case a Christian Society be planted amongst their professed enemies, or by toleration do live under some certain State whereinto they are not incorporated,

* [This illustration of Jewish example proves too much: besides, that Regiment being purely Theocratic, no reasoning from it to the Christian dispensation can assimilate the two economies.]

whom shall we judge the meetest men to have the hearing and determining of such mere Civil controversies as are every day wont to grow between man and man? Such being the state of the Church of Corinth, the Apostle giveth them this direction, “Dare any of you, having business against another, be judged by the unjust, and not under Saints? Do ye not know that the Saints shall judge the world? If the world then shall be judged by you, are ye unworthy to judge the smallest matters? Know ye not that we shall judge the Angels? how much more things that appertain to this life? If then ye have judgment of things pertaining to this life, set up them which are least esteemed in the Church. I speak it to your shame; is it so, that there is not a wise man amongst you? no, not one that can judge between his brethren, but a brother goeth to law with a brother, and that under the Infidels? Now therefore there is utterly a fault among you, because ye go to law one with another; why rather suffer ye not wrong, why rather sustain ye not harm?” In which speech there are these degrees; better to suffer and to put up injuries, than to contend; better to end contention by arbitrement, than by judgment; better by judgment before the wisest of their own, than before the simpler; better before the simplest of their own, than the wisest of them without: so that if judgment of secular affairs should be committed unto wise men, unto men of chiefest credit and accompt amongst them, when the Pastors of their souls are such, who more fit to be also their Judges for the ending of strifes? The wisest in things divine may also be in things human the most skilful. At leastwise they are by likelihood commonly more able to know right from wrong, than the common unlettered sort. And what St. Augustine did hereby gather, his own words do sufficiently shew: “I call God to witness upon my soul (saith he), that according to the order which is kept in well-ordered Monasteries, I could wish to have every day my hours of labouring with my hands, my hours of reading and of praying, rather than to endure these most tumultuous perplexities of other men’s causes, which I am forced to bear while I travail in secular businesses, either by judging to discuss them, or to cut them off by entreaty: unto which toils that Apostle, who himself sustained them not, for any thing we read, hath notwithstanding tied us not of his own

1 Cor. vi.
1-7.Vide
Barnab.
Brisson.
Antiq.
Jur. lib.
iv. c. 16.Aug. de
oper.
Monach.
c. 29.

accord, but being thereunto directed by that Spirit which speaks in him. His own Apostleship, which drew him to travel up and down, suffered him not to be any where settled for this purpose; wherefore the wise, faithful, and holy men which were seated here and there, and not them which travelled up and down to preach, he made examiners of such businesses. Whereupon of him it is no where written, that he had leisure to attend these things, from which we cannot excuse ourselves although we be simple: because even such he requireth, if wise men cannot be had, rather than the affairs of Christians should be brought into public judgment. Howbeit, not without comfort in our Lord are these travels undertaken by us, for the hope's sake of eternal Life, to the end that with patience we may reap the fruit." So far is St. Augustine from thinking it unlawful for Pastors in such sort to judge Civil causes, that he plainly collecteth out of the Apostle's words a necessity to undertake that duty; yea, himself he comforteth with the hope of a blessed reward, in lieu of travail that way sustained.

Again, even where whole Christian Kingdoms are, how troublesome were it for Universities, and other great Collegiate-societies, erected to serve as nurseries unto the Church of Christ, if every thing which Civility doth concern them were to be carried from their own peculiar Governors, because for the most part they are (as fittest it is they should be) persons of Ecclesiastical calling? It was by the wisdom of our famous predecessors foreseen how unfit this would be, and hereupon provided by grant of special Charters, that it might be as now it is in the Universities; where their Vice-chancellors, being for the most part Professors of Divinity, are nevertheless Civil Judges over them in the most of their ordinary causes.

And to go yet some degrees further, A thing impossible it is not, neither altogether unusual for some who are of Royal blood to be consecrated unto the Ministry of Jesus Christ, and so to be Nurses of God's Church, not only as the Prophet did foretell, but also as the Apostle St. Paul was. Now in case the Crown should by this mean descend unto such persons, perhaps when they are the very last, or perhaps the very best, of their race, so that a greater benefit they are not able to bestow upon a Kingdom, than by accepting their right therein; shall the sanctity of their

Order deprive them of that honour whereunto they have right by blood? or shall it be a bar to shut out the public good that may grow by their virtuous Regiment? If not, then must they cast off the Office which they received by divine Imposition of Hands; or, if they carry a more religious opinion concerning that heavenly Function, it followeth, that being invested as well with the one as the other, they remain God's lawfully anointed both ways. With men of skill and mature judgment there is of this so little doubt, that concerning such as at this day are under the Archbishops of Mentz, Colen, and Treves, being both Archbishops and Princes of the Empire; yea, such as live within the Pope's own civil territories, there is no cause why any should deny to yield them Civil obedience in any thing which they command, not repugnant to Christian piety; yea, even that civilly, for such as are under them, not to obey them, were but the part of seditious persons: howbeit, for persons Ecclesiastical thus to exercise Civil dominion of their own, is more than when they only sustain some public Office, or deal in some business Civil, being thereunto even by supreme Authority required.* As nature doth not any thing in vain, so neither Grace. Wherefore, if it please God to bless some principal attendants on his own Sanctuary, and to endue them with extraordinary parts of excellency, some in one kind, and some in another, surely a great derogation it were to the very honour of him who bestoweth so precious graces, except they on whom he hath bestowed them should accordingly be employed, that the fruit of those heavenly gifts might extend itself unto the body of the Commonwealth wherein they live; which being of purpose instituted (for so all Commonwealths are) to the end that all might enjoy whatsoever good it pleaseth the Almighty to endue each one with, must needs suffer loss, when it hath not the gain which eminent Civil ability in Ecclesiastical persons is now and then found apt to afford.† Shall we then discommend the people of Milan for using Ambrose their Bishop as an Ambassador about their public and politic affairs; the Jews for electing their Priests sometimes to be leaders in war; David

Zanch.
Obser.
in Con-
fess. p.
274.

* [Hooker felt the ground beginning to shake under him, hence this remark by way of precaution: but how does it accord with the introductory sentences of this paragraph?]

† [Apostolic Precedent and Canon are directly opposed to all this. Acts vi. 4. 1 Tim. iv. 15.]

for making the High-priest his chiefest Counsellor of State: finally, all Christian Kings and Princes which have appointed unto like services Bishops or other of the Clergy under them? No, they have done in this respect that which most sincere and religious wisdom alloweth. Neither is it allowable only, when either a kind of necessity doth cast Civil offices upon them, or when they are thereunto preferred in regard of some extraordinary fitness; but further also, when there are even of right annexed unto some of their places or of course imposed upon certain of their persons, Functions of dignity and accompt in the Commonwealth; albeit no other consideration be had therein save this, that their credit and countenance may by such means be augmented. A thing, if ever to be respected, surely most of all now, when God himself is for his own sake generally no where honoured, Religion almost no where, no where religiously, adored, the Ministry of the Word and Sacraments of Christ a very cause of disgrace in the eyes both of high and low, where it hath not somewhat besides itself to be countenanced with.* For unto this very pass things are come, That the glory of God is constrained even to stand upon borrowed credit; which yet were somewhat the more tolerable, if there were not that dissuade to lend it him. No practice so vile, but pretended holiness is made sometime as a cloak to hide it.

The French King, Philip Valois, in his time made an Ordinance, that all Prelates and Bishops should be clean excluded from Parliaments, where the affairs of the Kingdom were handled; pretending that a King, with good conscience, cannot draw Pastors, having Cure of souls, from so weighty a business, to trouble their heads with consultations of State. But irreligious intents are not able to hide themselves, no, not when holiness is made their cloak. This is plain and simple truth, That the councils of wicked men hate always the presence of them whose virtue, though it should not be able to prevail against their purposes, would notwithstanding be unto their minds a secret corrosive: and therefore, till either by one shift or another they can bring all things to their own hands alone, they are not secure. Ordinances holier and better there stand as yet in force by the grace of Almighty God, and the works of his providence,

* [For an illustration of this part of the argument see Vol. I. p. 45, Note on the Court of High Commission.]

amongst us. Let not envy so far prevail, as to make us account that a blemish, which if there be in us any spark of sound judgment, or of religious conscience, we must of necessity acknowledge to be one of the chiefest ornaments unto this Land: by the ancient Laws whereof, the Clergy being held for the chief of those Three Estates, which together make up the entire body of this Commonwealth, under one supreme Head and Governor, it hath all this time ever borne a sway proportionable in the weighty affairs of the Land; wise and virtuous Kings condescending most willingly thereunto, even of reverence to the Most High; with the flower of whose sanctified inheritance, as it were with a kind of divine presence, unless their chiefest Civil assemblies were so far forth beautified as might be without any notable impediment unto their heavenly Functions, they could not satisfy themselves as having showed towards God an affection most dutiful.

Thus, first, in defect of other Civil Magistrates; secondly, for the ease and quietness of Scholastical Societies; thirdly, by way of political necessity; fourthly, in regard of quality, care, and extraordinancy; fifthly, for countenance unto the Ministry; and, lastly, even of devotion and reverence towards God himself; there may be admitted, at leastwise in some particulars, well and lawfully enough, a Conjunction of Civil and Ecclesiastical Power, except there be some such Law or Reason to the contrary, as may prove it to be a thing simply in itself naught.

Against it many things are objected, as, first, "That the matters which are noted in the Holy Scripture to have belonged to the ordinary Office of any Minister of God's holy Word and Sacraments, are these which follow, with such like, and no other; namely, the watch of the Sanctuary, the business of God, the ministry of the Word and Sacraments, oversight of the House of God, watching over his flock, prophecy, prayer, dispensations of the mysteries of God, charge and care of men's souls." If a man would shew what the offices and duties of a Chirurgeon or Physician are, I suppose it were not his part, as much as to mention any thing belonging unto the one or the other, in case either should be also a Soldier, or a Merchant, or an Housekeeper, or a Magistrate; because the Functions of these are different from those of the former, albeit one and

the same man may happily be both. The case is like, when the Scripture teacheth what duties are required in an Ecclesiastical Minister; in describing of whose Office, to touch any other thing than such as properly and directly toucheth his Office that way, were impertinent.*

Yea, “ But in the Old Testament the two Powers Civil and Ecclesiastical were distinguished, not only in nature, but also in person; the one committed unto Moses, and the Magistrates joined with him; the other to Aaron and his sons. Jehosaphat in his reformation doth not only distinguish causes Ecclesiastical from Civil, and erecteth divers Courts for them, but appointeth also divers Judges.” With the Jews these two Powers were not so distinguished, but that sometimes they might and did concur in one and the same person. Was not Eli both Priest and Judge? after their return from captivity, Ezra a Priest, and the same their chief Governor even in Civil affairs also? These men which urge the necessity of making always a personal distinction of these two Powers, as if by Jehosaphat’s example the same person ought not to deal in both causes, yet are not scrupulous to make men of Civil place and calling Presbyters and Ministers of Spiritual Jurisdiction in their own Spiritual Consistories.

If it be against the Jewish precedents for us to give Civil Power unto such as have Ecclesiastical; is it not as much against the same for them to give Ecclesiastical Power unto such as have Civil? They will answer, perhaps, That their position is only against Conjunction of Ecclesiastical Power of Order, and the Power of Civil Jurisdiction in one person. But this answer will not stand with their proofs, which make no less against the Power of Civil and Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction in one person; for of these two Powers Jehosaphat’s example is: besides, the contrary example of Eli and of Ezra, by us alleged, do plainly shew, that amongst the Jews even the Power of Order Ecclesiastical and Civil Jurisdiction were sometimes lawfully united in one and the same person. Pressed further we are

* [In a Note on 1 Tim. iv. 15, BAXTER says, “ Even those that are extraordinarily inspired and qualified, must study hard, and wholly give themselves (*ἐν τοῖς ἱσθί*) to that and all their Ministerial work, if they would appear good proficient: therefore those that have no such inspiration have need of hard study. And they that wholly addict themselves to the Ministry, have no leisure for Magistracy or worldly avocations; nor can do that for many hundred Churches, which required the whole of a Timothy for one.” *Paraph. on New Test.*]

with our Lord and Saviour's example, who "denieth his Kingdom to be of this world, and therefore, as not standing with his calling, refused to be made a King; to give sentence in a Criminal cause of adultery; and in a Civil, of dividing an inheritance."

The Jews imagining that their Messiah should be a potent Monarch upon earth, no marvel, though when they did otherwise wonder at Christ's greatness, they sought forthwith to have him invested with that kind of dignity, to the end he might presently begin to reign. Others of the Jews, which likewise had the same imagination of the Messiah, and did somewhat incline to think that peradventure this might be he, thought good to try whether he would take upon him that which he might do, being a King, such as they supposed their true Messiah should be. But Christ refused to be a King over them, because it was no part of the Office of their Messiah, as they did falsely conceive; and to intermeddle in those acts of Civil judgment he refused also, because he had no such Jurisdiction in that Commonwealth; being, in regard of his Civil person, a man of mean and low calling. As for repugnancy between Ecclesiastical and Civil Power, or any inconvenience that these two Powers should be united, it doth not appear that this was the cause of his resistance either to reign, or else to judge.*

What say we then to the blessed Apostles who teach, "That soldiers entangle not themselves with the businesses of this life, but leave them, to the end they may please him who hath chosen them to serve; and that so the good soldiers of Christ ought to do?" ^{2 Tim. ii. 4.}

The Apostles which taught this, did never take upon them any place or office of Civil Power. No, they gave over the Ecclesiastical care of the poor, that they might wholly attend upon the Word and Prayer. St. Paul indeed doth exhort Timothy after this manner, "Suffer thou evil as a noble soldier of Jesus Christ: no man warring is entangled with the affairs of life, because he must serve such as have pressed him unto warfare." The sense and meaning whereof is plain, that Soldiers may not be nice and tender, that they must be able to endure hardness, that

* [See John vi. 38.]

[1 Cor.
vii. 32,
33.]

no man betaking himself unto wars continueth entangled with such kind of businesses, as tend only unto the ease and quiet felicity of this life; but if the service of him who hath taken them under his banner require the hazard, yea the loss, of their lives, to please him they must be content and willing, with any difficulty, any peril, be it never so much against the natural desire which they have to live in safety. And at this point the Clergy of God must always stand; thus it behoveth them to be affected as oft as their Lord and Captain leadeth them into the field, whatsoever conflicts, perils, or evils, they are to endure. Which duty being not such, but that therewith the Civil Dignities, which Ecclesiastical persons amongst us do enjoy, may enough stand; the exhortation of Paul to Timothy is but a slender allegation against them. As well might we gather out of this place, that men having children or wives, are not fit to be Ministers (which also hath been collected, and that by sundry of the ancient);* and that it is requisite the Clergy be utterly forbidden Marriage. For, as the burthen of Civil Regiment doth make them who bear it the less able to attend their Ecclesiastical charge; even so St. Paul doth say, that the married are careful for the world, the unmarried freer to give themselves wholly to the service of God. Howbeit, both experience hath found it safer, that the Clergy should bear the cares of honest marriage, than be subject to the inconveniences which single life, imposed upon them, would draw after it: and as many as are of sound judgment know it to be far better for this present age, that the detriment be borne which happily may grow through the lessening of some few men's spiritual labours, than that the Clergy and Commonwealth should lack the benefit which both the one and the other may reap through their dealing in Civil affairs. In which consideration, that men consecrated unto the spiritual service of God be licensed so far forth to meddle with the secular affairs of the world, as doth seem for some special good cause requisite, and may be without any grievous prejudice unto the Church, surely, there is not in the Apostles, being rightly understood, any let. That no Apostle did ever bear Office, may it not be a

* “*Convenit hujusmodi eligi et ordinari Sacerdotes, quibus nec liberi sunt nec nepotes. Etenim fieri vix potest, ut vacans hujus vitæ quotidianæ curis, quas liberi creant parentibus maxime, omne studium omnemque cogitationem circa divinam Liturgiam et res Ecclesiasticas consumat.*” Lib. xlii. sect. 1. C. de Episc. et Cler.

wonder, considering the great devotion of the age wherein they lived, and the zeal of Herod, of Nero the great commander of the known world, and of other Kings of the earth, at that time to advance by all means Christian Religion? Their deriving unto others that smaller charge of distributing of the goods which were laid at their feet, and of making provision for the poor, which charge, being in part Civil, themselves had before (as I suppose, lawfully) undertaken, and their following of that which was weightier, may ^[Acts vi. 4.] serve as a marvellous good example for the dividing of one man's Office into divers slips, and the subordinating of inferiors to discharge some part of the same, when, by reason of multitude increasing, that labour waxeth great and troublesome, which before was easy and light: but very small force* it hath to infer a perpetual divorce between Ecclesiastical and Civil Power in the same persons. The most that can be said in this case is, "That sundry eminent Canons, bearing the name of Apostolical, and divers Councils likewise there are, which have forbidden the Clergy to bear any Secular Office; and have enjoined them to attend altogether upon reading, preaching, and prayer: whereupon the most of the ancient Fathers have shewed great dislikes that these two Powers should be united in one person."

For a full and final answer whereunto, I would first demand, Whether commixtion and separation of these two powers be a matter of mere positive Law, or else a thing simply with or against the Law immutable of God and Nature? That which is simply against this latter Law can at no time be allowable in any person, more than adultery, blasphemy, sacrilege, and the like. But Conjunction of Power Ecclesiastical and Civil, what Law is there which hath not at some time or other allowed as a thing convenient and meet? In the Law of God we have examples sundry, whereby it doth most manifestly appear, how of him the same hath oftentimes been approved. No kingdom or nation in the world, but hath been thereunto accustomed without inconvenience and hurt.† In the prime of the world,

* [Hooker admits *some* force. But see Note †, p. 175.]

† ["In this instance, at least experience is against his Lordship's (Dr. Gibson, Bp. of London) Rule, That the Clergy are the most proper assistants to the Prince in the administration of all affairs that concern Spiritual Persons and Spiritual Things. The Reformation, which nearly concerned both, was happily conducted by other counsels. And if, on the

Kings and Civil Rulers were Priests for the most part all. The Romans note it as a thing beneficial in their own Commonwealth,* and even to them apparently forcible for the strengthening of the Jews' Regiment under Moses and Samuel.† I deny not, but sometime there may be, and hath been perhaps, just cause to ordain otherwise. Wherefore we are not to urge those things which heretofore have been either ordered or done, as thereby to prejudice those Orders, which, upon contrary occasion, and the exigence of the present time, by like Authority have been established. For, what is there which doth let, but that from contrary occasions contrary Laws may grow, and each be reasoned and disputed for by such as are subject thereunto, during the time they are in force; and yet neither so opposite to

other hand, we turn our thoughts to the times since the Reformation, wherein his Lordship's scheme took place, perhaps the experience of those times may further convince us of the weakness of the scheme. It will not be denied, that our Ecclesiastical affairs were under a meer Clerical Administration from the year 1628 to the meeting of the Long Parliament. A period remarkably infamous for a series of weak, angry, ill-concerted measures: measures calculated to beget in weak minds a veneration towards the Hierarchy; but executed with a pedantic severity, which produced a quite contrary effect. Certain enthusiastic conceits concerning the external beauties of Religion, and the Necessity of a general Uniformity in the business of holy garments, holy seasons, significant gestures, church utensils and ornaments, seem to have been the ruling principles of those times. These filled the gaols with Church Criminals, and sent thousands of our most useful hands to seek their bread in foreign parts. Through the influence these principles had on our *Spiritual* Governors, multitudes of learned and conscientious preachers were silenced, and exposed at once to the two greatest trials which can befall human nature, public infamy and remediless want. These principles alone, and a conduct on our part suited to them, broke our union with the Reformed Churches abroad and fomented a war in Scotland; which, together with a general alienation of affections at home, occasioned in great measure by a rigorous exercise of Ecclesiastical Discipline, prepared things for that scene of misery which ended in the ruin of our Constitution. These were the effects of an Administration purely Sacerdotal, in matters commonly called Spiritual! And though his Lordship is pleased to say, That there are few times in which the Church hath not been a sufferer under a different management, I believe it would puzzle a wise man to shew wherein the Church hath been a greater sufferer than in the effects of LAUD'S Administration, which takes in the whole period I have mentioned. For though he did not get to Canterbury till the year 1633, he was, notwithstanding, Prime Minister for Ecclesiastical Affairs, from the moment he was advanced to the See of London, *an. 1628.*" *Examination of the Scheme of Church-Power, laid down in the Codex Juris Ecclesiastici Aglicani*, by Sir Michael Foster, 1735, 8vo. p. 72. Compare this Note with one from Gauden, in Vol. I. *Epis. to Charles II.*]

* "Cum multa divinitus, Pontifices, a majoribus nostris inventa atque instituta sunt, tum nihil præclarius, quam quod vos eosdem et Religionibus Deorum immortalium, et summæ Reipub. præesse voluerunt." Cic. pro Domo sua ad Pontiff. "Honor Sacerdotii firmamentum potentiæ assumebatur." Tacit. Hist. lib. v. He sheweth the reason wherefore their Rulers were also Priests. The joining of these two Powers, as now, so then likewise, profitable for the public State, but in respects clean opposite and contrary. For, whereas then Divine things being more esteemed, were used as helps for the countenance of Secular power; the case in these latter ages is turned upside down, earth hath now brought Heaven under foot, and, in the course of the world, hath of the two the greater credit. Priesthood was then a strengthening to Kings, which now is forced to take strength and credit from far meaner degrees of Civil Authority.

† "Hic mos apud Judæos fuit, ut eosdem Reges et Sacerdotes haberent, quorum Justitia Religioni permixta incredibile quantum evaluere." Just. Hist. lib. xxxvi.

other, but that both may laudably continue, as long as the ages which keep them do see no necessary cause which may draw them unto alteration? Wherefore in these things, Canons, Constitutions, and Laws, which have been at one time meet, do not prove that the Church should always be bound to follow them. Ecclesiastical persons were by ancient Order forbidden to be executors of any man's testament, or to undertake the wardship of children. Bishops, by the Imperial Law, are forbidden to bequeath by testament, or otherwise to alienate, any thing grown unto them after they were made Bishops. Is there no remedy but that these, or the like Orders, must therefore every where still be observed? The reason is not always evident, why former Orders have been repealed and other established in their room. Herein therefore we must remember the axiom used in the Civil Laws, "That the Prince is always presumed to do that with reason, which is not against reason being done, although no reason of his deed be exprest." Which being in every respect as true of the Church, and her divine Authority in making Laws, it should be some bridle unto those malapert and proud spirits, whose wits not conceiving the reason of Laws that are established, they adore their own private fancy as the supreme Law of all, and accordingly take upon them to judge that whereby they should be judged.* But why labour we thus in vain? For even to change that which now is, and to establish instead thereof that which themselves would acknowledge the very self-same which hath been, to what purpose were it, sith they protest, That they utterly condemn as well that which hath been, as that which is; as well the ancient, as the present Superiority, Authority, and power of Ecclesiastical persons?†

C. de
Episc.
lib. 42.
sect. 24.

T. C.
lib. i.
p. 126.

* [If all were agreed what "reason" is, the above axiom might pass for a wise one; but because opposites are often maintained to be reason, respectively, it follows that as "where no Law is, there is no transgression," Rom. iv. 15, unless the *dicta* of Tyrants be Laws, therefore the right to question and examine the merits of deeds sanctioned by no legislative or competent authority, belongs to every individual affected by those deeds, whether Ecclesiastical or Civil; and hence the Reformation, and the glorious Revolution of 1688.]

† ["And thus will I make an end, leaving to the consideration and indifferent weighing of the indifferent Reader, how true it is that I have before propounded, That our Archbishops, Metropolitans, Archdeacons, Bishops, have besides the names almost nothing common with those which have been in elder times, before the sun of the Gospel began to be marvelously darkened. . . . But I have done; only this I admonish the Reader, that I do not allow of all those things which I before alleged in the comparison between our Archbishops and the Archbishops of old time, or our Bishops and theirs: only my intent is to shew that although there were corruptions, yet in respect of ours they be much more tolerable; and that it

The arguments answered, whereby they would prove that the Law of God, and the judgment of the best in all ages, condemneth the ruling Superiority of one Minister over another.

16. Now where they lastly allege, That the Law of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the judgment of the best in all ages, condemn all ruling Superiority of Ministers over Ministers; they are in this, as in the rest, more bold to affirm, than able to prove, the things which they bring for support of their weak and feeble cause. “The bearing of dominion or the exercise of authority (they say), is that wherein the Civil Magistrate is severed from the Ecclesiastical Officer, according to the words of our Lord and Saviour, ‘Kings of nations bear rule over them, but it shall not be so with you:’* therefore bearing of dominion doth not agree to one Minister over another.”† This place hath been, and still is, although most falsely, yet with far greater shew and likelihood of truth, brought forth by the Anabaptists, to prove that the Church of Christ ought to have no Civil Magistrates, but ordered only by Christ. Wherefore they urge the opposition between Heathens and them unto whom our Saviour speaketh. For, sith the Apostles were opposite to Heathens, not in that they were Apostles, but in that they were Christians, the Anabaptists’ inference is, “That Christ doth here give a Law, to be for ever observed by all true Christian men, between whom and Heathens there must be always this difference, that whereas Heathens have their Kings and Princes to rule, Christians ought not in this thing to be like unto them.” Wherein their construction hath the more shew, because that which Christ doth speak to his Apostles is not found always agreeable unto them as Apostles, or as Pastors of men’s souls, but oftentimes it toucheth them in generality, as they are Christians; so that Christianity being common unto them with all believers, such speeches must be so taken that they may be applied unto all, and not only unto them. They which consent with us, in rejecting such collections as the Anabaptist maketh with more probability, must give us leave to reject such as themselves have made with less; for a great deal less likely it is, that our Lord should here establish an everlasting difference, not between his Church and Pagans, but between the Pastors of his Church and Civil Governors. For, if herein they must always differ, that the one may not bear

might appear how small cause there is, that they should allege their examples to confirm the Archbishops and Bishops that now are.” T. C. lib. i. p. 126.]

* Luke xxii. 25, 26.

† T. C. lib. i. p. 22.

Rule, the other may; how did the Apostles themselves observe this difference, the exercise of whose authority, both in commanding and in controlling others, the Scripture hath made so manifest that no gloss can overshadow it? Again, it being, as they would have it, our Saviour's purpose to withhold his Apostles, and in them all other Pastors, from bearing Rule, why should Kingly Dominion be mentioned, which occasions men to gather, that not all dominion and rule, but this one only form, was prohibited, and that authority was permitted them, so it were not Regal? Furthermore, in case it had been his purpose to withhold Pastors altogether from bearing rule, why should Kings of nations be mentioned, as if they were not forbidden to exercise, no, not Regal dominion itself, but only such Regal dominion as Heathen Kings do exercise? The very truth is, our Lord and Saviour did aim at a far other mark than these men seem to observe. The end of his speech was to reform their particular mispersuasion to whom he spake: and their mispersuasion was, that which was also the common fancy of the Jews at that time, that their Lord being the Messiah of the world, should restore unto Israel ^[Acts i. 6.] that Kingdom, whereof the Romans had as then bereaved them; they imagined that he should not only deliver the State of Israel, but himself reign as King in the throne of David with all Secular pomp and dignity; that he should subdue the rest of the world, and make Jerusalem the seat of universal Monarchy. Seeing therefore they had forsaken all to follow him, being now in so mean condition, they did not think but that together with him they also should rise in state; that they should be the first and the most advanced by him.

Of this conceit it came, that the mother of the sons of ^[Matt. xx. 20—23.] Zebedee sued for her children's preferment; of this conceit it grew, that the Apostles began to question amongst them- ^[Luke ix. 46. xxii. 24.] selves which of them should be greatest; and in controlment of this conceit it was, that our Lord so plainly told them, that the thoughts of their hearts were vain. The Kings of nations have indeed their large and ample dominions, they reign far and wide, and their servants they advance unto honour in the world; they bestow upon them large and ample secular preferments, in which respect they are also termed many of them Benefactors, because of the

liberal hand which they use in rewarding such as have done them service: but, was it the meaning of the ancient Prophets of God that the Messias, the King of Israel, should be like unto these Kings, and his retinue grow in such sort as theirs? Wherefore ye are not to look for at my hands such preferments as Kings of nations are wont to bestow upon their attendants, “With you not so.” Your reward in Heaven shall be most ample, on earth your chiefest honour must be to suffer persecution for righteousness’ sake; submission, humility, and meekness, are things fitter for you to inure your minds withal, than these aspiring cogitations; if any amongst you be greater than other, let him shew himself greatest in being lowliest, let him be above them in being under them, even as a servant for their good. These are affections which you must put on; as for degrees of preferment and honour in this world, if ye expect any such thing at my hands ye deceive yourselves, for in the world your portion is rather the clear contrary. Wherefore they who allege this place against Episcopal Authority abuse it, they many ways deprave and wrest it clean from the true understanding wherein our Saviour himself did utter it.*

[Matt.
xx. 26.]

For, first, whereas he by way of mere negation had said, “With you it shall not be so,” foretelling them only that it should not so come to pass as they vainly surmised; these men take his words in a plain nature of a prohibition, as if Christ had thereby forbidden all inequality of Ecclesiastical Power. Secondly, whereas he did but cut off their idle hope of secular advancements; all standing Superiority amongst persons Ecclesiastical these men would rase off with the edge of his speech. Thirdly, whereas he in abating their hope even of secular advancements spake but only with relation unto himself, informing them that he would be no such munificent Lord unto them in their temporal dignity

* [“As the request of James and John plainly shewed that they did not understand our Lord’s words to Peter, Matt. xvi. 18, 19, as designed to invest him with any Authority over the rest of his brethren, so the answer which Christ here gives them, far from intimating any thing of that kind, concludes as strongly against any such Authority as a *negative* argument can be supposed to do. “But so it shall not be among you: but whosoever shall be great among you, shall be your *minister*: and whosoever of you will be the chiefest, shall be the *servant of all*.” Mark x. 43, 44. There is a gradation here not commonly observed. The word *διάκονος*, which for want of a better word, we render Minister, is a name which might be given to any who occasionally attended others, or was statedly employed to render them any particular kind of service; but *δούλος*, Servant, signifies one whose whole business it is to serve, and who is indeed the property of another. The words ‘of all,’ do likewise increase the gradation.” Dr. DODDRIDGE’S *Fam. Expos.* Notes on Matt. xx. 26, 27.]

and honour, as they did erroneously suppose; so that any Apostle might afterwards have grown by means of others to be even Emperor of Rome for any thing in those words to the contrary;—these men, removing quite and clean the hedge of all restraints, enlarge so far the bounds of his meaning, as if his very precise intent and purpose had been not to reform the error of his Apostles conceived as touching him, and to teach what himself would not be towards them; but to prescribe a special Law both to them and their successors for ever, a Law determining what they should not be in relation of one to another, a Law forbidding that any such title should be given to any Minister as might import or argue in him a Superiority over other Ministers.

Being thus defeated of that succour which they thought their cause might have had out of the words of our Saviour Christ, they try their adventure in seeking what aid man's testimony will yield them: “Cyprian objecteth it to Florentinus as a proud thing, that by believing evil reports, and misjudging of Cyprian, he made himself Bishop of a Bishop, and Judge over him whom God had for the time appointed to be Judge.” “The endeavour of godly men to strike at these insolent names may appear in the Council of Carthage: where it was decreed, That the Bishop of the chief See should not be entituled the Exarch of Priests, or the Highest Priest, or any other thing of like sense, but only the Bishop of the chiefest See;* whereby are shut out the name of Archbishop, and all other such haughty Titles.” In these allegations it fareth as in broken reports snatched out of the author's mouth, and broached before they be half either told on the one part, or on the other understood. The matter which Cyprian complaineth of in Florentinus was thus: Novatus misliking the easiness of Cyprian to admit men into the fellowship of believers after they had fallen away from the bold and constant confession of Christian Faith, took thereby occasion to separate himself from the Church; and being united with certain excommunicate persons, they joined their wits together, and drew out against Cyprian, their lawful Bishop, sundry grievous accusations; the crimes such, as being true, had made him incapable of that Office whereof he was six years as then possessed; they went to

T. C.
lib. i.
p. 99.

Lib. iv.
ep. 9.

[T. C.
lib. i.
p. 95.]

* “Ὅστε τὸν τῆς πρώτης Καθέδρας Ἐπίσκοπον μὴ λέγεσθαι Ἐξαρχὸν τῶν ἱερέων ἢ Ἀκρὸν ἱερέα ἢ τοιουτότροπον τί ποτε, ἀλλὰ μόνον Ἐπίσκοπον τῆς πρώτης Καθέδρας. Can. 39.

Rome, and to other places, accusing him every where as guilty of those faults of which themselves had lewdly condemned him, pretending that twenty-five African Bishops (a thing most false) had heard and examined his cause in a solemn Assembly, and that they all had given their sentence against him, holding his election by the Canons of the Church void. The same factious and seditious persons coming also unto Florentinus, who was at that time a man imprisoned for the testimony of Jesus Christ, but yet a favourer of the error of Novatus, their malicious accusations he over-willingly hearkened unto, gave them credit, concurred with them, and unto Cyprian, in fine, wrote his Letters against Cyprian: which Letters he justly taketh in marvellous evil part, and therefore severely controlleth his so great presumption in making himself a Judge of a Judge, and, as it were, a Bishop's Bishop, to receive accusations against him, as one that had been his Ordinary. "What height of pride is this (saith Cyprian), what arrogancy of spirit, what a puffing up of mind, to call Guides and Priests to be examined and sifted before him! So that, unless we shall be cleared in your Court, and absolved by your sentence, behold for these six years' space neither shall the brotherhood have had a Bishop, nor the people a Guide, nor the flock a Shepherd, nor the Church a Governor, nor Christ a Prelate, nor God a Priest." This is the pride which Cyprian condemneth in Florentinus, and not the Title or Name of Archbishop; about which matter there was not at that time so much as the dream of any controversy at all between them. A silly collection it is, that because Cyprian reproveth Florentinus for lightness of belief and presumptuous rashness of judgment, therefore he held the Title of Archbishop to be a vain and a proud name. Archbishops were chief amongst Bishops, yet Archbishops had not over Bishops that full Authority which every Bishop had over his own particular Clergy. Bishops were not subject unto their Archbishop as an Ordinary, by whom at all times they were to be judged, according to the manner of inferior Pastors, within the compass of each Diocess. A Bishop might suspend, excommunicate, depose, such as were of his own Clergy without any other Bishops-assistants; not so an Archbishop the Bishops that were in his own Province, above whom divers prerogatives were given him,

howbeit no such Authority and Power as alone to be Judge over them. For as a Bishop could not be ordained, so neither might he be judged by any one only Bishop, albeit that Bishop were his Metropolitan. Wherefore Cyprian, concerning the liberty and freedom which every Bishop had, spake in the Council of Carthage, whereat fourscore and seven Bishops were present, saying, “It resteth that every of us declare what we think of this matter, neither ^{Con. Carth. de Hær. baptizandis.} judging nor severing from the right of Communion any that shall think otherwise: for of us there is not any which maketh himself a Bishop of Bishops, or with tyrannical fear constraineth his colleagues unto the necessity of obedience, inasmuch as every Bishop, according to the reach of his liberty and power, hath his own free judgment, and can no more have another his Judge, than himself be Judge to another.” Whereby it appeareth, that amongst the African Bishops none did use such Authority over any as the Bishop of Rome did afterwards claim over all, forcing upon them opinions by main and absolute Power. Wherefore, unto the Bishop of Rome the same Cyprian also writeth concerning his opinion about Baptism: “These things we ^{Lib. ii. ep. i.} present unto your conscience, most dear brother, as well for common honour’s sake, as of single and sincere love, trusting that as you are truly yourself religious and faithful, so those things which agree with Religion and Faith will be acceptable unto you;—howbeit we know, that what some have over-drunk in, they will not let go, neither easily change their mind, but with care of preserving whole amongst their brethren the bond of peace and concord, retaining still to themselves certain their own opinions wherewith they have been inured;—wherein we neither use force, nor prescribe a Law unto any, knowing that in the government of the Church every Ruler hath his own voluntary free judgment, and of that which he doth shall render unto the Lord himself an account.” As for the Council of Carthage, doth not the very first Canon thereof establish with most effectual terms all things which were before agreed on in the Council of Nice? And that the Council of Nice did ratify the pre-eminence of Metropolitan Bishops, who is ignorant? The name of an Arch-

* Ὅστε τὰ ἐν τῇ Νικαίων Συνόδῳ ὁρισθέντα παντὶ τρόπῳ παραφυλαχθήσεται.
[Can. 13.]

bishop importeth only a Bishop having Chieftly of certain prerogatives above his brethren of the same Order. Which thing, sith the Council of Nice doth allow, it cannot be that the other of Carthage should condemn it, inasmuch as this doth yield unto that a Christian unrestrained approbation.

The thing provided for by the Synod of Carthage can be no other, therefore, than only that the chiefest Metropolitan, where many Archbishops were within any greater Province, should not be termed by those names, as to import the Power of an ordinary Jurisdiction belonging in such degree and manner unto him over the rest of the Bishops and Archbishops as did belong unto every Bishop over other Pastors under Him. But much more absurd it is to affirm, that both Cyprian and the Council of Carthage condemn even such Superiority also of Bishops themselves over Pastors their inferiors, as the words of Ignatius imply, in terming the Bishop “a Prince of Priests.” Bishops to be termed Arch-priests, in regard of their Superiority over Priests, is in the writings of the ancient Fathers a thing so usual and familiar, as almost no one thing more. At the Council of Nice, saith Theodoret, three hundred and eighteen Arch-priests were present.* Were it the meaning of the Council of Carthage, that the Title of Chief-priest and such like ought not in any sort at all to be given unto any Christian Bishop, what excuse should we make for so many ancient both Fathers, and Synods of Fathers, as have generally applied the Title of Arch-priest unto every Bishop’s Office?† High time I think it is, to give over the obstinate defence of this most miserable forsaken cause; in the favour whereof neither God, nor amongst so many wise and virtuous men as antiquity hath brought forth, any one can be found to have hitherto directly spoken. Irksome confusion must of necessity be the end whereunto all such vain and ungrounded confidence doth bring, as hath nothing to bear it out but only an excessive measure of bold and peremptory words, holpen by the start of a little time, before they came to be examined. In the writings of the

T. C.
lib. i.
p. 113.

* Theod. Hist. Eccles. lib. i. cap. 7. Ἀρχιερεῖς.

† Hieronymus contra Lucifer. salutem Ecclesiæ pendere dicit a summi Sacerdotis dignitate, id est, Episcopi. Idem est in Hieronymo Summus Sacerdos quod Ἀρχὸς Ἱερεὺς in Carthaginensi Concilio. Vide C. Omnes, dist. 38. Item C. Pontifices, 12. q. 3. Item C. De his, De conseq. dist. 5.

ancient Fathers, there is not any thing with more serious asseveration inculcated, than that it is God which maketh Bishops; that their Authority hath divine allowance; that the Bishop is the Priest of God; that he is Judge in Christ's stead; that, according to God's own Law, the whole Christian fraternity standeth bound to obey him. Of this there was not in the Christian world of old any doubt or controversy made; it was a thing universally every where agreed upon. What should move men to judge that now so unlawful and naught, which then was so reverently esteemed? Surely no other cause but this, Men were in those times meek, lowly, tractable, willing to live in dutiful awe and subjection unto the Pastors of their souls; * now, We imagine ourselves so able every man to teach and direct all others, that none of us can brook it to have superiors, and, for a mask to hide our pride, we pretend falsely the Law of Christ, as if we did seek the execution of his will, when in truth we labour for the mere satisfaction of our own against his.

17. The chiefest cause of disdain and murmur against Bishops in the Church of England is, that evil-affected eye wherewith the world looked upon them since the time that irreligious profaneness, beholding the due and just advancements of God's Clergy, hath under pretence of enmity unto ambition and pride proceeded so far, that the contumely of old offered unto Aaron in the like quarrel may seem very moderate and quiet dealing, if we compare it with the fury of our own times. The ground and original of both their proceedings one and the same; in declaration of their grievances they differ not; the complaints as well of the one as the other are, "Wherefore lift ye up yourselves thus far above the Congregation of the Lord? It is too much which ye take upon you; too much Power, and too much Honour." Wherefore, as we have shewed that there is not in their Power any thing unjust or unlawful, so it resteth that in their Honour also the like be done. The labour we take unto this purpose is by so much the harder, in that we are forced to wrestle with the stream of obstinate affection,

The second malicious thing wherein the state of Bishops suffereth obloquy, is their Honour.

Numb. xvi. 2.

* [What reliance can be placed on this representation may be judged of by investigating the history of the period. Cyprian being principally referred to in this Section, it may suffice to adduce the testimony of MOSHEIM, *Eccl. Hist.* Cent. iii. Pt. i. chap. 2. sect. 4, where is instanced, "a keen dispute between Cyprian and the Martyrs, Confessors, Presbyters, and lapsed, seconded by the People."]

mightily carried by a wilful prejudice, the dominion whereof is so powerful over them in whom it reigneth, that it giveth them no leave, no not as much as patiently to hearken unto any speech which doth not profess to feed them in this their bitter humour. Notwithstanding, forasmuch as I am persuaded that against God they will not strive, if they perceive once that in truth it is he against whom they open their mouths, my hope is their own confession will be at the length, “Behold, we have done exceeding foolishly; it was the Lord, and we knew it not; him in his Ministers we have despised, we have in their Honour impugned his.” But the alteration of men’s hearts must be his good and gracious work, whose most omnipotent power framed them. Wherefore, to come to our present purpose, Honour is no where due, saving only unto such as have in them that whereby they are found, or at the least presumed, voluntarily beneficial unto them of whom they are honoured. Wheresoever Nature seeth the countenance of a man, it still presumeth that there is in him a mind willing to do good, if need require, inasmuch as by nature so it should be; for which cause men unto men do honour, even for very humanity’s sake: and unto whom we deny all honour, we seem plainly to take from them all opinion of human dignity, to make no account or reckoning of them, to think them so utterly without virtue, as if no good thing in the world could be looked for at their hands: seeing therefore it seemeth hard that we should so hardly think of any man, the Precept of St. Peter is,

1 Pet.
ii. 17.

“Honour all men.” Which duty of every man towards all doth vary according to the several degrees whereby they are more or less beneficial, whom we do honour. “Honour thy Physician,” saith the Wise Man: the reason why, because for necessity’s sake God created him. Again,

Ecclus.
xxxviii.
1.

“Thou shalt rise up before the hoary head, and honour the person of the aged:” the reason why, because the younger sort have great benefit by their gravity, experience, and wisdom; for which cause, these things the Wise man termeth the crown or diadem of the aged. Honour due to parents: the reason why, because we have our beginning from them; “Obey the father that hath begotten thee, the mother that bare thee despise thou not.” Honour due unto Kings and Governors: the reason why, because God

Levit.
xix. 32.

Ecclus.
xxv. 6.

Prov.
xxiii. 22.

hath set them “for the punishment of evil-doers, and for the praise of them that do well.” Thus we see by every of these particulars, that there is always some kind of virtue beneficial, wherein they excel who receive Honour; and that degrees of Honour are distinguished according to the value of those effects which the same beneficial virtue doth produce.

Nor is Honour only an inward estimation, whereby they are revered and well thought of in the minds of men; but Honour, whereof we now speak, is defined to be an External Sign, by which we give a sensible testification that we acknowledge the beneficial virtue of others. Sarah ^[Gen. xlviii. 12.] honoured her husband Abraham; this appeareth by the title she gave him. The brethren of Joseph did him Honour in the land of Egypt; their lowly and humble gesture sheweth it. Parents will hardly persuade themselves that this intentional Honour, which reacheth no farther than to the inward conception only, is the Honour which their children owe them.

Touching that Honour which, mystically agreeing unto Christ, was yielded literally and really unto Solomon, the words of the Psalmist concerning it are, “Unto him they shall give of the gold of Sheba, they shall pray for him continually, and daily bless him.” ^[Psalm lxxii. 15.] Weigh these things in themselves, titles, gestures, presents, other the like External Signs wherein Honour doth consist, and they are matters of no great moment. Howbeit, take them away, let them cease to be required, and they are not things of small importance, which that surcease were likely to draw after it. Let the Lord Mayor of London, or any other unto whose Office Honour belongeth, be deprived but of that Title which in itself is a matter of nothing; and suppose we that it would be a small maim unto the credit, force, and countenance of his Office? It hath not without the singular wisdom of God been provided, that the ordinary outward tokens of Honour should for the most part be in themselves things of mean account; for to the end they might easily follow as faithful testimonies of that beneficial virtue whereunto they are due, it behoved them to be of such nature, that to himself no man might over-eagerly challenge them, without blushing; nor any man where they are due withhold them, but with manifest appearance of too great malice or pride. Now,

forasmuch as, according to the ancient Orders and Customs of this Land, as of the Kingdom of Israel, and of all Christian Kingdoms through the world, the next in degree of Honour unto the chief Sovereign are the chief Prelates of God's Church; what the reason hereof may be, it resteth next to be enquired.

What
good
doth
publicly
grow
from the
Prelacy.

18. Other reason there is not any, wherefore such Honour hath been judged due, saving only that public good which the Prelates of God's Clergy are authors of. For I would know which of these things it is whereof we make any question, either that the favour of God is the chiefest pillar to bear up Kingdoms and States; or, that true Religion publicly exercised is the principal mean to retain the favour of God; or, that the Prelates of the Church are they without whom the exercise of true Religion cannot well and long continue? If these three be granted, then cannot the public benefit of Prelacy be dissembled. And of the first or second of these I look not for any profest denial: the world at this will blush not to grant, at the leastwise in word, as much as Heathens themselves have of old with most earnest asseveration acknowledged, concerning the force of divine grace in upholding Kingdoms.* Again, though his mercy doth so far strive with men's ingratitude, that, all kind of public iniquities deserving his indignation, their safety is through his gracious providence many times nevertheless continued, to the end that amendment might, if it were possible, avert their envy; so that as well Commonweals as particular persons both may and do endure much longer, when they are careful, as they should be, to use the most effectual means of procuring his favour on whom their continuance principally dependeth: yet this point no man will stand to argue, no man will openly arm himself to enter into set disputation against the Emperors Theodosius and Valentinian, for making unto their Laws concerning Religion this Preface: "Decere arbitramur nostrum Imperium, subditos nostros de Religione commonefacere. Ita enim et plenior acquiritur Dei ac Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi benignitatem possibile esse existimamus, si quando et nos pro viribus ipsi placere studuerimus, et nostros subditos ad eam rem instituerimus:

Tit. 1.
lib. iii.
C. de
summa
Trinit.

* "Quis est tam vecors, qui aut, cum suspexerit in cœlum, Deos esse non sentiat, et e quæ tanta mente fiunt, ut vix quisquam arte ulla ordinem rerum ac vicissitudinem persequi possit, casu fieri putet; aut, cum Deos esse intellexerit, non intelligat eorum numine hoc tantum Imperium esse natum, et auctum, et retentum?" Cic. Orat. de Harus. respon. c. ix.

or, against the Emperor Justinian, for that he also maketh the like profession; “Per sanctissimas Ecclesias et nostrum Imperium sustineri, et communes res clementissimi Dei gratia muniri, credimus:” and in another place, “Certissime credimus, quia Sacerdotum puritas et decus, et ad Dominum Deum ac Salvatorem nostrum Jesum Christum fervor, et ab ipsis missæ perpetuæ preces, multum favorem nostræ Reipublicæ et incrementum præbent.”

Lib. iii.
C. de
Episc.
et Cler.

Lib.
xxxiv.
C. de
Episc.
audiend.

Wherefore only the last point is that which men will boldly require us to prove; for no man feareth now to make it a question, “Whether the Prelacy of the Church be any thing available or no, to effect the good and long continuance of true Religion?” Amongst the principal blessings wherewith God enriched Israel, the Prophet in the Psalm acknowledgeth especially this for one, “Thou didst lead thy people like sheep by the hands of Moses and Aaron.” That which sheep are, if Pastors be wanting, the same are the people of God, if so be they want Governors: and that which the principal Civil Governors are, in comparison of Regents under them, the same are the Prelates of the Church, being compared with the rest of God’s Clergy.*

Psalm.
lxxvii.
20.

Wherefore inasmuch as amongst the Jews the benefit of Civil Government grew principally from Moses, he being their principal Civil Governor; even so the benefit of Spiritual Regiment grew from Aaron principally, he being in the other kind their principal Rector, although even herein subject to the sovereign dominion of Moses. For which cause, these two alone are named as the heads and wellsprings of all. As for the good which others did in service either of the Commonwealth or of the Sanctuary, the chiefest glory thereof did belong to the chiefest Governors of the one sort and of the other, whose vigilant care and oversight kept them in their due order. Bishops are now as High-priests were then, in regard of Power over other Priests, and in respect of subjection unto High-priests. What Priests were then, the same now Presbyters are, by way of their place under Bishops.† The ones’ Authority therefore being

* [The fallacy here, is the assuming of the cases to be parallel: for it has not been proved that the outward condition of a Church constituted agreeably to New Testament precedent and injunction can follow the pattern of every kind of “Civil Governors,” whether Monarchical, Oligarchical, or Republican. See Note *, sect. 2. p. 92, and Note *, sect. 8. p. 135.]

† “Qui Sacerdotes in Veteri Testamento vocabantur, hi sunt qui nunc Presbyteri appellantur: et qui tunc Princeps Sacerdotum, nunc Episcopus vocatur.” Raban. Maur. de Instit. Cler. lib. i. cap. 6.

so profitable, how should the others' be thought unnecessary? Is there any man professing Christian Religion which holdeth it not as a maxim, That the Church of Jesus Christ did reap a singular benefit by Apostolical Regiment, not only for other respects, but even in regard of that Prelacy whereby they had and exercised Power of Jurisdiction over lower Guides of the Church? Prelates are herein the Apostles' successors, as hath been proved.

Thus we see that Prelacy must needs be acknowledged exceedingly beneficial in the Church; and yet for more perspicuity's sake, it shall not be pain [*sic*] superfluously taken, if the manner how be also declared at large. For this one thing not understood by the vulgar sort, causeth all contempt to be offered unto higher powers, not only Ecclesiastical, but Civil; whom when proud men have disgraced, and are therefore reprov'd by such as carry some dutiful affection of mind, the usual apologies which they make for themselves are these, "What more virtue in these great ones than in others? we see no such eminent good which they do above other men." We grant indeed, that the good which higher Governors do is not so immediate and near unto every of us, as many times the meaner labours of others under them, and this doth make it to be less esteemed.

But we must note, that it is in this case as in a ship; he that sitteth at the stern is quiet, he moveth not, he seemeth in a manner to do little or nothing in comparison of them that sweat about other toil, yet that which he doth is in value and force more than all the labours of the residue laid together. The influence of the heavens above worketh infinitely more to our good, and yet appeareth not half so sensible as the force doth of things below. We consider not what it is which we reap by the Authority of our chiefest spiritual Governors, nor are likely to enter into any consideration thereof, till we want them; and that is the cause why they are at our hands so unthankfully rewarded. Authority is a constraining power; which power were needless if we were all such as we should be, willing to do the things we ought to do without constraint. But, because generally we are otherwise, therefore we all reap singular benefit by that Authority which permitteth no man, though they would, to slack their duty. It doth not suffice, that the Lord of an Household appoint labourers what they shall do, unless

he set over them some chief workman to see they do it. Constitutions and Canons made for the ordering of Church-affairs are dead taskmasters. The due execution of Laws Spiritual dependeth most upon the vigilant care of the chiefest spiritual Governors, whose charge is to see that such Laws be kept by the Clergy and people under them: with those duties which the Law of God and the Ecclesiastical Canons require in the Clergy, Lay-governors are neither for the most part so well acquainted, nor so deeply and nearly touched. Requisite therefore it is, that Ecclesiastical persons have authority in such things; which kind of Authority maketh them that have it Prelates. If then it be a thing confest, as by all good men it needs must be, to have Prayers read in all Churches, to have the Sacraments of God administered, to have the Mysteries of Salvation painfully taught, to have God every where devoutly worshipped, and all this perpetually, and with quietness, bringeth unto the whole Church, and unto every member thereof, inestimable good; how can that Authority which hath been proved the Ordinance of God for preservation of these duties in the Church, how can it choose but deserve to be held a thing publicly most beneficial? It were to be wished, and is to be laboured for as much as can be, that they who are set in such rooms may be furnished with honourable qualities and graces every way fit for their calling: but, be they otherwise, howsoever, so long as they are in Authority, all men reap some good by them, albeit not so much good as if they were abler men. There is not any amongst us all, but is a great deal more apt to exact another man's duty, than the best of us is to discharge exactly his own; and therefore Prelates, although neglecting many ways their duty unto God and men, do notwithstanding by their Authority great good, in that they keep others, at the leastwise, in some awe under them.

It is our duty, therefore, in this consideration, to honour them that rule as Prelates, which Office if they discharge well, the Apostle's own verdict is, that the Honour they have they be worthy of, yea, though it were double. And if their government be otherwise, the judgment of sage men hath ever been this, That albeit the dealings of Governors be culpable, yet honourable they must be, in respect of that Authority by which they govern. Great caution must be used that we neither be emboldened to follow them in evil,

1 Tim.
v. 17.

Rom.
xiii. 7.

whom for authority's sake we honour, nor induced in authority to dishonour them, whom as examples we may not follow. In a word, not to dislike Sin, though it should be in the highest, were unrighteous meekness, and proud righteousness it is to condemn or dishonour Highness, though it should be in the sinfulness of men that live. But so hard it is to obtain at our hands, especially as now things stand,* the yielding of honour to whom honour in this case belongeth, that by a brief declaration only what the duties of men are towards the principal Guides and Pastors of their souls, we cannot greatly hope to prevail, partly for the malice of their open adversaries, and partly for the cunning of such as in a sacrilegious intent, work their dishonour under covert, by more mystical and secret means. Wherefore requisite, and in a manner necessary, it is, that by particular instances we make it even palpably manifest what singular benefit and use public the nature of Prelates is apt to yield.

Dent.
iv. 6.Matt.
v. 14.

First. No man doubteth but that unto the happy condition of Commonweals it is a principal help and furtherance, when in the eye of Foreign States their estimation and credit is great. In which respect, the Lord himself commending his own Laws unto his people, mentioneth this as a thing not meanly to be accounted of, That their careful obedience yielded thereunto should purchase them a great good opinion abroad, and make them every where famous for wisdom. Fame and reputation groweth especially by the virtue, not of common ordinary persons, but of them which are in each estate most eminent by occasion of their higher place and calling. The mean man's actions, be they good or evil, they reach not far, they are not greatly enquired into, except perhaps by such as dwell at the next door: whereas men of more ample dignity are as cities on the tops of hills, their lives are viewed afar off; so that the more there are which observe aloof what they do, the greater glory by their well-doing they purchase both unto God whom they serve, and to the State wherein they live. Wherefore, if the Clergy be a beautifying unto the Body of this Commonweal in the eyes of Foreign beholders, and if in the Clergy the Prelacy be most exposed unto the world's eye, what public benefit doth grow from that Order, in regard of reputation thereby

* [Who can wonder that such Prelates as Whitgift, Bancroft, &c. should bring their Office into disrepute? That they were learned, aggravates their enormities.]

gotten to the Land from abroad, we may soon conjecture. Amongst the Jews (their Kings excepted) who so renowned throughout the world as their High-priest? Who so much or so often spoken of as their Prelates?

ii. Which Order is not for the present only the most in sight, but for that very cause also the most commended unto posterity. For if we search those records wherein there hath descended from age to age whatsoever notice and intelligence we have of those things which were before us, is there any thing almost else, surely not any thing so much, kept in memory, as the successions, doings, sufferings, and affairs of Prelates.* So that either there is not any public use of that light which the Church doth receive from Antiquity; or if this be absurd to think, then must we necessarily acknowledge ourselves beholden more unto Prelates than unto others their inferiors, for that good of direction which Ecclesiastical actions recorded do always bring.

iii. But to call home our cogitations, and more inwardly to weigh with ourselves what principal commodity that Order yieldeth, or at leastwise is of it [*its*] own disposition and nature apt to yield: Kings and Princes, partly for information of their own consciences, partly for instruction what they have to do in a number of most weighty affairs, entangled with the cause of Religion, having, as all men know, so usual occasion of often consultations and conferences with their Clergy; suppose we, that no public detriment would follow upon the want of honourable personages Ecclesiastical to be used in those cases? It will be haply said, "That the highest might learn to stoop, and not to disdain the advice of some circumspect, wise, and virtuous Minister of God, albeit the Ministry were not by such degrees distinguished." What Princes in that case might or should do, it is not material. Such difference being presupposed therefore, as we have proved already to have been the Ordinance of God, there is no judicious man will ever make any question or doubt, but that fit and direct it is for the highest and chiefest Order in God's Clergy to be employed before others, about so near and necessary Offices as the sacred estate of the greatest on

* ["Qui legit historiam Ecclesiasticam, quid legit nisi vitia Episcoporum?" GROTIUS.]

earth doth require. For this cause Joshua had Eleazar; David, Abiathar; Constantine, Hosius Bishop of Corduba; other Emperors and Kings their Prelates, by whom in private (for with Princes this is the most effectual way of doing good) to be admonished, counselled, comforted, and if need were, reprovèd.*

Whensoever Sovereign Rulers are willing to admit these so necessary private conferences for their spiritual and ghostly good, inasmuch as they do for the time, while they take advice, grant a kind of Superiority unto them of whom they receive it, albeit haply they can be contented even so far to bend to the gravest and chiefest persons in the Order of God's Clergy,† yet this of the very best being rarely and hardly obtained, now that there are whose greater and higher callings do somewhat more proportion them unto that ample conceit and spirit wherewith the minds of so powerful persons are possessed; what should we look for in case God himself not authorizing any by miraculous means, as of old he did his Prophets, the equal meanness of all did leave, in respect of calling, no more place of decency for one than for another to be admitted? Let unexperienced wits imagine what pleaseth them, in having to deal with so great personages these personal differences are so necessary that there must be regard had of them.

iv. Kingdoms being principally (next unto God's Almightiness, and the sovereignty of the highest under God) upheld by wisdom and by valour, as by the chiefest human means to cause continuance in safety with honour (for the labours of them who attend the service of God, we reckon as means divine, to procure our protection from Heaven); from hence it riseth, that men excelling in either of these, or descending from such, as for excellency either way have been ennobled, or possessing howsoever the rooms of such as should be in politic wisdom or in martial prowess eminent, are had in singular recommendation. Notwithstanding,

* [Eccles. viii. 4. Isai. xxix. 16.]

† [Whose Clergy are they, of whom Kings make the Bishops, and Bishops make the others? Wycliffe has denominated the intermediate party "a Cæsarean prelacy." See VAUGHAN'S *Life, &c. of Wycliffe*, Vol. II. p. 342. "Archbishops, Bishops, Archdeacons, and all other Ecclesiastical Officers, have no manner of Jurisdiction Ecclesiastical, but by, under, and from, his royal Majesty." *Act of Parl.* temp. HEN. VIII. Hence Bishop BURNET acknowledges that "The KING gave Bishops their power to ordain or deprive Ministers; to exercise Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction; and perform all other parts of the Episcopal Function"!]

because they are by the state of Nobility great, but not thereby made inclinable to good things; such they oftentimes prove even under the best Princes, as under David certain of the Jewish Nobility were. In Polity and Council the world had not Achitophel's equal, nor hell his equal in deadly malice. Joab the General of the Host of Israel, valiant, industrious, fortunate in war, but withal headstrong, cruel, treacherous, void of piety towards God; in a word, so conditioned, that easy it is not to define, whether it were for David harder to miss the benefit of his warlike ability, or to bear the enormity of his other crimes. As well for the cherishing of those virtues therefore, wherein if Nobility do chance to flourish, they are both an ornament and a stay to the Commonwealth wherein they live; as also for the bridling of those disorders, which if they loosely run into, they are by reason of their greatness dangerous; what help could there ever have been invented more divine, than the sorting of the Clergy into such degrees, that the chiefest of the Prelacy being matched in a kind of equal yoke, as it were, with the higher, the next with the lower degree of Nobility, the reverend Authority of the one might be to the other as a courteous bridle, a mean to keep them lovingly in awe that are exorbitant, and to correct such excesses in them as whereunto their courage, state, and dignity make them over-prone?*. O that there were for encouragement of Prelates herein, that inclination of all Christian Kings and Princes towards them, which sometime a famous King of this Land either had, or pretended to have, for the countenancing of a principal Prelate under him in the actions of Spiritual Authority!†

“Let my Lord Archbishop know (saith he), that if a Petr. Blesens. Ep. 5. Bishop, or Earl, or any other great person, yea, if my own chosen Son, shall presume to withstand, or to hinder his will and disposition, whereby he may be withheld from performing the work of the embassy committed unto him; such a one shall find, that of his contempt I will shew

* [“Behold your BISHOP! Well he plays his part,
Christian in name, and Infidel in heart,
Ghostly in office, earthly in his plan,
A slave at Court, elsewhere a lady's man.
Dumb as a Senator, and as a Priest
A piece of mere Church-furniture at best.”

Poems by W. COWPER, Esq. *Tirocinium*, l. 420.]

† [Henry II. and Thomas à Becket.]

myself no less a persecutor and revenger, than if treason were committed against mine own very Crown and Dignity." Sith, therefore, by the Fathers and first Founders of this Commonweal it hath, upon great experience and forecast, been judged most for the good of all sorts, that as the whole Body Politic wherein we live should be for strength's sake a threefold cable, consisting of the King as a supreme Head over all, of Peers and Nobles under him, and of the People under them; so likewise, that in this conjunction of states, the second wreath of that cable should, for important respects, consist as well of Lords Spiritual as Temporal.* Nobility and Prelacy being by this mean twined together, how can it possibly be avoided, but that the tearing away of the one must needs exceedingly weaken the other, and by consequent impair greatly the good of all?

v. The force of which detriment there is no doubt but that the common sort of men would feel to their helpless woe, how goodly a thing soever they now surmise it to be, that themselves and their godly Teachers did all alone, without controlment of their Prelate. For, if the manifold jeopardies whereto a people destitute of Pastors is subject, be unavoidable without Government, and if the benefit of Government, whether it be Ecclesiastical or Civil, do grow principally from them who are principal therein, as hath been proved out of the Prophet, who, albeit the people of Israel had sundry inferior Governors, ascribeth not unto them the public benefit of Government, but maketh mention of Moses and Aaron only, the chief Prince and chief Prelate, because they were the well-spring of all the good which others under them did; may we not boldly conclude, that to take from the people their Prelate, is to leave them in effect without guides; at leastwise, without those guides which are the strongest hands that God doth direct them

* ["At first," remarks the learned Dr. BARROW, "every Church was settled apart under its own Bishop and Presbyters; so as independently and separately to manage its own concerns; each was governed by its own head, and had its own laws." ... "The Metropolitcal Governance," he adds, "was introduced by *human prudence*, following considerations of public necessity:" and he shews, that it was by the moulding of the Ecclesiastical Government in conformity to the Civil, that the power of the Metropolitans, Primates, Patriarchs, and at length, the Papacy, successively originated. "There are, indeed, some," he remarks, "who think it (the Metropolitcal Government) was instituted by the Apostles; but their arguments do not seem convincing; and such a constitution doth not, as I take it, well suit to the state of their times, and the course which they took in founding Churches." *Treatise of the Pope's Supremacy*. 1680, 4to. p. 240.]

by? “Thou didst lead thy people like sheep (saith the Prophet) by the hands of Moses and Aaron.” Psalm
lxxvii.
20.

If now there arise any matter of grievance between the Pastor and the people that are under him, they have their Ordinary, a Judge indifferent to determine their causes, and to end their strife. But in case there were no such appointed to sit, and to hear both, what would then be the end of their quarrels? They will answer, perhaps, “That for such purposes their Synods shall serve.” Which is, as if in the Commonwealth, the higher Magistrates being removed, every Township should be a State altogether free and independent; and the controversies which they cannot end speedily within themselves, to the contentment of both parties, should be all determined by solemn Parliaments.* Merciful God! where is the light of wit and judgment, which this age doth so much vaunt of and glory in, when unto these such odd imaginations so great not only assent, but also applause, is yielded?

vi. As for those in the Clergy whose place and calling is lower, were it not that their eyes are blinded lest they should see the thing that of all others is for their good most effectual, somewhat they might consider the benefit which they enjoy by having such in Authority over them as are of the self-same profession, society, and body with them; such as have trodden the same steps before; such as know by their own experience the manifold intolerable contempts and indignities which faithful† Pastors, intermingled with the multitude, are constrained every day to suffer in the exercise of their spiritual charge and function; unless their Superiors, taking their causes even to heart, be, by a kind of sympathy, drawn to believe and aid them in their virtuous proceedings no less effectually, than loving parents their dear children.

Thus therefore Prelacy, being unto all sorts so beneficial, ought accordingly to receive Honour at the hands of all: but we have just cause exceedingly to fear that those miserable times of confusion are drawing on, wherein “the people shall be oppressed one of another;” inasmuch as Isa.
iii. 5. already that which prepareth the way thereunto is come to

* [Is not something like this really the case, in “*this age*,” among Corporate Towns and Cities in the Germanic Circles?]

† [The Reader can make his own comment on this epithet.]

pass, "Children presume against the ancient, and the vile against the honourable." Prelacy, the temperature of excesses in all estates, the glue and soder of the public-weal, the ligament which tieth and connecteth the limbs of this body-politic each to other, hath, instead of deserved Honour, all extremity of disgrace. The foolish every where plead, that unto the wise in heart* they owe neither Service, Subjection, nor Honour.†

What
kinds of
Honour
be due
unto
Bishops.

19. Now that we have laid open the causes for which Honour is due unto Prelates, the next thing we are to consider is, what kinds of Honour be due. The good Government either of the Church or the Commonwealth dependeth scarcely on any one external thing so much as on the public marks and tokens whereby the estimation that Governors are in is made manifest to the eyes of men. True it is, that Governors are to be esteemed according to the excellency of their virtues; the more virtuous they are, the more they ought to be honoured, if respect be had unto that which every man should voluntarily perform unto his superiors. But the question is now, of that Honour which Public Order doth appoint unto Church-governors, in that they are Governors; the end whereof is, to give open, sensible testimony, that the place which they hold is judged publicly in such degree beneficial, as the marks of their excellency, the Honours appointed to be done unto them, do import. Wherefore this Honour we are to do them, without presuming ourselves to examine how worthy they are; and withdrawing it, if by us they be thought unworthy. It is a note of that public judgment which is given of them; and therefore not tolerable that men in private should, by refusal to do them such Honour, reverse, as much as in them lieth, the public judgment. If it deserve so grievous punishment, when any particular person adventureth to deface those marks whereby is signified what value some small piece of coin is publicly esteemed at; is it sufferable that Honours, the characters of that estimation which publicly is had of public estates and callings in the Church or Commonwealth,

* [Job xxxii. 9.]

† ["The Civil respect due to rank has no affinity to the Moral deference which we yield to the character of the Minister of Christ. . . . The artificial distinctions of Civil society, Christianity teaches us to respect; it leaves them inviolate; but, at the same time, it passes sentence upon their nothingness, and disdains to employ their aid." CONDER'S *Protest. Non-conf.* 1818. Vol. I. p. 151.]

should at every man's pleasure be cancelled? Let us not think that without most necessary cause the same have been thought expedient. The first authors thereof were wise and judicious men; they knew it a thing altogether impossible, for each particular in the multitude to judge what benefit doth grow unto them from their Prelates, and thereupon uniformly to yield them convenient Honour. Wherefore, that all sorts might be kept in obedience and awe, doing that unto their Superiors of every degree, not which every man's special fancy should think meet, but which, being beforehand agreed upon as meet by public sentence and decision, might afterwards stand as a rule for each in particular to follow; they found that nothing was more necessary than to allot unto all degrees their certain Honour, as marks of public judgment concerning the dignity of their places; which mark when the multitude should behold, they might be thereby given to know, that of such or such estimation their Governors are, and in token thereof do carry those notes of excellency. Hence it groweth, that the different notes and signs of Honour do leave a correspondent impression in the minds of common beholders. Let the people be asked, who are the chiefest in any kind of calling? who most to be list'ned unto? who of greatest account and reputation? and see if the very discourse of their minds lead them not unto those sensible marks, according to the difference whereof they give their suitable judgment, esteeming them the worthiest persons who carry the principal note and public mark of worthiness. If therefore they see in other estates a number of tokens sensible, whereby testimony is given what account there is publicly made of them, but no such thing in the Clergy; what will they hereby, or what can they else conclude, but that where they behold this, surely in that Commonwealth, Religion, and they that are conversant about it, are not esteemed greatly beneficial? Whereupon, in time, the open contempt of God and godliness must needs ensue: "*Qui bona fide Deos* Præf. lib. v. Silva. *colit, amat et Sacerdotes,*" saith Papinius. In vain doth that Kingdom or Commonwealth pretend zeal to the Honour of God, which doth not provide that his Clergy also may have Honour.* Now if all that are employed in the service of

* ["That spell is past, by which the very name of a Clergyman, in common acceptation, carried with it associations of a sacred character, and stamped its bearer as a holy man, to

God should have one kind of Honour, what more confused, absurd, and unseemly? Wherefore, in the Honour which hath been allotted unto God's Clergy, we are to observe, how not only the kinds thereof, but also in every particular kind, the degrees do differ. The Honour which the Clergy of God hath hitherto enjoyed, consisteth especially in the pre-eminence of title, place, ornament, attendance, privilege, endowment. In every of which it hath been evermore judged meet, that there should be no small odds between Prelates and the inferior Clergy.

Honour
in title,
place,
orna-
ment, at-
tend-
ancy,
and pri-
vilege.

20. Concerning Title, albeit even as under the Law, all they whom God had severed to offer him sacrifice were generally termed Priests; so likewise the name of Pastor or Presbyter be now common unto all that serve him in the Ministry of the Gospel of Jesus Christ; yet both then and now the higher Orders, as well of the one sort as of the other, have by one and the same congruity of reason their different Titles of Honour, wherewith we find them in the phrase of ordinary speech exalted above others. Thus the Heads of the twenty-four companies of Priests are in Scripture termed Arch-priests; Aaron and the successors of Aaron being above those Arch-priests; themselves are in that respect further entituled High and Great. After what sort Antiquity hath used to style Christian Bishops, and to yield them in that kind Honour more than were meet for inferior Pastors, I may the better omit to declare, both

'Αρχιε-
ρεῖς.

whom respect was paid, if not on his own account, for his very Order's sake. That spell is past; and little now remains to remind us, however faintly, that it once existed. The present year (1827) itself has witnessed the erasure from our Statute Books of that 'plea of benefit of Clergy,' which, though it had long ceased to operate as an exclusive protection for the members of our profession, in whom indeed Crime was always least of all excusable, yet recalled to memory that a time has been when reverential regard was paid to the Ministers of the Church in virtue of their pious office. Scripture tells us, that 'the judgment of God slumbereth not,' (2 Pet. ii. 3.). We may assert, in our own days, with equal certainty of assurance, that the judgment of man slumbereth not. Let me not, however, be misunderstood. Do I complain of those jealous eyes which are ever watching, with an Argus-like vigilance, to detect in the Pastor of the Flock the absence of those qualities by which the Chief Shepherd was distinguished? Do I wish to recall those days of almost superstitious reverence for the Priesthood, when the dominion of the Clergy over the minds of men deserved less to be considered as the legitimate ascendancy of high talent and extraordinary piety, than as the offspring of a monopoly of learning in an age of darkness; the despotism of exclusive knowledge over ignorance and its associate error! No, my reverend brethren, happily for ourselves, as well as for the world in general, whatever be the character we enjoy, *it cannot be acquired by hereditary charter*, or put on at pleasure, as belonging to the habit of our profession. Respect must be deserved before it can be won; and as, generally speaking, it will rarely be long withheld when it is fairly due, so it will seldom be long conferred contrary to desert, however high the office, or sacred the functions of him who challenges it." *A Charge, Sept. 1827, at the Primary Visitation.* By CHARLES RICHARD (SUMNER), Bishop of Llandaff. 8vo. pp. 11, 12.]

because others have sufficiently done it already, and in so slight a thing it were but a loss of time to bestow further travail. The allegation of Christ's prerogative to be named an^e Arch-pastor simply, in regard of his absolute excellency over all, is no impediment but that the like Title in an unlike signification may be granted unto others besides him, to note a more limited superiority, whereof men are capable enough without derogation from his glory, than which nothing is more sovereign. To quarrel at syllables, and to take so poor exceptions at the first four letters in the name of an Archbishop, as if they were manifestly stolen goods whereof restitution ought to be made to the Civil Magistrate, toucheth no more the Prelates that now are, than it doth the very blessed Apostle, who giveth unto himself the title of an Arch-builder.†

As for our Saviour's words alleged against the Style of Lordship and Grace, we have before sufficiently opened how far they are drawn from their natural meaning, to bolster up a cause which they nothing at all concern. Bishop, Theodoret entitleth, "most honourable:" Emperors writing unto Bishops, have not disdained to give them their appellations of Honour, "Your Holiness," "Your Blessedness," "Your Amplitude," "Your Highness," and the like: such as purposely have done otherwise are noted of insolent singularity and pride.‡

Honour done by giving pre-eminence of Place unto one sort before another, is, for decency, order, and quietness' sake, so needful, that both Imperial Laws and Canons Ecclesiastical have made their special provisions for it.§

Hist.
Eccles.
lib. v.
c. 8.
C. de
summa
Trinit.
lib. vii.
C. de
Episc. et
Cler.
l. xxxiii.
et C. de
Sacros.
Eccles.
l. xvi.

* ["Of The Chief Shepherd," τοῦ ἀρχιποιμένου 1 Pet. v. 4.]

† ["A wise Architect," σοφὸς ἀρχιτέκτων 1 Cor. iii. 10. Hooker makes no allusion to the first clause of this verse, nor to Paul being able to say, "I have received of the Lord," chap. xi. ver. 23; but "the Prelates that now are" say, I have received of the King! As to taking exceptions at "four letters," Hooker would have sustained his dignity better had he justified their meaning.]

‡ [ROBINSON, in a Note to *Claude's Essay on the Composition of a Sermon* (1779. Vol. II. p. 80), and citing Bebelius de Abus. Ling. Lat., says, one source of Puritanical mirth "arose from a contrast of qualifications of Churchmen with the epithets which were given them by one another: Admirabilissime; Reverendissime; Dignissime; Ornatissime; Venerabilissime; Benignissime; Scientificentissime; Singularissime; Sociabilissime; Vigilantissime; Pientissime; Sanctissime; Beatissime; &c."]

§ ["In the Primitive Church modern titles were unknown. Cyprian wrote to the Bishop of Rome, Cyprianus Cornelio fratri salutem, Cyprian wisheth health to his brother Cornelius; and in this style ran all the addresses of Primitive Bishops. After the time of Constantine, the Clergy, infected with Court air, complimented one another in polite language, and with high-sounding titles; St. Jerome styled Pope Damasus Most blessed Sir; and St. Augustine and he interchanged similar compliments. In process of time, the Clergy, long accustomed to titular distinctions, were affronted, when their titles were omitted: St. Chrysostom says,

Our Saviour's invective against the vain affectation of Superiority, whether in Title or in Place,* may not hinder these seemly differences usual in giving and taking Honour, either according to the one or the other.

Eccles.
xlv. 7.

Something there is, even in the Ornaments of Honour also; otherwise idle it had been for the Wise Man, speaking of Aaron, to stand so much upon the circumstance of his Priestly Attire, and to urge it as an argument of such dignity and greatness in him: "An everlasting covenant God made with Aaron, and gave him the Priesthood among the people, and made him blessed through his comely Ornament, and clothed him with the Garment of Honour." The robes of a Judge do not add to his virtue; the chiefest ornament of Kings is justice; holiness and purity of conversation doth much more adorn a Bishop, than his peculiar form of clothing. Notwithstanding, both Judges, through the Garments of judicial Authority, and through the Ornaments of Sovereignty, Princes; yea, Bishops, through the very attire of Bishops, are made blessed, that is to say, marked and manifested they are to be such as God hath poured his blessing upon, by advancing them above others, and placing them where they may do him principal good service. Thus to be called is to be blessed, and therefore to be honoured with the signs of such a calling must needs be in part a blessing also; for of good things even the signs are good.

[Psal.
xlv. 14.]

Of Honour, another part is Attendancy; and therefore in the visions of the glory of God, Angels are spoken of as his Attendants. In setting out the Honour of that mystical Queen, the Prophet mentioneth the Virgin Ladies which waited on her. Amongst the tokens of Solomon's honourable condition, his Servants and Waiters the Sacred History omitteth not. This doth prove Attendants a part of Honour: but this as yet doth not shew with what Attendancy Prelates are to be honoured. Of the High-priest's retinue amongst the Jews, somewhat the Gospel itself doth intimate. And, albeit our Saviour came to minister, and not, as the Jews did imagine their Messiah should, to be

* A most vehement Heretic, conversing in time of persecution with a Prelate, neither called him Pontiff, nor Archbishop, nor Most-Religious, nor Holy: but what? your Reverence, your Wisdom, your Prudence; and, by addressing him by these common appellations denied his Authority.' ... The same title that ascribes Dominion to a Priest attributes Subjection to the People." ROBINSON, *ut sup.* p. 36.]

* "They love to have the chief seats in the assemblies, and to be called of men Rabbi." Matt. xxiii. 6. 7.

ministered unto, in this world, yet, attended on he was by his blessed Apostles, who followed not only as Scholars, but even as Servants about him. After that he had sent them, as himself was sent of God, in the midst of that hatred and extreme contempt which they sustained at the world's hands, by Saints and Believers this part of Honour was most plentifully done unto them. Attendants they had provided in all places where they went; which custom of the Church was still continued in Bishops their successors, as by Ignatius it is plain to be seen. And from hence no doubt those *Acolythes* took their beginning, of whom so frequent mention is made: the Bishop's Attendants, his Followers they were; in regard of which service the name of *Acolythes* seemeth plainly to have been given. The custom for Bishops to be attended upon by many is, as Justinian doth shew, ancient: the affairs of Regiment, wherein Prelates are employed, make it necessary that they always have many about them whom they may command, although no such thing did by way of Honour belong unto them. Novel. vi.

Some men's judgment is, that if Clerks, Students, and Religious persons were more [*more*], common Serving-men and Lay-retainers fewer than they are, in Bishops' Palaces, the use and the honour thereof would be much more suitable than now. But these things, concerning the number and quality of persons fit to attend on Prelates, either for necessity, or for Honour's sake, are rather in particular discretion to be ordered, than to be argued of by disputes. As for the vain imagination of some, who teach the original hereof to have been a preposterous imagination of Maximinus the Emperor, who being addicted unto Idolatry, chose of the choicest Magistrates to be Priests, and, to the end they might be in great estimation, gave unto each of them a train of followers; and that Christian Emperors, thinking the same would promote Christianity which promoted Superstition, endeavoured to make their Bishops encounter and match with those idolatrous Priests: such frivolous conceits having no other ground than conceit, we weigh not so much as to frame any answer unto them; our declaration of the true original of ancient Attendancy on Bishops being sufficient. Now, if that which the light of sound reason doth teach to be fit, have upon like inducements

T. C.
lib. i.
p. 126.
out of
Euseb.
l. viii.
c. 15.

reasonable, allowable, and good, approved itself in such wise as to be accepted, not only of us, but of Pagans and Infidels also, doth conformity with them that are evil in that which is good, make that thing which is good, evil? We have not herein followed the Heathens, nor the Heathens us, but both we and they one and the self-same divine Rule, the light of a true and sound understanding; which sheweth what Honour is fit for Prelates, and what Attendancy convenient to be a part of their Honour.

Touching Privileges granted for Honour's sake, partly in general unto the Clergy, and partly unto Prelates, the chiefest Persons Ecclesiastical, in particular;* of such quality and number they are, that to make but rehearsal of them we scarce think it safe, lest the very entrails of some of our "godly brethren," as they term themselves, should thereat haply burst in sunder.

Honour
by en-
dow-
ment
with
Lands
and
Livings.

21. And yet of all these things rehearsed, it may be there never would have grown any question, had Bishops been honoured only thus far forth. But the honouring of the Clergy with Wealth, this is, in the eyes of them which pretend to seek nothing but mere Reformation of abuses, a sin that can never be remitted.

How soon, O how soon, might the Church be perfect, even without any spot or wrinkle, if Public Authority would at the length say Amen unto the holy and devout requests of those godly brethren, who as yet with outstretched necks groan in the pangs of their zeal to see the Houses of Bishops rifled, and their so-long-desired Livings gloriously divided amongst the righteous! But there is an impediment, a let, which somewhat hind'reth those good men's prayers from taking effect: they in whose hands the Sovereignty of Power and Dominion over this Church doth rest, are persuaded there is a God; for undoubtedly, either the name of Godhead is but a feigned thing, or, if in Heaven there be a God, the sacrilegious intention of Church-robbers, which lurketh under this plausible name of Reformation, is in his sight a thousand times more hateful than the plain professed malice of those very miscreants who threw their vomit in the open face of our blessed Saviour.

[Matt.
xxvi.
67.]

They are not words of persuasion by which true men can

* L. xii. C. de sacr. Eccles. l. v. C. de sacr. Eccles. l. ii. C. de Episc. et Cler. l. x. C. de Episc. et. Cler.

hold their own when they are over-beset with thieves. And therefore to speak in this cause at all were but labour lost, saving only in respect of them, who being as yet unjoined unto this conspiracy, may be haply somewhat stayed, when they shall know betimes what it is to see thieves, and to run on with them, as the Prophet in the Psalm speaketh; “When thou sawest a thief, then thou consentedst with him, and hast been partaker with adulterers.” Psalm. l. 18.

For the better information therefore of men which carry true, honest, and indifferent minds, these things we will endeavour to make most clearly manifest :*

First, That in Goods and Livings of the Church none hath propriety but God himself. Secondly, That the Honour which the Clergy therein hath, is to be, as it were, God’s Receivers; the Honour of Prelates, to be his chief and principal Receivers. Thirdly, That from him they have right, not only to receive, but also to use such Goods, the lower sort in smaller, and the higher in larger, measure. Fourthly, That in case they be thought, yea, or found to abuse the same, yet may not such Honour be therefore lawfully taken from them, and be given away unto persons of other calling.

22. Possessions, Lands, and Livings spiritual, the Wealth of the Clergy, the Goods of the Church, are in such sort the Lord’s own, that man can challenge no propriety in them.† That of Ecclesiastical Goods, and con-

* [“When Reformation of Religion was first urged, it was thought such an effectual motive as would procure attention, namely, To entitle Princes, after a sort, to the Church-goods. The learned men persuaded themselves, that if by any policy they could overthrow Popery, it would be afterwards a very easy matter to recover them again. If error and superstition had been so beneficial to the Church, they nothing doubted but that truth and sincerity would bring forth at the least as plentiful fruits. Besides, they did not so yield over their right in those matters to Princes but it was done with divers cautions and provisoes by virtue whereof they supposed in time to recover all again into their hands. But it is not good to dally with Princes: indeed they played *wary-beguile*, themselves; which since hath wonderfully moved them. And now they, all of them in a manner, do run upon the ground, namely, That Kings and Princes are bound by the Word of God to restore to the Church such goods as heretofore they have taken from her; and generally, that nothing once separated from a common use, and bestowed upon the Church, may be afterwards alienated to any other use.” BANCROFT’S *Survey*, 1593. Edit. 1663, 4to. p. 190.]

† [What accordance with this sentiment there is in practice among Churchmen themselves, will be seen below. It is but a sample of numerous instances. Perhaps no other Church in the whole world, assuming to be Apostolical, can be subjected to the like exposure of actually making “merchandise of the souls of men” (Rev. xviii. 13). With what decorum an Incumbent who knows that he has obtained his “Living” by such means can, at his induction, face a congregation and solemnly assert that he is set over them by the Holy Ghost, is for those to exhibit and to justify who countenance and advocate an Ecclesiastical system which openly and unceremoniously admits of Parishes and the People being put up for sale to the highest bidder! “Valuable Church Preferment in the County of Sussex. Particulars of the Perpetual Advowson of and next Presentation to the Rectory of SOUTH EASE, desirably situate within seven miles of Brighton; with the great and small Tithes of the whole Parish,

sequent-
ly of the
Lands
and
Livings,
which
Bishops
enjoy,
the pro-
priety
belong-
eth unto
God
alone.

His they are, and not ours; all things are his, in that from him they have their being: "My corn, and my wine, and mine oil,"* saith the Lord. All things his, in that he hath absolute power to dispose of them at his pleasure. "Mine (saith he) are the sheep and oxen of a thousand hills."† All things his, in that when we have them, we may say with Job, "God hath given;" and when we are deprived of them, "The Lord," whose they are, hath likewise "taken them away"‡ again. But these sacred Possessions are his by another tenure; his because those men who first received them from him, have unto him returned them again, by way of religious gift or oblation: and in this respect it is, that the Lord doth term those houses, wherein such gifts and oblations were laid, "his Treasuries."

Mal.
iii. 10.

Prov.
iii. 9, 10.

Seneca.

The ground whereupon men have resigned their own interest in things Temporal, and given over the same unto God, is that Precept which Solomon borroweth from the Law of Nature, "Honour the Lord out of thy substance, and of the chiefest of all thy revenue: so shall thy barns be filled with plenty, and with new wine the fat of thy press shall overflow." For although it be by one most fitly spoken against those superstitious persons that only are scrupulous in external Rites; "Wilt thou win the favour of God? Be virtuous. They best worship him that are his followers." It is not the bowing of your knees, but of your hearts; it is not the number of your oblations, but the integrity of your lives; not your incense, but your obedience, which God is delighted to be honoured by: nevertheless, we must beware, lest simply understanding this, which comparatively is meant; that is to say, whereas the meaning is, that God doth chiefly respect the inward disposition of the heart, we must take heed we do not hereupon so worship him in spirit, that outwardly we take all worship, reverence, and honour from him.

Our God will be glorified both of us himself, and for us by others: to others, because our hearts are known, and yet our example is required for their good; therefore it is

comprising upwards of eight hundred acres, &c. The whole, of the estimated annual value of Three Hundred Pounds, which will be sold by Auction, by Stevens and Brenchley, at the Auction Mart, on Friday, the 5th of June, 1829, at Twelve o'Clock. Particulars may be had, at the Star, *Oxford*; Eagle and Child, *Cambridge*; and of Stevens and Brenchley, No. 36, Old Jewry. The present Incumbent is in the Sixty-first year of his age."]

* Hos. ii. 8.

† Psal. l. 10.

‡ Job i. 21.

not sufficient to carry Religion in our hearts, as fire is carried in flint-stones, but we are outwardly, visibly, apparently, to serve and honour the living God; yea, to employ that way, as not only our souls, but our bodies, so not only our bodies, but our goods; yea, the choice, the flower, “the chiefest of all thy revenue,” saith Solomon: If thou hast any thing in all thy possessions of more value and price than other, to what use shouldest thou convert it, rather than this? Samuel was dear unto Hannah his mother: the child that Hannah did so much esteem, she could not but greatly wish to advance; and her religious conceit was, that the honouring of God with it was the advancing of it unto honour. The chiefest of the offspring ^[1 Sam. i. 22—28.] of men are the males which be first-born: and for this cause, in the ancient world they all were by right of their birth Priests of the Most High. By these and the like precedents, it plainly enough appeareth, that in what heart soever doth dwell unfeigned Religion, in the same there resteth also a willingness to bestow upon God that soonest which is most dear. Amongst us the Law is, that sith gold is the chiefest of metals, if it be any where found in the bowels of the earth, it belongeth in right of honour, as all men know, to the King: whence hath this custom grown, but only from a natural persuasion, whereby men judge it decent, for the highest persons always to be honoured with the choicest things? “If ye offer unto God the blind ^{Mal. i. 8.} (saith the Prophet Malachi), is it not evil? if the lame and sick, is it good enough? Present it unto thy Prince, and see if he will content himself, or accept thy person, saith the Lord of Hosts.” When Abel presented God with an ^[Gen. iv. 4.] offering, it was the fattest of all the lambs in his whole flock; he honoured God not only out of his substance, but out of the very chiefest therein; whereby we may somewhat judge how religiously they stand affected towards God, who grudge that any thing worth the having should be his. Long it were to reckon up particularly what God was owner of under the Law; for of this sort was all which they spent in Legal Sacrifices; of this sort, their usual Oblations and Offerings; of this sort, Tithes and Firstfruits; of this sort, that which by extraordinary occasions they vowed unto God; of this sort, all that they gave to the building of the Tabernacle; of this sort, all that which was

[Mark
xii. 42.]

gathered amongst them for the erecting of the Temple, and the adorning of it erected;* of this sort, whatsoever their Corban contained, wherein that blessed widow's deodate was laid up. Now, either this kind of Honour was prefiguratively altogether Ceremonial, and then our Saviour accepteth it not; or, if we find that to him also it hath been done, and that with divine approbation given for encouragement of the world, to shew, by such kind of service, their dutiful hearts towards Christ; there will be no place left for men to make any question at all whether herein they do well or no.

Psal. l.
13, 14.Phil.
iv. 18.Psal.
lxxii. 11.

ver. 10.

Matt.
ii. 11.

Wherefore, to descend from the Synagogue unto the Church of Christ; albeit sacrifices, wherewith sometimes God was highly honoured, be not accepted as heretofore at the hands of men, yet, forasmuch as "Honour God with thy riches," is an edict of the unseparable Law of Nature, so far forth as men are therein required by such kind of homage to testify their thankful minds, this "sacrifice" God doth accept still. Wherefore as it was said of Christ, that "all Kings should worship him, and all Nations do him service;" so this very kind of worship or service was likewise mentioned, lest we should think that our Lord and Saviour would allow of no such thing: "The Kings of Tarshish and of the isles shall bring presents; the Kings of Sheba and of Seba shall bring gifts." And, as it maketh not a little to the praise of those sages mentioned in the Gospel, that the first amongst men which did solemnly honour our Saviour on earth were they; so it soundeth no less to the dignity of this particular kind, that the rest by it were prevented; "They fell down and worshipped him, and opened their treasures, and presented unto him gifts; gold, and incense, and myrrh."

Matt.
xxvi. 13.

Of all those things which were done to the honour of Christ in his lifetime, there is not one whereof he spake in such sort as when Mary, to testify the largeness of her affection, seemed to waste away a gift upon him, the price of which gift might, as they thought who saw it, much better have been spent in works of mercy towards the poor: "Verily I say unto you, wheresoever this Gospel shall be preached, throughout all the world, there shall also this

* "Because (saith David) I have a delight in the House of my God, therefore I have given thereunto of mine own both gold and silver to adorn it with." 1 Chron. xxix. 3.

that she hath done be spoken of, for a memorial of her." Of service to God, the best works are they which continue longest; and, for permanency, what like Donation, whereby things are unto him for ever dedicated? That the ancient Lands and Livings of the Church were all in such sort given into the hands of God by the just lords and owners of them, that unto him they passed over their whole interest and right therein, the form of sundry the said donations, as yet extant, most plainly sheweth. And where time hath left no such evidence as now remaining to be seen, yet the same intention is presumed in all donors, unless the contrary be apparent. But to the end it may yet more plainly appear unto all men under what title the several kinds of Ecclesiastical possessions are held, "Our Lord himself (saith St. Augustine) had coffers to keep those things which the faithful *offered* unto him. Then was the form of the Church-treasury first instituted, to the end, that withal we might understand, that, in forbidding to be careful for to-morrow, his purpose was not to bar his saints from keeping money, but to withdraw them from doing God service for wealth's sake, and from forsaking righteousness through fear of losing their wealth."

The first Gifts consecrated unto Christ after his departure out of the world, were sums of money; in process of time other moveables were added, and at length goods unmoveable; Churches and Oratories hallowed to the honour of his glorious name; houses and lands for perpetuity conveyed unto him; inheritance given to remain his as long as the world should endure. "The Apostles (saith Melchiades), they foresaw that God would have his Church amongst the Gentiles, and for that cause in Judea they took no Lands, but price of Lands sold." This he conjectureth to have been the cause why the Apostles did that which the History reporteth of them.*

The truth is, that so the state of those times did require, as well elsewhere as in Judea. Wherefore, when afterwards it did appear much more commodious for the Church to dedicate such inheritances, then the value and price of

* [This fanciful conjecture might seem plausible were there any Scripture record of the Apostles, &c. accepting Lands elsewhere than in Judea. But why resort to such an hypothesis? Hereafter Hooker says, "To lurk under shifting ambiguities and equivocations of words in matter of principal weight, is childish." Book VIII. Sect. 1.]

Prov.
iii. 10.

Mal.
iii. 10.
2 Chron.
xxxi. 10.

Th.
Wald.
tom. i.
lib. iv.
c. 39.

them being sold, the former custom was changed for this, as for the better. The devotion* of Constantine herein, all the world, even till this very day, admireth. They that lived in the prime of the Christian world, thought no testament Christianly made, nor any thing therein well bequeathed, unless something were thereby added unto Christ's patrimony. Touching which men, what judgment the world doth now give, I know not; perhaps we deem them to have been herein but blind and superstitious persons. Nay, we in these cogitations are blind; they contrariwise did with Solomon plainly know and persuade themselves, that thus to diminish their wealth was, not to diminish but to augment it; according to that which God doth promise to his own people by the Prophet Malachi, and which they by their own particular experience found true. If Wickliff therefore were of that opinion which his adversaries ascribe unto him (whether truly, or of purpose to make him odious, I cannot tell, for in his writings I do not find it), namely, "That Constantine, and others following his steps, did evil, as having no sufficient ground whereby they might gather, that such donations are acceptable to Jesus Christ;" it was in Wickliff a palpable error.† I will use but one only argument, to stand in the stead of many: Jacob, taking his

* [See Vol. II. p. 38, Note *.]

† ["It appears by the ingenious writer, the Rev. John Lewis, author of a Life of Wickliffe, as well as by the Catalogus Testium, that Wickliffe was for rejecting all human rites, and new shadows or traditions in Religion: and with regard to the identity of the Order of Bishops and Priests in the Apostolic age, he is very positive: 'Unum audacter assero, one thing I boldly assert, That in the Primitive Church, or in the time of the Apostle Paul, two Orders of Clergy were thought sufficient, namely Priest and Deacon; and I do also say, that in the time of Paul, fuit idem Presbyter atque Episcopus, A Priest and a Bishop were one and the same; for in those times the distinct Orders of Pope, Cardinals, Patriarchs, Archbishops, Bishops [diocesan?], Archdeacons, Officials, and Deans, were not invented.'" See NEAL'S *Hist. Purit.* 1754. 4to. Vol. I. p. 890. This being the only passage in which Hooker has referred to Wycliffe, his authority on the principal subject of this Seventh Book cannot be inappropriate here. In the Life and Opinions of Wycliffe, by the Rev. R. VAUGHAN, 1828, 8vo. Vol. II. p. 288, it is recorded, that in a MS. of the Bodleian, entitled *Vita Sacerdotum*, "The foul endowing of the Church" is described as having "always harmed clerks and lords and commons." And in p. 289 another MS. is stated to contain this passage, "True it is, that Tithes were due to Priests and Deacons in the Old Law; and so bodily circumcision was then needful to all men, but it is not so now, under the Law of Grace. Christ, however, was circumcised, and yet we read not where he took Tithes as we do; nor do we read in all the Gospels, that he paid them to the High Priest, or bade any other man do so. Lord, why should our worldly Clergy claim Tithes and Offerings and Customs from Christian people more than did Christ and his Apostles, and even more than men were burdened with under the Law?" Wycliffe's "Sentence of the Curse Expounded. chap. xviii." Again, in p. 290, is another passage from this latter MS. "Would God," he exclaims, "that all wise and true men would enquire whether it were not better for to find Priests by the free alms of the people, and in a reasonable livelihood, that they may teach the Gospel in word and deed, as did Christ and his Apostles, than thus to pay Tithes as men are now constrained by a new ordinance of Priests, to a worldly Priesthood."]

journey unto Haran, made in this sort his solemn vow; “If ^{Gen. xxviii. 20—22.} God will be with me, and will keep me in this journey which I go, and will give me bread to eat, and clothes to put on, so that I come again to my father’s house in safety; then shall the Lord be my God, and this stone which I have set up a pillar shall be the House of God, and of all that thou shalt give me, will I give the Tenth unto thee.” May a Christian man desire as great things as Jacob did at the hands of God? May he desire them in as earnest manner? May he promise as great thankfulness in acknowledging the goodness of God? May he vow any certain kind of public acknowledgment beforehand? Or, though he vow it not, perform it after, in such sort that men may see he is persuaded how the Lord hath been his God? Are these particular kinds of testifying thankfulness to God, the erecting of Oratories, the dedicating of Lands and Goods to maintain them, forbidden any where? Let any mortal man living shew but one reason wherefore in this point to follow Jacob’s example, should not be a thing both acceptable unto God, and in the eyes of the world for ever most highly commendable.* Concerning goods of this nature, goods, whereof when we speak, we term them τὰ τῷ Θεῷ ἀφιερωθέντα, the goods that are consecrated unto God, and, as Tertullian speaketh, *deposita pietatis*, things which piety and devotion hath laid up as it were in the bosom of God; touching such goods, the Law Civil, following mere light of Nature, defineth them to be no man’s, because no mortal man, or community of men, hath right of propriety in them.

23. Persons Ecclesiastical are God’s Stewards, not only for that he hath set them over his family, as the Ministers of Ghostly food, but even for this very cause also, that they are to receive and dispose his temporal Revenues; the gifts and oblations which men bring him. Of the Jews it is plain that their Tithes they offered unto the Lord, and those offerings the Lord bestowed upon the Levites.† When the Levites gave the Tenth of their Tithes, this their gift the Law doth term the Lord’s Heave-offering, and appoint that the High-priest should receive the same.‡ Of spoils taken in war, that part which they were accustomed to separate

That Ecclesiastical persons are receivers of God’s rents; and that the Honour of Prelates is, to be thereof his chief receivers; notwithstanding from him

* [Hooker has turned the position attributed to Wycliffe round from “Jesus Christ” to “Jacob,” and then argued from “Jacob” to “Jesus Christ:” can any mode of arguing be more feeble to prove “a palpable error?”]

† Num. xviii. 24.

‡ Ver. 28.

granted,
of con-
verting
the same
unto
their
own use,
even in
large
manner.
Heb.
vil. 4.

Acts
iv. 34.

2 Cor.
viii. 5.

Acts
xi. 30.
xxi. 18.
xii. 17.

unto God, they brought it before the Priest of the Lord, by whom it was laid up in the Tabernacle of the Congregation, for a memorial of their thankfulness towards God, and his goodness towards them in fighting for them against their enemies.* As therefore the Apostle magnifieth the honour of Melchisedec, in that he, being an High-priest, did receive at the hands of Abraham the Tithes which Abraham did honour God with;† so it argueth in the Apostles themselves great Honour, that at their feet the price of those possessions was laid, which men thought good to bestow on Christ. St. Paul, commending the Churches which were in Macedonia, for their exceeding liberality this way, saith of them, that he himself would bear record, they had declared their forward minds according to their power, yea, beyond their power, and had so much exceeded his expectation of them, that “they seemed as it were even to give away themselves first to the Lord (saith the Apostle), and then by the will of God unto us:” to him, as the Owner of such gifts; to us, as his appointed receivers and dispensers. The gift of the Church of Antioch, bestowed unto the use of distressed brethren which were in Judea, Paul and Barnabas did deliver unto the Presbyters of Jerusalem; and the Head of those Presbyters was James, he therefore the chiefest disposer thereof.

Amongst those Canons which are entituled Apostolical,‡ one is this, “We appoint that the Bishop have care of those things which belong to the Church;”§ the meaning is of Church-goods, as the reason following sheweth: “For if the precious souls of men must be committed unto him, of trust, much more it behoveth the charge of money to be given him, that by his Authority the Presbyters and Deacons may administer all things to them that stand in need.” So that he which hath done them the Honour to be, as it were, his Treasurers, hath left them also Authority and Power to use these his treasures, both otherwise and for the maintenance even of their own estate: the lower sort of the Clergy, according unto a meaner; the higher, after a larger, proportion. The use of Spiritual Goods and Possessions hath been a matter much disputed of; grievous complaints there are usually

* Num. xxxi.

† [See Book V. sect. 79. p. 399, Note.]

‡ [See sect. 8. p. 140, Note.]

§ Ἐπίσκοπον ἔχειν τῶν τῆς Ἐκκλησίας πραγμάτων ἐξουσίαν, ὥστε διοικεῖν εἰς πάντα δεομένους μετὰ πάσης εὐλαβείας καὶ φόβου Θεοῦ. Can. 41. et Conc. Antioch. can. 25.

made against the evil and unlawful usage of them, but with no certain determination hitherto on what things and persons, with what proportion and measure they being bestowed, do retain their lawful use. Some men condemn it as idle, superfluous, and altogether vain, that any part of the treasure of God should be spent upon costly Ornaments appertaining unto his service: who being best worshipped, when he is served in spirit and truth, hath not for want of pomp and magnificence rejected at any time those who with faithful hearts have adored him. Whereupon the Heretics,* termed Henriciani and Petrobrusiani, threw down Temples and Houses of Prayer, erected with marvellous great charge, as being in that respect not fit for Christ by us to be honoured in. We deny not, but that they who sometime wand'ered as pilgrims on earth, and had no Temples, but made caves and dens to pray in, did God such honour as was most acceptable in his sight: God did not reject them for their poverty and nakedness' sake; their Sacraments were not abhorred for want of vessels of gold. Howbeit, let them who thus delight to plead, answer me: When Moses first, and afterwards David, exhorted the people of Israel unto matter of charge about the service of God; suppose we it had been allowable in them to have thus pleaded; "Our Fathers in Egypt served God devoutly, God was with them in all their afflictions, he heard their prayers, pitied their case, and delivered them from the tyranny of their oppressors; what House, Tabernacle, or Temple had they?" Such argumentations are childish and fond; God doth not refuse to be honoured at all where there lacketh wealth; but where abundance and store is, he there requireth the flower thereof, being bestowed on him, to be

John
iv. 24.Heb.
xi. 38.

* [Whether it be worthy of Protestants to follow Papists in denominating "Heretics" those whom they termed so, is a question deserving serious consideration. The only accounts we can have of these in particular, for instance, are originally derived from Popish writers, the bitterest enemies of Reformation. Doubtless to many Martyrs like Henry the Monk, and Peter de Bruys, (for Martyrs, notwithstanding some perhaps unjustifiable excesses, many such might be called with propriety), we owe the first awakenings of those principles and energies that ultimately dragged off the slough of Corruption, and left Antichrist agast and, to this day, writhing in horrid deformity. Consult Mosheim, Eccl. Hist. Cent. xii. Part II. chap. vii. viii. and the authorities he gives, for particulars respecting these unfortunates, stigmatized by the semi-Protestant Hooker, and even by Mosheim himself. They flourished in the Twelfth Century, and unquestionably held what are now Protestant Doctrines, mixed as appears with great errors; nevertheless shame be to the writers who perpetuate an eternal unqualified reproach against men who, without our means of information, contributed to let in that flood of light which our happier age beholds, and to whom we are undeniably indebted for scotching the great Dragon which we glory in seeing felled and comparatively innocuous.]

employed even unto the Ornament of his service. In Egypt the state of his people was servitude, and therefore his service was accordingly. In the Desert they had no sooner ought of their own, but a Tabernacle is required; and in the Land of Canaan a Temple. In the eyes of David it seemed a thing not fit, a thing not decent, that himself should be more richly seated than God.*

But concerning the use of Ecclesiastical Goods bestowed this way, there is not so much contention amongst us, as what measure of Allowance is fit for Ecclesiastical persons to be maintained with. A better rule in this case to judge things by we cannot possibly have, than the wisdom of God himself: by considering what he thought meet for each degree of the Clergy to enjoy in time of the Law; what for Levites, what for Priests, and what for High-priests, somewhat we shall be the more able to discern rightly what may be fit, convenient, and right for the Christian Clergy likewise. Priests for their maintenance had those first-fruits of cattle, corn, wine, oil, and other commodities of the earth, which the Jews were accustomed yearly to present God with. They had the price which was appointed for men to pay in lieu of the first-born of their children, and the price of the first-born also amongst cattle which were unclean: they had the vowed gifts of the people, or the prices, if they were redeemable by the donors after vow, as some things were: they had the free and unvowed oblations of men: they had the remainder of things sacrificed: with Tithes the Levites were maintained; and with the Tithe of their Tithes the High-priest.

In a word, if the quality of that which God did assign to his Clergy be considered, and their manner of receiving it, without labour, expence, or charge, it will appear that the tribe of Levi, being but the twelfth part of Israel, had in effect as good as four twelfth parts of all such goods as the Holy Land did yield: so that their worldly estate was four times as good as any other tribe's in Israel besides. But the

* [Were this argument worth any thing, it should seem that all the means which the Church could employ ought to be bestowed on some one edifice, 1 Chron. xxii. 5; because the more glorious that were, the more fit for a Christian Temple: but Christ will not be so mocked. His cause is best diffused, and his bounty to us is best acknowledged by being bestowed on purposes connected with convenience principally, not with splendour. See Acts vii. 48, 49. xvii. 24. JOSEPHUS, indeed, has a curious argument, compared with which Hooker's may seem reasonable: *Εἰς ναὸς ἑνὸς Θεοῦ. φίλον γὰρ αἰεὶ παντὶ τὸ ὁμοιον. κοινὸς ἀπάντων, κοινὸς Θεοῦ ἀπάντων.* *Cont. App. lib. ii. c. 23. edit. Haverc.]*

High-priest's condition, how ample? to whom belonged the Tenth of all the Tithe of this land, especially the Law providing also, that as the people did bring the best of all things unto the Priests and Levites, so the Levite should deliver the choice and flower of all their commodities to the High-priest, and so his tenth part by that mean be made the very best part amongst ten: by which proportion, if the Levites were ordinarily in all not above thirty thousand men (whereas when David numbered them, he found almost thirty-eight thousand above the age of thirty years), the High-priest, after this very reckoning, had as much as three or four thousand others of the Clergy to live upon. Over and besides all this, lest the Priests of Egypt, holding lands, should seem in that respect better provided for than the Priests of the true God, it pleased him further to appoint unto them forty and eight whole cities with territories of land adjoining, to hold as their own free inheritance for ever. For to the end they might have all kind of encouragement, not only to do what they ought, but to take pleasure in that they did; albeit they were expressly forbidden to have any part of the Land of Canaan laid out whole to themselves, by themselves, in such sort as the rest of the tribes had: forasmuch as the will of God was rather that they should throughout all tribes be dispersed, for the easier access of the people unto knowledge; yet were they not barred altogether to hold a [*sic*] land, nor yet otherwise the worse provided for, in respect of that former restraint; for God, by way of special pre-eminence, undertook to feed them at his own table, and out of his own proper treasury to maintain them, that want and penury they might never feel, except God himself did first receive injury. A thing most worthy our consideration is the wisdom of God herein; for the common sort being prone unto envy and murmur, little considereth of what necessity, use, and importance the sacred duties of the Clergy are, and for that cause hardly yielded them any such honour without repining and grudging thereat; they cannot brook it, that when they have laboured and come to reap, there should so great a portion go out of the fruit of their labours, and be yielded up unto such as sweat not for it. But when the Lord doth challenge this as his own due, and require it to be done by way of homage unto him, whose mere liberality and goodness had raised them from a poor

1 Chron.
xxiii. 3.Gen.
xlvii. 22.Num.
xxxv. 7.
Josh.
xiv. 4.Deut.
xviii. 8.
Lev.
xxv. 33,
34.

and servile estate, to place them where they had all those ample and rich possessions; they must be worse than brute beasts, if they would storm at any thing which he did receive at their hands. And for him to bestow his own on his own servants (which liberty is not denied unto the meanest of men), what man liveth that can think it other than most reasonable? Wherefore no cause there was, why that which the Clergy had should in any man's eye seem too much, unless God himself were thought to be of an over-having disposition.*

Dent. This is the mark whereat all those speeches drive, "Levi
x. 9. hath no part nor inheritance with his brethren, the Lord is
Josh. his inheritance;" again, "To the tribe of Levi he gave no
xiii. 14. inheritance, the sacrifices of the Lord God of Israel [are the]
Num. inheritance of Levi;" again, "The Tithes of the which they
xviii. 24. shall offer, as an offering unto the Lord, I have given the
ver. 19. Levites for an inheritance;" and again, "All the heave-
offerings of the holy things which the children of Israel
shall offer unto the Lord, I have given thee, and thy sons,
and thy daughters with thee, to be a duty for ever; it is a
perpetual covenant of salt before the Lord." Now that,
if such provision be possible to be made, the Christian
Clergy ought not herein to be inferior unto the Jewish, what
sounder proof than the Apostle's own kind of argument?

* ["Certainly if Christ or his Apostles had approved of Tithes, they would have, either by writing or tradition, recommended them to the Church: and that soon would have appeared in the practice of those primitive and the next ages. But for the first three hundred years and more, in all the Ecclesiastical story, I find no such doctrine or example: though error by that time had brought back again Priests, Altars, and Oblations; and in many other points of Religion had miserably Judaized the Church. So that the defenders of Tithes, after a long pomp, and tedious preparation out of Heathen Authors, telling us that Tithes were paid to Hercules and Apollo, which perhaps was imitated from the Jews, and as it were bespeaking our expectation, that they will abound much more with Authorities out of Christian story, have nothing of general approbation to begin with from the first three or four ages, but that which abundantly serves to the confutation of Tithes; while they confess that Churchmen in those ages lived merely upon free-will offerings. Neither can they say that Tithes were not then paid for want of a Civil Magistrate to ordain them, for Christians had then also lands, and might give out of them what they pleased; and yet of Tithes then given we find no mention. And the first Christian Emperors, who did all things as Bishops advised them, supplied what was wanting to the Clergy not out of Tithes, which were never mentioned, but out of their own Imperial revenues; as is manifest in Eusebius, Theodoret, and Sozomen, from Constantine to Arcadius. ... Tithes were fitted to the Jews only, a National Church of many incomplete Synagogues, uniting the accomplishment of divine Worship in one Temple; and the Levites there had their Tithes paid where they did their bodily work; to which a particular tribe was set apart by divine appointment, not by the people's election: but the Christian Church is universal; not tied to Nation, Diocess, or Parish, but consisting of many Particular Churches complete in themselves, gathered not by compulsion, or the accident of dwelling nigh together, but by free consent, choosing both their Particular Church and their Church-Officers. Whereas if Tithes be set up, all these Christian privileges will be disturbed and soon lost, and with them Christian liberty." MILTON's *Likeliest Means*, &c. Works. Ed. 1738. Vol. I. p. 568.]

“Do ye not know that they which minister about the holy things, eat of the things of the Temple? and they which wait at the altar, are partakers with the altar? so, even so, hath the Lord ordained that they which preach the Gospel, should live of the Gospel.” Upon which words I thus conclude, That if the people of God do abound, and abounding can so far forth find in their hearts to shew themselves towards Christ their Saviour thankful as to honour him with their riches (which no Law of God or Nature forbiddeth), no less than the ancient Jewish people did honour God; the plain Ordinance of Christ appointeth as large and as ample proportion out of his own treasure unto them that serve him in the Gospel, as ever the Priests of the Law did enjoy,—what further proof can we desire? It is the blessed Apostle’s testimony, That “even so the Lord hath ordained.” Yea, I know not whether it be sound to interpret the Apostle otherwise than that whereas he judgeth the Presbyters “which rule well in the Church of Christ to be worthy of double honour,” he means double unto that which the Priests of the Law received;* “For if that Ministry which was of the Letter were so glorious, how shall not the Ministry of the Spirit be more glorious?” If the Teachers of the Law of Moses, which God delivered written with letters in tables of stone, were thought worthy of so great honour, how shall not the Teachers of the Gospel of Christ be in his sight most worthy, the Holy Ghost being sent from Heaven to engrave the Gospel on their hearts who first taught it, and whose successors they that teach it at this day are? So that, according to the Ordinance of God himself, their estate for worldly maintenance ought to be no worse that is granted unto other sorts of men, each according to that degree they were placed in. Neither are we so to judge of their worldly condition as if they were servants of men, and at men’s hands did receive those earthly benefits by way of stipend in lieu of pains whereunto they are hired; nay, that which is paid unto them is homage and tribute due unto the Lord Christ. His servants they are, and from him they receive such goods

1 Cor.
ix. 13,
14.1 Tim.
v. 17.2 Cor.
iii. 6—8.Vide . . .
[deest]
22. q. 77.
art. 1.

* [If the 17th verse be coupled with the last clause of the preceding verse, a very different inference is deducible than what is here suggested. Dr. Doddridge, *Fam. Expos.* in loc. note, says “It is a scrupulous nicety of interpretation to explain this as a decision that they were to have twice as much as the Deaconesses. Different circumstances might require different exhibitions to persons in the same Office. It seems only to express a plentiful maintenance, according to what they needed, and the Society could afford, given in a liberal and respectful manner.”]

1 Tim.
v. 18.

by way of stipend. Not so from men: for at the hands of men he himself being honoured with such things, hath appointed his servants therewith according to their several degrees and places to be maintained. And for their greater encouragement who are his labourers, he hath to their comfort assured them for ever, that they are, in his estimation, “worthy the hire” which he alloweth them; and therefore, if men should withdraw from him the store which those his servants that labour in his work are maintained with, yet he in his Word shall be found everlastingly true, their labour in the Lord shall not be forgotten; the hire he accounteth them worthy of, they shall surely have either one way or other answered.

Acts
iv. 35.Acts
ii. 44.

In the prime of the Christian world, that which was brought and laid down at the Apostles’ feet, they disposed of by distribution according to the exigence of each man’s need. Neither can we think that they, who out of Christ’s treasury made provision for all others, were careless to furnish the Clergy with all things fit and convenient for their estate: and as themselves were chiefest in place of authority and calling, so no man doubteth but that proportionably they had power to use the same for their own decent maintenance. The Apostles, with the rest of the Clergy in Jerusalem, lived at that time according to the manner of a Fellowship, or Collegiate Society, maintaining themselves and the poor of the Church with a common purse, the rest of the faithful keeping that purse continually stored. And in that sense it is, that the Sacred History saith, “All which believed were in one place, and had all things common.”* In the histories of the Church, and in the writings of the ancient Fathers for some hundreds of years after, we find no other way for the maintenance of the Clergy but only this, the treasury of Jesus Christ furnished through men’s devotion, bestowing sometimes Goods, sometimes Lands that way, and out of his treasury the charge of the service of God was defrayed, the Bishop and the Clergy under him main-

* [“Do you not see your own dotage which restrain that to a Sect and Sort of your Popelings, which Luke maketh common to the whole Church even of those which were but newly entered into the profession of Christianity, and that you ascribe that to a work of supererogation which in some sort the Commandment of loving the neighbour, much more our brother, as ourselves, will draw from us. And notwithstanding this community they retained every one his house whereinto it may seem that by course they invited and gusted one another and assembled not into any common Cloister.” T.C. *Conf. of the Rhemists’ New Test. on Acts ii. 44—46.*]

tained, the poor in their necessity ministered unto. For which purpose, every Bishop had some one of the Presbyters under him to be Treasurer of the Church, to receive, keep, and deliver all;* which Office in Churches Cathedral remaineth even till this day, albeit the use thereof be not altogether so large now as heretofore. The disposition of these Goods was by the appointment of the Bishop. Wherefore Prosper, speaking of the Bishop's care herein, saith, "It was necessary for one to be troubled therewith, to the end that the rest under him might be freer to attend quietly their spiritual businesses." And lest any man should imagine, that Bishops by this means were hind'ed themselves from attending the service of God, "Even herein (saith he) they do God service; for if those things which are bestowed on the Church be God's, he doth the work of God, who, not of a covetous mind, but with purpose of most faithful administration, taketh care of things consecrated unto God." And forasmuch as the Presbyters of every Church could not all live with the Bishop, partly for that their number was great, and partly because the people being once divided into Parishes, such Presbyters as had severally charge of them were by that mean more conveniently to live in the midst each of his own particular flock, therefore a competent number being fed at the same table with the Bishop, the rest had their whole allowance apart, which several allowances were called *sportulæ*, and they who received them, *sportulantes fratres*.† Touching the Bishop, as his place and estate was higher, so likewise the proportion of his charges about himself, being for that cause in all equity and reason greater; yet, forasmuch as his stint herein was no other than it pleased himself to set, the rest (as the manner of inferiors is to think that they which are over them always have too much) grudged many times at the measure of the Bishop's private expence, perhaps not without cause. Howsoever, by this occasion there grew amongst them

Prosp.
de Vita
Cont.
l. ii.
c. 16.

* Disp. Prosp. de Vita Contempl. l. ii. c. 12. Econ. l. xiv. C. de Sacr. Eccles. et Novel. 7. in princip.

† "Presbyterii honorem designasse nos illis jam sciatis, ut et sportulis eisdem cum Presbyteris honorentur et divisiones mensuratas æquatis quantitibus partiantur, sessuri nobiscum provectis et corroboratis annis suis." Cypr. lib. iv. ep. 5. Which words of Cyprian do shew, that every Presbyter had his standing allowance out of the Church-treasury; that besides the same allowance called *sportula*, some also had their portion in that dividend which was the remainder of every month's expence; thirdly, that out of the Presbyters under him, the Bishop as then had a certain number of the gravest, who lived and commoued always with him.

great heart-burning, quarrel, and strife: where the Bishops were found culpable, as eating too much beyond their tether, and drawing more to their own private maintenance than the proportion of Christ's patrimony, being not greatly abundant, could bear, sundry Constitutions hereupon were made to moderate the same, according to the Church's condition in those times. Some before they were made Bishops, having been owners of ample possessions, sold them and gave them away to the poor: thus did Paulinus, Hilary, Cyprian, and sundry others.* Hereupon they, who, entering into the same spiritual and high Function, held their secular possessions still, were hardly thought of: and even when the case was fully resolved, that so to do was not unlawful, yet it grew a question, "Whether they lawfully might then take any thing out of the public treasury of Christ?" a question, "Whether Bishops, holding by Civil Title sufficient to live of their own, were bound in conscience to leave the Goods of the Church altogether to the use of others?"† Of contentions about these matters there was no end, neither appeared there any possible way for quietness otherwise than by making partition of Church-revenues according to the several ends and uses for which they did serve, that so the Bishop's part might be certain. Such partition being made, the Bishop enjoyed his portion several to himself; the rest of the Clergy likewise theirs; a third part was severed to the furnishing and upholding of the Church; a fourth to the erection and maintenance of houses wherein the Poor might have relief. After which separation made, Lands and Livings began every day to be dedicated unto each use severally, by means whereof every of them became in short time much greater than they had been for worldly maintenance; the fervent

* Prosp. de Vita Cont. l. ii. c. 9. Pont. Diacon. in vita Cypr.

† ["The Primitive Church thought it no shame to receive all their maintenance as the Alms of their Auditors. Which they who defend Tithes (as if it made for their cause, whenas it utterly confutes them) omit not to set down at large; proving to our hands out of Origen, Tertullian, Cyprian, and others, that the Clergy lived at first upon the mere benevolence of their hearers; who gave what they gave, not to the Clergy, but to the Church; out of which the Clergy had their portions given them in baskets, and were thence called Sportularii, Basket-Clerks: that their portion was a very mean allowance, only for a bare livelihood; according to those precepts of our Saviour, Matt. x. 7, &c., the rest was distributed to the Poor. They cite also out of Prosper, the disciple of St. Austin, that such of the Clergy, as had means of their own, might not without sin partake of Church-maintenance. . . . Thus far Tithers themselves have contributed to their own confutation, by confessing that the Church lived primitively on Alms." MILTON's *Likeliest Means*, &c. Works, Ed. 1738. Vol. I. p. 576.]

devotion of men being glad that this new opportunity was given of shewing zeal to the House of God in more certain order.

By these things it plainly appeareth what proportion of maintenance hath been ever thought reasonable for a Bishop; sith in that very partition agreed on to bring him unto his certain stint, as much is allowed unto him alone as unto all the Clergy under him, namely, a fourth part of the whole yearly rents and revenues of the Church. Nor is it likely, that before those Temporalities, which now are such eyesores, were added unto the Honour of Bishops, their state was so mean as some imagine. For, if we had no other evidence than the covetous and ambitious humour of Heretics, whose impotent desires of aspiring thereunto, and extreme discontentment as oft as they were defeated, even this doth shew that the state of Bishops was not a few degrees advanced above the rest. Wherefore, of grand Apostates which were in the very prime of the primitive Church, thus Lactantius above thirteen hundred years sithence testified, “Men of a slippery faith they were, who feigning that they knew and worshipped God, but seeking only that they might grow in *wealth* and honour, affected the place of the *highest Priesthood*; whereunto, when their betters were chosen before them, they thought it better to leave the Church, and to draw their favourers with them, than to endure those men their Governors, whom themselves desired to govern.” Now, whereas against the present estate of Bishops, and the greatness of their port, and the largeness of their expences at this day, there is not any thing more commonly objected than those ancient Canons, whereby they are restrained unto a far more sparing life; their houses, their retinue, their diet, limited within a far more narrow compass than is now kept; we must know, that those Laws and Orders were made when Bishops lived of the same purse which served as well for a number of others as them, and yet all at their disposing. So that convenient it was to provide that there might be a moderate stint appointed to measure their expences by, lest others should be injured by their wastefulness. Contrariwise, there is now no cause wherefore any such Law should be urged, when Bishops live only of that which hath been peculiarly allotted unto them. They having, therefore, Temporalities and other revenues to bestow for their own

Lact. de
Vera
Sap.
lib. iv.
c. 30.

[Matt.
xx. 28.]

private use, according to that which their state requireth, and no other having with them any such common interest therein, their own discretion is to be their Law for this matter; neither are they to be pressed with the rigour of such ancient Canons as were framed for other times, much less so odiously to be upbraided with unconformity unto the pattern of our Lord and Saviour's estate, in such circumstances as himself did never mind to require that the rest of the world should of necessity be like him. Thus against the wealth of the Clergy they allege how meanly Christ himself was provided for; against Bishops' Palaces, his want of a hole to hide his head in; against the service done unto them, that "he came to minister, and not to be ministered unto in the world." Which things, as they are not unfit to control covetous, proud, or ambitious desires of the Ministers of Christ, and even of all Christians, whatsoever they be, and to teach men contentment of mind, how mean soever their estate is, considering that they are but servants to him, whose condition was far more abased than theirs is, or can be; so to prove such difference in state between us and him unlawful, they are of no force or strength at all. If one convented before their Consistories, when he standeth to make his answer, should break out into invectives against their Authority, and tell them that Christ, when he was on earth, did not sit to judge, but stand to be judged; would they hereupon think it requisite to dissolve their Eldership, and to permit no tribunals, no judges at all, for fear of swerving from our Saviour's example? If those men, who have nothing in their mouths more usual than the poverty of Jesus Christ and his Apostles, allege not this as Julian sometime did *beati pauperes*, unto Christians, when his meaning was to spoil them of that they had; our hope is then, that as they seriously and sincerely wish, that our Saviour Christ in this point may be followed, and to that end only propose his blessed example; so, at our hands again, they will be content to hear with like willingness the holy Apostle's exhortation made unto them of the Laity also, "Be ye followers of us, even as we are of Christ;" let us be your example, even as the Lord Jesus Christ is ours, that we may all proceed "by one and the same rule."*

1 Cor.
xi. 1.Phil.
iii. 16.

* ["Must this 'rule' be for the Universal Church, or a National Church, or a Particular Church? If for the Universal Church, it crosses the judgment of your National Church;

24. But beware we of following Christ as thieves follow true men, to take their Goods by violence from them. Be it that Bishops were all unworthy, not only of Living, but even of life, yet what hath our Lord Jesus Christ deserved, for which men should judge him worthy to have the things that are his given away from him unto others that have no right unto them? For at this mark it is that the head Lay-reformers do all aim. Must these unworthy Prelates give place? What then? Shall better succeed in their rooms? Is this desired, to the end that others may enjoy their Honours, which shall do Christ more faithful service than they have done? Bishops are the worst men living upon earth; therefore let their sanctified possessions be divided: amongst whom? O blessed Reformation! O happy men, that put to their helping hands for the furtherance of so good and glorious a work! Wherefore, albeit the whole world at this day do already perceive, and posterity be like hereafter a great deal more plainly to discern, not that the Clergy of God is thus heaved at because they are wicked, but that means are used to put it into the heads of the simple multitude that they are such indeed, to the end that those who thirst for the spoil of Spiritual possessions may, till such time as they have their purpose, be thought to covet nothing but only the just extinguishment of unreformable persons; so that in regard of such men's intentions, practices, and machinations against them, the part that suffereth these things may most fitly pray with David, "Judge thou me, O Lord, according to my righteousness, and according unto mine innocency: O let the malice of the wicked come to an end, and be thou the guide of the just." Notwithstanding, forasmuch as it doth not stand with Christian humility otherwise to think, than that this violent outrage of men is a rod in the ireful hands of the Lord our God, the smart whereof we deserve to feel; let it not seem grievous in the eyes of my reverend LL. [*Lords*] the Bishops, if to their good consideration I offer a view of those sores which are in the kind of their heavenly Function most apt to breed, and which, being not in time cured, may procure at

That for their unworthiness to deprive both them and their successors of such goods, and to convey the same unto men of secular callings, were extreme sacrilegious injustice.

Psalm
vii. 8.

which says, it is not necessary that Rites and Ceremonies be alike: if for a National Church it must be proved that ever the Apostle understood any such creature: if for a Particular Church only, then what will become of Uniformity in the face of the National Church, which is the great thing for which this 'rule' is pretended useful and necessary?" *ALSOP'S Mischief of Impositions.* 1680. p. 14.]

Epiph.
contra
hæres.
lib. iii.
hær. 70.

the length that which God of his infinite mercy avert. Of Bishops in his time St. Jerome complaineth, that they took it in great disdain to have any fault, great or small, found with them. Epiphanius likewise, before Jerome, noteth their impatiency this way to have been the very cause of a schism in the Church of Christ; at what time one Audius, a man of great integrity of life, full of faith and zeal towards God, beholding those things which were corruptly done in the Church, told the BB. [*Bishops*] and Presbyters their faults in such sort as those men are wont who love the truth from their hearts, and walk in the paths of a most exact life. Whether it were covetousness or sensuality in their lives, absurdity or error in their teaching, any breach of the Laws and Canons of the Church wherein he espied them faulty, certain and sure they were to be thereof most plainly told. Which thing they, whose dealings were justly culpable, could not bear; but, instead of amending their faults, bent their hatred against him who sought their amendment, till at length they drove him, by extremity of infestation, through weariness of striving against their injuries, to leave both them, and with them the Church. Amongst the manifold accusations, either generally intended against the Bishops of this our Church, or laid particularly to the charge of any of them, I cannot find that hitherto their spitefullest adversaries have been able to say justly, that any man for telling them their personal faults in good and Christian sort hath sustained in that respect much persecution.* Wherefore, notwithstanding mine own inferior estate and calling in God's Church, the consideration whereof assureth me, that in this kind the sweetest sacrifice which I can offer unto Christ is meek obedience, reverence, and awe, unto the Prelates which he hath placed in seats of higher Authority over me, emboldened I am, so far as may conveniently stand with that duty of humble subjection, meekly to crave my good LL. [*Lords*] your favourable pardon, if it shall seem a fault thus far to presume; or, if otherwise, your wonted courteous acceptance,

Æneid.
lib. xii.

Sine me hæc haud mollia fatu
Sublatis aperire dolis.

* [The reader who may be acquainted with the true history of the time when this work was written, will not fail to appreciate correctly the concluding words of this altogether remarkable sentence.]

i. In Government, be it of what kind soever, but especially if it be of such kind of Government as Prelates have over the Church, there is not one thing 'publicly more hurtful, than that an hard opinion should be conceived of Governors at the first: and a good opinion how should the world ever conceive of them for their after-proceedings in Regiment, whose first access and entrance thereunto giveth just occasion to think them corrupt men, which fear not that God in whose name they are to rule? Wherefore a scandalous thing it is to the Church of God, and to the actors themselves dangerous, to have aspired unto rooms of Prelacy by wicked means. We are not at this day troubled much with that tumultuous kind of ambition wherewith the elections of Damascus in St. Jerome's age,* and of Maximus in Gregory's time,† and of others, were long sithence stained. Our greatest fear is rather the evil which Leo and Anthemius did by Imperial Constitution endeavour as much as in them lay to prevent.‡ He which granteth, or he which receiveth, the Office and Dignity of a Bishop, otherwise than beseemeth a thing divine and most holy; he which bestoweth and he which obtaineth it, after any other sort than were honest and lawful to use, if our Lord Jesus Christ were present himself on earth to bestow it even with his own hands, sinneth a sin by so much more grievous than the sin of Belshazzar, by how much Offices and Functions heavenly are more precious than the meanest ornaments or implements which thereunto appertain. If it be, as the Apostle saith, that the Holy Ghost doth make Bishops, and that the whole action of making them is God's own deed, men being therein but his agents, what spark of the fear of God can there possibly remain in their hearts, who, representing the person of God in naming worthy men to Ecclesiastical charge, do sell that which in his name they are to bestow; or who, standing as it were at the throne of the living God, do bargain for that which at his hands they

* Ammian. Marcel. lib. xxvii.

† Vide in Vita Greg. Naz.

‡ "Nemo gradum Sacerdotii pretii venalitate mercetur; quantum quisque mereatur, non quantum dare sufficiat, æstimetur. Profecto enim, quis locus tutus et quæ causa esse poterit excusata, si veneranda Dei Tempia pecuniis expugnentur? Quem murum integritatis aut vallum providebimus, si auri sacra fames in penetralia veneranda proserpat? quid denique cautum esse poterit aut securum, si sanctitas incorrupta corrumpatur? Cesset altaribus imminere profanus ardor avaritiæ, et a sacris adytis repellatur piaculare flagitium. Itaque castus et humilis nostris temporibus eligatur Episcopus, ut quocunque locorum pervenerit, omnia vitæ propriæ integritate purificet. Nec pretio sed precibus ordinetur Antistes." C. de Episc. et Cler. lib. xxxi.

are to receive? Woe worth such impious and irreligious profanations! The Church of Christ hath been hereby made, not “a den of thieves,” but in a manner the very dwelling-place of foul spirits; for undoubtedly such a number of them have been in all ages who thus have climbed into the seat of Episcopal Regiment.*

ii. Men may by orderly means be invested with Spiritual Authority and yet do harm, by reason of ignorance how to use it to the good of the Church. “It is (saith Chrysostom) πολλοῦ μὲν ἀξιώματος, δύσκολον δὲ ἐπισκοπεῖν; a thing highly to be accompted of, but an hard thing to be that which a Bishop should be.” Yea, a hard and a toilsome thing it is for a Bishop to know the things that belong unto a Bishop. A right good man may be a very unfit Magistrate. And for discharge of a Bishop’s Office, to be well minded is not enough, no not to be well learned also. Skill to instruct is a thing necessary, skill to govern much more necessary, in a Bishop. It is not safe for the Church of Christ, when Bishops learn what belongeth unto Government, as empirics learn physic by killing of the sick. Bishops were wont to be men of great learning in the Laws, both Civil and of the Church; and while they were so, the wisest men in the land for counsel and government were Bishops.

iii. Know we never so well what belongeth unto a charge of so great moment, yet can we not therein proceed but with hazard of public detriment, if we rely on ourselves alone, and use not the benefit of conference with others. A singular mean to unity and concord amongst themselves, a marvellous help unto uniformity in their dealings, no small addition of weight and credit unto that which they do, a strong bridle unto such as watch for occasions to stir against them; finally, a very great stay unto all that are under their Government, it could not choose but be soon found, if Bishops did often and seriously use the help of mutual consultation. These three rehearsed are things only preparatory unto the course of Episcopal proceedings. But the hurt is more manifestly seen which doth grow to the Church of God by faults inherent in their several actions: as, when they carelessly ordain; when they institute negligently; when corruptly they bestow Church-livings,

* [See Sect. 5, p. 113, Note †.]

Benefices, Prebends, and Rooms especially of Jurisdiction; when they visit for gain' sake, rather than with serious intent to do good; when their Courts erected for the maintenance of good order are disordered; when they regard not the Clergy under them; when neither Clergy nor Laity are kept in that awe for which this Authority should serve; when any thing appeareth in them rather than a fatherly affection towards the flock of Christ; when they have no respect to posterity; and finally, when they neglect the true and requisite means whereby their Authority should be upheld. Surely, the hurt which groweth out of these defects must needs be exceeding great. In a Minister ignorance and disability to teach is a maim; nor is it held a thing allowable to ordain such, were it not for the avoiding of a greater evil which the Church must needs sustain, if in so great scarcity of able men, and insufficiency of most Parishes throughout the Land to maintain them, both Public Prayer and the administration of Sacraments should rather want, than any man thereunto be admitted lacking dexterity and skill to perform that which otherwise was most requisite. Wherefore the necessity of ordaining such is no excuse for the rash and careless ordaining of every one that hath but a friend to bestow some two or three words of ordinary commendation in his behalf. By reason whereof the Church groweth burdened with silly creatures more than need, whose noted baseness and insufficiency bring their very Order itself into contempt.*

It may be that the fear of a *Quare impedit* doth cause Institutions to pass more easily than otherwise they would. And to speak plainly the very truth, it may be that Writs of *Quare non impedit* were for these times most necessary in the other's place: yet where Law will not suffer men to follow their own judgment, to shew their judgment they are not hind'ed. And I doubt not but that even conscienceless and wicked Patrons, of which sort the swarms are too great in the Church of England, are the more imboldened to present unto Bishops any refuse, by finding so easy acceptance thereof. Somewhat they might redress this sore, notwithstanding so strong impediments, if it did plainly appear that they took it indeed to heart, and were not in a manner contented with it.

* [See Vol. II. p. 419. Note *.]

Can.
Apost.
76.

Shall we look for care in admitting whom others Present, if that which some of yourselves confer be at any time corruptly bestowed? A foul and an ugly kind of deformity it hath, if a man do but think what it is for a Bishop to draw commodity and gain from those things whereof he is left a free bestower, and that in trust, without any other obligation than his sacred Order only, and that religious integrity which hath been presumed on in him. Simoniackal corruption I may not for honour's sake suspect to be amongst men of so great place. So often they do not, I trust, offend by sale, as by unadvised gift of such Preferments, wherein that ancient Canon should specially be remembered, which forbiddeth a Bishop to be led by human affection, in bestowing the things of God. A fault nowhere so hurtful, as in bestowing places of Jurisdiction, and in furnishing Cathedral Churches, the Prebendaries and other Dignities whereof are the very true successors of those ancient Presbyters which were at the first as Counsellors unto Bishops. A foul abuse it is, that any one man should be loaded as some are with Livings in this kind, yea, some even of them who condemn utterly the granting of any two Benefices unto the same man, whereas the other is in truth a matter of far greater sequel, as experience would soon shew, if Churches Cathedral being furnished with the residence of a competent number of virtuous, grave, wise, and learned Divines, the rest of the Prebends of every such Church were given within the Diocess unto men of worthiest desert for their better encouragement unto industry and travel; unless it seem also convenient to extend the benefit of them unto the learned in Universities, and men of special employment otherwise in the affairs of the Church of God. But howsoever, surely with the public good of the Church it will hardly stand, that in any one person such favours be more multiplied than Law permitteth in those Livings which are with Cure.

Touching Bishops' Visitations, the first institution of them was profitable, to the end that the state and condition of Churches being known, there might be for evils growing convenient remedies provided in due time. The observation of Church-laws, the correction of faults in the service of God and manners of men, these are things that Visitors should seek. When these things are inquired of formally,

and but for custom' sake, fees and pensions being the only thing which is sought, and little else done by Visitations; we are not to marvel, if the baseness of the end doth make the action itself loathsome. The good which Bishops may do not only by these Visitations belonging ordinarily to their Office, but also in respect of that Power which the Founders of Colleges have given them of special trust, charging even fearfully their consciences therewith; the good, I say, which they might do by this their Authority, both within their own Diocess, and in the well-springs themselves, the Universities, is plainly such as cannot choose but add weight to their heavy accounts in that dreadful day, if they do it not.*

In their Courts, where nothing but singular integrity and justice should prevail, if palpable and gross corruptions be found, by reason of Offices so often granted unto men who seek nothing but their own gain, and make no accompt what disgrace doth grow by their unjust dealings unto them under whom they deal, the evil hereof shall work more than they which procure it do perhaps imagine.

At the hands of a Bishop, the first thing looked for is a care of the Clergy under him, a care, that in doing good they may have whatsoever comforts and encouragements his countenance, authority, and place may yield. Otherwise what heart shall they have to proceed in their painful course, all sorts of men besides being so ready to malign, despise, and every way oppress them? Let them find nothing but disdain in Bishops; in the enemies of present Government, if that way they list to betake themselves, all kind of favourable and friendly helps: unto which part think we it likely that men having wit, courage, and stomach, will incline? As great a fault is the want of severity when need requireth, as of kindness and courtesy, in Bishops. But touching this, what with ill usage of their Power amongst the meaner, and what with disusage amongst the higher sort, they are in the eyes of both sorts as bees that have lost their sting. It is a long time sithence any great

* ["It is, we believe, very generally lamented, by all our Bishops, Clergy, and Laity, who have the welfare of Religion deeply at heart, that our Visitations, both Episcopal and Archidiaconal, have degenerated too often into little more than a periodical Ceremony, for the transaction of certain affairs more Secular than Religious or Spiritual, and producing scarcely any benefit beyond the orderly discharge of the current business of the Diocess." THE CHRISTIAN OBSERVER, conducted by Members of the Established Church. Oct. 1822, p. 656.]

one hath felt, or almost any one much feared, the edge of that Ecclesiastical severity, which sometime held Lords and Dukes in a more religious awe than now the meanest are able to be kept. A Bishop, in whom there did plainly appear the marks and tokens of a fatherly affection towards them that are under his charge, what good might he do ten thousand ways more than any man knows how to set down? But the souls of men are not loved; that which Christ shed his blood for is not esteemed precious. This is the very root, the fountain of all negligence in Church-government.*

Most wretched are the terms of men's estate when once they are at a point of wretchlessness so extreme, that they bend not their wits any further than only to shift out the present time, never regarding what shall become of their Successors after them. Had our Predecessors so loosely cast off from them all care and respect to posterity, a Church Christian there had not been, about the Regiment whereof we should need at this day to strive. It was the barbarous affection of Nero, that the ruin of his own Imperial seat he could have been well enough contented to see, in case he might also have seen it accompanied with the fall of the whole world: an affection not more intolerable than theirs, who care not to overthrow all posterity, so they may purchase a few days of ignominious safety unto themselves and their present estates; if it may be termed a safety which tendeth so fast unto their very overthrow that are the purchasers of it in so vile and base manner.

Men whom it standeth upon to uphold a reverend estimation of themselves in the minds of others, without which the very best things they do are hardly able to escape disgrace, must, before it be over-late, remember how much easier it is to retain credit once gotten, than to recover it

* ["We have frequently lamented to observe the undue proportion of Secular Topics often introduced into our Archidiaconal and Episcopal Charges. It is, indeed, very rarely that we meet with a Charge so peculiarly exceptionable in this respect as the Primary one of the present Bishop of Peterborough on which we were lately constrained to animadvert, as not containing one single sentence strictly religious, not a syllable perhaps which a Socinian, or even a Deist, might not have uttered with a safe conscience; the whole being a mere technical document, without a prayer or benediction, or allusion to any Christian doctrine, or any thing, in short, to indicate that the Writer had ever read the Charge of Him who said, 'Feed my sheep, feed my lambs.' We hope and believe that a Charge so completely forensic is quite unique; but many Charges, even of some distinguishment in other respects, are exceptionable in their degree, in the general Secularity of their contents." THE CHRISTIAN OBSERVER. p. 658. *vide ut sup.* p. 235.]

being lost. The executors of Bishops are sued, if their Mansion-house be suffered to go to decay: but whom shall their Successors sue for the dilapidations which they make of that credit, the unrepaired diminutions whereof will in time bring to pass, that they which would most do good in that calling shall not be able, by reason of prejudice generally settled in the minds of all sorts against them? By what means their estimation hath hitherto decayed, it is no hard thing to discern. Herod and Archelaus are noted to have sought out purposely the dullest and most ignoble that could be found amongst the people, preferring such to the High-priest's Office, thereby to abate the great opinion which the multitude had of that Order, and to procure a more expedite course for their own wicked counsels, whereunto they saw the High-priests were no small impediment, as long as the common sort did much depend upon them. It may be there hath been partly some show and just suspicion of like practice in some, in procuring the undeserved Preferments of some unworthy persons, the very cause of whose advancement hath been principally their unworthiness to be advanced. But neither could this be done altogether without the inexcusable fault of some preferred before, and so oft we cannot imagine it to have been done, that either only or chiefly from thence this decay of their estimation may be thought to grow. Somewhat it is that the malice of their cunning adversaries, but much more which themselves have effected against themselves. A Bishop's estimation doth grow from the excellency of virtues suitable unto his place. Unto the place of a Bishop those high divine virtues are judged suitable, which virtues being not easily found in other sorts of great men, do make him appear so much the greater in whom they are found.

Devotion, and the feeling sense of Religion, are not usual in the noblest, wisest, and chiefest personages of State, by reason their wits are so much employed another way, and their minds so seldom conversant in heavenly things.* If therefore wherein themselves are defective they see that Bishops do blessedly excel, it frameth secretly their hearts to a stooping kind of disposition, clean opposite to contempt. The very countenance of Moses was glorious after that God had conferred with him: and where Bishops are, the powers

Egesip.
lib. ii.
c. 12.

* [1 Cor. i. 26.]

and faculties of whose souls God hath possest, those very actions, the kind whereof is common unto them with other men, have notwithstanding in them a more high and heavenly form, which draweth correspondent estimation unto it, by virtue of that celestial impression which deep meditation of holy things, and, as it were, conversation with God, doth leave in their minds. So that Bishops, which will be esteemed of as they ought, must frame themselves to that very pattern from whence those Asian Bishops unto whom St. John writeth were denominated, even so far forth as this our frailty will permit; shine they must as Angels of God in the midst of perverse men. They are not to look that the world should always carry the affection of Constantine, to bury that which might derogate from them, and to cover their imbecilities. More than high time it is, that they bethink themselves of the Apostle's admonition, *Attende tibi*, "Have a vigilant eye to thyself." They err, if they do not persuade themselves, that wheresoever they walk or sit, be it in their Churches or in their Consistories, abroad and at home, at their tables or in their closets, they are in the midst of snares laid for them. Wherefore as they are with the Prophet every one of them to make it their hourly prayer unto God, "Lead me, O Lord, in thy righteousness, because of enemies;" so it is not safe for them, no not for a moment, to slacken their industry in seeking every way that estimation which may further their labours unto the Church's good. Absurdity, though but in words, must needs be this way a maim, where nothing but wisdom, gravity, and judgment are looked for. That which the son of Sirach hath concerning the writings of the old Sages, "Wise sentences are found in them," should be the proper mark and character of Bishops' speeches, whose lips, as doors, are not to be opened, but for egress of instruction and sound knowledge. If base servility and dejection of mind be ever espied in them, how should men esteem them as worthy the Rooms of the great Ambassadors of God? A wretched desire to gain by bad and unseemly means standeth not with a mean man's credit, much less with that reputation which Fathers of the Church should be in. But, if besides all this there be also coldness in works of piety and charity, utter contempt even of learning itself, no care to further it by any such helps as they easily might and ought to afford, no not as much as

[1 Tim.
iv. 16.]

[Psal.
v. 8.]

[Ecclus.
xxxix.
3, 6.]

that due respect unto their very families about them, which all men that are of account to order, as near as they can, in such sort that no grievous offensive deformity be therein noted; if there still continue in that most reverend Order such as by so many engines work day and night to pull down the whole frame of their own estimation amongst men, some of the rest secretly also permitting others their industrious opposites every day more to seduce the multitude, how should the Church of God hope for great good at their hands?

What we have spoken concerning these things, let not malicious accusers think themselves therewith justified; no more than Shimei was by his Sovereign's most humble and meek acknowledgment even of that very crime which so impudent a caitiff's tongue upbraided him withal; the one in the virulent rancour of a cankered affection took that delight for the present which in the end did turn to his own more tormenting woe, the other in the contrite patience even of deserved malediction had yet this comfort, "It may be the Lord will look on mine affliction, and do me good for his cursing this day." As for us, over whom Christ hath placed them to be the chiefest Guides and Pastors of our souls, our common fault is, that we look for much more in our Governors than a tolerable sufficiency can yield, and bear much less than humanity and reason do require we should. Too much perfection over rigorously exacted in them, cannot but breed in us perpetual discontentment, and on both parts cause all things to be unpleasant. It is exceedingly worth the noting, which Plato hath about the means whereby men fall into an utter dislike of all men with whom they converse: "This sourness of mind which maketh every man's dealings unsavoury in our taste, entereth by an unskilful overweening, which at the first we have of one, and so of another, in whom we afterwards find ourselves to have been deceived, they declaring themselves in the end to be frail men, whom we judged demigods: when we have oftentimes been thus beguiled, and that far besides expectation, we grow at the length to this plain conclusion, That there is nothing at all sound in any man. Which bitter conceit is unseemly, and plain to have risen from lack of mature judgment in human affairs: which if so be we did handle with art, we would not enter into dealings with

[2 Sam. xvi. 5]

ver. 12.

Plat. in Phæd.

M. Tris.
in Pi-
mandro,
dial. vi.

[Matt.
xxii. 21.]

Mal.
iii. 8.

Acts
v. 2.

Gen.
xlvii.
22.

men, otherwise than being beforehand grounded in this persuasion, that the number of persons notably good or bad is but very small; that the most part of good have some evil, and of evil men some good in them." So true our experience doth find those Aphorisms of Mercurius Trismegistus, *Ἀδύνατον τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἐνθάδε καθαρεύειν τῆς κακίας*, "To purge goodness quite and clean from all mixture of evil here is a thing impossible." Again, *Τὸ μὴ λῆαν κακὸν ἐνθάδε τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἐστι*, "When in this world we term a thing good, we cannot by exact construction have any other true meaning, than that the said thing so termed is not noted to be a thing exceedingly evil." And again, *Μόνον, ᾧ Ἀσκληπῖε, τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἐν ἀνθρώποις, τὸ δὲ ἔργον οὐδαμοῦ*, "Amongst men, O Asclepius, the name of that which is good we find, but no where the very true thing itself." When we censure the deeds and dealings of our Superiors, to bring with us a fore-conceit thus qualified shall be as well on our part as theirs a thing available unto quietness. But howsoever the case doth stand with men's either good or bad quality, the verdict which our Lord and Saviour hath given should continue for ever sure; "*Quæ Dei sunt, Deo*," Let men bear the burthen of their own iniquity; as for those things which are God's, let not God be deprived of them. For, if only to withhold that which should be given be no better than to "rob God," if to withdraw any mite of that which is but in purpose only bequeathed, though as yet undelivered into the sacred treasure of God, be a sin for which Ananias and Sapphira felt so heavily the dreadful hand of divine revenge; quite and clean to take that away which we never gave, and that after God hath for so many ages therewith been possessed, and that without any other show of cause, saving only that it seemeth in their eyes who seek it to be too much for them which have it in their hands, can we term it or think it less than most impious injustice, most heinous sacrilege? Such was the religious affection of Joseph, that it suffered him not to take that advantage, no not against the very idolatrous Priests of Egypt, which he took for the purchasing of other men's lands to the King; but he considered, that albeit their Idolatry deserved hatred, yet for the honour's sake due unto Priesthood, better it was the King himself should yield them relief in public extremity, than permit that the same necessity should constrain also

them to do as the rest of the people did. But it may be, men have now found out, that God hath proposed the Christian Clergy as a prey for all men freely to seize upon; that God hath left them as the fishes of the sea, which every man that listeth to gather into his net may; or that there is no God in Heaven to pity them, and to regard the injuries which man doth lay upon them: yet the public good of this Church and Commonwealth doth, I hope, weigh somewhat in the hearts of all honestly-disposed men. Unto the public good no one thing is more directly available, than that such as are in place, whether it be of Civil or of Ecclesiastical Authority, be so much the more largely furnished even with external helps and ornaments of this life, how much the more highly they are in power and calling advanced above others. For Nature is not contented with bare sufficiency unto the sustenance of man, but doth evermore covet a decency proportionable unto the place which man hath in the body or society of others. For according unto the greatness of men's calling, the measure of all their actions doth grow in every man's secret expectation, so that great men do always know that great things are at their hands expected. In a Bishop great liberality, great hospitality, actions in every kind great, are looked for: and for actions which must be great, mean instruments will not serve. Men are but men, what room soever amongst men they hold. If, therefore, the measure of their worldly abilities be beneath that proportion which their calling doth make to be looked for at their hands, a stronger inducement it is than perhaps men are aware of unto evil and corrupt dealings for supply of that defect. For which cause we must needs think it a thing necessary unto the common good of the Church, that, great Jurisdiction being granted unto Bishops over others, a state of wealth proportionable should likewise be provided for them. Where wealth is had in so great admiration, as generally in this golden age it is, that without it angelical perfections are not able to deliver from extreme contempt, surely to make Bishops poorer than they are, were to make them of less account and estimation than they should be.* Wherefore, if detriment and dishonour

* [“ There are, in ENGLAND alone, *in the gift of the Crown*, two Archbishoprics, twenty-four Bishoprics, thirty-eight Deaneries, forty-six Prebends, and one thousand and twenty Livings. The larger Bishoprics give from fifteen to twenty thousand pounds, per annum; the Bishopric of Durham is a kind of Principality, and they all of them have a Patronage of Livings, which,

do grow to Religion, to God, to his Church, when the public account which is made of the chief of the Clergy decayeth, how should it be, but in this respect, for the good of Religion, of God, of his Church, that the wealth of Bishops be carefully preserved from further diminution? The travels and crosses wherewith Prelacy is never unaccompanied, they which feel them know how heavy and how great they are: unless such difficulties, therefore, annexed unto that estate be tempered by co-annexing thereunto things esteemed of in this world, how should we hope that the minds of men, shunning naturally the burthens of each function, will be drawn to undertake the burthen of Episcopal care and labour in the Church of Christ? Wherefore, if long we desire to enjoy the peace, quietness, order, and stability of Religion, which Prelacy (as hath been declared) causeth, then must we necessarily, even in favour of the public good, uphold those things, the hope whereof being taken away, it is not the mere goodness of the charge, and the Divine acceptation thereof, that will be able to invite many thereunto. What shall become of that Commonwealth or Church in the end, which hath not the eye of Learning to beautify, guide, and direct it? At the length, what shall become of that learning,

in some instances, afford a rich provision for a whole family. The lands belonging to the Bishoprics are let on leases for lives, so that when a life falls in, but especially if two lives fall in before the bargain is completed, a large Fine accrues to the Bishop. In this way, even in some of the inferior Bishoprics, a fortune of two or three hundred thousand pounds has been made. At the same time, there are several that are very poor; and the Bishopric of Llandaff is said to be under one thousand pounds a year. The Church of IRELAND is still more richly endowed. There are in Ireland four Archbishops, and eighteen Bishops, whose united income is estimated at one hundred and eighty-five thousand, seven hundred pounds a year. The Bishop of Derry has fifteen thousand pounds a year, the Bishop of Elphin twelve thousand pounds, the Bishop of Raphoe ten thousand pounds, and none are under four thousand pounds a year. But besides this acknowledged income, the revenue the Irish Bishops draw from Fines is enormous; their landed property is immense; leases are for twenty-one years, and Fines are taken every seven, or even every three years; thus becoming a kind of triennial rents. Mr. Wakefield calculates that the estates of the following Sees would, if fairly let, bring the undermentioned sums: The Primacy of Armagh one hundred and forty thousand pounds a year, the See of Derry one hundred and twenty thousand pounds, the See of Kilmore one hundred thousand pounds, the See of Clogher one hundred thousand pounds, the See of Waterford seventy thousand pounds. The patronage is extremely valuable. In the Bishopric of Cloyne, one Living is worth three thousand pounds a year; one, two thousand pounds; one, one thousand pounds; and six from one thousand five hundred, to one thousand two hundred pounds: the whole of the Livings in the gift of the Bishop of Cloyne are valued at fifty thousand pounds a year. There are about one thousand three hundred Benefices in Ireland, upwards of two hundred of which are in the gift of the Crown." *An Essay on the History of the English Government and Constitution, from the reign of Henry VIII. to the present time.* By Lord JOHN RUSSELL. 1823. Edit. 2. 8vo. chap. xxxiv. pp. 413—415. See this subject elaborately set forth by analytical tables in *Remarks on the Consumption of Public Wealth by the Clergy of every Christian Nation, and particularly by the Established Church in England and Wales, and in Ireland, &c.* 1822. 8vo. pp. 84.]

which hath not wherewith any more to encourage her industrious followers? And finally, what shall become of that courage to follow learning, which hath already so much failed through the only diminution of her chiefest rewards, Bishoprics? Surely, wheresoever this wicked intendment of overthrowing Cathedral Churches, or of taking away those Livings, Lands, and Possessions, which Bishops hitherto have enjoyed, shall once prevail, the handmaids attending thereupon will be Paganism and extreme Barbarity. In the Law of Moses how careful provision is made that goods of this kind might remain to the Church for ever: “Ye shall not make common the holy things of the children of Israel, lest ye die, saith the Lord.” Touching the fields annexed unto Levitical cities, the Law was plain, they might not be sold; and the reason of the Law this, “for it was their possession for ever.” He which was Lord and owner of it, his will and pleasure was, that from the Levites it should never pass to be enjoyed by any other. The Lord’s own portion, without his own commission and grant, how should any man justly hold? They which hold it by his appointment had it plainly with this condition, “They shall not sell of it, neither change it, nor alienate the first-fruits of the land; for it is holy unto the Lord.” It falleth sometimes out, as the Prophet Habakkuk noteth, that the very “prey of savage beasts becometh dreadful unto themselves.” It did so in Judas, Achan, Nebuchadnezzar; their evil-purchased goods were their snare, and their prey their own terror; a thing no where so likely to follow, as in those goods and possessions, which being laid where they should not rest, have by the Lord’s own testimony his most bitter curse, their undividable companion. These persuasions we

Numb.
xviii. 32.Lev.
xxv. 34.Ezek.
xlvi. 14.Habak.
ii. 17.Mal
iii. 9.

* [“In the Church, the immense and valuable patronage of Government is uniformly bestowed on their Political adherents. No talents, no learning, no piety can advance the fortune of a Clergyman whose Political opinions are adverse to those of the governing party. The utmost that is permitted to a Bishop is moderation in his manner of maintaining the orthodox Political faith; any hesitation in his vote is an unpardonable sin. He may be a high Calvinist, or a controversial Arminian; a bigotted enemy of all other religious opinions, or an enlightened friend of toleration, but if he shews himself of a different creed from his patrons in Civil concerns, and is guilty of Political heresy, his further rise is stopt for ever. . . . Connected with Power and Office by their very profession, all the members of the Church have an original tendency, not easily overcome, to take the side of Government; and those who desire to rise to distinction in the Hierarchy, generally make a display of servility as the surest means of elevation; or if raised by some rare accident from real merit, superadd a varnish of adulation to their other acquirements. . . . There are, however, a number of Clergymen whose honourable and enlightened opinions make them at once proscribed and respected.” Lord JOHN RUSSELL, pp. 417, 421, *ut sup.*]

Prov.
iii. 9.

2 Chron.
xix. 9.

[Matt.
x. 42.]

use for other men's cause, not for theirs with whom God and Religion are parts of the abrogated Law of Ceremonies. Wherefore, not to continue longer in the cure of a sore desperate, there was a time when the Clergy had almost as little as these good people wish. But the Kings of this Realm and others, whom God had blest, considered devoutly with themselves, as David in like case sometimes had done, Is it meet that we at the hands of God should enjoy all kinds of abundance, and God's Clergy suffer want? They considered that of Solomon, "Honour God with thy substance, and the chiefest of all thy revenue; so shall thy barns be filled with corn, and thy vessels shall run over with new wine." They considered how the care which Jehoshaphat had, in providing that the Levites might have encouragement to do the work of the Lord cheerfully, was left of God as a fit pattern to be followed in the Church for ever. They considered what promise our Lord and Saviour hath made unto them, at whose hands his Prophets should receive but the least part of the meanest kind of friendliness, though it were but a draught of water; which promise seemeth not to be taken, as if Christ had made them of any higher courtesy incapable, and had promised reward not unto such as give them but that, but unto such as leave them but that. They considered how earnest the Apostle is, that if the Ministers of the Law were so amply provided for, less care then ought not to be had of them, who under the Gospel of Jesus Christ possess correspondent Rooms in the Church. They considered how needful it is, that they who provoke all others unto works of mercy and charity should especially have wherewith to be examples of such things, and by such means to win them, with whom other means, without those, do commonly take very small effect.

[Mark
x. 29,
30.]

In these and the like considerations, the Church revenues were in ancient times augmented, our Lord thereby performing manifestly the promise made to his servants, that they which did "leave either father, or mother, or lands, or goods, for his sake, should receive in this world an hundred fold." For some hundreds of years together, they which joined themselves to the Church were fain to relinquish all worldly emoluments and to endure the hardness of an afflicted estate. Afterward the Lord gave rest to his Church, Kings and Princes became as Fathers thereunto, the hearts of all men

inclined towards it, and by his providence there grew unto it every day earthly possessions in more and more abundance, till the greatness thereof bred envy, which no diminutions are able to satisfy. For, as those ancient nursing Fathers thought they did never bestow enough; even so in the eye of this present age, as long as any thing remaineth, it seemeth to be too much. Our Fathers we imitate “in perversum,” as Tertullian speaketh; like them we are, by being, in equal degree, the contrary unto that which they were. Unto those earthly blessings which God as then did with so great abundance pour down upon the Ecclesiastical state, we may, in regard of most near resemblance, apply the self-same words which the Prophet hath, “God blessed them exceedingly; and, by this very mean, turned the hearts of their own Brethren to hate them, and to deal politicly with his servants.” Computations are made, and there are huge sums set down for Princes, to see how much they may amplify and enlarge their own treasure; how many public burthens they may ease; what present means they may have to reward their servants about them, if they please but to grant their assent, and to accept of the spoil of Bishops, by whom Church-goods are but abused unto pomp and vanity. Thus albeit they deal with one whose princely virtue giveth them small hope to prevail in impious and sacrilegious motions; yet shame they not to move her Royal Majesty even with a suit not much unlike unto that wherewith the Jewish High-priest tried Judas, whom they solicited unto treason against his Master, and proposed unto him a number of silver pence in lieu of so virtuous and honest a service. But her sacred Majesty disposed to be always like herself, her heart so far estranged from willingness to gain by pillage of that estate, the only awe whereof under God she hath been unto this present hour, as of all other parts of this noble Commonwealth, whereof she hath vowed herself a protector till the end of her days on earth, which if Nature could permit, we wish, as good cause we have, endless: this her gracious inclination is more than a seven-times-sealed warrant, upon the same assurance whereof touching any action, so dishonourable as this, we are on her part most secure, not doubting but that unto all posterity it shall for ever appear, that from the first to the very last of her sovereign proceedings there hath not been one authorized

Psal. cv.
24, 25.

Lib. x.
ep. 54.
DDD.
Valent.
Theodos.
et Ar-
chad.
C. de
Sacros.
Eccles.
l. xiv.

deed other than consonant with that Symmachus saith,
 “Fiscus bonorum Principum, non Sacerdotum damnis, sed
 hostium spoliis augeatur:” consonant with that Imperial Law,
 “Ea quæ ad beatissimæ Ecclesiæ jura pertinent, tanquam
 ipsam sacrosanctam et religiosam Ecclesiam, intacta con-
 venit venerabiliter custodiri; ut sicut ipsa Religionis et
 Fidei Mater perpetua est, ita ejus patrimonium jugiter
 servetur illæsum.” As for the case of public burthens, let
 any Politician living make it appear, that by confiscation
 of Bishops’ Livings, and their utter dissolution at once, the
 Commonwealth shall ever have half that relief and ease which
 it receiveth by their continuance as now they are, and it
 shall give us some cause to think, that albeit we see they
 are impiously and irreligiously minded, yet we may esteem
 them at least to be tolerable Commonwealth’s-men. But
 the case is too clear and manifest, the world doth but too
 plainly see it, that no one Order of subjects whatsoever
 within this Land doth bear the seventh part of that pro-
 portion which the Clergy beareth in the burthens of the
 Commonwealth: no revenue of the Crown like unto it,
 either for certainty or for greatness. Let the good which
 this way hath grown to the Commonwealth by the dissolu-
 tion of religious-Houses, teach men what ease unto public
 burdens there is like to grow by the overthrow of the
 Clergy. My meaning is not hereby to make the state of
 Bishoprick and of those dissolved Companies alike, the one
 no less unlawful to be removed than the other. For those
 Religious persons were men which followed only a special
 kind of contemplative life in the Commonwealth, they were
 properly no portion of God’s Clergy (only such amongst
 them excepted as were also Priests), their goods (that
 excepted which they unjustly held through the Pope’s
 usurped power of appropriating Ecclesiastical Livings unto
 them) may in part seem to be of the nature of Civil pos-
 sessions, held by other kinds of Corporations, such as the
 city of London hath divers. Wherefore, as their institution
 was human, and their end for the most part superstitious,
 they had not therein merely that holy and divine interest
 which belongeth unto Bishops, who being employed by
 Christ in the principal service of his Church, are receivers
 and disposers of his patrimony, as hath been showed,
 which whosoever shall withhold or withdraw at any time

from them, he undoubtedly robbeth God himself. If they abuse the goods of the Church unto pomp and vanity, such faults we do not excuse in them. Only we wish it to be considered whether such faults be verily in them, or else but objected against them by such as gape after spoil, and therefore are no competent judges what is moderate and what excessive in them, whom under this pretence they would spoil. But the accusation may be just. In plenty and fulness it may be we are of God more forgetful than were requisite. Notwithstanding men should remember how not to the Clergy alone it was said by Moses in Deuteronomy, “Ne cum manducaveris, et bibe-^[Deut. viii. 11, 12.]ris, et domos optimas ædificaveris.” If the remedy prescribed for this disease be good, let it impartially be applied. “Interest Reipub. ut re sua *quisque* bene utatur.” Let all states be put to their moderate pensions, let their Livings and Lands be taken away from them whomsoever they be, in whom such ample possessions are found to have been matters of grievous abuse: were this just? would Noble families think this reasonable? The Title which Bishops have to their Livings is as good as the title of any sort of men unto whatsoever we account to be most justly held by them; yea, in this one thing the claim of Bishops hath pre-eminence above all secular titles of right, in that God’s own interest is the tenure whereby they hold, even as also it was to the Priests of the Law an assurance of their spiritual goods and possessions, whereupon though they many times abused greatly the goods of the Church, yet was not God’s patrimony therefore taken away from them, and made saleable unto other tribes. To rob God, to ransack the Church, to overthrow the whole Order of Christian Bishops, and to turn them out of land and living, out of house and home, what man of common honesty can think it for any manner of abuse to be a remedy lawful or just? We must confess that God is righteous in taking away that which men abuse: but doth that excuse the violence of thieves and robbers? Complain we will not with St. Jerome, “That the hands of men are so straitly tied, and their liberal minds so much bridled and held back from doing good by augmentation of the Church-patrimony.”*

* “Pudet dicere, Sacerdotes idolorum, aurigæ, mimi et scorta hæreditates capiunt, solis Clericis et Monachis id lege prohibetur, et prohibetur non a persecutoribus sed Principibus Christianis. Nec de lege conqueror, sed doleo quod meruerimus hanc Legem.” Ad Nepot. 2.

[Exod.
xxxvi.
5.]

Obad.
ver. 5.

Flor.
lib. iii.
c. 13.

For we confess that herein mediocrity may be and hath been sometime exceeded. There did want heretofore a Moses to temper men's liberality, to say unto them who enriched the Church, *Sufficit*, Stay your hands, lest fervour of zeal do cause you to empty yourselves too far. It may be the largeness of men's hearts being then more moderate, had been after more durable; and one state by too much overgrowing the rest, had not given occasion unto the rest to undermine it. That evil is now sufficiently cured: the Church-treasury, if then it were over full, hath since been reasonably well emptied. That which Moses spake unto givers, we must now inculcate unto takers away from the Church, Let there be some stay, some stint in spoiling. If "grape-gatherers came unto them (saith the Prophet), would they not leave some remnant behind?" But it hath fared with the wealth of the Church as with a tower, which being built at the first with the highest, overthroweth itself after by its own greatness; neither doth the ruin thereof cease with the only fall of that which hath exceeded mediocrity, but one part beareth down another, till the whole be laid prostrate. For although the State Ecclesiastical, both others and even Bishops themselves, be now fallen to so low an ebb, as all the world at this day doth see; yet, because there remaineth still somewhat which unsatiable minds can thirst for, therefore we seem not to have been hitherto sufficiently wronged. Touching that which hath been taken from the Church in appropriations known to amount to the value of one hundred twenty-six thousand pounds yearly, we rest contentedly and quietly without it, till it shall please God to touch the hearts of men, of their own voluntary accord, to restore it to him again;* judging thereof no otherwise than some others did of those goods which were by Sylla taken away from the citizens of Rome, that albeit they were in truth *male capta*, unconscionably taken away from the right owners at the first, nevertheless, seeing that such as were after possessed of them held them not without some title, which Law did after a sort make good, "*repetitio eorum proculdubio labefactabat compositam Civitatem.*" What hath been taken away as dedicated unto uses superstitious, and consequently not given unto God, or at the leastwise not so rightly given, we

* [See Vol. II. p. 408.]

repine not thereat. That which hath gone by means secret and indirect, through corrupt compositions or compacts, we cannot help. What the hardness of men's hearts doth make them loth to have exacted, though being due by Law, even thereof the want we do also bear. Out of that which after all these deductions cometh clearly unto our hands, I hope it will not be said that towards the public charge we disburse nothing. And doth the residue seem yet excessive? The ways whereby temporal men provide for themselves and their Families are fore-closed unto us. All that we have to sustain our miserable life with, is but a remnant of God's own treasure, so far already diminished and clipt, that if there were any sense of common humanity left in this hard-hearted world, the impoverished estate of the Clergy of God would at the length even of very commiseration be spared. The mean Gentleman that hath but an hundred pound land to live on, would not be hasty to change his worldly estate and condition with many of these so over-abounding Prelates; a common artisan or tradesman of the city, with ordinary Pastors of the Church. It is our hard and heavy lot, that no other sort of men being grudged at, how little benefit soever the public-weal reap by them, no state complained of for holding that which hath grown unto them by lawful means; only the governors of our souls, they that study day and night so to guide us, that both in this world we may have comfort, and in the world to come endless felicity and joy (for even such is the very scope of all their endeavours; this they wish, for this they labour, how hardly soever we use to construe of their intents); hard, that only they should be thus continually lifted at for possessing but that whereunto they have, by Law both of God and Man, most just Title. If there should be no other remedy, but that the violence of men in the end must needs bereave them of all succour, further than the inclination of others shall vouchsafe to cast upon them, as it were by way of alms, for their relief but from hour to hour; better they are not than their fathers, which have been contented with as hard a portion at the world's hands: let the light of the sun and moon, the common benefit of heaven and earth, be taken from Bishops, if the question were, Whether God should lose his glory, and the safety of his Church be hazarded, or they relinquish the

Dent.
xxxiii.
11.

right and interest which they have in the things of this world? But sith the question in truth is, Whether Levi shall be deprived of the portion of God or no, to the end that Simeon or Reuben may devour it as their spoil? the comfort of the one, in sustaining the injuries which the other would offer, must be that Prayer poured out by Moses, the Prince of Prophets, in most tender affection to Levi, “ Bless, O Lord, his substance, accept thou the work of his hands; smite through the loins of them that rise up against him, and of them which hate him, that they rise no more.”*

* [So many of the preceding Notes relate to the subject matter of this Section, that much which might be added here is forborne: let it therefore suffice that two portions of Scripture are adduced; namely, 1 Tim. vi. 11. and Matt. v. 43, 44. and that the question be put, Whether that eminent Civilian, SAMUEL PUFFENDORF, has not betrayed the great secret on which an ultra-Scriptural Hierarchy or “ Chieftly” is founded, and for which it is advocated, where he says, “ Not to dissemble the truth, it would appear very ill, if after Princes and entire States have received the Doctrine of Christ, enjoying ample Revenues, they should deal sparingly with the Church; and the more because it is a general maxim among men, *to value a Function according to its Revenues?*”—*The Nature and Qualification of Religion in reference to Civil Society.* 1698. p. 118.]

BOOK VIII.

CONTAINING THEIR SEVENTH ASSERTION, THAT TO NO CIVIL PRINCE OR GOVERNOR THERE MAY BE GIVEN SUCH POWER OF ECCLESIASTICAL DOMINION, AS BY THE LAWS OF THIS LAND BELONGETH UNTO THE SUPREME REGENT THEREOF.

THE MATTER CONTAINED IN THIS EIGHTH BOOK.

1. Whether the Church and the Commonwealth are, with us, the self-same Community?
2. What the Power of Dominion is.
3. In what measure.
4. Of the Title of Headship.
5. To call and dissolve all solemn Assemblies about the public affairs of the Church.
6. Of the Authority of making Laws.
7. Power to Command all persons, and to be over all Judges in Causes Ecclesiastical.
8. What Laws may be made for the affairs of the Church, and to whom the Power of making them appertaineth.
9. Of Exemption from being punishable.

1. WE come now to the last thing whereof there is controversy moved, namely, The Power of supreme Jurisdiction; which for distinction' sake we call, *The Power of Ecclesiastical Dominion*. It was not thought fit in the Jews' Commonwealth, that the exercise of Supremacy Ecclesiastical should be denied unto him, to whom the exercise of Chieftly Civil did appertain; and therefore their Kings were invested with both. This Power they gave unto Simon, when they consented that he should be their Prince, not only to set men over their works, and country, and weapons, but also to provide for the holy things; and that he should be obeyed of every man, and that the writings of the country should be made in his name, and that it should not be lawful for any of the people or Priests to withstand his words, or to call any congregation in the country without him. And if happily it be surmised, that thus much was given to Simon, as being both Prince and High-priest, which otherwise (being their Civil Governor) he could not lawfully have enjoyed; we

[Whether the Church and the Commonwealth are, with us, the self-same Community?]
1 Mac-cab. xvi. 41—44.

must note, that all this is no more than the ancient Kings of that people had, being Kings and not Priests. By this power David, Asa, Jehoshaphat, Josias, and the rest, made those Laws and Orders which Sacred History speaketh of, concerning matters of mere Religion, the affairs of the Temple, and service of God. Finally, had it not been by the virtue of this Power, how should it possibly have come to pass, that the piety or impiety of the Kings did always accordingly change the public face of Religion, which things the Prophets by themselves never did, nor at any time could, hinder from being done? Had the Priests alone been possest of all Power in Spiritual affairs, how should any thing concerning matter of Religion have been made but only by them? In them it had been, and not in the King, to change the face of Religion at any time; the altering of Religion, the making of Ecclesiastical Laws, with other the like actions belonging unto the Power of Dominion, are still termed “The deeds of the King;” to shew, that in him was placed the Supremacy of Power in this kind over all, and that unto their Priests the same was never committed, saving only at such times as the Priests were also Kings and Princes over them. According to the pattern of which example* the like Power in causes Ecclesiastical is by the Laws of this Realm annexed unto the Crown: and there are which do imagine, that Kings, being mere Lay-persons, do by this means exceed the lawful bounds of their callings; which thing to the end that they may persuade, they First, make a necessary separation perpetual and personal between the Church and the Commonwealth: Secondly, they so tie all kind of Power Ecclesiastical unto the Church, as if it were in every degree their only right who are by proper spiritual functions termed Church-governors, and might not unto Christian Princes in any wise appertain. To lurk under shifting ambiguities and equivocations of words in matter of principal weight, is childish. A Church and a Commonwealth we grant are things in nature one distinguished from the other. A Common-

* [By this Apocryphal “example” the Law of God, Num. i. 50, iii. 10, xviii. 7, was evidently perverted, “every thing of the altar” having been forbidden to “the stranger,” on pain of “death:” see the case of “Uzziah, the king,” 2 Chron. xxvi. 18. The sophistry of the example is, That if the king might not interfere with the priest’s office, yet the priest may become King! As respects us, however, this example is not parallel; the person, he or she, *who arrives at the “Chieftly”* having both Civil and Ecclesiastical investiture simultaneously.]

wealth is one way, and a Church another way, defined. In their opinions, The Church and Commonwealth are Corporations, not distinguished only in nature and definition, but in subsistence perpetually severed; so that they which are of the one can neither appoint nor execute in whole nor in part the duties which belong to them which are of the other, without open breach of the Law of God, which hath divided them, and doth require that so being divided they should distinctly or severally work, as depending both upon God, and not hanging one upon the other's approbation for that which either hath to do. We say, That the care of Religion being common to all Societies Politic, such Societies as do embrace the true Religion have the name of the Church given unto every one of them for distinction from the rest; so that every Body Politic hath some Religion, but the Church that Religion which is only true. *Truth* of Religion is the proper difference whereby a Church is distinguished from other politic Societies of men; we here mean true Religion in gross, and not according to every particular:* for they which in some particular points of Religion do sever from the Truth, may nevertheless truly (if we compare them to men of an heathenish Religion) be said to hold and profess that Religion which is true. For which cause, there being of old so many politic Societies stablished through the world, only the Commonwealth of Israel which had the Truth of Religion was in that respect the Church of God: and the Church of Jesus Christ is every such politic Society of men as doth in Religion hold that Truth which is proper to Christianity. As a politic Society it doth maintain Religion, as a Church that Religion which God hath revealed by Jesus Christ. With us, therefore, the name of a Church importeth only a Society of men, first united into some public form of Regiment, and secondly, distinguished from other Societies by the exercise of Religion. With them on the other side, the name of the Church in this present question importeth not only a multitude of men so united and so distinguished, but also further the same divided necessarily and perpetually from the body of the Commonwealth; so that even in such a politic Society as consisteth of none but Christians, yet

* [See Vol. II. p. 301, "CHURCH is a word, &c."]

the Church and Commonwealth are two Corporations [each] independently subsisting by itself.

We hold, That seeing there is not any man of the Church of England but the same man is also a member of the Commonwealth, nor any member of the Commonwealth which is not also of the Church of England;* therefore as in a figure triangle the base doth differ from the sides thereof, and yet one and the self-same line is both a base and also a side; a side simply, a base if it chance to be the bottom and underlie the rest; so, albeit properties and actions of one do cause the name of a Commonwealth, qualities and functions of another sort the name of the Church, to be given to a multitude, yet one and the self-same multitude may in such sort be both.† Nay, it is so with us, That no person appertaining to the one can be denied also to be of the other: contrariwise, unless they against us should hold, That the Church and the Commonwealth are two, both distinct and separate societies; of which two one comprehendeth always persons not belonging to the other, (that which they do) they could not conclude out of the difference between the Church and the Commonwealth, namely, that the Bishops may not meddle with the affairs of the Commonwealth, because they are Governors of another Corporation, which is the Church; nor Kings, with making Laws for the Church, because they have Government, not of this Corporation, but of another divided from it, the Commonwealth;‡ and the walks of

* [Thus have men willingly allowed themselves to be deluded, and would delude others; for not by Scriptural but by Secular Law is every individual constrained to be a member of a National Church; to which constraint Reason and Revelation being equally opposed, it is therefore so far not a Scriptural but a fictitious Church. A Religion consisting of types and ceremonies, and whose requirements could be observed in accordance with the letter of its injunctions might, as was the Jews', be one with a Commonwealth. But such a Religion not being now sanctioned by the Deity, and one of a totally opposite nature having been substituted, whose ministrations must be observed "not of the letter, but of the spirit," (2 Cor. iii. 6); and which requires of all its followers the internal qualifications of "belief" and "regeneration," not simply ritual, but actual; and operative from a divine principle, not because of "the commandments and doctrines of men" (Colos. ii. 22); it follows, that every *Christian*, and consequently every *true* Church, is composed only of members, as far as can be judged of by "discerning of spirits" (1 Cor. xii. 10), who are thus Scripturally combined; agreeably to Article XIX. of the Church of England, "The visible Church of Christ is a Congregation of faithful men."]

† [Every person born within the bounds of the Commonwealth is a subject thereof; but Baptism being the initiating rite into the visible Church of Christ, no person can be a member of it so soon as born, and may never ritually become one: hence it is only by Secular Law that every "member of the Commonwealth is also of the Church."]

‡ [A New Testament Bishop is restrained from the one, in 2 Tim. ii. 4; and though a temporal officer may hold his office by hereditary succession, an hereditary vicegerent in the

separation between these two must for ever be upheld: they hold the necessity of Personal separation, which clean excludeth the power of one man's dealing with both; we of Natural, but that one and the same person may in both bear principal sway.

The causes of common-received errors in this point seem to have been especially two: one, That they who embrace true Religion, living in such Commonwealths as are opposite thereunto; And, in other public affairs, retaining Civil communion with such as [them]; are constrained, for the exercise of their religion, to have a several communion with those who are of the same Religion with them. This was the state of the Jewish Church both in Egypt and Babylon; the state of Christian Churches a long time after Christ. And in this case, because the proper affairs and actions of the Church, as it is the Church, hath no dependance on the Laws, or upon the Government of the Civil State, an opinion hath thereby grown, that even so it should be always. This was it which deceived Allen in the writing of his Apology: "The Apostles (saith he) did govern the Church in Rome, when Nero bare rule, even as at this day in all the Church's dominions: the Church hath a spiritual Regiment without dependance, and so ought she to have amongst Heathens, or with Christians." Another occasion of which misconceit is, That things appertaining to Religion are both distinguished from other affairs, and have always had in the Church spiritual persons chosen to be exercised about them. By which distinction of Spiritual affairs and persons therein employed, from Temporal, the error of Personal separation always necessary between the Church and Commonwealth hath strengthened itself. For of every politic Society that being true which Aristotle saith, namely, "That the scope thereof is not simply to live, nor the duty so much to provide for the life, as for means of living well:"* and that even as the soul is the worthier part of

Arist.
Pol.
lib. iii.
cap. 6.

Church of Christ is a monstrous anomaly in its economy, and militates against Christ's doctrine to Nicodemus, "a Ruler of the Jews," John iii. 1—7.]

* [Bishop WARBURTON, in his *Alliance between Church and State*, Book II. chap. 5. (Works, Vol. IV. 1748. 4to.) says, "Aristotle's words are literally these, that society was instituted first for the sake of living, simply; and then for the sake of living happily: *γινόμενη μὲν οὖν τοῦ ζῆν ἐνεκεν, οὕσα δὲ τοῦ εὖ ζῆν.* (Pol. lib. i. c. 2.) He is extremely concise. But his meaning seems to be this, That the primary end of Civil Society, was to secure men from that mutual violence to which they are exposed in a state of nature: the secondary, to promote those accommodations of life which Civil Society only can bestow. And here I am sorry to observe, that this excellent man, in paraphrasing the words of Aristotle, so as to

[Matt.
vi. 33.]Arist.
Pol.
lib. vi.
cap. 7.
Livius,
lib. i.
cap. 20.

man, so human Societies are much more to care for that which tendeth properly to the soul's estate, than for such temporal things which the life hath need of: Other proof there needeth none to shew that as by all men the Kingdom of God is to be sought first, so in all Commonwealths things spiritual ought above temporal to be sought for; and of things spiritual, the chiefest is Religion. For this cause, persons and things employed peculiarly about the affairs of Religion, are by an excellency termed Spiritual.* The Heathens themselves had their Spiritual laws, and causes, and affairs, always severed from their temporal; neither did this make two independent estates among them. God by revealing true Religion doth make them that receive it his Church. Unto the Jews he so revealed the truth of Religion, that he gave them in special considerations Laws, not only for the administration of things spiritual, but also temporal. The Lord himself appointing both the one and the other in that Commonwealth, did not thereby distract it into several independent communities, but institute several functions of one and the self-same community: some reasons therefore must there be all ready why it should be otherwise in the Church of Christ.

Three
kinds
of their
proofs
are,
1. Taken
from the
differ-
ence of
affairs
and
offices.

I shall not need to spend any great store of words in answering that which is brought out of the Holy Scripture to shew that Secular and Ecclesiastical affairs and offices are distinguished; neither that which hath been borrowed from antiquity, using by phrase of speech to oppose the Commonweal to the Church of Christ; neither yet their reasons which are wont to be brought forth as witnesses, that the Church and Commonweal were always distinct: for whether a Church or Commonweal do differ, is not the question we strive for; but our controversy is concerning the kind of distinction whereby they are severed the one from the other; whether as under Heathen Kings the Church did deal with her own affairs within herself without depending at all upon any in Civil Authority, and the Commonweal in hers, altogether without the privity of the Church, so it ought to continue still even in such Common-

give a sense to his purpose (a purpose the Greek philosopher never thought of) has added, 'nor the duty so much to provide for the life,' meaning *this* life.' This passage in Aristotle is also commented on in Note *, Vol. I. p. 106. The passage in cap. 6 referred to by Hooker is, by Aristotle himself, referred to cap. 2.]

* [See Vol. II. p. 417.]

weals as have now publicly embraced the truth of Christian Religion; whether they ought evermore to be two Societies in such sort, several and distinct. I ask therefore, what Society was that in Rome, whereunto the Apostle did give the name of the Church of Rome in his time? If they answer (as needs they must) that the Church of Rome in those days was that whole Society of men which in Rome professed the name of Christ, and not that Religion which the Laws of the Commonweal did then authorize; we say as much, and therefore grant that the Commonweal of Rome was one Society, and the Church of Rome another, in such sort that there was between them no mutual dependence. But when whole Rome became Christian, where they all embraced the Gospel, and made Laws in defence thereof, if it be held that the Church and Commonweal of Rome did then remain as before, there is no way how this could be possible, save only one, and that is, they must restrain the name of a Church in a Christian Commonweal to the Clergy, excluding all the rest of believers, both Prince and people. For, if all that believe be contained in the name of the Church, how should the Church remain by personal subsistence divided from the Commonweal, when the whole Commonweal doth believe? The Church and the Commonweal are in this case therefore personally one Society, which Society being termed a Commonweal as it liveth under whatsoever Form of secular Law and Regiment, a Church as it liveth under the spiritual Law of Christ; forsomuch as these two Laws contain so many and different Offices, there must of necessity be appointed in it some to one charge, and some to another, yet without dividing the whole and making it two several impaled Societies.* The difference therefore either of affairs or offices Ecclesiastical from Secular, is no argument that the Church and Com-

1 Chron.
xiv. 8, 11.
Heb. v. 1.

* [In this paragraph the word "Church" has two meanings. Without stopping to inquire what apostle, and where does any apostle name "the church of Rome?" it is granted by Hooker, that that church and the commonweal had "no mutual dependence." But it must indeed be seriously inquired, When did "all Rome embrace the Gospel," and all become "believers, both Prince and people?" It may be safely answered, Never did they become that "peculiar people," mentioned Tit. ii. 14. Again: the words "embraced" and "believed" must here be understood in a far different sense from Rom. x. 8—10, the majority of no city or province having ever united the sincerity of confession and belief laid down by the Apostle. A Church, then, not made up principally of those who thus confess and believe; which is the case when the secular power places all indiscriminately within the same enclosure, is not that kind of Church which Christ and his apostles instituted and approved; Eph. ii. 20.]

Allen,
lib. xxxi.
p. 151.

monweal are always separate and independent the one on the other: which thing even Allen himself considering somewhat better, doth in this point a little correct his former judgment beforementioned, and confesseth in his Defence of English Catholics, that the Power political hath her Princes, Laws, Tribunals; the spiritual, her Prelates, Canons, Councils, Judgments; and those (when the temporal Princes are Pagans) wholly separate; but in Christian Commonwealths joined though not confounded. Howbeit afterwards his former sting appeareth again; for in a Commonwealth he holdeth, that the Church ought not to depend at all upon the Authority of any Civil person whatsoever, as in England he saith it doth.

2. Taken
from the
speeches
of the
Fathers
oppos-
ing the
one to
the
other.

It will be objected, That the Fathers do oftentimes mention the Commonwealth and the Church of God by way of opposition.* Can the same thing be opposed to itself? If one and the same Society be both Church and Commonwealth, what sense can there be in that speech, "That they suffer and flourish together?" What sense is that which maketh one thing to be adjudged to the Church, and another to the Commonwealth? Finally, in that which putteth a difference between the causes of the Province and the Church, doth it not hereby appear that the Church and the Commonwealth are things evermore personally separate? No, it doth not hereby appear that there is perpetually any such separation; we speak of them as two, we may sever the rights and the causes of the one well enough from the other, in regard of that difference which we grant is between them, albeit we make no personal difference. For the truth is, that the Church and the Commonwealth are Names which import things really different; but those things are accidents, and such accidents as may, and always should, lovingly dwell together in one subject. Wherefore the real difference between the accidents signified by these Names, doth not prove different subjects for them always to reside in. For albeit the subjects wherein they be resident be sometimes different, as when the people of God have their residence among Infidels; yet the nature of them is not such but that their subject may be one, and therefore it is but a changeable accident, in

* Euseb. de Vita Constant. lib. iii. Aug. Ep. 167.

those accidents they are to be divers. There can be no error in our conceit concerning this point, if we remember still what accident that is for which a Society hath the name of a Commonwealth, and what accident that which doth cause it to be termed a Church: a Commonwealth we name it simply in regard of some Regiment of Policy under which men live; a Church for the truth of that Religion which they profess. Now Names betokening accidents inabstracted, betoken not only the accidents themselves, but also together with them subjects whereunto they cleave. As when we name a Schoolmaster and a Physician, those names do not only betoken two accidents, teaching and curing, but also some person or persons in whom those accidents are. For there is no impediment but both may be in one man, as well as they are for the most part [in] divers. The Commonweal and the Church therefore being such names, they do not only betoken these accidents of Civil Government and Christian Religion which we have mentioned, but also together with them such multitudes as are the subjects of those accidents. Again, their Nature being such as they may well enough dwell together in one subject, it followeth that their Names, though always implying that difference of accidents that hath been set down, yet do not always imply different subjects also. When we oppose therefore the Church and the Commonwealth in Christian Society, we mean by the Commonwealth that Society with relation to all the public affairs thereof, only the matter of true Religion excepted; by the Church, the same Society with only reference unto the matter of true Religion, without any affairs: besides, when that Society which is both a Church and a Commonwealth doth flourish in those things which belong to it as a Commonwealth, we then say, the Commonwealth doth flourish; when in both them, we then say, the Church and Commonwealth do flourish together. The Prophet Esay to note corruptions in the Commonwealth complaineth, "That where justice and judgment had lodged now were murtherers: Princes were become companions of thieves; every one loved gifts and rewards; but the fatherless was not judged, neither did the widow's cause come before them." To shew abuses in the Church, Malachy doth make his complaint: "Ye offer unclean bread upon mine altar: if ye offer the blind for

Isai. i.
21, 23.

Mal. i.
7, 8.

sacrifice, it is not evil as ye think ; if the lame and the sick, nothing is amiss.” The treasure which David bestowed upon the Temple did argue the love which he bare unto the Church: the pains which Nehemiah took for building the walls of the City are tokens of his care for the Commonwealth. Causes of the Commonwealth, or Province, are such as Gallio was content to be judge of: “ If it were a matter of wrong, or an evil deed (O ye Jews), I would according to reason maintain you:” causes of the Church are such as Gallio there reciteth ; “ If it be a question of your Law, look ye to it, I will be no judge thereof.” In respect of this difference therefore the Church and the Commonwealth may in speech be compared or opposed aptly enough the one to the other ; yet this is no argument that they are two independent Societies.

3. Taken from the effect of punishment inflicted by the one or the other. Some other reasons there are which seem a little more nearly to make for the purpose, as long as they are but heard and not sifted. For what though a man being severed by Excommunication from the Church, be not thereby deprived of freedom in the City, or being there discommoned, is not therefore forthwith excommunicated and excluded the Church ? what though the Church be bound to receive them upon repentance, whom the Commonweal may refuse again to admit ?* if it chance the same man to be shut out of both, division of the Church and Commonweal, which they contend for, will very hardly hereupon follow : for we must note, that members of a Christian Commonweal have a triple state ; a Natural, a Civil, and a Spiritual. No man’s Natural estate is cut off otherwise than by that capital execution : after which, he that is none of the Body of the Commonwealth doth not, I think, remain fit in the Body of that visible Church. And concerning man’s Civil estate, the same is subject partly to inferior abatements of liberty,

* [“ A man may by Excommunication be sundered from the Church, which forthwith loseth not of necessity his burghership or freedom in the City or Commonwealth. Likewise the Civil Magistrate may, by banishment, cut off a man from being a member of the Commonwealth, whom the Church cannot by and by cast out by Excommunication. Again, when one is for his misbehaviour deprived of his privileges, both in the Church and Commonwealth ; albeit the Church be, upon his repentance, bound to receive him in again as a member thereof, yet the Commonwealth is at her liberty whether she will restore him or no. Finally, Infidels under a Christian Prince may, until such time as they refuse instruction, be members of the Commonwealth, yet are they not therefore members of the Church : where, if the Church and Commonwealth were, as he (Dr. W.) saith, ‘ all one,’ it should follow, that whosoever is a part of one should needs be a part of the other ; and contrariwise, whosoever is cut off from one, must be cut off from the other.” T. C. lib. iii. p. 151.]

and partly to diminution in the highest degree, such as banishment is; sith it casteth out quite and clean from the Body of the Commonweal, it must needs also consequently cast the banished party even out of the very Church he was of before, because that Church and the Commonweal he was of were both one and the same Society: so that whatsoever doth utterly separate a man's person from the one, it separateth from the other also. As for such abatements of Civil estate as take away only some privilege, dignity, or other benefit which a man enjoyeth in the Commonweal, they reach only to our dealing with public affairs, from which what may let but that men may be excluded and thereunto restored again without diminishing or augmenting the number of persons in whom either Church or Commonwealth consisteth? He that by way of punishment loseth his voice in a public election of Magistrates, ceaseth not thereby to be a citizen. A man disfranchised may notwithstanding enjoy as a subject the common benefit of protection under Laws and Magistrates. So that these inferior diminutions which touch men civilly, but neither do clean extinguish their estates as they belong to the Commonwealth, nor impair a whit their condition as they are of the Church of God; these, I say, do clearly prove a difference of the one from the other, but such a difference as maketh nothing for their surmise of distracted Societies.

And concerning Excommunication, it cutteth off indeed from the Church, and yet not from the Commonwealth; howbeit so, that the party excommunicate is not thereby severed from one Body which subsisteth in itself, and retained by another in like sort subsisting; but he which before had fellowship with that Society whereof he was a member, as well touching things Spiritual as Civil, is now by force of Excommunication, although not severed from the Body in Civil affairs, nevertheless for the time cut off from it as touching communion in those things which belong to the same Body, as it is the Church. A man having been both excommunicated by the Church, and deprived of Civil dignity in the Commonwealth, is upon his repentance necessarily reunited into the one, but not of necessity into the other. What then? that which he is admitted unto is a communion in things divine, whereof both parts are partakers; that from which he is withheld is the benefit of some

human privilege or right which other citizens happily enjoy. But are not these saints and citizens one and the same people? are they not one and the same society? doth it hereby appear that the Church which received an Excommunicate, can have no dependency on any person which hath chief authority and power of these things in the Commonwealth whereunto the same party is not admitted? Wherefore to end this point, I conclude, first, That under the dominions of Infidels the Church of Christ and their Commonwealth were two Societies independent. Secondly, that in those Commonwealths, where the Bishop of Rome beareth sway, one Society is both the Church and the Commonwealth; but the Bishop of Rome doth divide the Body into two divers bodies, and doth not suffer the Church to depend upon the power of any Civil Prince and Potentate. Thirdly, that within this Realm of England the case is neither as in the one, nor as in the other of the former two: but from the state of Pagans we differ, in that with us one Society is both the Church and Commonwealth, which with them it was not; as also from the state of those nations which subjected themselves to the Bishop of Rome, in that our Church hath dependence from the Chief in our Commonwealth, which it hath not when he is suffered to rule. In a word, our state is according to the pattern of God's own ancient elect people, which people was not part of them the Commonwealth, and part of them the Church of God, but the self-same people whole and entire were both under one chief Governor on whose supreme authority they did all depend. Now the drift of all that hath been alleged to prove perpetual separation and independency between the Church and the Commonwealth is, that this being held necessary, it might consequently be thought fit, that in a Christian Kingdom he whose power is greatest over the Commonwealth, may not lawfully have Supremacy of Power also over the Church, that is to say, so far as to order thereby and to dispose of Spiritual affairs, so far as the highest uncommanded-Commander in them. Whereupon it is grown a question, Whether Government Ecclesiastical, and Power of Dominion in such degrees as the Laws of this Land do grant unto the Sovereign Governor thereof, may by the said Supreme Governor lawfully be enjoyed and held? For resolution wherein, we are, first, to define what

the Power of Dominion is; secondly, then to shew by what Right; thirdly, after what Sort; fourthly, in what Measure; fifthly, in what Inconveniency. According to whose Ex-^[3.] ample Christian Kings may have it. And when these generals are opened, to examine afterwards how Lawful that is which we in regard of Dominion do attribute unto our own: namely, the Title of Headship over the Church, ^[4.] so far as the bounds of this Kingdom do reach: secondly, ^[5.] the Prerogative of calling and dissolving great assemblies, about spiritual affairs public: thirdly, the right of Assenting ^[6.] unto all those Orders concerning Religion, which must after be in force as Law: fourthly, the advancement of principal ^[7.] Church-governors to their rooms of Prelacy: fifthly, Judicial ^[8.] Authority higher than others are capable of: and sixthly, ^[9.] Exemption from being punishable with such kind of censures as the Platform of Reformation doth teach that they ought to be subject unto.

2. Without Order there is no living in public Society, because the want thereof is the mother of confusion, where-
upon division of necessity followeth; and out of division
destruction.* The Apostle, therefore, giving instruction
to public Societies, requireth that all things be orderly done.
Order can have no place in things, except it be settled
amongst the persons that shall by office be conversant about
them: and if things and persons be ordered, this doth imply
that they are distinguished by degrees: for Order is a gra-
dual disposition. The whole world consisting of parts so
many, so different, is by this only thing upheld; he which
framed them, hath set them in order. The very Deity itself
both keepeth and requireth for ever this to be kept as a
Law, that wheresoever there is a coagmentation of many,
the lowest be knit unto the highest by that which being
interjacent may cause each to cleave to the other, and so all
to continue one. This order of things and persons in public
Societies is the work of Policy, and the proper instrument
thereof in every degree is Power; Power being that ability
which we have of ourselves, or receive from others for per-
formance of any action. If the action which we have to
perform be conversant about matters of mere Religion, the
Power of performing it is then Spiritual; and if that Power

What the
Power
of Do-
minion
is.

1 Cor.
xiv. 40.

* Luke xi. 17.

be such as hath not any other to over-rule it, we term it Dominion or Power supreme, so far as the bounds thereof extend. When therefore Christian Kings are said to have Spiritual Dominion or supreme Power in Ecclesiastical affairs and causes, the meaning is, that within their own precincts and territories they have an authority and power to command even in matters of Christian Religion, and that there is no higher nor greater that can in those cases over-command them, where they are placed to reign as Kings. But withal we must likewise note that their Power is termed Supremacy, as being the highest, not simply without exception of any thing. For what man is so brain-sick, as not to except in such speeches God himself, the King of all Dominion? Who doubteth but that the King who receiveth it must hold it of, and order the Law according to that old axiom, "*Attribuat Rex Legi, quod Lex attribuit ei potestatem:*" and again, "*Rex non debet esse sub homine, sed sub Deo et Lege.*" Thirdly, whereas it is altogether without reason, "that Kings are judged to have by virtue of their Dominion, although greater Power than any, yet not than all the state of those Societies conjoined, wherein such sovereign Rule is given them;" there is not any thing hereunto to the contrary by us affirmed, no, not when we grant supreme Authority unto Kings; because Supremacy is not otherwise intended or meant to exclude partly foreign Powers and partly the Power which belongeth in several unto others, contained as parts in that politic Body over which those Kings have Supremacy: "Where the King hath Power of Dominion, or supreme Power, there no foreign State or Potentate, no State or Potentate domestical, whether it consisteth of one or many, can possibly have in the same affairs and causes Authority higher than the King." Power of Spiritual Dominion therefore is in causes Ecclesiastical that ruling Authority which neither any foreign State nor yet any part of that politic Body at home, wherein the same is established, can lawfully over-rule. It hath been declared already in general how "the best established Dominion is where the Law doth most rule the King:" the true effect whereof particularly is found as well in Ecclesiastical as Civil affairs. In these the King, through his Supreme Power, may do sundry great things himself, both appertaining to peace and war, both at home, and by command, and

by commerce with States abroad, because the Law doth so much permit. Sometimes on the other side, “The King alone hath no right to do without consent of his Lords and Commons in Parliament: the King himself cannot change the nature of pleas, nor courts, no not so much as restore blood,” because the Law is a bar unto him; the positive Laws of the Realm have a privilege therein, and restrain the King’s Power; which positive Laws, whether by custom or otherwise established without repugnancy to the Laws of God and Nature, ought not less to be in force even in supernatural affairs of the Church, whether [*wherefore*] in regard of Ecclesiastical Laws, we willingly embrace that of Ambrose, “Imperator bonus intra Ecclesiam, non supra Ecclesiam, est; Kings have Dominion to exercise in Ecclesiastical causes, but according to the Laws of the Church;” whether it be therefore the nature of Courts, or the form of Pleas, or the kind of Governors, or the order of proceeding in whatsoever business, for the received Laws and Liberty of the Church “the King hath Supreme Authority and Power, but against them never.” What such positive Laws have appointed to be done by others than the King, or by others with the King, and in what form they have appointed the doing of it, the same of necessity must be kept; neither is the King’s sole Authority to alter it: yet, as it were a thing unreasonable, if in Civil affairs the King, albeit the whole universal Body did join with him, should do any thing by their absolute Power for the ordering of their State at home, in prejudice of those ancient Laws of Nations which are of force throughout all the world, because the necessary commerce of Kingdoms dependeth on them; so in principal matters belonging to Christian Religion a thing very scandalous and offensive it must needs be thought, if either Kings or Laws should dispose of the Law of God, without any respect had unto that which of old hath been reverently thought of throughout the world, and wherein there is no Law of God which forceth us to swerve from the ways wherein so many and holy ages have gone. Wherefore not without good consideration the very Law itself hath provided, “That Judges Ecclesiastical appointed under the King’s Commission shall not adjudge for Heresy any thing but that which heretofore hath been adjudged by the Authority of the Canonical Scriptures, or by the first four General Councils, or by

some other General Council wherein the same hath been declared Heresy by the express words of the said Canonical Scriptures, or such as hereafter shall be determined to be Heresy by the High Court of Parliament of this Realm, with the assent of the Clergy in the Convocation:" An. 1. Reg. Eliz. By which words of the Law, who doth not plainly see, how that in one branch of proceeding by virtue of the King's supreme Authority, the credit which those four first General Councils have throughout all Churches, and evermore had, was judged by the making of the foresaid Act a just cause wherefore they should be mentioned in that case, as a requisite part of that Rule wherewith Dominion was to be limited? But of this we shall further consider when we come unto that which Sovereign Power may do in making Ecclesiastical Laws.

The
Right
which
men
give,
God
ratifies.

Unto which Supreme Power in Kings two kinds of adversaries there are which have opposed themselves: one sort defending, "That supreme Power in causes Ecclesiastical throughout the world appertaineth of Divine Right to the Bishop of Rome:" another sort, "That the said Power belonging in every National Church unto the Clergy thereof assembled." We which defend as well against the one as against the other, "That Kings within their own precincts may have it," must shew by what Right it must come unto them. First, unto me it seemeth almost out of doubt and controversy, that every independent multitude, before any certain Form of Regiment established, hath, under God, Supreme Authority, full Dominion over itself,* even as a man not tied with the band of subjection as yet unto any other, hath over himself the like power.† God creating mankind did endue it naturally with Power to guide itself in what kind of Society soever he should choose to live. A man which is born lord of himself may be made another's servant.‡ And that Power which naturally whole Societies have, may be derived unto many, few, or one; under whom

* [See R. BAXTER's *Christian Directory*, Part IV. or *Christian Politics*, chap. iii. § 13.]

† ["It is Bodin's opinion (de Rep. lib. ii. c. 1.) That in the Roman State the government was in the Magistrates; the authority and council, in the Senate; but the sovereign power and majesty, in the People." Sir ROB. FILMER's *Political Works*, 1680. 8vo. p. 121. "Bodin's Six Books of a Commonwealth, translated by Richard Knolles, 1606: a work replete with the practical knowledge of Politics, and of which Mr. Dugald Stewart has delivered a high opinion." D'ISRAELI's *Curiosities of Lit.* 2nd Series. 1823. 8vo. vol. ii. p. 272. "Plebis intus positæ fidelis atque incorrupta majestas." CYPRIOT. *Ep.* lix.]

‡ [See BAXTER, § 14. *ut sup.*]

the rest shall then live in subjection. Some multitudes are brought into subjection by force, as they who being subdued are fain to submit their necks unto what yoke it pleaseth their conquerors to lay upon them; which conquerors by just and lawful wars do hold their Power over such multitudes as a thing descending unto them; Divine Providence itself so disposing. For it is God who giveth victory in the day of war: and unto whom Dominion in this sort is derived, the same they enjoy according to the Law of Nations, which Law authorizeth Conquerors to reign as absolute Lords over them whom they vanquish. Sometimes it pleaseth God himself by special appointment to choose out and nominate such as to whom Dominion shall be given, which thing he did often in the Commonwealth of Israel.* They which in this sort receive Power immediately from God, have it by mere Divine Right: they by Human, on whom the same is bestowed according to men's discretion, when they are left freely by God to make choice of their own Governors. By which of these means soever it happen that Kings or Governors be advanced unto their estates, we must acknowledge both their lawful choice to be approved of God, and themselves to be God's Lieutenants, and confess their Power which they have to be his. As for Supreme Power in Ecclesiastical affairs, the Word of God doth nowhere appoint that all Kings should have it, neither that any should not have it; for which cause it seemeth to stand altogether by Human Right, that unto Christian Kings there is such Dominion given.

Again, on whom the same is bestowed at men's discretions, they likewise do hold it by Divine Right.† If God in his revealed Word hath appointed such Power to be, although

* "Corona est potestas delegata a Deo." Bracton.

† ["St. Paul has said, 'Whosoever resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God.' Rom. xiii. 2. This phrase, 'the ordinance of God,' is by many so interpreted as to authorize the most exalted and superstitious ideas of the regal character. But, surely, such interpreters have sacrificed truth to adulation. For, in the first place, the expression as used by St. Paul, is just as applicable to one kind of Government, and to one kind of Succession, as to another; to the elective Magistrates of a pure Republic, as to an absolute hereditary Monarch. In the next place, it is not affirmed of the Supreme magistrate exclusively, that *he* is the ordinance of God; the title, whatever it imports, belongs to every Inferior officer of the State as much as to the highest. The Divine Right of Kings, is, like the divine right of other magistrates, the Law of the Land, or even actual and quiet possession of their office; a right ratified, we humbly presume, by the Divine approbation, so long as obedience to their authority appears to be necessary or conducive to the common welfare. Princes are ordained of God by virtue only of that general decree, by which he assents, and adds the sanction of his will, to every Law of Society, which promotes his own purpose—the communication of human happiness:]

himself extraordinarily bestow it not, but leave the appointment of persons to men; yea albeit God do neither appoint nor assign the person, nevertheless when men have assigned and established both, who doth doubt but that sundry duties and affairs depending thereupon are prescribed by the Word of God, and consequently by that very Right to be exacted? For example' sake, the Power which Roman Emperors had over foreign Provinces was not a thing which the Law of God did ever institute; neither was Tiberius Cæsar by especial commission from Heaven therewith invested, and yet payment of tribute unto Cæsar, being now made Emperor, is the plain Law of Jesus Christ:* unto Kings by Human Right, Honour by very Divine Right, is due; man's Ordinances are many times proposed as grounds in the Statutes of God. And therefore of what kind soever the means be whereby Governors are lawfully advanced to their States, as we by the Laws of God stand bound meekly to acknowledge them for God's Lieutenants, and to confess their Power his, so by the same Law they are both authorized and required to use that Power as far as it may be in any State available to his honour. The Law appointeth no man to be a husband, but if a man hath betaken himself unto that condition, it giveth him power and authority over his own wife. That the Christian world should be ordered by the Kingly Regiment, the Law of God doth not any where command; and yet the Law of God doth give them, which once are exalted unto that place of estate, Right to exact at the hands of their subjects general obedience in whatsoever affairs their Power may serve to command, and God doth ratify works of that sovereign Authority which Kings have received by men. This is therefore the Right whereby Kings do hold their Power; but yet in what sort the same doth rest and abide in them it somewhat behoveth further to search; wherein that we be not enforced to make overlarge discourses about the different conditions of sovereign or supreme Power, that which we speak of Kings shall be

according to which idea of their origin and constitution (and without any repugnancy to the words of St. Paul), they are by St. Peter denominated the 'ordinance of man.' 1 Pet. ii. 13." *The Principles of Moral and Political Philosophy.* By W. PALEY, D. D. Archdeacon of Carlisle. 1803. 8vo. Vol. II. Bk. vi. chap. iv. p. 165.]

* ["If Cæsar were a Usurper, paying tribute owned not his Right, any more than contribution to conquering soldiers: a man may buy his life or peace of a robber." BAXTER'S *Paraphrase*, 1695. 8vo. in Matt. xxii. 21.]

in respect of the State, and according to the nature of this Kingdom, where the people are in no subjection, but such as willingly themselves have condescended unto for their own most behoof and security. In Kingdoms therefore of this quality the highest Governor hath indeed universal Dominion, but with dependency upon that whole entire Body, over the several parts whereof he hath Dominion; so that it standeth for an axiom in this case, The King is “major singulis, universis minor.”* The King’s dependency we do not construe as some have done, who are of opinion that no man’s birth can make him a King, but every particular person advanced to such Authority hath at his entrance into his Reign the same bestowed on him, as an estate in condition, by the voluntary deed of the people, in whom it doth lie to put by any one, and to prefer some other before him better liked of, or judged fitter for the place, and that the party so rejected hath no injury done unto him, no, although the same be done in a place where the Crown doth go διὰ γένος, by succession, and to a person which is capital, and hath apparently, if blood be respected, the nearest right. They plainly affirm, in all well-appointed Kingdoms, the custom evermore hath been, and is, that children succeed not, their parents till the People after a sort have created them anew, neither that they grow to their fathers as natural and proper heirs, but are then to be reckoned for Kings when at the hands of such as represent the King’s Majesty, they have by a sceptre and a diadem received as it were the investiture of Kingly Power. Their very words are, “That where such Power is settled into a family or kindred, the stock is thereby chosen, but not the twig that springeth of it. The next of the stock unto him that reigneth are not through nearness of blood made Kings, but rather set forth to stand for the Kingdom: where Regal Dominion is hereditary, it is notwithstanding (if we look to the persons which have it) altogether elective.” To this purpose are selected heaps of Scriptures concerning the solemn Coronation or Inauguration of Saul, of David, of Solomon, and others, by the Nobles, Ancients, and people of the

Junius
Brutus, †
Vindic.
p. 83.

Page 85.

* [See BAXTER’s *Christ. Direc.* § 15. *ut. sup.*]

† [Vindiciæ contra Tyrannos, sive de Principis in Populum, Populique in Principem Legitima potestate. Edin. 1579, 12mo. Though published under the name of Stephanus Junius Brutus, and bearing the imprint of Edinburgh, its author was Hubert Languet, and it was printed at Basil.]

Commonweal of Israel; as if these solemnities were a kind of deed, whereby the Right of Dominion is given: with strange, untrue, and unnatural conceits, set abroad by seedsmen of rebellion, only to animate unquiet spirits, and to feed them with possibility of aspiring to thrones, if they can win the hearts of the people, what Hereditary Title soever any other before them may have. I say, [their] unjust and insolent positions I would not mention, were it not thereby to make the countenance of truth more orient; for unless we will openly proclaim defiance unto all law, equity, and reason, we must (there is no remedy) acknowledge, that in Kingdoms hereditary, birth giveth Right unto sovereign Dominion; and the death of the predecessor putteth the successor by blood in seisin. Those public Solemnities before specified do but serve for an open testification of the inheritor's Right, or belong unto the form of inducting him into possession of that thing he hath Right unto: therefore in case it doth happen that without Right of Blood a man in such wise be possessed, all these new elections and investings are utterly void; they make him no indefeasible estate, the inheritor by blood may dispossess him as an usurper. The case thus standing, albeit we judge it a thing most true, that Kings, even inheritors, do hold their Right in the Power of Dominion, with dependency upon the whole Body politic over which they have rule as Kings; yet so it may not be understood as if such dependency did grow, for that every Supreme Governor doth personally take from thence his Power by way of gift, bestowed of their own free accord upon him at the time of his entrance into the said place of his Sovereign Government. But the cause of dependency is that first original conveyance, when Power was derived from the whole into one; to pass from him unto them, whom out of him nature by lawful births should produce, and no natural or legal inability make incapable: "Neither can any man with reason think, but that the first institution of Kings, a sufficient consideration wherefore their Power should always depend on that from which it did always flow by original influence of Power, from the Body into the King, is the cause of Kings' dependency in Power upon the Body." By dependency we mean subordination and subjection.* A manifest token

Tully
de Offic.

* [See BAXTER, § 16, *ut sup.*]

of which dependency may be this; as there is no more certain argument that lands are held under any as Lords, than if we see that such lands in defect of heirs fall unto them by escheat; in like manner it doth follow rightly, that seeing Dominion when there is none to inherit it returneth unto the Body, therefore they which before were inheritors thereof did hold it with dependency upon the Body, so that by comparing the Body with the Head, as touching Power, it seemeth always to reside in both; fundamentally and radically in the one, in the other derivatively; in one the habit, in the other the act of Power.* May a Body politic then at all times withdraw, in whole or in part, the influence of Dominion which passeth from it, if Inconveniences do grow thereby?† It must be presumed, that Supreme Governors will not in such case oppose themselves, and be stiff in detaining that, the use whereof is with public detriment:‡ but surely without their consent I see not how the Body by any just means should be able to help itself, saving when Dominion doth escheat; such things therefore must be thought upon beforehand, that Power may be limited ere it be granted, which is the next thing we are to consider.

3. In Power of Dominion, all Kings have not an equal latitude. Kings by conquest make their own charter; so that how large their Power, either Civil or Spiritual, is, we cannot with any certainty define further, than only to set them in the line of the Law of God and Nature for bounds. Kings by God's own special appointment have also that largeness of Power which he doth assign or permit with approbation. Touching Kings which were first instituted by agreement and composition made with them over whom they reign; how far their Power may extend, the articles of compact between them is to shew: not only the articles of compact at the first beginning, which for the most part are either clean worn out of knowledge, or else known to very few, but whatsoever hath been after in free and voluntary manner condescended unto, whether by express consent (whereof positive Laws are witnesses), or else by silent allowance famously notified through custom, reaching beyond the memory of man. By which means of after-agree-

In what
Mea-
sure.

* [See BAXTER, § 17.]
† [See BAXTER, *ibid.*]

† [See BAXTER, *ibid.*]

Arist.
Pol.
lib. iii.
cap. 10.

Pytha-
goras
apud Er-
dant. de
Regno.

Arist.

ment, it cometh many times to pass in Kingdoms, that they whose ancient predecessors were by violence and force made subject, do by little and little grow into that sweet form of Kingly Government which philosophers define, “Regency willingly sustained, and indued with Chieftly of Power in the greatest things.” Many of the ancients, in their writings, do speak of Kings with such high and ample terms, as if Universality of Power, even in regard of things and not of persons, did appertain to the very being of a King: the reason is, because their speech concerning Kings they frame according to the state of those Monarchs to whom unlimited Authority was given; which some not observing, imagine that all Kings, even in that they are Kings, ought to have whatsoever Power they judge any Sovereign Ruler lawfully to have enjoyed. But the most judicious Philosopher, whose eye scarce any thing did escape which was to be found in the bosom of Nature, he considering how far the Power of one Sovereign Ruler may be different from another Regal Authority, noteth in Spartan Kings, “That of all others they were most tied to Law, and so the most restrained Power.” A King which hath not supreme Power in the greatest things, is rather intituled a King, than invested with real Sovereignty. We cannot properly term him a King, of whom it may not be said, at the leastwise, as touching certain the chiefest affairs of the State, ἀρχειν, ἀρχεσθαι ὑπὸ οὐδενός, his right in them is to have rule, not subject to any other predominancy. I am not of opinion that simply in Kings the most, but the best limited Power, is best both for them and the people: the most limited is that which may deal in fewest things; the best, that which in dealing is tied unto the soundest, perfectest, and most indifferent Rule, which Rule is the Law: I mean not only the Law of Nature and of God, but the National Law consonant thereunto. “Happier that people whose Law is their King in the greatest things, than that whose King is himself their Law.* Where the King doth guide the State, and the Law the King, that Commonwealth is like an harp or melodious instrument, the strings whereof are tuned and handled all by one hand, following as Laws the Rules and Canons of musical science.” Most divinely,

* [See BAXTER, § 101, *ut sup.*]

therefore, Archytas maketh unto public felicity these four steps and degrees, every of which doth spring from the former, as from another cause, *ὁ δὲ βασιλεὺς νόμιμος, ὁ δὲ ἄρχων ἀκόλουθος, ὁ δὲ ἀρχόμενος ἀπόλυτος, ἡ δὲ ὅλη κοινωνία εὐδαίμων*, “the King ruling by Law, the Magistrate following, the Subject free, and the whole society happy:” adding on the contrary side, that where this order is not, it cometh by transgression thereof to pass that a King groweth a Tyrant; he that ruleth under him abhorreth to be guided by him, or commanded; the people subject unto both, have freedom under neither; and the whole community is wretched. In which respect, I cannot choose but commend highly their wisdom, by whom the foundations of the Commonwealth have been laid; wherein though no manner of person or cause be unsubject unto the King’s Power, yet so is the Power of the King over all, and in all limited, that unto all his proceedings the Law itself is a Rule. The axioms of our Regal Government are these, “*Lex facit Regem*:” the King’s grant of any favour made contrary to the Law is void; “*Rex nihil potest nisi quod jure potest*.”* Our Kings, therefore, when they are to take possession of the Crown they are called unto, have it pointed out before their eyes, even by the very Solemnities and Rites of their Inauguration, to what affairs, by the same Law, their supreme Power and Authority reacheth; crowned we see they are, enthronized, and anointed; the Crown, a sign of a military Dominion; the Throne, of sedentary or judicial; the Oil, of religious and sacred Power. It is not on any side denied, that Kings may have Authority in Secular affairs. The question then is, What Power they may lawfully have, and exercise in Causes of God? “A Prince, or Magistrate, or a Community (saith Dr. Stapleton,) may have Power to lay corporal punishment on them which are teachers of perverse things; Power to make Laws for the peace of the Church; Power to proclaim, to defend, and even by revenge to preserve *dogmata*, the very Articles of Religion themselves from violation.” Others, in affection no less devoted unto the Papacy, do likewise yield, that the Civil Magistrate may by his Edicts and Laws keep all Ecclesiastical persons within the bounds of their duties,

Stapl.
de Doc.
Princip.
lib. v.
c. 17.

* [See BAXTER, § 18, 74.]

and constrain them to observe the Canons of the Church, to follow the Rule of ancient Discipline. That if Joash was commended for his care and provision concerning so small a part of Religion as the Church-treasure; it must needs be both unto Christian Kings themselves greater honour, and to Christianity a larger benefit, when the custody of Religion and the worship of God in general is their charge. If, therefore, all these things mentioned be most properly the affairs of God's Ecclesiastical Causes; if the actions specified be works of Power; and if that power be such as Kings may use of themselves, without the fear of any other power superior in the same thing; it followeth necessarily, that Kings may have supreme Power, not only in Civil, but also in Ecclesiastical affairs; and consequently, that they may withstand what Bishop or Pope soever shall, under the pretended claim of higher Spiritual Authority, oppose themselves against their proceedings. But they which have made us the former grant, will never hereunto condescend; what they yield that Princes may do, it is with secret exception always understood—if the Bishop of Rome give leave, if he interpose no prohibition; wherefore somewhat it is in shew, in truth nothing, which they grant. Our own Reformers do the very like; when they make their discourse in general concerning the Authority which Magistrates may have, a man would think them to be far from withdrawing any jot of that which with reason may be thought due: “The Prince and Civil Magistrate (saith one of them) hath to see the Laws of God touching his worship, and touching all matters and all orders of the Church, to be executed, and duly observed; and to see every Ecclesiastical person do that Office whereunto he is appointed, and to punish those which fail in their Office accordingly.” Another acknowledgeth, “That the Magistrate may lawfully uphold all truth by his Sword, punish all persons, enforce all to their duties towards God and men; maintain by his Laws every point of God's Word, punish all vice in all men; see into all Causes, visit the Ecclesiastical estate, and correct the abuses thereof: finally, to look to his Subjects, that under him they may lead their lives in all godliness and honesty.” A third more frankly professeth, “That in case their Church-discipline were established, so little it short'neth the arms of sovereign

T. C.
lib. i.
p. 192.

Far-
mer's
Def. of
the
Godly
Magi-
strate.

Humble
Motion,
p. 63.

Dominion in Causes Ecclesiastical, that her gracious Majesty, for any thing they teach or hold to the contrary, may no less than now remain still over all persons, in all things supreme Governess; even with that full and royal authority, superiority and preeminence, supremacy, and prerogative, which the Laws already established do give her, and her Majesty's Injunctions, and the Articles of the Convocation-house, and other writings Apologetical of her Royal Authority and Supreme Dignity, do declare and explain." Posidonius was wont to say of the Epicure, " That he thought there were no gods, but that those things which he spake concerning the gods were only given out for fear of growing odious amongst men; and, therefore, that in words he left gods remaining, but in very deed overthrew them, insomuch as he gave them no kind of action." After the very self-same manner, when we come unto those particular effects, Prerogatives of Dominion which the Laws of this Land do grant unto the Kings thereof; it will appear how these men, notwithstanding their large and liberal speeches, abate such parcels out of the afore-alleged grant and flourishing shew, that a man comparing the one with the other, may half stand in a doubt, lest their opinion in very truth be against that Authority which by their speeches they seem mightily to uphold, partly for the voiding of public obloquy, envy, and hatred; partly to the intent they may both in the end, by the establishment of their Discipline, extinguish the force of Supreme Power which Princes have, and yet, in the meanwhile, by giving forth these smooth discourses, obtain that their favourers may have somewhat to allege for them by way of apology, and that such words only sound towards all kind of fulness of Power. But for myself, I had rather construe such their contradictions in the better part, and impute their general acknowledgment of the lawfulness of Kingly Power unto the force of truth, presenting itself before them sometimes above their particular contrarieties, oppositions, denials, unto that error which, having so fully possess their minds, casteth things inconvenient upon them; of which things in their due place. Touching that which is now in hand, we are on all sides fully agreed: first, That there is not any restraint or limitation of matter for Regal Authority and Power to be conversant in, but of Religion only; and of whatsoever cause thereunto

Cicero,
lib. i.
de Nat.
Deor.

appertaineth, Kings may lawfully have charge, they lawfully may therein exercise Dominion, and use the temporal sword: **Kinds.** secondly, That some kind of actions conversant about such affairs are denied unto Kings; as, namely, actions of Power and Order, and of Spiritual Jurisdiction, which hath with it inseparably joined Power to administer the Word and Sacraments, Power to ordain, to judge as an Ordinary, to bind and loose, to excommunicate, and such like: thirdly, **By what rule.** That even in those very actions which are proper unto Dominion, there must be some certain Rule, whereunto Kings in all their proceedings ought to be strictly tied; which Rule for proceeding in Ecclesiastical affairs and causes by Regal Power, hath not hitherto been agreed upon with such uniform consent and certainty as might be wished. The different sentences of men herein I will [not] now go about to examine, but it shall be enough to propose what Rule doth seem in this case most reasonable.

[In what Inconvenience.] The case [*cause*] of deriving Supreme Power from a whole intire multitude into some special part thereof, as [*is*] partly the necessity of expedition in public affairs; partly the inconvenience of confusion and trouble, where a multitude of equals dealeth; and partly the dissipation which must needs ensue, in companies where every man wholly seeketh his own particular (as we all would do, even with other men's hurts), and haply the very overthrow of themselves in the end also, if for the procurement of the common good of all men, by keeping every several man in order, some were not invested with Authority over all, and encouraged with Prerogative-honour to sustain the weighty burthen of that charge. The good which is proper unto each man belongeth to the common good of all, as part to the whole perfection; but these two are things different; for men by that which is proper are severed, united they are by that which is common. Wherefore, besides that which moveth each man in particular to seek his private, there must be of necessity in all public Societies also a general mover directing unto common good, and framing every man's particular unto it. The end whereunto all Government was instituted, was *bonum publicum*, the universal or common good.* Our question is of Dominion, for that end and

* "Ob utilitatem publicam Reip. per unum consuli oportere, prudentissimi docent." I. C. 11. F. de Origine Juris Civilis.

purpose derived into One; such as all in one public State have agreed, that the supreme charge of all things should be committed unto one; they, I say, considering what Inconveniency may grow where States are subject unto sundry supreme authorities, have for fear of these inconveniences withdrawn from liking to establish Many; οὐκ ἀγαθὸν πολυκοι-
pavínē, the multitude of supreme commanders is troublesome.

“No man (saith our Saviour) can serve two masters:” [Matt. vi. 24.] surely two Supreme Masters would make any one’s service somewhat uneasy in such cases as might fall out. Suppose that to-morrow the Power which hath Dominion in Justice require thee at the Court; that which in War, at the Field; that which in Religion, at the Temple, all have equal Authority over thee, and impossible it is, that then in such case thou shouldst be obedient unto all: by choosing any one whom thou wilt obey, certain thou art for thy disobedience to incur the displeasure of the other two.

But there is nothing for which some comparable reason or other may not be found. Are we able to shew any commendable state of Government, which, by experience and practice, hath felt the benefit of being in all causes subject unto the supreme Authority of One? Against the Policy of the Israelites, I hope there will no man except, [Exod. xviii. 25, 26.] where Moses deriving so great a part of his burthen in Government unto others, did notwithstanding retain to himself universal Supremacy; Jehoshaphat appointing one to [2Chron. xix. 11.] be chosen in the affairs of God, and another in the King’s affairs, did this as having Dominion over them in both.* If therefore, from approbation of Heaven, the Kings of God’s

* [“Where, upon that certain Priests and Levites had the handling of matters pertaining unto God, and certain others the matters pertaining unto the King (2 Chron. xix. 8, 11.), I concluded, that the Church-judgments ought ordinarily to be handled by the Church Officers, his (Dr. W.’s) answer hereunto is, That forasmuch as Jehoshaphat the King, by his authority committed both Ecclesiastical and Civil Causes, therefore he had power himself of both. Whereunto I reply, that he committed not those Ecclesiastical matters unto the Priests and Levites as those which he might have retained with himself, or as a thing in his own discretion; but used only his princely authority to put in execution that which the Lord had commanded. For it is manifest that the self-same thing which Jehoshaphat did here was commanded to be done in the Law, Deut. xvii. 8—12. And if this prove, that the judgment of Ecclesiastical Causes pertaineth to the King because he confirmed by his authority the Ecclesiastical Judges; it proveth also, that both the Ordination of Ministers and the Preaching of the Word belong unto him, considering that this very King is said 2 Chron. xvii. 7—9, to have sent forth Preachers into all Jewry. But let the Reader observe how he hath here utterly passed by the weight of my argument, which standeth in this, that the Holy Ghost maketh this partition—that some matters pertain to God, and others to the King, Heb. v. 1, whereas, if the matters pertaining unto God pertained also to the King the partition should be faulty.” T.C. lib. iii. p. 152.]

Stapl.
de Prin.
Doct.
p. 197.

Stapl.
ibid.

Idem-
ibid.

own chosen people had in the affairs of Jewish Religion supreme Power, why not Christian Kings the like also in Christian Religion? First, unless men will answer, as some have done, “That the Jews’ Religion was of far less perfection and dignity than ours, ours being that truth whereof theirs was but a shadowish prefigurative resemblance.” Secondly, “That all parts of their Religion, their Laws, their Sacrifices, and their Rites and Ceremonies, being fully set down to their hands, and needing no more but only to be put in execution, the Kings might well have highest Authority to see that done; whereas with us there are a number of mysteries even in belief, which were not so generally for them, as for us, necessary to be with sound express acknowledgment understood: a number of things belonging to external Government, and our manner of serving God, not set down by particular Ordinances, and delivered to us in writing, for which cause the state of the Church doth now require that the Spiritual Authority of Ecclesiastical persons be large, absolute, and not subordinate to Regal Power.” Thirdly, “That whereas God armeth Religion Jewish, as Temporal Christian, with the sword; but of Spiritual punishment, the one with Power to imprison, to scourge, to put to death; the other with bare Authority to censure and excommunicate; there is no reason that the Church, which hath no visible sword, should in Regiment be subject unto any other power, than only unto theirs which have Authority to bind and loose.” Fourthly, “That albeit whilst the Church was restrained into one people, it seemed not incommodious to grant their King the general Chieftly of Power; yet now the Church having spread itself over all nations, great inconveniences must thereby grow, if every Christian King in his several territory should have the like Power.” Of all these differences, there is not one which doth prove it a thing repugnant to the Law either of God or of Nature, that all Supremacy of external Power be in Christian Kingdoms granted unto Kings thereof, for preservation of quietness, unity, order, and peace, in such manner as hath been shewed.

Of the
Title of
Head-
ship.

4. For the Title or State itself, although the Laws of this Land have annexed it to the Crown, yet so far we should not strive, if so be men were nice and scrupulous in this behalf only; because they do wish that, for reverence to

Christ Jesus, the Civil Magistrate did rather use some other form of speech wherewith to express that sovereign Authority which he lawfully hath over all both persons and causes of the Church. But I see that hitherto they which condemn utterly the Names so applied, do it because they mislike that such Power should be given to Civil Governors. The great exception that Sir Thomas More took against that Title, who suffered death for denial of it, was “for that it maketh a Lay, a Secular person, the Head of the State Spiritual or Ecclesiastical;” as though God himself did not name Saul the Head of all the tribes of Israel; and consequently of that tribe also among the rest, whereunto the State Spiritual or Ecclesiastical belonged. When the Authors of the Centuries reprove it in Kings and Civil Governors, the reason is, “*istis non competit iste Primatus;*” such kind of Power is too high for them, they fit it not. In excuse of Mr. Calvin, by whom this Realm is condemned of blasphemy, for entituling Henry the Eighth *Supreme Head of this Church under Christ*,* a charitable conjecture is made, that he spake by misinformation; howbeit, as he professeth utter dislike of that name, so whether the name be used or no, the very Power itself which we give unto Civil Magistrates he much complaineth of, and protesteth, “That their Power over all things was it which had ever wounded him deeply: that unadvised persons had made them too Spiritual; that throughout Germany this fault did reign; that in those very parts where (Calvin) himself was, it prevailed more than was to be wished; that Rulers, by imagining themselves so Spiritual, have taken away Ecclesiastical Government; that they think they cannot reign unless they abolish all the Authority of the Church,

Ruf-
fensis
Epist.
p. 517.
[1 Sam.
xv. 17.]

Præf.
Cent. 7.

Calvin
in
com. 7.
Amos
vii. 13.

* [“A confession was extorted from them (the Convocation) that ‘the king was the protector and the supreme head of the Church and Clergy of England;’ though some of them had the dexterity to get a clause inserted which invalidated the whole submission, and which ran in these terms, ‘in so far as is permitted by the Law of Christ.’” HUME, *Hist. Eng.* Hen. VIII. cap. xxx. an. 1531. *The Act of Supremacy*, 26 Hen. VIII. cap. i. 1534, says, “Albeit the King’s Majesty justly and rightfully is, and ought to be, supreme head of the Church of England, and is so recognised by the Clergy of this realm in their Convocations: yet nevertheless, for confirmation and corroboration thereof, and for increase of virtue in Christ’s religion, within this realm of England, &c. be it enacted by the authority of this present Parliament, that the King, our sovereign lord, his heirs and successors, kings of this realm, shall be taken, accepted, and reputed, *the only supreme head on earth of the Church of England*; and shall have and enjoy, annexed and united to the imperial crown of this realm, as well the title and style thereof, as all honours, dignities, immunities, profits, and commodities, to the said dignity of supreme head of the said Church belonging and appertaining; and that, &c.”]

and be themselves the chief Judges, as well in Doctrine, as in the whole Spiritual Regency." So that, in truth, the question is, Whether the Magistrate, by being Head in such sense as we term him, do use or exercise any part of that Authority, not which belongeth unto Christ, but which other men ought to have?

These things being first considered thus, it will be easier to judge concerning our own Estate, whether by force of Ecclesiastical Government Kings have any other kind of prerogative than they may lawfully hold and enjoy. It is, as some do imagine, too much, that Kings of England should be termed Heads, in relation to the Church. That which we do understand by Headship is, their only Supreme Power in Ecclesiastical affairs and causes. That which lawful Princes are, what should make it unlawful for men in Spiritual Styles or Titles to signify? If the having of supreme Power be allowed, why is the expressing thereof by the Title of Head condemned? They seem in words (at leastwise some of them) now at length to acknowledge, that Kings' may have Dominion or supreme Government even over all, both persons and causes. We in terming our Princes *Heads of the Church*, do but testify that we acknowledge them such Governors. Again, to this it will peradventure be replied, "That howsoever we interpret ourselves, it is not fit for a mortal man, and therefore not fit for a Civil Magistrate, to be intituled the Head of the Church, which was given to our Saviour Christ, to lift him above all Powers, Rules, Dominions, Titles, in Heaven or in earth. Where, if this Title belong also to Civil Magistrates, then it is manifest that there is a Power in earth whereunto our Saviour Christ is not in this point superior. Again, if the Civil Magistrate may have this Title, he may be termed also the first-begotten of all creatures, the first-begotten of all the dead, yea, the Redeemer of his people. For these are alike given him, as dignities whereby he is lifted up above all creatures. Besides this, the whole argument of the Apostle, in both places, doth lead to shew that this Title, *Head of the Church*, cannot be said of any creature. And further, the very demonstrative articles, among the Hebrews especially, whom St. Paul doth follow, serveth to tie that which is verified of one, unto himself alone: so that when the Apostle doth say that Christ is ἡ Κεφαλὴ, *the Head*; it is as if he should say, Christ, and

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 411.
Ephes.
i. 21.
Col.
i. 18.

[Col.
i. 18.]

none other, is the Head of the Church.”* Thus have we against the entituling of the Highest Magistrate, Head, with relation unto the Church, four several arguments gathered, by strong surmise, out of words marvellous unlikely to have been written to any such purpose as that whereunto they are now used and urged. To the Ephesians the Apostle writeth, “That Christ, God had set on his right hand in the heavenly ^{Ephes. i. 20—} places above all regency, and authority, and power, and ^{23.} dominion, and whatsoever name is named, not in this world only, but in that which shall be also: and hath under his feet set all things, and hath given him Head above all things unto the Church, which is his Body, even the fulness of him which accomplisheth all in all.” To the Colossians in like manner, “That he is the Head of the Body of the Church, ^{Col. i. 18.} who is a first-born regency out of the dead, to the end he might be made amongst them all such an one as hath the

* [The reader will find it advantageous to have this part of T.C.’s argument in his own words; especially as he will see reason for reverting to it, a few pages hence. “This distinction confoundeth and shuffleth together the authority of our Saviour Christ, as he is the Son of God only before all worlds, coequal with his Father; with that, which he hath given of his Father, and which he exerciseth in respect he is Mediator between God and us. For in the government of the Church, and superiority over the officers of it, our Saviour himself hath a Superior, which is his Father (1 Cor. iii. 23; xi. 3; xv. 27, 28); but in the government of kingdoms and other commonwealths, and in the superiority which he hath over kings and judges, he hath no superior, but immediate authority with his Father. Therefore the moulding up of the two estates and governments together, is to lay the foundations of many errors. . . . Here remaineth only to prove, the title, Head of the Church, to belong only to our Saviour Christ. I must therefore desire the reader to turn unto p. 181 (Def. of the Ans.), where the Doctor confesseth as much as I; that Christ is only the Head of the Church. If Christ be only Head, then that I set down, That the Civil Magistrate is head of the commonwealth, and not of the Church, standeth: but if the Magistrate be head of the Church, then Christ is not only. Howbeit having for fear of the outcry of all, made a little courtesy unto the truth, he forthwith lifteth up his heel against it, and will have the Civil Magistrate head also of the Church; whereupon must follow infinite absurdities. First, the doctrine of the Apostle, Eph. i. 21, is clean overthrown, which sheweth that this title, Head of the Church, was given to our Saviour Christ, to lift him above all powers, rules, and dominions, either in Heaven or earth (Col. i. 16). Where, if this title belong also unto the Civil Magistrate, then it is manifest that there is a Power in earth whereunto our Saviour Christ is not in this point superior. And by the same reason that he may give the Civil Magistrate this title, he may give him also that he is the first begotten of all creatures, the first begotten of the dead, yea, the Redeemer of his people which he governeth. For these all are alike given unto him, as dignities whereby he is lifted up above all creatures. And beside that the whole argument of the Apostle, in both places, leads to shew that this title, Head of the Church, cannot be said of any creature, it is confirmed by the demonstrative article, wherewith the Hebrews especially, whom St. Paul followed, use to tie that which is verified of one, unto himself alone: for he saith, *αὐτός ἐστιν ἡ κεφαλὴ*, he is The Head; as if he should say, he and none other is the Head of the Church. Again; if the Church be ‘the Body of Christ’ (Eph. iv. 12) and of the Civil Magistrate, it shall have two heads; which being monstrous is to the great dishonour of Christ and his Church. So also should it come to pass, that the Church having the magistrate for head, is accomplished, and made ‘a perfect man’ (Eph. iv. 13) without Christ: so that the knitting of our Saviour Christ (Colos. ii. 19) should not be an accomplishment of that which lacked, but an addition of that which is too much. And if the Church be planted in a popular estate, then forso much as all govern in common, and all have authority, all shall be head there, and no body at all; which is another monster.” T.C. lib. ii. p. 411, 412.]

Col.
i. 16.

Chieftly:" he meaneth, amongst all them whom he mentioned before, saying, " By him all things that are, were made; the things in the Heavens, and the things in the earth, the things that are visible, and the things that are invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or regencies," &c. Unto the fore-alleged arguments therefore we answer: first, That it is not simply the Title of *Head*, in such sort understood, as the Apostle himself meant it; so that the same being imparted in another sense unto others, doth not any ways make those others his equals; inasmuch as diversity of things is usually to be understood, even when of words there is no diversity; and it is only the adding of one and the same thing unto divers persons, which doth argue equality in them. If I term Christ and Cæsar Lords, yet this is no equalizing Cæsar with Christ, because it is not thereby intended: " To term the Emperor Lord (saith Tertullian), I, for my own part, will not refuse, so that I be not required to call him Lord in the same sense that God is so termed." Neither doth it follow, which is objected in the second place, That if the Civil Magistrate may be intituled a *Head*, he may as well also be termed, " the first-begotten of all creatures, the first-begotten of the dead, and the Redeemer of his people." For albeit the former dignity doth lift him up no less than these, yet these terms are not appliable and apt to signify any other inferior dignity, as the former term of *Head* was. The argument or matter which the Apostle followeth hath small evidence or proof, that his meaning was to appropriate unto Christ that the aforesaid Title, otherwise than only in such sense as doth make it, being so understood, too high to be given to any creature.

As for the force of the Article where our Lord and Saviour is called *The Head*, it serveth to tie that unto him by way of excellency, which in meaner degrees is common to others; it doth not exclude any other utterly from being termed Head, but from being intituled as Christ is, *The Head*, by way of the very highest degree of excellency. Not in the communication of names, but in the confusion of things, there is error. Howbeit, if Head were a name that could not well be, nor never had been, used to signify that which a Magistrate may be in relation to some Church, but were by continual use of speech appropriated unto the only thing it signifieth; being applied unto Jesus Christ, then although

we must carry in ourselves a right understanding, yet ought we otherwise rather to speak, unless we interpret our own meaning by some clause of plain speech, because we are else in manifest danger to be understood according to that construction and sense wherein such words are personally spoken. But here the rarest construction, and most removed from common sense, is that which the word doth import being applied unto Christ; that which we signify by it in giving it to the Magistrate, is a great deal more familiar in the common conceit of men. The word is so fit to signify all kinds of superiority, preeminence, and chieftly, that nothing is more ordinary than so to use it in vulgar speech, and in common understanding so to take it.* If, therefore, Christian Kings may have any preeminence or chieftly above all other, although it be less than that which Theodore Beza giveth, who placeth Kings amongst the principal members whereunto public function in the Church belongeth, and denieth not, but that of them which have public function, the Civil Magistrate's Power hath all the rest at command, in regard of that part of his Office, which is to procure that peace and good order be especially kept in things concerning the first Table; if even hereupon they term him *The Head of the Church*, which is *his Kingdom*, it should not seem so unfit a thing:† which Title surely we could not communicate to any other, no not although it should at our hands be exacted with torments, but that our meaning herein is made known to the world, so that no man which will understand can easily be ignorant that we do not impart unto Kings, when we term them Heads, the honour which is properly given to our Lord and Saviour Christ, when the blessed Apostle in Scripture doth term him *The Head of the Church*.

The Power which we signify by that Name, differeth in three things plainly from that which Christ doth challenge. First, it differeth in order, because God hath given him to his Church for the Head, *ὑπὲρ πάντα, ὑπεράνω πάσης ἀρχῆς*, “far above all principalities, and powers, and might, and ^{Ephes. i. 21.}

* Pekah is termed the Head of Samaria. Esai. vii. 9.

† [“If, as I see, it like some (Beza, Confess. cap. v. art. 23), to call Magistrates a kind of officers in the Church, because they being members, by public calling procure the quiet thereof; they which are disposed may so speak, I will not strive. But why I esteem the title of Head of the Church not to agree unto any simple creature either in heaven or earth, I have shewed my reasons, let the Church judge.” T. C. lib. ii. p. 420.]

Psal.
ii. 8.

dominion, and every name that is named, not in this world only, but also in that which is to come;” whereas the Power which others have, is subordinate unto his. Secondly, again, as he differeth in order, so in measure of Power also: because God hath given unto him the ends of the earth for his possession; unto him, Dominion from sea to sea; unto him, all Power both in Heaven and in earth; unto him, such Sovereignty, as doth not only reach over all places, persons, and things, but doth rest in his own only person, and is not by any succession continued; he reigneth as Head and King, nor is there any kind of Law which tieth him, but his own proper will and wisdom; his Power is absolute, the same jointly over all which it is severally over each: not so the Power of any other Headship. How Kings are restrained, and how their Power is limited, we have shewed before; so that unto him is given, by the Title of *Headship over the Church*, that largeness of Power, wherein neither Man nor Angel can be matched or compared with him. Thirdly, the last and greatest difference between him and them, is in the very kind of their Power. The Head being, of all other parts of the body, most divine, hath dominion over all the rest; it is the fountain of sense, of motion; the throne where the guide of the soul doth reign; the court from whence direction of all things human proceedeth. Why Christ is called *The Head of the Church*, these causes themselves do yield. As the Head is the chiefest part of a man, above which there is none, always joined with the body; so Christ, the highest in his Church, is always knit to it. Again, as the Head giveth sense and motion unto all the body, so he quick’neth us, and, together with understanding of heavenly things, giveth strength to walk therein. Seeing therefore, that they cannot affirm Christ sensibly present, or always visibly joined unto his Body the Church which is on earth, inasmuch as his corporal residence is in Heaven; again, seeing they do not affirm (it were intolerable if they should) that Christ doth personally administer the external Regiment of outward actions in the Church, but, by the secret inward influence of his grace, giveth spiritual life and the strength of ghostly motions thereunto; impossible it is, that they should so close up their eyes, as not to discern what odds there is between that kind of operation which we imply in the Headship of Princes, and that which agreeth

to our Saviour's Dominion over the Church. The Headship which we give unto Kings is altogether visibly exercised, and ordereth only the external frame of the Church-affairs here amongst us; so that it plainly differeth from Christ's, even in very nature and kind. To be in such sort united unto the Church as he is; to work as he worketh, either on the whole Church, or upon any particular assembly, or in any one man, doth neither agree, nor hath any possibility of agreeing, unto any one besides him.

Against the first distinction or difference, it is to be objected, "That to entitle a Magistrate Head of the Church, although it be under Christ, is not* absurd. For Christ hath a twofold Superiority, over his, and over Kingdoms: according to the one, he hath a Superior, which is his Father; according to the other, none, but immediate authority with his Father; that is to say, of the Church he is Head and Governor only as the Son of man; Head and Governor of Kingdoms only as the Son of God."† "In the Church, as Man, he hath officers under him, which officers are Ecclesiastical persons: as for the Civil Magistrate, his Office belongeth unto Kingdoms, and to Commonwealths, neither is there an under or subordinate Head, considering that his Authority cometh from God, simply and immediately, even as our Saviour Christ's doth."‡ Whereunto the sum of our answer is, first, That as Christ being Lord or Head over all, doth by virtue of that Sovereignty rule all; so he hath no more a Superior in governing his Church, than in exercising sovereign Dominion upon the rest of the world besides: secondly, That all Authority, as well Civil as Ecclesiastical, is subordinate unto him: and, thirdly, The Civil Magistrate being termed Head, by reason of that Authority in Ecclesiastical affairs which hath been already declared that themselves

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 411.

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 418.

* [Delete "not."]

† [Revert to the Note in p. 281, where T. C.'s own words are given.]

‡ [T. C.'s own words are: "The Doctor (Whitgift) in confessing that the Magistrate is ordained of God immediately, standeth with us that he is not ordained of our Saviour Christ in respect that he is Mediator between God and us. Hereupon followeth, that the office of the Civil Magistrate is properly one of those means which serveth the Lord's providence in the preservation of mankind. Now if the Civil Magistrate should be the head of the Church, he must be an under and subordinate head of Christ: considering that the Lord hath committed the government of the Church unto our Saviour Christ; and that otherwise there should be two heads of it, whereof one were not under another; which is absurd. But he is not an under and subordinate head of Christ, considering that his authority cometh from God, simply and immediately, even as our Saviour Christ's; and therefore not the head of the Church." lib. ii. p. 417.]

do in word acknowledge to be lawful,* it followeth, that he is a Head even subordinated of Christ, and to Christ. For more plain explication whereof, unto God we acknowledge daily, that kingdom, power, and glory, are his; that he is the immortal and invisible King of ages; as well the future which shall be, as the present which now is. That which the Father doth work as Lord and King over all, he worketh not without, but by the Son, who through co-eternal generation receiveth of the Father that Power which the Father hath of himself. And for that cause our Saviour's words concerning his own Dominion are, "To me all Power both in Heaven and earth is given." The Father by the Son did create, and doth guide all; wherefore Christ hath supreme Dominion over the whole universal world. Christ is God, Christ is *Λόγος*, the consubstantial Word of God; Christ is also that consubstantial Word which made man. As God, he saith of himself, "I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end: He which was, and which is, and which is to come; even the very Omnipotent." As the consubstantial Word of God, he hath with God, before the beginning of the world, that glory, which, as he was man, he requireth to have; "Father, glorify thy Son with that glory which with thee he enjoyed before the world was." Further, it is not necessary that all things spoken of Christ should agree to him either as God, or else as man; but some things as he is the consubstantial Word of God, some things as he is that Word incarnate. The works of supreme Dominion which have been since the first beginning wrought by the Power of the Son of God, are now most properly and truly the works of the Son of man: the Word made flesh doth sit for ever, and reign as sovereign Lord over all. Dominion belongeth unto the Kingly Office of Christ, as propitiation and mediation unto his Priestly; instruction, unto his Pastoral and Prophetical Office. His works of Dominion are, in sundry degrees and kinds, according to the different conditions of them which are subject unto it: he presently doth govern, and hereafter shall judge the world, intire and wholly; and therefore his Regal Power cannot be with truth restrained unto a proportion of the world only. Notwithstanding,

[Matt.
vi. 13.][1 Tim.
i. 17.][Matt.
xxviii.
18.]Apoc.
i. 8.John
xvii. 5.[John
i. 14.]

* [See Note † p. 283.]

forasmuch as all do not shew and acknowledge, with dutiful submission, that obedience which they owe unto him; therefore such as do, their Lord he is termed by way of excellency, no otherwise than the Apostle doth term God the Succour* generally of all, but especially of the faithful: these being brought to the obedience of faith, are every where spoken of as men translated into that Kingdom, wherein whosoever is comprehended, Christ is the author of eternal salvation unto them; they have a high and ghostly fellowship with God and Christ, and Saints; or, as the Apostle in more ample manner speaketh, “Aggregated they are unto Mount Sion, and the City of the living God, the celestial Jerusalem, and to the company of innumerable Angels, and to the Congregation of the First-born, which are written in Heaven, and to God the Judge of all, and to the Spirits of just and perfect men, and to Jesus the Mediator of the New Testament.” In a word, they are of that mystical Body, which we term the Church of Christ. As for the rest, we account them “aliens from the Commonwealth of Israel, and that live in the Kingdom of darkness, and that are in this present world without God.” Our Saviour’s Dominion is therefore over these, as over rebels; over them, as over dutiful and loving subjects. Which things being in Holy Scriptures so plain, I somewhat muse at the strange positions, that Christ “in the Government of his Church, and Superiority over the officers of it, hath himself a Superior which is the Father;” but in governing of Kingdoms and Commonwealths, and in the Superiority which he hath over Kingdoms, “no Superior.”†

Again, “That the Civil Magistrate’s Authority cometh from God immediately, as Christ’s doth, and is not subordinate unto Christ.” In what Evangelist, Apostle, or Prophet, is it found, that Christ (supreme Governor of the Church) should be so unequal to himself, as he is supreme Governor of Kingdoms? The works of his providence for the preservation of mankind by upholding Kingdoms, not only obedient unto, but also obstinate and rebellious against, him, are such as proceed from divine Power; and are not

* [“Saviour of all men;” thus in Tim. Dr. DODDRIDGE in *loc. Fam. Expos.* says, “This seems a title parallel to that in Job vii. 20, ‘Preserver of men.’”]

† [Compare with the Note, p. 281.]

the works of his providence for safety of God's elect, by gathering, inspiring, comforting, and every way preserving, his Church, such as proceed from the same Power likewise? Surely, if Christ, as God and man, have ordained certain means for the gathering and keeping of his Church, seeing this doth belong to the Government of that Church; it must in reason follow, I think, that as God and man he worketh in Church-regiment; and consequently hath no more there any superiors, than in the Government of the Commonwealth. Again, to "be in the midst of his, wheresoever they are assembled in his name," and to be "with them to the world's end," are comforts which Christ doth perform to his Church as Lord and Governor; yea, such as he cannot perform but by that very Power wherein he hath no Superior. Wherefore, unless it can be proved, that all the works of our Saviour's Government in the Church are done by the mere and only force of his human nature, there is no remedy but to acknowledge it a manifest error, That Christ in the Government of the World is equal to the Father, but not in the Government of the Church. Indeed, to the honour of this Dominion, it cannot be said, that God did exalt him otherwise than only according to that human nature wherein he was made low. For, as the Son of God, there could no advancement or exaltation grow unto him: and yet the Dominion whereunto he was in his human nature lifted up, is not without divine Power exercised. It is by divine Power, that the Son of man, who sitteth in Heaven, doth work as King and Lord upon us which are on earth. The exercise of his Dominion over the Church Militant cannot choose but cease, when there is no longer any Militant Church in the world. And therefore, as Generals of Armies, when they have finished their work, are wont to yield up such commissions as were given for that purpose, and to remain in the state of subjects, and not as lords, as concerning their former authority; even so, when the end of all things is come, the Son of man (who till then reigneth) shall do the like, as touching Regiment over the Militant Church on the earth. So that between the Son of man and his brethren, over whom he reigneth now in this their warfare, there shall be then, as touching the exercise of that Regiment, no such difference; they are not warfaring any longer under him, but he, together with them, under God,

[Matt.
xxviii.
19, 20.]

[1 Cor.
15, 24.]

[Ver. 27,
28.]

receiving the joys of everlasting triumph, that so God may be all-in all; all misery in all the wicked, through his justice; in all the righteous, through his love, all felicity and bliss. In the meanwhile he reigneth over the world as King, and doth those things wherein none is Superior unto him, whether we respect the works of his Providence and Kingdom, or of his Regiment over the Church: the cause of error in this point doth seem to have been a misconceit, that Christ, as Mediator, being inferior to his Father, doth, as Mediator, all works of Regiment over the Church; when, in truth, Regiment doth belong to his Kingly Office, Mediatorship to his Priestly. For, as the High-priest both offered sacrifices, for expiation of the people's sins, and entered into the Holy Place, there to make intercession for them; so Christ, having finished upon the Cross that part of his Priestly Office which wrought the propitiation for our sins, did afterwards enter into very Heaven, and doth there, as Mediator of the New Testament, appear in the sight of God for us. A like sleight of judgment it is, when they hold, that Civil Authority is from God, but not immediately through Christ, nor with any subordination to God [*Christ*], nor doth any thing from God but by the hands of our Lord Jesus Christ. They deny it not to be said of Christ in the Old Testament, "By me Princes rule, and the Nobles, and all the Judges of the earth." In the New as much is taught, "That Christ is the Prince of the Kings of the earth." Wherefore, to the end it may more plainly appear how all Authority of man is derived from God through Christ, and must by Christian men be acknowledged to be no otherwise held than of and under him; we are to note, that, because whatsoever hath necessary being, the Son of God doth cause it to be, and those things without which the world cannot well continue, have necessary being in the world; a thing of so great use as Government cannot choose but be originally from him. Touching that Authority which Civil Magistrates have in Ecclesiastical affairs, it, being from God by Christ, as all other good things are, cannot choose but be held as a thing received at his hands; and because such Power is of necessity for the ordering of Religion, wherein the essence and very being of the Church consisteth, and can no otherwise flow from him, than according to that special care which he hath to govern and

Heb.
ix. 24.

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 418.

Prov.
viii. 16.
Humble
Motion,
p. 63.

Rev. i. 5.

Rom.
xiii. 1.

1 Cor.
iii.
22, 23.

[Rom.
x. 12.]

Rom.
xiii. 1.

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 413.

[Exod.
xviii. 21,
22.]

guide his own people, it followeth, that the said Authority is of and under him after a more special manner, in that “he is Head of the Church,” and not in respect of his general Regency over the world. “All things (saith the Apostle, speaking unto the Church) are yours, and ye are Christ’s, and Christ is God’s.” Kings are Christ’s as Saints, because they are of the Church, if not collectively, yet divisively understood. It is over each particular person within that Church where they are Kings; surely, Authority reacheth both unto all men’s persons, and to all kinds of causes also: it is not denied but that they may have and lawfully exercise it; such Authority it is, for which, and for no other in the world, we term them Heads; such Authority they have under Christ, because he in all things is Lord over all; and even of Christ it is that they have received such Authority, inasmuch as of him all lawful Powers are: therefore the Civil Magistrate is, in regard of this Power, an under and subordinate Head of Christ’s people.

It is but idle where they speak, “That although, for several companies of men, there may be several Heads or Governors, differing in the measure of their authority from the chiefest, who is Head over all; yet seeing it cannot be in the Church, for that the reason why Head-magistrates appoint others for such several places is, because they cannot be present every where to perform the Office of an Head. But Christ is never from his body, nor from any part of it, and therefore needeth not to substitute any, which may be Heads, some over one Church and some over another.”* Indeed the consideration of man’s imbecility, which maketh many heads necessary where the burthen is too great for one, moved Jethro to be a persuader of Moses, that the number of Heads or Rulers might be instituted for discharge of that duty by parts, which in whole he saw was troublesome. Now, although

* [T. C.’s words are, “If it be said that the Civil Magistrate is a subordinate and ministerial head of the Church, as the Magistrate being head of the Commonwealth hath other which may be called under heads beneath him; he (Dr. Whitgift) must understand that those heads are appointed because the chief magistrate cannot be present with the whole body of his people, nor in his own person perform the office of a head unto them all. But forasmuch as Christ is never severed from his body, nor from any part of it, and is able and doth perform that wherefore he is called Head unto all his Church; it ought not to seem strange that there may be a subordinate head in the Commonwealth, where there can be none in the Church.”]

there be not in Christ any such defect or weakness, yet other causes there be divers, more than we are able to search into, wherefore it might seem unto him expedient to divide his Kingdom into many Provinces, and place many Heads over it, that the Power which each of them hath in particular with restraint, might illustrate the greatness of his unlimited Authority. Besides, howsoever Christ be Spiritually always united unto every part of his Body, which is the Church; nevertheless, we do all know, and they themselves who allege this, will, I doubt [not], confess also, that from every Church here visible, Christ, touching visible and corporal presence, is removed as far as Heaven from the earth is distant. Visible Government is a thing necessary for the Church; and it doth not appear how the exercise of visible Government over such multitudes every where dispersed throughout the world, should consist without sundry visible Governors; whose Power being the greatest in that kind, so far as it reacheth, they are in consideration thereof termed so far Heads. Wherefore, notwithstanding the perpetual conjunction, by virtue whereof our Saviour always remaineth Spiritually united unto the parts of his mystical Body; Heads indeed with Supreme Power, extending to a certain compass, are for the exercise of a visible Regiment not unnecessary. Some other reasons there are belonging unto this branch which seem to have been objected, rather for the exercise of men's wits in dissolving sophisms, than that the authors of them could think in likelihood thereby to strengthen their cause. For example, "If the Magistrate be Head of the Church within his own Dominion, then is he none of the Church: for all that are of the Church make the Body of Christ, and every one of the Church fulfilleth the place of one member of the Body. By making the Magistrate therefore Head, we do exclude him from being a member subject to the Head, and so leave him no place in the Church." By which reason, the name of a Body politic is supposed to be always taken of the inferior sort alone, excluding the principal Guides and Governors, contrary to all men's customs of speech. The error ariseth by misconceiving of some Scripture sentences, where Christ as the Head, and the Church as the Body, are compared or opposed the one to the other. And because in such comparisons of oppositions, the Body is taken for those only

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 419.

Ut Hen.
VIII.

parts which are subject unto the Head, they imagine That whoso is the Head of any Church, he is therefore even excluded from being a part of that Church; That the Magistrate can be none of the Church, if so we make him the Head of the Church in his own Dominions: a chief and principal part of the Church therefore next this, is surely a strange conclusion. A Church doth indeed make the Body of Christ, being wholly taken together; and every one in the same Church fulfilleth the place of a member in the Body, but not the place of an inferior member the which hath Supreme Authority and Power over all the rest. Wherefore, by making the Magistrate Head in his own Dominions, we exclude him from being a member subject unto any other person which may visibly there rule in place of a Superior or Head over him; but so far are we off from leaving him by this means no place in the Church, that we do grant him the chief place. Indeed the Heads of those visible Bodies, which are many, can be but parts inferior in that Spiritual Body which is but one; yea, they may from this be excluded clean, who notwithstanding ought to be honoured, as possessing in order the highest rooms: but for the Magistrate to be termed, in his Dominions, an Head, doth not bar him from being any way a part or member of the Church of God.

[T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 412.]

As little to the purpose are those other cavils: "A Church which hath the Magistrate for Head, is perfect man without Christ; so that the knitting of our Saviour thereunto should be an addition of that which is too much."

[Ibid.]

Again, "If the Church be the Body of Christ, and of the Civil Magistrate, it shall have two Heads; which being monstrous, is to the great dishonour of Christ and his Church."

[Ibid.]

Thirdly, "If the Church be planted in a popular estate, then, forasmuch as all govern in common, and all have authority, all shall be Heads there, and no Body at all; which is another monster." It might be feared what this birth of so many monsters together might portend, but that we know how things, natural enough in themselves, may seem monstrous, through misconceit; which error of mind is indeed a monster: and the skilful in Nature's mysteries have used to term it the womb of monsters; if any be, it is that troubled understanding, wherein, because things lie confusedly mixt together, what they are it appeareth

not. A Church perfect without Christ, I know not how a man shall imagine; unless there may be either Christianity without Christ, or else a Church without Christianity. If Magistrates be Heads of the Church, they are of necessity Christians,* then is their Head Christ. The adding of Christ universal Head over all, unto Magistrates' particular Headship, is no more superfluous in any Church than in other Societies: each is to be both severally subject unto some Head, and to have a Head also general for them all to be subject unto. For so in Armies, in Civil Corporations, we see it fareth. A Body Politic, in such respects, is not like a natural body; in this, more Heads than one is superfluous; in that, not. It is neither monstrous, nor yet uncomely, for a Church to have different Heads: for if Christian Churches be in number many, and every of them a perfect Body by itself, Christ being Lord and Head over all; why should we judge it a thing more monstrous for one Body to have two Heads, than one Head so many Bodies?† Him, that God hath made the Supreme Head of the whole Church; the Head, not only of that mystical Body which the eye of man is not able to discern, but even of every Christian politic Society, of every visible Church in the world? And whereas, lastly, it is thought so strange, that in Popular States a multitude to itself should be both Body and Head; all this wonderment doth grow from a little oversight, in deeming that the subject wherein Headship ought to reside, should be evermore some one person; which thing is not necessary. For in the Collective Body that hath not derived as yet the Principality of Power into some one or few, the whole of necessity must be Head over each part; otherwise it could not have Power possibly to make any one certain person Head: inasmuch as the very Power of making a Head belongeth unto Headship. These supposed "monsters" we see therefore are no such giants, as that there should need any Hercules to tame them.

The last difference which we have between the Title of

* [See BAXTER, § 22, *ut sup.* p. 266.]

† ["I have used the term *great* Head of the Church by way of distinction from that *little* head which the Church of England has invented, and on which, whether it be a beauty or deformity in the Body of Christ, the Scriptures are certainly silent." Rev. ROB. HALL, A. M. in the *Leicester Chronicle*, Sat. Feb. 9, 1822.]

[Whitg.
Def. of
Ans.
p. 301.]

[T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 414.]

T. C.
lib. iii.
p. 168.

Head when we give it unto Christ, and when we give it to other Governors, is, that the kind of Dominion which it importeth is not the same in both. Christ is Head, as being the fountain of life and ghostly nutriment, the wellspring of spiritual blessings poured into the Body of the Church; they Heads, as being the principal instruments for the Church's outward government: he Head, as Founder of the House; they, as his chiefest Overseers. Against this is exception especially taken, and our purveyors are herein said to have their provision from the Popish shambles: for by Pighius and Harding,* to prove that Christ alone is not Head of the Church, this distinction, they say, is brought, "that according to the inward influence of grace, Christ only is Head; but according to the outward government, the being Head is a thing common to him with others." To raise up falsehoods of old condemned, and bring it for confirmation of any thing doubtful, which already hath sufficiently been proved an error, and is worthily so taken, this would justly deserve censuring. But shall manifest truth therefore be reproached, because men convicted in some things of manifest untruth have at any time thought or alleged it? If too much eagerness against their adversaries had not made them forget themselves, they might remember where being charged as maintainers of those very things, for which others before them have been condemned of Heresy; yet, lest the name of any such Heretic holding the same which they do, should make them odious, they stick not frankly to confess, "That they are not afraid to consent in some points with Jews and Turks."† Which defence, for all that, were a very weak buckler for such as should consent with Jews and Turks in that which they have been abhorred and hated for in the Church. But as for this

* [PIG. *Hierar. Eccl.* lib. iii. c. 4. HARD. *Def. of the Apol.* pt. ii. c. 2.]

† ["So that so far as we consent here with papists, we do it, as in the article of the Holy Trinity, where we have with warrant of the Word of God, the approbation also of the best. We hold with them, things in common: in which respect we are not afraid to confess, that we consent in some points with the Jews and Turks, or rather they with us. But you (Dr. W.) are found in divers places in their private orchards, gathering your fruit off trees which their hands did first plant; and from thence you bring your stocks, which you would place in the Lord's vineyard." "How unworthy outcries they be which he so oft raiseth against us; that 'we give no more to a godly Christian Magistrate than to the Turk or Nero,' with such like: for who will communicate the Church matters with Nero; open to him the necessity of holding a Council; desire his confirmation of the Church Orders; pray his aid in the maintaining them; call upon him as well for making them, where the lawful Ministry faileth, as for redress of the evil?" T. C. lib. iii. p. 168, 169.]

distinction of Headship, Spiritual and mystical in Jesus Christ, ministerial and outward in others besides Christ; what cause is there to mislike either Harding, or Pighius, or any other besides, for it? That which they have been reprov'd for, is, not because they did therein utter an untruth, but such a truth as was not sufficient to bear up the cause which they did thereby seek to maintain. By this distinction, they have both truly and sufficiently proved that the name of Head, importing Power and Dominion over the Church, might be given to others besides Christ, without prejudice to any part of his honour. That which they should have made manifest was, [that] the name of Head, importing the Power of universal Dominion over the whole Church of Christ militant, doth, and that by Divine Right, appertain to the Pope of Rome. They did prove it lawful to grant unto others besides Christ, the Power of Headship in a different kind from his; but they should have proved it lawful to challenge, as they did to the Bishop of Rome, a Power universal in that different kind. Their fault was therefore in exacting wrongfully so great Power as they challenged in that kind; and not in making two kinds of Power, unless some reasons can be shewed for which this distinction of Power should be thought erroneous and false. A little they stir (although in vain) to prove that we cannot with truth make such distinction of Power, whereof the one kind should agree unto Christ only, and the other be further communicated. Thus therefore they argue, "If there be no Head but Christ, in respect of Spiritual Government, there is no Head but he in respect of the Word, Sacraments, and Discipline, administered by those whom he hath appointed, forasmuch also as it is his Spiritual Government." Their meaning is, that whereas we make two kinds of Power, of which two, the one being Spiritual is proper unto Christ; the other, men are capable of, because it is visible and external; we do amiss altogether in distinguishing, they think, forasmuch as the visible and external Power of Regiment over the Church, is only in relation unto the Word, the Sacraments, and Discipline, administered by such as Christ hath appointed thereunto, and the exercise of this Power is also his Spiritual Government; therefore we do but vainly imagine a visible and external Power in the Church differing from his Spiritual

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 415.

Power. Such disputes as this doth somewhat resemble the practising of well-willers upon their friends in the pangs of death; whose manner is, even then, to put smoke in their nostrils, and so to fetch them again, although they know it a matter impossible to keep them living. The kind of affection which the favourers of this labouring Cause bear towards it will not suffer them to see it die, although by what means they should make it live, they do not see. But they may see that these wrestlings will not help. Can they be ignorant how little it booteth to overcast so clear a light with some mist of ambiguity in the name of Spiritual Regiment? To make things therefore so plain, that henceforward a child's capacity may serve rightly to conceive our meaning, we make the Spiritual Regiment of Christ to be generally that whereby his Church is ruled and governed in things Spiritual. Of this general we make two distinct kinds; the one invisible, exercised by Christ himself in his own person; the other outwardly administered by them whom Christ doth allow to be Rulers and Guiders of his Church. Touching the former of these two kinds, we teach that Christ, in regard thereof, is particularly termed *The Head of the Church of God*; neither can any other creature, in that sense and meaning, be termed Head besides him; because it importeth the conduct and government of our souls by the hand of that blessed Spirit wherewith we are sealed and marked, as being peculiarly his; him only therefore do we acknowledge to be the Lord, which dwelleth, liveth, and reigneth, in our hearts; him only to be that Head, which giveth salvation and life unto his Body; him only to be that Fountain from whence the influence of heavenly graces distilleth, and is derived into all parts, whether the Word, or the Sacraments, or Discipline, or whatsoever be the means whereby it floweth. As for the Power of administering these things in the Church of Christ, which Power we call the Power of Order, it is indeed both Spiritual and His: Spiritual, because such properly concerns the Spirit; His, because by him it was instituted. Howbeit, neither Spiritual, as that which is inwardly and invisibly exercised; nor His, as that which he himself in person doth exercise. Again, that Power of Dominion, which is indeed the point of this controversy, and doth also belong to the second kind of Spiritual Government, namely,

[Eph. i.
13, 14.]

unto that Regiment which is external and visible; this likewise being Spiritual in regard of the matter about which it dealeth, and being His, inasmuch as he approveth whatsoever is done by it, must notwithstanding be distinguished also from that Power whereby he himself in person administereth the former kind of his own Spiritual Regiment, because he himself in person doth not administer this: we do not, therefore, vainly imagine, but truly and rightly discern a Power external and visible in the Church exercised by men, and severed in nature from that Spiritual Power of Christ's own Regiment; which Power is termed Spiritual, because it worketh secretly, inwardly, and invisibly; His, because none doth, nor can, it personally exercise, either besides or together with him; seeing that him only we may name our Head, in regard of his, and yet, in regard of that other Power from this, term others also, besides him, Heads, without any contradiction at all. Which thing may very well serve for answer unto that also which they further allege against the aforesaid distinction, namely, "That even the outward societies and assemblies of the Church, where one or two are gathered together in his name, either for hearing of the Word, or for Prayer, or any other Church-exercise, our Saviour Christ being in the midst of them as Mediator, must be their Head: and, if he be not there idle, but doing the office of a Head fully, it followeth, that even in the outward societies and meetings of the Church, no mere man can be called the Head of it, seeing that our Saviour Christ doing the whole office of the Head himself alone, leaveth nothing to men, by doing whereof they may obtain that Title." Which objection I take as being made for nothing but only to maintain argument: for they are not so far gone as to argue thus in sooth and right good earnest. "God standeth (saith the Psalmist) in the midst of gods:" if God be there present, he must undoubtedly be present as God; if he be not there idle, but doing the Office of a God fully, it followeth, that God himself alone doing the whole Office of a God, leaveth nothing in such assemblies to any other, by doing whereof they may obtain so high a name. The Psalmist, therefore, hath spoken amiss, and doth ill to call Judges, gods? Not so: for as God hath his Office differing from theirs, and doth fully discharge it even in the midst

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 415.

(Psalm
lxxxii.
1.)

T. C.
lib. ii.
p. 413.

of them, so they are not hereby excluded from all kind of duty, for which that name should be given unto them also; but in that duty for which it was given them they are encouraged religiously and carefully to order themselves after the self-same manner. Our Lord and Saviour being in the midst of his Church as Head, is our comfort, without the abridgment of any one duty, for performance whereof others are termed Heads in another kind than he is. If there be of the ancient Fathers, which say, “That there is but one Head of the Church, Christ; and that the Minister that baptizeth cannot be the Head of him that is baptized, because Christ is the Head of the whole Church: and that Paul could not be Head of the Churches which he planted, because Christ is the Head of the whole Body;”^{*} they understand the name of Head in such sort as we grant that is not appliable to any other, no not in relation to the least part of the whole Church; he which baptizeth, baptizeth into Christ; he which converteth, converteth into Christ; he which ruleth, ruleth for Christ. The whole Church can have but one to be Head as Lord and Owner of all; wherefore, if Christ be Head in that kind, it followeth, that no other besides can be so either to the whole or to any part.

To call
and dis-
solve all
solemn
Assem-
blies
about the
public
affairs

5. Amongst sundry Prerogatives of Simon's Dominion over the Jews there is reckoned, as not the least, “That no man might gather any great assembly in the land without him.”[†] For so the manner of Jewish Regiment had always been, that whether the cause for which men assembled

* [“As it hath certain ground in the Scripture, that this title of Head of the Church, is too high to be given unto any man; so hath it been confirmed, from time to time, by Writers both old and new, which have had the honour of Christ in any convenient estimation. Let us therefore see whether this jealousy over the title of Head of the Church, not only in respect of the whole, but in respect also of a particular congregation, have their approbation. Cyprian saith, There is but one Head of the Church (De Simplicitate Prælat.) The Bishop of Salisbury affirmeth the same (Apol. Part II. cap. 2. div. 1.) Augustine proveth, that the Minister which baptizeth cannot be the Head of him which is baptized, because Christ is the Head of the whole Church (Contra Liter. Petil. lib. i. cap. 5.) And in another place, that Paul could not be Head of the Churches which he planted, because Christ is Head of the whole Body (lib. iii. cap. 42.); which reason should be nothing worth if either St. Paul, or any other Minister might be a ministerial Head of the Church under Christ. And if the name of ministerial Head of the Churches which Paul planted cannot be given unto him which was governor next and immediately under Christ in that same kind of government in the which our Saviour Christ is Head, that is to say Spiritual, no not then when there was no Christian Magistrate to make challenge unto that title, and to bring it into doubt whether it belonged unto St. Paul, or to him; it cannot be that the Magistrate may take unto him that title, whose government doth not approach so near unto our Saviour Christ's as he is Head of the Church.” T. C. lib. ii. p. 413.]

† [1 Mac. xiv. 44.]

themselves in peaceable, good, and orderly sort, were Ecclesiastical or Civil, Supreme Authority should assemble them. David gathered all Israel together unto Jerusalem; when the Ark was to be removed, he assembled the sons of Aaron and the Levites. Solomon did the like at such time as the Temple was to be dedicated: when the Church was to be reformed, Asa in his time did the same: the same upon like occasions was done afterwards by Joash, Hezekias, Josiah, and others.

The Consuls of Rome Polybius affirmeth to have had a kind of Regal Authority, in that they might call together the Senate and People whensoever it pleased them. Seeing, therefore, the affairs of the Church and Christian Religion are public affairs, for the ordering whereof more solemn Assemblies sometimes are of as great importance and use, as they are for secular affairs; it seemeth no less an act of Supreme Authority to call the one, than the other. Wherefore the Clergy, in such wise gathered together, is an Ecclesiastical Senate, which with us, as in former times, the chiefest Prelate at his discretion did use to assemble; so that afterwards in such considerations as have been before specified, it seemeth more meet to annex the said Prerogative to the Crown. The Plot of Reformed Discipline not liking thereof so well, taketh order that every former Assembly before it breaketh up should itself appoint both the time and place of their after-meeting again. But because I find not any thing on that side particularly alleged against us herein, a longer disputation about so plain a cause shall not need. The ancient Imperial Law forbiddeth such Assemblies as the Emperor's Authority did not cause to be made. Before Emperors became Christians, the Church had never any General Synod; their greatest meeting consisting of Bishops and others, the gravest in each Province. As for the Civil Governor's Authority, it suffered them only as things not regarded, or not accounted of at such times as it did suffer them. So that what right a Christian King hath as touching Assemblies of that kind, we are not able to judge till we come to later times, when Religion had won the hearts of the highest Powers. Constantine (as Pighius doth grant) was not only the first that ever did call any General Council together, but even the first that devised the calling of them for consultation about the businesses of

of the
Church.

Polyb.
lib. vi.
de Milit.
ac Do-
mest.
Rom.
Discipl.

L. i. de
Colleg.
illicit.
et de
Conven-
tibus,
cap. de
Episc. et
Presbyt.

Hier-
arch.
l. vi. c. 1.
Con-
stant.
concil.
a. Theod.

Sardi-
cen.
concil.
a Con-
stant.

Hieron.
contr.
Ruffi-
num,
lib. ii.
c. 20.

Sozo-
men.
lib. vi.
c. 7.

Ambros.
lib. v.
Ep. 32.

God. After he had once given the example, his successors a long time followed the same; insomuch that St. Jerome, to disprove the Authority of a Synod which was pretended to be General, useth this as a forcible argument, “Dic, quis Imperator hanc Synodum jusserit convocari?” Their answer hereunto is no answer, which say, “That [the] Emperors did not this without conference had with the Bishops:” for to our purpose it is enough, if the Clergy alone did it not otherwise than by the leave and appointment of their Sovereign Lords and Kings. Whereas, therefore, it is on the contrary side alleged, that Valentinian the Elder being requested by Catholic Bishops to grant that there might be a Synod for the ordering of matters called in question by the Arians, answered, that he being one of the Laity might not meddle with such matters, and thereupon willed, that the Priests and Bishops, to whom the care of those things belongeth, should meet and consult together by themselves where they thought good;* we must, with the Emperor’s speech, weigh the occasion and drift thereof. Valentinian

* [“Where the Emperor confesseth the Bishops’ matters not to pertain to him (Sozom. lib. i. c. 17), he (Dr. Whitgift) answereth, That ‘the Emperor of *modesty* refused’ the determination. But what modesty is it, to say that which is untrue; or what modesty, to affirm that it belongeth not to him which is, by you, ‘his office,’ and ‘committed to him of God,’ especially, unto his subjects? For it might have more colour, if you had said, that it were modesty for a Bishop to say, that to administer the Word and Sacraments belongeth not to him, but unto the Prince. Beside that, if he would have shewed forth modesty, he would have rather said, that he was not worthy, than to say ‘that it was not lawful for him to do it.’ To that, ‘That the Emperor would not determine of Arius’ heresy, but committed it to the Synod,’ he answereth, ‘That it letteth not but that he had authority, saving that thereby he shewed his *wisdom* in committing matters of Doctrine to them, which are most fit to entreat of them.’ A strange kind of wisdom, to put over that which belonged unto his Office to them to whose office that did not belong! Verily this is not the wisdom which cometh from above. For although it be lawful for a Prince to discharge part of his burthen upon others, for the more commodity of his subjects; yet, if this belong unto him, as he is appointed of God the Civil Magistrate, he cannot put it unto any other than unto a Civil Magistrate. Here also I would ask of him, How the Council of Nice was fitter to judge of the matter than the Emperor? Was it by some singular case, or by reason of their Office of being Bishops? If, as needs he must, he answer, that they are by Calling and by Office fitter to judge of such causes; how must not that pertain unto them which are hereof, by Calling, the fittest judges? For, although there be found sometimes some Civil Governour which hath more skill to judge in Church matters than some Bishop, as also some Bishops to have more skill in Commonwealth matters, than some Civil Governour; yet notwithstanding, neither the one nor the other hath this cunning by any gift incident into his Office which he exerciseth. So that the Answerer, in reputed it for ‘wisdom in the Emperor, to commit these matters unto the Bishops, as unto the most able judges,’ maketh a deep wound in the wisdom of God, whilst he supposeth that God hath committed that to be done by the Magistrate whereof, by Office, he is not the fittest doer. . . . And, that this is most properly belonging unto a Bishop, it appeareth, in that the Apostle requireth that he should be able ‘to convince the gainsayers’ (Tit. i. 9); which he never required of the Civil Magistrate; and notwithstanding, would have required it, if the decision of such causes had appertained unto him: for the Lord calleth no man to any thing, of whom he requireth not gifts meet to furnish his Calling.” T. C. lib. iii. p. 157, 158.]

and Valens, the one a Catholic, the other an Arian, were Emperors together: Valens the Governor of the East, and Valentinian of the West, Empire. Valentinian, therefore, taking his journey from the East unto the West parts, and passing for that intent through Thracia, there the Bishops which held the soundness of Christian belief, because they knew that Valens was their professed enemy, and therefore if the other was once departed out of those quarters, the Catholic cause was like to find very small favour, moved presently Valentinian about a Council to be assembled under the countenance of his Authority; who by likelihood considering what inconvenience might grow thereby, inasmuch as it could not be but a means to incense Valens the more against them, refused himself to be author of, or present at any such Assembly: and of this his denial gave them a colourable reason, to wit, that he was, although an Emperor, yet a secular person, and therefore not able in matters of so great obscurity to sit as a competent Judge; but, if they which were Bishops and learned men did think good to consult thereof together, they might: whereupon, when they could not obtain that which they most desired, yet that which he granted unto them they took, and forthwith had a Council. Valentinian went on towards Rome, they remaining in consultation till Valens which accompanied him returned back; so that now there was no remedy, but either to incur a manifest contempt, or else at the hands of Valens himself to seek approbation of that they had done. To him, therefore, they became suitors: his answer was short, “Either Arianism, or exile, which they would;” whereupon their banishment ensued. Let reasonable men now therefore be judges, how much this example of Valentinian doth make against the Authority, which we say that Sovereign Rulers may lawfully have as concerning Synods and Meetings Ecclesiastical.*

6. There are which wonder that we should account any Statute a Law, which the High Court of Parliament in England hath established about the matter of Church-regiment; the Prince and Court of Parliament having (as they suppose) no more lawful means to give order to the

Of the
Autho-
rity of
making
Laws.

* [See Vol. II. p. 135, Note †. “I was ever of Nazianzen’s opinion, who never wished to see any more Synods of the Clergy.” Bp. BURNET, *Hist. of his own Time*. Edit. Oxf. 1823. 8vo. Vol. II. an. 1674. p. 47.]

Church and Clergy in those things, than they have to make Laws for the Hierarchies of Angels in Heaven; that the Parliament being a mere Temporal Court, can neither by the Law of Nature, nor of God, have competent power to define of such matters; that Supremacy in this kind cannot belong unto Kings, as Kings, because Pagan Emperors, whose princely Power was true Sovereignty, never challenged so much over the Church; that Power, in this kind, cannot be the right of any earthly Crown, Prince, or State, in that they be Christians, forasmuch as if they be Christians, they all owe subjection to the Pastors of their souls; that the Prince therefore, not having it himself, cannot communicate it to the Parliament, and consequently cannot make Laws here, or determine of the Church's Regiment by himself, Parliament, or any other Court subjected unto him.

The Parliament of England, together with the Convocation annexed thereunto, is that whereupon the very essence of all Government within this Kingdom doth depend: it is even the body of the whole Realm: it consisteth of the King, and of all that within the Land are subject unto him. The Parliament is a Court, not so merely Temporal as if it might meddle with nothing but only leather and wool. Those days of Queen Mary are not yet forgotten, wherein the Realm did submit itself unto the Legate of Pope Julius, at which time, had they been persuaded, as this man seemeth now to be, had they thought that there is no more force in Laws made by Parliament concerning Church-affairs, than if men should take upon them to make Orders for the Hierarchies of Angels in Heaven, they might have taken all former Statutes of that kind as cancelled, and, by reason of nullity, abrogated. What need was there that they should bargain with the Cardinal, and purchase their pardon by promise made beforehand, that what Laws they had made, assented unto, or executed, against the Bishop of Rome's Supremacy, the same they would, in that present Parliament, effectually abrogate and repeal? Had they power to repeal Laws made, and none to make Laws concerning the Regiment of the Church? Again, when they had by suit obtained his confirmation for such foundations of Bishopricks, Cathedral Churches, Hospitals, Colleges, and Schools; for such Marriages before made, for such institutions into Livings Ecclesiastical, and for all such Judicial processes, as having

been ordered according to the Laws before in force, but contrary unto the Canons and Orders of the Church of Rome, were in that respect thought defective, although the Cardinal in his Letters of Dispensation did give validity unto those Acts, even “*Apostolicæ firmitatis robur*, The very strength of Apostolical solidity;” what had all these been without those grave authentical words, “Be it enacted by the Authority of this present Parliament, that all and singular Articles and Clauses contained in the said Dispensation, shall remain and be reputed and taken to all intents and constructions in the Laws of this Realm, lawful, good, and effectual, to be alleged and pleaded in all Courts Ecclesiastical and Temporal, for good and sufficient matter either for the plaintiff or defendant, without any allegation or objection to be made against the validity of them, by pretence of any general Council, Canon, or Decree, to the contrary?” Somewhat belike they thought there was in this mere Temporal Court, without which the Pope’s own mere Ecclesiastical Legate’s Dispensation had taken small effect in the Church of England; neither did they or the Cardinal imagine any thing committed against the Law of Nature, or of God, because they took Order for the Church’s affairs, and that even in the Court of Parliament. The most natural and religious course in making Laws is, that the matter of them be taken from the judgment of the wisest in those things which they are to concern. In matters of God, to set down a Form of Prayer, a solemn Confession of the Articles of the Christian Faith, and Ceremonies meet for the exercise of Religion; it were unnatural not to think the Pastors and Bishops of our souls a great deal more fit, than men of secular trades and callings: howbeit, when all which the wisdom of all sorts can do is done for the devising of Laws in the Church, it is the general consent of all that giveth them the form and vigour of Laws, without which they could be no more unto us than the counsels of Physicians to the sick: well might they seem as wholesome admonitions and instructions; but Laws could they never be, without consent of the whole Church, to be guided by them; whereunto both Nature and the practice of the Church of God set down in Scripture, is found every way so fully consonant, that God himself would not impose no not his own Laws upon his people by the hand of Moses, without their free

An. 1.
et 2.
Phil. et
Mar.
c. 8.

[Exod.
xix. 8.
xxiv. 3,
7.]

and open consent.* Wherefore, to define and determine, even of the Church's affairs by way of assent and approbation, as Laws are defined of in that Right of Power, which doth give them the force of Laws; thus to define of our own Church's Regiment, the Parliament of England hath competent Authority.

Touching that Supremacy of Power which our Kings have in this case of making Laws, it resteth principally in the strength of a Negative voice; which not to give them, were to deny them that, without which they were Kings but by mere Title, and not in exercise of Dominion. Be it in Regiment Popular, Aristocratical, or Regal, Principality resteth in that person, or those persons, unto whom is given right of excluding any kind of Law whatsoever it be, before establishment. This doth belong unto Kings as Kings; Pagan Emperors, even "Nero"† himself had no less, but much more than this, in the Laws of his own Empire. That he challenged not any interest of giving voice in the Laws of the Church, I hope no man will so construe, as if the cause were conscience and fear to encroach upon the Apostles' right. If then it be demanded, by what right, from Constantine downward, the Christian Emperors did so far intermeddle with the Church's affairs, either we must here condemn them, as being over presumptuously bold, or else judge that, by a Law, which is termed *Regia*, that is to say, Regal, the People having derived unto their Emperors their whole Power for making of Laws, and by that means his Edicts being made Laws,‡ what matter soever they did concern; as Imperial Dignity endowed them with competent Authority and Power to make Laws for Religion, so they were thought by Christianity to use their Power, being Christians, unto the benefit of the Church of Christ.§ Was there any Christian Bishop in the world which did then judge this repugnant unto the dutiful subjection which Christians do owe to the Pastors of their souls; to whom, in respect of their sacred Order, it is not by us, neither may be, denied, that Kings and Princes are as much as the

* [See BAXTER, § 19, *ut sup.* p. 266.]

† [See Note †, p. 294.]

‡ "Item quod Principi placuit, Legis habet vigorem." Inst. de J. N. G. et C.

§ ["Constantine's open profession of Christianity, though it added much to the temporal prosperity, yet it contributed little to the spiritual graces and virtues of Christians. It enlarged their revenues, and increased their endowments; but proved the fatal means of corrupting the Doctrine and relaxing the Discipline of the Church." Dr. NEWTON, Bishop of Bristol, *Dissert. on the Prophecies*, Vol. II. p. 164.]

very meanest that liveth under them, bound in conscience to shew themselves gladly and willingly obedient; receiving the Seals of Salvation, the blessed Sacraments at their hands, as at the hands of our Lord Jesus Christ, with all reverence, not disdaining to be taught and admonished by them, nor withholding from them as much as the least part of their due and decent honour? All which, for any thing that hath been alleged, may stand very well without resignation of Supremacy of Power in making Laws, even Laws concerning the most spiritual affairs of the Church; which Laws being made amongst us, are not by any of us so taken or interpreted, as if they did receive their force from Power which the Prince doth communicate unto the Parliament, or unto any other Court under him, but from Power which the whole Body of the Realm being naturally possest with, hath by free and deliberate assent derived unto him that ruleth over them, so far forth as hath been declared: so that our Laws made concerning Religion, do take originally their essence from the Power of the whole Realm and Church of England, than which, nothing can be more consonant unto the Law of Nature and the will of our Lord Jesus Christ.

To let these go, and return to our own men; “Ecclesiastical Governors (they say) may not meddle with making of Civil Laws, and of Laws for the Commonwealth; nor the Civil Magistrate, high or low, with making of Orders for the Church.”* It seemeth unto me very strange, that these men, which are in no cause more vehement and fierce than where they plead, that Ecclesiastical persons may not *κυριεύειν*, *be lords*, should hold that the Power of making Ecclesiastical Laws, which thing of all other is most proper unto Dominion, belongeth to none but Ecclesiastical persons only. Their oversight groweth herein for want of exact observation, what it is to make a Law. Tully, speaking of the Law of Nature, saith, “That thereof God himself was *inventor, disceptator, lator*, the deviser, the discussor, and deliverer:” wherein he plainly alludeth unto the chiefest parts which then did

* [“As for the making of the Orders and Ceremonies of the Church, they do (where there is a constituted and ordered Church) pertain unto the Ministers of the Church and to the Ecclesiastical Governours; and that, as they meddle not with the making of Civil Laws and Laws for the Commonwealth: so the Civil Magistrate hath not to ordain Ceremonies pertaining to the Church. But if those to whom that doth appertain make any orders not meet, the Magistrate may and ought to hinder them and drive them to better, forsomuch as the Civil Magistrate hath this charge, to see that nothing be done against the glory of God in his Dominion.” T. C. lib. i. p. 192.]

appertain to his public action. For when Laws were made, the first thing was to have them devised; the second, to sift them with as much exactness of judgment as any way might be used; the next, by solemn voice of Sovereign Authority to pass them, and give them the force of Laws. It cannot in any reason seem otherwise than most fit, that unto Ecclesiastical persons the care of devising Ecclesiastical Laws be committed, even as the care of Civil unto them which are in those affairs most skilful. This taketh not away from Ecclesiastical persons all right of giving voice with others, when Civil Laws are proposed for Regiment of the Commonwealth, whereof themselves, though now the world would have them annihilated, are notwithstanding as yet a part; much less doth it cut off that part of the Power of Princes, whereby, as they claim, so we know no reasonable cause wherefore we may not grant them, without offence to Almighty God, so much Authority in making all manner of Laws within their own Dominions, that neither Civil nor Ecclesiastical do pass without their royal assent.

In devising and discussing of Laws, wisdom especially is required; but that which establisheth them and maketh them, is power, even Power of Dominion; the Chieftly whereof (amongst us) resteth in the person of the King. Is there any Law of Christ's which forbiddeth Kings and Rulers of the earth to have such sovereign and supreme Power in the making of Laws either Civil or Ecclesiastical? If there be, our controversy hath an end. Christ, in his Church, hath not appointed any such Law concerning Temporal Power, as God did of old unto the Commonwealth of Israel; but leaving that to be at the world's free choice, his chiefest care is, that the Spiritual Law of the Gospel might be published far and wide. They that received the Law of Christ were, for a long time, people scattered in sundry Kingdoms, Christianity not exempting them from the Laws which they had been subject unto, saving only in such cases as those Laws did enjoin that which the Religion of Christ did forbid. Hereupon grew their manifold persecutions throughout all places where they lived; as oft as it thus came to pass, there was no possibility that the Emperors and Kings under whom they lived, should meddle any whit at all with making Laws for the Church. From Christ, therefore, having received Power, who doubteth, but as they did, so they might bind

them to such Orders as seemed fittest for the maintenance of their Religion, without the leave of high or low in the Commonwealth; forasmuch as in Religion it was divided utterly from them, and they from it? But when the mightiest began to like of the Christian Faith; by their means, whole free States and Kingdoms became obedient unto Christ. Now the question is, Whether Kings, by embracing Christianity, do thereby receive any such Law as taketh from them the weightiest part of that Sovereignty which they had even when they were Heathens? whether, being Infidels, they might do more in causes of Religion, than now they can by the Laws of God, being true Believers? For, whereas in Regal States, the King, or Supreme Head of the Commonwealth, had, before Christianity, a supreme stroke in making of Laws for Religion; he must by embracing Christian Religion utterly deprive himself thereof, and in such causes become subject unto his Subjects, having even within his own Dominions them whose commandment he must obey; unless his Power be placed in the Head[ship] of some foreign Spiritual Potentate: so that either a foreign or domestical Commander upon earth, he must admit more now, than before he had, and that in the chiefest things whereupon Commonwealths do stand. But apparent it is unto all men which are not strangers unto the doctrine of Jesus Christ, that no State of the world receiving Christianity is by any Law therein contained bound to resign the Power which they lawfully held before: but over what persons and in what causes soever the same hath been in force, it may so remain and continue still. That which, as Kings, they might do in matters of Religion, and did in matter of false Religion, being idolatrous and superstitious Kings, the same they are now even in every respect fully authorized to do in all affairs pertinent to the state of true Christian Religion. And, concerning the supreme Power of making Laws for all persons

T. C.
lib. iii.
p. 159.

in all causes to be guided by, it is not to be let pass, that the head enemies of this Headship are constrained to acknowledge the King endued even with this very Power, so that he may and ought to exercise the same, taking order for the Church and her affairs of what nature or kind soever, in case of necessity: as when there is no lawful Ministry, which they interpret then to be (and this surely is a point very remarkable), wheresoever the Ministry is

wicked.* A wicked Ministry is no lawful Ministry; and in such sort no lawful Ministry, that what doth belong unto them as Ministers by right of their calling, the same to be annihilated in respect of their bad qualities; their wickedness in itself, a deprivation of right to deal in the affairs of the Church, and a warrant for others to deal in them which are held to be of a clean other Society, the members whereof have been before so peremptorily for ever excluded from Power of dealing for ever with affairs of the Church. They which once have learned thoroughly this lesson, will quickly be capable perhaps of another equivalent unto it. For [if] the wickedness of the Ministry transfers their right unto the King; in case the King be as wicked as they, to whom then shall the right descend? There is no remedy, all must come by devolution at length, even as the family of Brown will have it, unto the godly among the People, for confusion unto the wise and the great by the poor and the simple;† some Kimberdoling,‡ with his retinue, must take this work of the Lord in hand; and the making of Church-laws and Orders must prove to be their right in the end. If not for love of the truth, yet for shame of gross absurdities, let these contentions and trifling fancies be abandoned. The Cause which moved them for a time to hold a wicked Ministry no lawful

* [“ ‘ Forsomuch as the Ministers are most able to decide of Church-matters, therefore the decision belongeth unto them,’ hereunto he (Dr. W.) answereth, first, that it ‘ is Harding’s reason,’ but sheweth not where it is to be found. Where I, alleging it as his own reason, pointed him the place, thereunto he answereth not a word. (‘ The Bishops ought therefore to ordain Ministers, because they are best able to judge of the learning and ability of those which are the fittest.’ T. C. lib. i. p. 192, referring to Whitgift’s Ans. p. 47.) Secondly, he saith that, ‘ it proveth only that it is most convenient and necessary, that the Ministers, while they be godly and learned, may have the deciding of matters of religion.’ In this answer, wherein the chief point of the question doth consist, he hath given both the Bishops of Salisbury and Master Nowel the slip: for they both do flatly confess, that ‘ as long as the Ministers be godly and learned, it is necessary they should decide these matters; that the Prince is commanded to have recourse unto them in doubtful matters; that it belongeth to the Bishop’s office to decide of such causes: but that Christian Princes have rather to do with these matters than ignorant and wicked Priests,’ and that ‘ in case of necessity,’ meaning when the Ministry is wicked, ‘ The Prince ought to provide for convenient remedy.’ (Def. of the Apol. Part VI. chap. xi. div. 11; and chap. xii. div. 4. Nowel, Vol. II. p. 35, 38, 34, 27). The very self-same thing which we maintain in saying, ‘ When there is no lawful Ministry, that then the Prince ought to take order in these things.’ He durst not say that ‘ it is necessary’ they *should*, but that ‘ they *may*’ decide; where in saying that ‘ it is *necessary*’ he leaveth no choice: again, in saying that ‘ they *may*,’ he destroyeth the necessity which he had before put, leaving it in the Prince’s power, whether they *shall* or no.” T. C. lib. iii. p. 159.]

† [See 1 Cor. i. 27—29.]

‡ [It should be Knipperdolling. He is reputed to have been an Anabaptist, but was a noble citizen of Munster in Westphalia, and was judicially executed in 1536, by his body being lacerated with red-hot pincers (*avec des tenailles ardentes*), and run through with a sword! See *Dic. Hist.* MOREL. Vol. VI. *sub voce*.]

Ministry; and, in this defect of a lawful Ministry, authorized Kings to make Laws and Orders for the affairs of the Church, till it were well established, is surely this: First, they see that, whereas the continual dealing of the Kings of Israel in the affairs of the Church doth make now very strong against them, the burthen whereof they shall in time well enough shake off, if it may be obtained that it is indeed lawful for Kings to follow these holy examples; howbeit no longer than during the case of necessity, while the wickedness, and, in respect thereof, the unlawfulness of the Ministry doth continue. Secondly, they perceive right well, that unless they should yield Authority unto Kings in case of such supposed necessity, the Discipline they urge were clean excluded, as long as the Clergy of England doth thereunto remain opposite. To open therefore a door for her entrance, there is no remedy but the tenent* must be this; That now when the Ministry of England is universally wicked, and, in that respect, hath lost all Authority, and is become no lawful Ministry, no such Ministry as hath the right, which otherwise should belong unto them, if they were virtuous and godly, as their adversaries are; in this necessity the King may do somewhat for the Church: that which we do imply in the name of Headship, he may both have and exercise till they be entered which will disburthen and ease him of it: till they come, the King is licensed to hold that Power which we call Headship. But what afterwards? In a Church ordered, "that which the Supreme Magistrate T. C. lib. 1. p. 192. hath, is to see that the Laws of God, touching his worship, and touching all matters and orders of the Church, be executed and duly observed; to see that every Ecclesiastical person do that Office whereunto he is appointed; to punish those that fail in their Office." In a word, that which Allen Apol. fol. 40. p. 2. himself acknowledgeth, unto the earthly Power which God hath given him it doth belong to defend the Laws of the Church, to cause them to be executed, and to punish rebels and transgressors of the same: on all sides therefore it is confest, that to the King belongeth Power of maintaining the Laws made for Church-regiment, and of causing them

* ["*Tenent*," Lat. *they hold*; it answers therefore, when applied to more persons than one, in distinction from *tenet*, *he holds*, as an equivalent to the phrase, *that which they hold*; and accordingly Bishop Sanderson, in "*Cases of Consc.*" where several, or *those* sentiments or opinions, are to be understood, has used the plural termination: "*principles and tenents of the people.*" See Todd's *Dic.*]

to be observed; but Principality of Power in making them, which is the thing we attribute unto Kings, this both the one sort and the other do withstand.

Power
to Com-
mand all
persons,
and to be
over all
Judges
in causes
Ecclesi-
astical.

7. Touching the King's supereminent Authority in Commanding, and in Judging of causes Ecclesiastical; first, to explain therein our meaning, It hath been taken as if we did hold, that Kings may prescribe what themselves think good to be done in the service of God; how the Word shall be taught, how the Sacraments administered: that Kings may personally sit in the Consistory where the Bishops do, hearing and determining what causes soever do appertain unto the Church: that Kings and Queens,*

* [“ By the constitution of the Church of Christ, it is expressly ordered and declared, that the ‘ Woman’ shall not be suffered publicly ‘ to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man,’ 1 Tim. ii. 12.; but by the constitution of the Church of England, the Woman is permitted publicly to teach; yea, to limit and controul in Spiritual and Religious matters, and authoritatively to instruct the Bishops and Clergy, and all men in the land. Thus did Queen Elizabeth, thus did Queen Anne, and thus hath every Queen authority to do, . . . to prescribe and dictate to all . . . what the one are to preach and the other to receive. A very comely and edifying sight, to behold the two Houses of Convocation waiting upon Queen Anne, 1711, in the case of Whiston’s books upon the Trinity, to be instructed by her Majesty, whether they were to be condemned as heretical or not? That venerable and learned body had solemnly decreed them to be dangerous and heretical, but this their censure was of no force till they had laid it before the Queen to have her judgment upon the point. . . . Her Majesty, . . . thought not fit to censure the books: so her single opinion, strange to relate! carried it against that of her Bishops and Clergy; she overrules and sets aside all their proceedings, restrains and counteracts them, in one of the very chief of their Pastoral Functions, the guarding against errors and heresies in the Church. . . . Is this the Constitution and frame of the Church of Christ? . . . May not a person separate peaceably from it without any, the least danger, of thereby separating himself from the only Scriptural, Apostolic, and Catholic Church? When the Pope was stripped of his Supremacy, and it was given to our Princes, care should have been taken not to leave his infallibility behind. An infallible Head and Director of the Church, be it man or woman, be it a he or a she Bishop, is a thing plausible enough . . . but, to lodge the absolute direction of the consciences and the faith, and the discipline, of the Church with a fallible Head; to give a Prince, yea, a Lady bred up in all the softnesses and diversions of a Court, an uncontrollable Dominion over the religious conduct both of Clergy and Laity, authoritatively to direct what those are to preach, and what these to believe as to the doctrine of Christ; to make her the sole Judge in all controversies which shall arise upon any, the most mysterious and inexplicable points, so that all ‘ the Priests’ are to ask ‘ knowledge’ at her lips, (Mal. ii. 7) and whatever she determines is to be received by the Church as Christian verity; this is such a Constitution as quite shocks the understanding, and comes not a whit behind transubstantiation itself. . . . Bishop Burnet (Hist. of his own Time, Vol. vi. p. 1134—5. Edit. 12mo.) says, ‘ That, by the Act of 1st of Eliz., which defined what should be judged heresy, that Judgment was declared to lie in the Crown. The Bishops, in Convocation, drew out several propositions from Whiston’s books, which seemed plainly to be reviving of Arianism, and censured them as such. The Lower House (excepting to one proposition) censured them in the same manner. This the Archbishop, being then disabled by the gout, sent by one of the Bishops to the Queen, for her Assent (*Approbation*, p. 1194); who promised to ‘ consider of it.’ At their (the Convocation’s) meeting next winter, no answer being come from the Queen, two Bishops were sent to *ask* it, and to receive her Majesty’s pleasure in it; but she could not tell what was become of the paper the Archbishop had sent her. So an extract of the Censure was again sent to her; but she *thought not fit* to send any answer to it. So Whiston’s affair slept, and all farther proceedings against him were stopped, since the Queen did *not confirm* the step that we had made.’ . . . Upon her Majesty’s *thoughts* the issue of this great affair is seen absolutely to depend . . . her learned

in their own proper persons, are by judicial sentence to decide the questions which do rise about matters of Faith and Christian Religion: that Kings may excommunicate: finally, that Kings may do whatsoever is incident unto the office and duty of an Ecclesiastical Judge. Which opinion, because we account as absurd as they who have fathered the same upon us, we do them to wit, that this our meaning is and no otherwise, There is not within this Realm an Ecclesiastical Officer, that may, by the Authority of his own place, Command universally throughout the King's dominions: but they of this people whom one may command, are to another's commandment unsubject: only the King's royal Power is of so large a compass, that no man commanded by him according to the order of Law, can plead himself to be without the bounds and limits of that Authority: I say, according to order of Law, because that with us the Highest have thereunto so tied themselves, that otherwise than so, they take not upon them to command any. And, that Kings should be in such sort supreme Commanders over all men, we hold it as requisite, as well for the ordering of Spiritual as Civil affairs; inasmuch as without universal Authority in this kind, they should not be able when need is, to do as virtuous Kings have done. "Joash, purposing to renew the House of the Lord, assembled the Priests and Levites; and when they were together, gave them their charge, saying, Go out unto the cities of Judah, and gather of Israel money to repair the House of God from year to year, and haste the things: but the Levites hastened not. Therefore the King called Jehoiada, the Chief, and said unto him, Why hast thou not required of the Levites,"

Bishops and Clergy thought Mr. Whiston's writings contained 'several damnable and blasphemous assertions against the doctrine and worship of the ever blessed Trinity; and earnestly beseech all Christian people, by the mercies of Christ, to take heed how they give ear to these false doctrines,' and judged them to deserve a public and solemn censure: her Majesty thought otherwise. . . . What improvements are here made, by the wisdom of later ages, in the primitive Apostolic plan! Behold the 'Woman' now empowered not only 'to teach,' but 'to usurp authority over the man;' over all the Archbishops, Bishops, and Priests of this Realm; to vacate their most solemn Censures; to quash and stop at once their Spiritual proceedings in an affair where blasphemous doctrines and damnable and wicked errors were bringing danger of everlasting ruin to souls over whom they watched! See here, the two scales that are to try doctrines and opinions in this holy apostolic Church! In one is laid the united judgment of all the Bishops and Clergy in Convocation convened; in the other, the Queen's alone: lo, the former mounts and kicks the beam! The single judgment of the Queen in the balance of the Church, weighs more than that of all the learned Bishops and Priests of the Realm." TowGOOD's *Dissent, fully justified*, p. 25—27, and 254—257. Edit. 1804. 12mo.]

to bring in out of Judah and Jerusalem, the tax of Moses the servant of the Lord, and of the congregation of Israel, for the Tabernacle of the testimony? For wicked Athaliah and her children brake up the House of the Lord God, and all the things that were dedicated for the House of the Lord did they bestow upon Baalim. Therefore the King commanded, and they made a chest, and set it at the gate of the House of the Lord without; and they made a proclamation through Judah and Jerusalem, to bring unto the Lord the tax of Moses the servant of the Lord, laid upon Israel in the wilderness." Could either he have done this, or after him Ezechias the like concerning the celebration of the Passover, but that all sorts of men in all things did owe unto these their Sovereign Rulers the same obedience which sometimes Joshua had them by vow and promise bound unto? "Whosoever shall rebel against thy commandments, and will not obey thy words in all thou commandest him, let him be put to death; only be strong and of a good courage." Furthermore, Judgment Ecclesiastical we say is necessary for decision of controversies rising between man and man, and for correction of faults committed in the affairs of God: unto the due execution whereof there are three things necessary, Laws, Judges, and Supreme Governors of Judgments. What Courts there shall be, and what causes shall belong unto each Court, and what Judges shall determine of every cause, and what order in all judgments shall be kept; of those things the Laws have sufficiently disposed, so that his duty who sitteth in any such Court is to judge, not of, but after, the same Law; "*Imprimis illud observare debet Judex, ne aliter judicet quam Legibus, Constitutionibus, aut Moribus proditum est, ut Imperator Justinianus;*" which Laws (for we mean the positive Laws of our Realm concerning Ecclesiastical affairs) if they otherwise dispose of any such thing than according to the Law of Reason and of God, we must both acknowledge them to be amiss, and endeavour to have them reformed: but touching that point, what may be objected shall after appear. Our Judges in causes Ecclesiastical are either Ordinary or Commissionary: Ordinary, those whom we term Ordinaries; and such, by the Laws of this Land, are none but Prelates only, whose Power to do that which they do is in themselves, and

2 Chron.
xxx. 6.

Josh.
i. 18.

Inst.
de Offic.
Jud.

belonging to the nature of their Ecclesiastical calling. In spiritual causes, a Lay-person may be no Ordinary; a Commissioner Judge there is no let but that he may be; and that our Laws do evermore refer the ordinary judgment of spiritual causes unto spiritual persons, such as are termed Ordinaries, no man which knoweth any [thing] of the practice of this Realm can easily be ignorant. Now besides them which are authorized to judge in several Territories, there is required an universal Power which reacheth over all, imparting Supreme Authority of Government over Courts, all Judges, all Causes; the operation of which Power is as well to strengthen, maintain, and uphold particular Jurisdictions, which haply might else be of small effect; as also to remedy that which they are not able to help, and to redress that wherein they at any time do otherwise than they ought to do. This Power being sometime in the Bishop of Rome, who by sinister practices had drawn it into his hands, was for just considerations by public consent annexed unto the King's royal Seat and Crown; from thence the authors of Reformation would translate it into their National Assemblies or Synods; which Synods are the only helps that they think lawful to use against such evils in the Church, as particular Jurisdictions are not sufficient to redress. In which cause our Laws have provided, that the King's Supereminent Authority and Power shall serve: as namely, when the whole Ecclesiastical State, or the principal persons therein, do need visitation and reformation; when, in any part of the Church, errors, schisms, heresies, abuses, offences, contempts, enormities, are grown; which men in their several Jurisdictions either do not, or cannot help. Whatsoever any Spiritual Authority and Power (such as Legates from the See of Rome did sometimes exercise) hath done or might heretofore have done for the remedy of those evils in lawful sort (that is to say, without the violation of the Laws of God or Nature in the deed done), as much in every degree our Laws have fully granted that the King for ever may do, not only by setting Ecclesiastical Synods on work, that the thing may be their act and the King their motion unto it, for so much perhaps the masters of Reformation will grant; but by Commissioners few or many, who having the King's Letters Patents, may in the virtue

1 ELIZ.
CAP. 1.

Machia-
vel. Hist.
Florent.
lib. i.

25 Hen.
VIII.
c. 19.

thereof execute the premises as agents in the right, not of their own peculiar and ordinary, but of his supereminent, Power. When men are wronged by inferior Judges, or have any just cause to take exception against them; their way for redress is to make their appeal; and Appeal is a present delivery of him which maketh it, out of the hands of their Power and Jurisdictions from whence it is made. Pope Alexander having sometimes the King of England at advantage, caused him, amongst other things, to agree, That as many of his Subjects as would, might have appeal to the Court of Rome. “And thus (saith one) that whereunto a mean person at this day would scorn to submit himself, so great a King was content to be subject [to]. Notwithstanding, even when the Pope (saith he) had so great Authority amongst Princes which were far off, the Romans he could not frame to obedience, nor was able to obtain that himself might abide at Rome, though promising not to meddle with other than Ecclesiastical affairs.” So much are things that terrify more feared by such as behold them aloof off than at hand. Reformers I doubt not in some Causes will admit appeals, but appeals made to their Synods; even as the Church of Rome doth allow of them so they be made to the Bishop of Rome. As for that kind of Appeal which the English Laws do approve from the Judge of any certain particular Court unto the King, as the only Supreme Governor on earth, who by his delegates may give a final definitive sentence, from which no farther appeal can be made; will their Platform allow of this? Surely, forasmuch as in that estate which they all dream of, the whole Church must be divided into Parishes, in which none can have greater or less Authority and Power than another: again, the King himself must be but as a common member in the body of his own Parish, and the causes of that only Parish must be by the Officers thereof determinable: in case the King had so much favour or preferment, as to be made one of those Officers (for otherwise by their positions he were not to meddle any more than the meanest amongst his Subjects with the judgments of any Ecclesiastical cause), how is it possible they should allow of Appeals to be made from any other abroad to the King? To receive Appeals from all other Judges, belongeth unto the highest in Power of

all, and to be in Power over all (as touching judgment in Ecclesiastical causes), this, as they think, belongeth only to Synods. Whereas therefore, with us Kings do exercise over all things, persons, and causes, supreme Power, both of voluntary and litigious Jurisdictions; so that according to the one they incite, reform, and command; according to the other, they judge universally, doing both in far other sort than such as have ordinary Spiritual Power; oppugned we are herein by some colourable shew of argument, as if to grant thus much to any Secular person it were unreasonable: “For sith it is (say they) apparent out of the Chronicles, that judgment in Church-matters pertaineth to God; seeing likewise it is evident out of the Apostle, that the High-priest is set over those matters in God’s behalf; it must needs follow that the principality or direction of the judgment of them is, by God’s Ordinance, appertaining to the High-priest, and consequently to the Ministry of the Church: and if it be by God’s Ordinance appertaining unto them, how can it be translated from them to the Civil Magistrate?” Which argument, briefly drawn into form, lieth thus; That which belongeth unto God, may not be translated unto any other but whom he hath appointed to have it in his behalf; but Principality of Judgment in Church-matters appertaineth unto God, which hath appointed the High-priest, and consequently the Ministry of the Church alone, to have it in his behalf; *ergo*, it may not from them be translated to the Civil Magistrate. The first of which propositions we grant, as also in the second that branch which ascribeth unto God Principality in Church-matters. But, that either he did appoint none but only the High-priest to exercise the said Principality for him; or that the Ministry of the Church may in reason from thence be concluded to have alone the same Principality by his appointment, these two points we deny utterly. For, concerning the High-priest, there is, first, no such Ordinance of God to be found; “Every High-priest (saith the Apostle) is taken from amongst men, and is ordained for men in things pertaining to God;” whereupon it may well be gathered, that the Priest was indeed ordained of God to have Power in things appertaining unto God. For the Apostle doth there mention the Power of offering gifts and sacrifices for sin; which kind of Power was not only given of God unto

T. C.
lib. iii.
p. 154.
2 Chron.
xix. 6.
Heb.
v. 1.

Heb.
v. 1.

Priests, but restrained unto Priests only.* The Power of Jurisdiction and ruling Authority, this also God gave them, but not them alone: for it is held, as all men know, that others of the Laity were herein joined by the Law with them. But, concerning Principality in Church-affairs (for of this our question is, and of no other), the Priest neither had it alone, nor at all but in Spiritual or Church-affairs, (as hath been already shewed) it was the Royal prerogative of Kings only. Again, though it were so, that God had appointed the High-priest to have the said Principality of Government in those matters; yet how can they who allege this, enforce thereby, that consequently the Ministry of the Church, and no other, ought to have the same, when they are so far off from allowing so much to the Ministry of the Gospel, as the Priesthood of the Law had by God's appointment. That we but collecting thereout a difference in Authority and Jurisdiction amongst the Clergy, to be for the Polity of the Church not inconvenient; they forthwith think to close up our mouths by answering, "That the Jewish High-priests had Authority above the rest, only in that they prefigured the Sovereignty of Jesus Christ; as for the Ministers of the Gospel, it is altogether unlawful to give them as much as the least title, any syllable whereof may sound to Principality." And of the Regency which may be granted, they hold others even of the Laity no less capable than the Pastors themselves. How shall these things cleave together? The truth is, that they have some reason to think it not all of the fittest for Kings to sit as ordinary Judges in matters of Faith and Religion. An ordinary Judge must be of the quality which in a supreme Judge is not necessary: because the person of the one is charged with that which the other's Authority dischargeth, without employing personally himself therein. It is an error to think, that the King's Authority can have no force nor power in the doing of that which himself may not personally do. For first, impossible it is that at one and the same time, the King in Person should order so many and so different affairs, as by his Power every where present are wont

* ["To the place in Heb. v. 1, he (Dr. W.) answereth, that the Apostle declareth that those things are to 'offer gifts,' &c., which is nothing worth; for the proposition is general, whereupon the Apostle concludeth so much as served for the present purpose: otherwise you may as well say, that it belonged not to the High-priest to preach, because the Apostle mentioneth not that part of his office in that place." T. C. lib. iii. p. 153.]

to be ordered both in peace and at war, at home and abroad. Again, the King in regard of his Nonage or Minority may be unable to perform that thing wherein years of discretion are requisite for personal action; and yet this Authority even then be of force. For which cause we say, that the King's Authority dieth not, but is, and worketh, always alike. Sundry considerations there may be effectual to withhold the King's Person from being a doer of that which notwithstanding his Power must give force unto, even in Civil affairs; where nothing doth more either concern the duty, or better beseem the majesty of Kings, than personally to administer Justice to their people (as most famous Princes have done): yet if it be in case of Felony or Treason, the Learned in the Laws of this Realm do affirm, that well may the King commit his Authority to another to judge between him and the offender; but the King being himself there a party, he cannot personally sit to give judgment.*

Stamf.
Pleas
of the
Crown,
lib. ii.
c. 3.

As therefore the Person of the King may, for just considerations, even where the cause is Civil, be notwithstanding withdrawn from occupying the Seat of Judgment, and others under his Authority be fit, he unfit himself to judge; so the considerations for which it were haply not convenient for Kings to sit and give sentence in Spiritual Courts, where causes Ecclesiastical are usually debated, can be no bar to that force and efficacy which their sovereign Power hath over those very Consistories, and for which we hold, without any exception, that all Courts are the King's. All men are not for all things sufficient, and therefore public affairs being divided, such persons must be authorized Judges in each kind, as common reason may presume to be most fit: which cannot of Kings and Princes ordinarily be presumed in causes merely Ecclesiastical; so that even common sense doth rather adjudge this burthen unto other men. We see it hereby a thing necessary, to put a difference, as well between that Ordinary Jurisdiction which belongeth to the Clergy alone, and that Commissionary wherein others are for just considerations appointed to join with them; as also between both these Jurisdictions, and a third, whereby the King hath transcendent Authority, and that in all causes

* [At this place, the Edition of the Sixth and Eighth Books, pub. in 1648, concludes with the word "FINIS." The rest of this Book was first printed in Gauden's Ed. of 1662.]

over both. Why this may not lawfully be granted unto him there is no reason. A time there was when Kings were not capable of any such Power, as, namely, when they professed themselves open enemies unto Christ and Christianity. A time there followed, when they, being capable, took sometimes more, sometimes less, to themselves, as seemed best in their own eyes, because no certainty, touching their right, was as yet determined. The Bishops, who alone were before accustomed to have the orderings of such affairs, saw very just cause of grief, when the Highest, favouring Heresy, withstood, by the strength of Sovereign Authority, Religious proceedings. Whereupon they oftentimes, against this unresistible Power, pleaded the use and custom which had been to the contrary; namely, that the affairs of the Church should be dealt in by the Clergy, and by no other; unto which purpose, the sentences that then were uttered, in defence of unabolished Orders and Laws, against such as did of their own heads contrary thereunto, are now altogether impertinently brought in opposition against them, who use but that Power which Laws have given them, unless men can shew that there is in those Laws some manifest iniquity or injustice. Whereas therefore against the force Judicial and Imperial, which supreme Authority hath, it is alleged, how Constantine termeth Church-officers, "Overseers of things within the Church; himself, of those without the Church;" how Augustine witnesseth, "that the Emperor not daring to judge of the Bishop's cause, committed it to the Bishops;" and "was to crave pardon of the Bishops, for that by the Donatists' importunity, which made no end of appealing unto him, he was, being weary of them, drawn to give sentence in a matter of theirs;"* how Hilary "beseecheth the Emperor Constance to provide that the Governors of his Provinces should not presume to take upon them the judgment of Ecclesiastical causes, to whom only Commonwealth-matters belonged;"+ how Ambrose affirmeth, "that Palaces belong unto the Emperor, Churches to the Minister; that the Emperor hath the Authority over the common walls of the city, and not in holy things;‡ for which cause he never would yield to have the causes of the Church debated in the Prince's Consistories, but excused

T. C.
lib. iii.
p. 155.

Euseb.
de Vita
Const.
lib. iv.
Aug.
ep. 162.

ep. 166.

Hilar.
lib. i.
c. 1.

Ambros.
Lib. v.
ep. 33.

* [T. C. lib. iii. p. 163.]

† [T. C. lib. iii. p. 155.]

‡ [T. C. lib. iii. p. 156.]

himself to the Emperor Valentinian, for that being convened to answer concerning Church-matters in a Civil Court, he came not;”* we may by these testimonies drawn from antiquity, if we list to consider them, discern how requisite it is that Authority should always follow received Laws in the manner of proceeding. For, inasmuch as there was at the first no certain Law determining what force the principal Civil Magistrate's Authority should be of, how far it should reach, and what order it should observe; but Christian Emperors from time to time did what themselves thought most reasonable in those affairs; by this means it cometh to pass that they in their practice vary, and are not uniform. Virtuous Emperors, such as Constantine the Great was, made conscience to swerve unnecessarily from the custom which had been used in the Church, even when it lived under Infidels; Constantine, of Reverence to Bishops and their spiritual Authority, rather abstained from that which himself might lawfully do, than was willing to claim a Power not fit or decent for him to exercise.† The Order which hath been before, he ratifieth, exhorting the Bishops to look to the Church, and promising that he would do the office of a Bishop over the Commonwealth;‡ which very Constantine notwithstanding, did not thereby so renounce all Authority in judging of special causes, but that sometime he took, as St. Augustine witnesseth, even personal cognition of them; howbeit, whether as purposing to give therein judicially any sentence, I stand in doubt.§ For if the other, of whom St. Augustine elsewhere speaketh, did in such sort judge, surely there was cause why he should excuse it as a thing not usually done. Otherwise there is no let, but that any such great person may hear those causes to and fro debated, and deliver in the end his own opinion of them, declaring on which side himself doth judge that

* [T. C. lib. iii. p. 161.]

† [See Note §, p. 304.]

‡ [“ The word Bishop is taken sometimes generally, for any Overseer; and not only for the Church Minister. In which respect Constantine calleth himself a Bishop, but putteth a manifest difference between his Bishopric and theirs: namely, that the Church officers were Bishops and Overseers ‘ of things within the Church, and he Bishop or Overseer of those that were without the Church;’ (Euseb. de vit. Const. lib. iv.); whereby he clearly also establisheth the distinction of the Church and Commonwealth under a Christian Prince.” T. C. lib. iii. p. 155.]

§ [“ Constantine although but a Catechumen, plays the Doctor! ... In Ecclesiastic Affairs he took something more upon himself than might befit a Prince that was a Laic; the Bishops permitting him in all things, and rejoicing greatly with themselves, because they saw an Emperor a Christian.” VALES. in Euseb. *Vit. Const.* lib. iii. c. 51.]

the truth is. But this kind of sentence bindeth no side to stand thereunto; it is a sentence of private persuasion, and not of solemn Jurisdiction, albeit a King or an Emperor pronounce it. Again, on the contrary part, when Governors infected with Heresy were possessed of the highest Power, they thought they might use it as pleased themselves, to further by all means that opinion which they desired should prevail; they, not respecting at all what was meet, presumed to command and judge all men in all causes, without either care of orderly proceeding, or regard to such Laws and Customs as the Church had been wont to observe. So that the one sort feared to do even that which they might; and that which the other ought not, they boldly presumed upon: the one sort of modesty excused themselves where they scarce needed; the other, though doing that which was inexcusable, bare it out with main power, not enduring to be told by any man how far they roved beyond their bounds. So great odds was between them whom before we mentioned, and such as the younger Valentinian, by whom St. Ambrose being commanded to yield up one of the Churches under him unto the Arians, whereas they which were sent on his message alleged, That the Emperor did but use his own right, forasmuch as all things were in his Power; the answer which the holy Bishop gave them was, "That the Church is the House of God, and that those things that are God's are not to be yielded up, and disposed of at the Emperor's will and pleasure; his Palaces he might grant to whomsoever he pleaseth, but God's own habitation not so." A cause why many times Emperors do more by their absolute Authority than could very well stand with reason, was the over-great importunity of wicked Heretics, who, being enemies to peace and quietness, cannot otherwise than by violent means be supported.

In this respect therefore we must needs think the state of our own Church much better settled than theirs was; because our Laws have with far more certainty prescribed bounds unto each kind of Power. All decision of things doubtful, and corrections of things amiss, are proceeded in by order of Law, what person soever he be unto whom the administration of judgment belongeth. It is neither permitted unto Prelates nor Prince to judge and determine at their own discretion, but Law hath prescribed what both

shall do. What Power the King hath, he hath it by Law, the bounds and limits of it are known; the intire community giveth general order by Law, how all things publicly are to be done, and the King, as the Head thereof, the Highest in Authority over all, causeth, according to the same Law, every particular to be framed and ordered thereby.* The whole Body politic maketh Laws, which Laws give Power unto the King; and the King having bound himself to use according unto Law that Power, it so falleth out, that the execution of the one is accomplished by the other in most religious and peaceable sort. There is no cause given unto any to make supplication, as Hilary did, That Civil Governors, to whom Commonwealth-matters only belong, may not presume to take upon them the judgment of Ecclesiastical causes. If the cause be Spiritual, Secular Courts do not meddle with it: we need not excuse ourselves with Ambrose, but boldly and lawfully we may refuse to answer before any Civil Judge in a matter which is not Civil, so that we do not mistake either the nature of the cause or of the Court, as we easily may do both, without some better direction than can be by the Rules of this new-found Discipline. But of this most certain we are, that our Laws do neither suffer a Spiritual Court to entertain those causes which by the Law are Civil; nor yet, if the matter be indeed Spiritual, a mere Civil Court to give judgment of it.† Touching Supreme Power, therefore, to Command all men, and in all manner of causes of Judgment to be highest, let thus much suffice as well for declaration of our own meaning, as for defence of the truth therein.

The cause is not like when such Assemblies are gathered together by supreme Authority concerning other affairs of the Church, and when they meet about the making of Ecclesiastical Laws or Statutes. For in the one they are

* [See BAXTER, § 23, *ut sup.* p. 266.]

† See the Statutes of Edw. I. and Edw. II. and Nat. Brev. touching Prohibition. See also in Bracton these sentences, lib. v. cap. 2. “Est jurisdictio ordinaria quædam delegata, quæ pertinet ad Sacerdotium, et Forum Ecclesiasticum, sicut in causis Spiritualibus et Spiritualitati annexis. Est etiam alia jurisdictio ordinaria vel delegata, quæ pertinet ad Coronam, et Dignitatem Regis, et ad Regnum in causis et placitis rerum temporalium in Foro Seculari.” Again: “Cum diversæ sint hinc inde jurisdictiones, et diversi judices, et diversæ causæ, debet quilibet ipsorum imprimis æstimare, an sua sit jurisdictio, ne falcem videatur ponere in messem alienam.” Again: “Non pertinet ad Regem injungere pœnitentias, nec ad Judicem Secularem; nec etiam ad eos pertinet cognoscere de iis, quæ sunt Spiritualibus annexa, sicut de Decimis et aliis Ecclesiæ proventionibus.” Again: “Non est Laicus conveniendus coram Judice Ecclesiastico de aliquo, quod in Foro Seculari terminari possit et debeat.”

only to advise, in the other to decree. The persons which are of the one, the King doth voluntarily assemble, as being in respect of quality fit to consult withal; them which are of the other he calleth by prescript of Law, as having right to be thereunto called. Finally, the one are but themselves, and their sentence hath but the weight of their own judgment; the other represent the whole Clergy, and their voices are as much as if all did give personal verdict. Now the question is, Whether the Clergy alone so assembled ought to have the whole Power of making Ecclesiastical Laws, or else consent of the Laity may thereunto be made necessary, and the King's assent so necessary that his sole denial may be of force to stay them from being Laws.

What
Laws
may be
made for
the af-
fairs of
the
Church,
and to
whom
the
Power
of
making
them ap-
pertain-
eth.

Deut.
xii. 32.
iv. 2.
Josh.
i. 7.

Thom.
lib. ii.
quæa.
108.
art. 2.

8. If they with whom we dispute were uniform, strong, and constant in that which they say, we should not need to trouble ourselves about their Persons to whom the power of making Laws for the Church belongs. For they are sometimes very vehement in contention, That from the greatest thing unto the least about the Church, all must needs be immediately from God. And to this they apply the pattern of the ancient Tabernacle which God delivered unto Moses, and was therein so exact, that there was not left as much as the least pin for the wit of man to devise in the framing of it. To this they also apply that strait and severe charge which God so often gave concerning his own Law, "Whatsoever I command ye, take heed ye do it; thou shalt put nothing thereto, thou shalt take nothing from it;" nothing, whether it be great or small. Yet sometimes bethinking themselves better, they speak as acknowledging that it doth suffice to have received in such sort the principal things from God, and that for other matters the Church had sufficient Authority to make Laws. Whereupon they now have made it a question, What Persons they are whose right it is to take order for the Church's affairs, when the institution of any new thing therein is requisite? Law may be requisite to be made, either concerning things that are only to be known and believed in, or else touching that which is to be done by the Church of God. The Law of Nature and the Law of God are sufficient for declaration in both what belongeth unto each man separately, as his soul is the spouse of Christ; yea, so

sufficient, that they plainly and fully shew whatsoever God doth require by way of necessary introduction unto the state of everlasting bliss. But as a man liveth joined with others in common society, and belongeth to the outward politic Body of the Church, albeit the same Law of Nature and Scripture have in this respect also made manifest the things that are of greatest necessity; nevertheless, by reason of new occasions still arising, which the Church, having care of souls, must take order for as need requireth, hereby it cometh to pass, that there is, and ever will be, so great use even of Human Laws and Ordinances, deducted by way of discourse as a conclusion from the former Divine and Natural, serving as principles thereunto. No man doubteth, but that for matters of action and practice in the affairs of God, for manner in Divine Service, for order in Ecclesiastical proceedings about the Regiment of the Church, there may be oftentimes cause very urgent to have Laws made; but the reason is not so plain, wherefore Human Laws should appoint men what to believe. Wherefore in this we must note two things: i. That in matters of Opinion, the Law doth not make [that] to be Truth which before was not, as in matter of action it causeth that to be a duty which was not before; but manifesteth only and giveth men notice of that to be truth, the contrary whereunto they ought not before to have believed. ii. That Opinions do cleave to the understanding, and are in heart assented unto; it is not in the power of any Human Law to command them, because to prescribe what men shall Think belongeth only unto God: “Corde creditur, ore fit Confessio,” saith the Apostle. ^[Rom. x. 10.] As Opinions are either fit or inconvenient to be professed, so man’s Law has to determine of them. It may, for public unity’s sake, require men’s professed Assent, or prohibit their contradiction to special Articles, wherein, as there haply hath been controversy what is true, so the same were like to continue still, not without grievous detriment unto a number of souls, except Law, to remedy that evil, should set down a certainty which no man afterwards is to gainsay. Wherefore, as in regard of Divine Laws, which the Church receiveth from God, we may unto every man apply those words of wisdom in Solomon, “My son, keep thou thy father’s Precepts; Conserva, fili mi, Præcepta patris tui:” ^{Prov. vi. 20.} even so concerning the Statutes and Ordinances which

the Church itself makes, we may add thereunto the words that follow, “ Et ne demittas Legem matris tuæ, And forsake thou not thy mother’s Law.”*

It is a thing even undoubtedly natural, that all free and independent Societies should themselves make their own Laws, and that this Power should belong to the whole, not to any certain part of a politic Body,† though happily some one part may have greater sway in that action than the rest; which thing being generally fit and expedient in the making of all Laws, we see no cause why to think otherwise in Laws concerning the Service of God, which in all well-ordered States and Commonwealths is the first thing that Law hath care to provide for.‡ When we speak of the right which naturally belongeth to a Commonwealth, we speak of that which must needs belong to the Church of God. For if the Commonwealth be Christian, if the people which are of it do publicly embrace the true Religion, this very thing doth make it the Church, as hath been shewed. So that unless the verity and purity of Religion do take from them which embrace it, that Power wherewith otherwise they are possessed; look, what Authority, as touching Laws for Religion, a Commonwealth hath simply, it must of necessity, being—Christian Religion.

It will be therefore perhaps alleged, That a part of the verity of Christian Religion is to hold the Power of making Ecclesiastical Laws a thing appropriated unto the Clergy in their Synods; and that whatsoever is by their only voices agreed upon, it needeth no further approbation to give unto it the strength of a Law, as may plainly appear by the Canons of that first most venerable Assembly; where, those things the Apostles and James§ had concluded, were afterwards published and imposed upon the Churches of the

Acts
xv. 7.
13—23.

* [“ Among Christians of every denomination, an affectionate union of hearts is a far more important object than a *visionary attempt* to produce Uniformity of Religious Opinion, and far more characteristic of every real disciple of Christ.” Dr. BATHURST, Bishop of Norwich, May 2, 1820. See *Sixteenth Report of the British and Foreign Bible Society*. 1820. 8vo. p. 221.]

† [See BAXTER, § 20, *ut sup.*]

‡ Δεῖ τὸν νόμον τὰ περὶ Θεοῦ καὶ δαίμονας καὶ γονέας, καὶ ὅλων τὰ καλὰ ἢ τίμια, πρῶτα τίθεσθαι· δεύτερον δὲ τὰ συμφέροντα· τὰ γὰρ μικρὰ τοῖς μείζουσιν ἀκολουθεῖν προσήκει
Archit. de Leg. et Instit. That is, It behoveth the Law first to establish or settle those things which belong to the gods, and divine powers, and to our parents, and universally those things which be virtuous and honourable: in the second place, those things that be convenient and profitable; for it is fit that matters of the less weight should come after the greater.

§ [See p. 99, Note *.]

Gentiles abroad as Laws, the records thereof remaining still in the Book of God for a testimony, that the Power of making Ecclesiastical Laws belongeth to the successors of the Apostles, the Bishops and Prelates of the Church of God.*

To this we answer, That the Council of Jerusalem is no argument for the Power of the Clergy to make Laws. For first, There hath not been sithence any Council of like Authority to that in Jerusalem: secondly, The cause why that was of such Authority came by a special accident: thirdly, The reason why other Councils being not like unto that in nature, the Clergy in them should have no Power to make Laws by themselves alone, is in truth so forcible, that except some commandment of God to the contrary can be shewed, it ought notwithstanding the aforesaid example to prevail. The Decrees of the Council of Jerusalem were not as the Canons of other Ecclesiastical Assemblies, human, but very Divine Ordinances: for which cause the Churches were far and wide commanded every where to see them kept, no otherwise than if Christ himself had personally on earth been the author of them. The cause why that Council was of so great Authority and Credit above all others which have been sithence, is expressed in those words of principal observation, “Unto the Holy Ghost Acts xv. 28. and to us it hath seemed good:” which form of speech, though other Councils have likewise used, yet neither could they themselves mean, nor may we so understand them, as if both were in equal sort assisted with the Power of the Holy Ghost; but the latter had the favour of that general Matt. xvi. 18. xxviii. 20. assistance and presence which Christ doth promise unto all his, according to the quality of their several estates and

* [“In ascribing unto the Ministry the decision of matters in controversy, and the making of Church Ceremonies, our meaning is not utterly to seclude the Magistrate. For when experience teacheth us, that oftentimes a simple man, and, as the proverb saith, *The Gardener* hath spoken to good purpose (Ruff. lib. i. c. 3.); but especially when in the Holy Scripture, the Old Testament and the New (2 Chron. xxx. 2, 4, 24. Acts xi. 2—4. xv. 22. xxi. 22.); and, thirdly, when in the Ecclesiastical writers it is found, that there have been of the People admitted unto these consultations; when, further, it is found, that they have had their consent there, and sometime also their speech (Concil. Vol. I. in præf. 1 Conc. Carthag. Ambrose, lib. v. Ep. 32. Jewel Def. of the Apol. Part VI. chap. iii. divis. 3.); with far greater reason may the Christian Magistrate both be assistant, and have his voice in such assemblies. That, then, which we give unto the Ministry in such Church consultations which are not of the daily ministry, as Synods be, is both a fore-consultation, as we see to have been done in the Scripture, Acts xxi. 18, to the end that the matter being digested, and as it were cut out and prepared aforehand, it might be the better handled in a fuller assembly, as also the direction and moderation of that Meeting, where these matters are defined and concluded of.” T. C. lib. iii. p. 167.]

2 Cor.
iii. 3—6.

callings ; the former, the grace of special, miraculous, rare, and extraordinary illumination, in relation whereunto the Apostle, comparing the Old Testament and the New together, termeth the one a Testament of the Letter, for that God delivered it written in stone ; the other, a Testament of the Spirit, because God imprinted it in the hearts, and declared it by the tongues of his chosen Apostles, through the power of the Holy Ghost, feigning [*sic*] both their conceits and speeches in most divine and incomprehensible manner. Wherefore, inasmuch as the Council of Jerusalem did chance to consist of men so enlightened, it had Authority greater than were meet for any other Council besides to challenge, wherein such kind of persons are, as now the state of the Church doth stand ; Kings being not then that which now they are, and the Clergy not now that which then they were. Till it be proved that some special Law of Christ hath for ever annexed unto the Clergy alone the Power to make Ecclesiastical Laws, we are to hold it a thing most consonant with equity and reason, that no Ecclesiastical Laws be made in a Christian Commonwealth, without consent as well of the Laity as of the Clergy, but least of all without consent of the Highest Power.

Cap.
delicta
de ex-
cess.
Præla-
tor L.
per fun-
dum
Rusti-
cor.
præd. et
sect. Re-
ligiosum
de rerum
divis.

For of this thing no man doubteth, namely, That in all Societies, Companies, and Corporations, what severally each shall be bound unto, it must be with all their assents ratified. Against all equity it were, that a man should suffer detriment at the hands of men, for not observing that which he never did, either by himself or by others, mediately or immediately, agree unto ;* much more that a King should constrain all others unto the strict observation of any such human Ordinance as passeth without his own approbation. In this case therefore especially, that vulgar axiom is of force, “ Quod omnes tangit, ab omnibus tractari et approbari debet.” Whereupon Pope Nicholas, although otherwise not admitting Lay-persons, no not Emperors themselves, to be present at Synods, doth notwithstanding seem to allow of their presence when matters of Faith are determined whereunto all men must stand bound : “ Ubinam legistis Imperatores, antecessores vestros, Synodalibus Conventibus interfuisse ; nisi forsitan in quibus de Fide tractatum est, quæ non solum

Gloss.
dist.
xcvi. c.
Ubinam.

* [See BAXTER, § 21, *ut sup.*]

ad Clericos, verum etiam ad Laicos et omnes pertinet Christianos?" A Law, be it Civil or Ecclesiastical, is a public obligation, wherein, seeing that the whole standeth charged, no reason it should pass without his privity and will, whom principally the whole doth depend upon. "Sicut Laici jurisdictionem Clericorum perturbare, ita Clerici jurisdictionem Laicorum non debent minuere," saith Innocentius; Extra de Judiciis Novit. "As the Laity should not hinder the Clergy's jurisdiction, so neither is it reason that the Laity's right should be abridged by the Clergy," saith Pope Innocent. But were it so that the Clergy alone might give Laws unto all the rest, forasmuch as every estate doth desire to enlarge the bounds of their own liberties, is it not easy to see how injurious this might prove to men of other conditions? Peace and justice are maintained by preserving unto every order their rights, and by keeping all estates, as it were, in an even balance. Which thing is no way better done, than if the King, their common parent, whose care is presumed to extend most indifferently over all, do bear the chiefest sway in making Laws which all must be ordered by. Wherefore, of them which in this point attribute most to the Clergy, I would demand, What evidence there is whereby it may clearly be shewed, that, in ancient Kingdoms Christian, any Canon devised by the Clergy alone in their Synods, whether Provincial, National, or General, hath by mere force of their agreement, taken place as a Law, making all men constrainable to be obedient thereunto, without any other approbation from the King, before or afterwards required in that behalf? But what speak we of ancient Kingdoms, when at this day, even the Papacy itself, the very Tridentine Council hath not every where as yet obtained to have in all points the strength of Ecclesiastical Laws. Did not Philip, King of Spain, publishing that Council in the Low Countries, add thereunto an express clause of special provision, that the same should in no wise prejudice, hurt, or diminish, any kind of privilege which the King or his vassals aforetime had enjoyed, touching either possessory Judgments of Ecclesiastical Livings, or concerning Nominations thereunto, or belonging to whatsoever rights they had else in such affairs? If therefore the King's exception, taken against some part of the Canons contained in that Council, were a sufficient bar to make them of none effect within [his] territories; it followeth that

Boet.
Epo.
heroic.
quæst.
lib. i.
sect.
284.

the like exception against any other part had been also of like efficacy, and so consequently that no part thereof had obtained the strength of a Law, if he which excepted against a part had so done against the whole. As, what reason was there, but that the same Authority which limited, might quite and clean have refused that Council? Whoso alloweth the said act of the Catholic King's for good and lawful, must grant that the Canons, even of General Councils, have but the face of wise men's opinions concerning that whereof they treat, till they be publicly assented unto, where they are to take place as Laws; and that, in giving such public assent as maketh a Christian Kingdom subject unto those Laws, the King's Authority is the chiefest. That which an University of men, a Company or Corporation, doth, without consent of their Rector, is as nothing. Except, therefore, we make the King's Authority over the Clergy less in the greatest things, than the Power of the meanest Governor is in all things over the College or Society which is under him; how should we think it a matter decent, that the Clergy should impose Laws, the Supreme Governor's assent not asked?

[Of Ex-
emption
from
being
punish-
able.]

9. Yea, that which is more, the Laws thus made, God himself doth in such sort authorize, that to despise them, is to despise in them, him. It is a loose and licentious opinion, which the Anabaptists have embraced, holding That a Christian man's liberty is lost, and the soul which Christ hath redeemed unto himself injuriously drawn into servitude under the yoke of human Power, if any Law be now imposed besides the Gospel of Christ; in obedience whereunto the Spirit of God, and not the constraint of men, is to lead us, according to that of the blessed Apostle, "Such as are led by the Spirit of God, they are the sons of God," and not such as live in thralldom unto men. Their judgment is, therefore, that the Church of Christ should admit of no Lawmakers but the Evangelists, no Courts but Presbyteries, no Punishments but Ecclesiastical censures. As against this sort, we are to maintain the use of Human Laws, and the continual necessity of making them from time to time, as long as this present world doth last; so likewise the Authority of Laws so made doth need much more by us to be strengthened against another sort, who, although they do not utterly condemn the making of Laws in the Church, yet make they a deal less account of them than they should do.

[Rom.
viii. 14.]

There are which think simply of Human Laws, that they can in no sort touch the conscience: that to break and transgress them cannot make men in the sight of God culpable, as Sin doth; only when we violate such Laws, we do thereby make ourselves obnoxious unto external punishment in this world, so that the Magistrate may, in regard of such offence committed, justly correct the offender, and cause him, without injury, to endure such pains as Law doth appoint, but further it reacheth not. For first, the Conscience is the proper Court of God, the guiltiness thereof is sin, and the punishment eternal death; men are not able to make any Law that shall command the heart, it is not in them to make inward conceit a crime, or to appoint for any crime other punishment than corporal; their Laws, therefore, can have no power over the soul, neither can the heart of man be polluted by transgressing them. St. Augustine rightly defineth Sin to be that which is spoken, done, or desired, not against any Laws, but against the Law of the living God. The Law of God is proposed unto man, as a glass wherein to behold the stains and the spots of their sinful souls: by it they are to judge themselves, and when they feel themselves to have transgressed against it, then to bewail their offences with David, "Against thee only, O Lord, have I ^[Psalm. li. 4.] sinned, and done wickedly in thy sight;" that so our present tears may extinguish the flames, which otherwise we are to feel, and which God in that day shall condemn the wicked unto, when they shall render accompt of the evil which they have done, not by violating Statute-Laws and Canons, but by disobedience unto his Law and his Word.

For our better instruction, therefore, concerning this point, first, we must note, that the Law of God itself doth require at our hands Subjection. "Be ye subject," saith St. Peter; ^{1 Pet. v. 5.} and St. Paul, "Let every soul be subject; subject all unto ^{Rom. xiii. 1.} such Powers as are set over us." For if such as are not set over us require our Subjection, we by denying it are not disobedient to the Law of God, or undutiful unto higher Powers; because, though they be such in regard of them over whom they have lawful Dominion, yet having not so over us, unto us they are not such.* Subjection, therefore,

* "Verum ac proprium civis a peregrino discrimen est, quod alter imperio ac potestate civili obligatur; alter jussa Principis alieni respuere potest. Illum Princeps ab hostium æque ac civium injuria tueri tenetur; hunc non item nisi rogatus et humanitatis officiis impulsus," saith Bodin. de Rep. lib. i. cap. 6. non multum a fine p. 61. edit. Lugd. in fol. 1586.

[Matt.
xxiii. 3.][Rom.
xiii. 1.]

we owe, and that by the Law of God; we are in conscience bound to yield it even unto every of them that hold the Seats of Authority and Power in relation unto us. Howbeit, not all kinds of Subjection unto every such kind of Power. Concerning Scribes and Pharisees, our Saviour's precept was, "Whatsoever they shall tell ye, do it:" was it his meaning, that if they should at any time enjoin the people to levy an army, or to sell their lands and goods for the furtherance of so great an enterprize; and, in a word, that simply whatsoever it were which they did command, they ought, without any exception, forthwith to be obeyed? No, but whatsoever they shall tell you, must be understood *in pertinentibus ad Cathedram*, it must be construed with limitation, and restrained unto things of that kind which did belong to their Place and Power: for they had not Power general, absolutely given them to command all things. The reason why we are bound in conscience to be subject unto all such power is, because all "Powers are of God." They are of God either instituting or permitting them. Power is then of Divine institution, when either God himself doth deliver, or men by light of Nature find out, the kind thereof. So that the Power of parents over children, and of husbands over their wives, the Power of all sorts of superiors, made by consent of Commonwealths within themselves, or grown from agreement amongst Nations, such Power is of God's own institution in respect of the kind thereof. Again, if respect be had unto those particular persons to whom the same is derived, if they either receive it immediately from God, as Moses and Aaron did; or from Nature, as parents do; or from men by a natural and orderly course, as every Governor appointed in any Commonwealth, by the orders thereof, doth; then is not the kind of their Power only of God's instituting, but the derivation thereof also into their Persons, is from him. He hath placed them in their Rooms, and doth term them his Ministers; Subjection, therefore, is due unto all such Powers, inasmuch as they are of God's own institution, even then when they are of Man's creation, *omni humanæ creaturæ*: which things the Heathens themselves do acknowledge.

Σκηπτούχος βασιλεὺς, ὥτε Ζεὺς κῦδος ἔδωκεν.*

* A sceptre-swaying King, to whom even Jupiter himself hath given honour and commandment. Hom. Il. lib. A. v. 279.

As for them that exercise Power altogether against Order, although the kind of power which they have may be of God, yet is their exercise thereof against God, and therefore not of God, otherwise than by permission, as all injustice is.*

Touching such Acts as are done by that Power which is according to his institution, that God in like sort doth authorize them, and account them to be his; though it were not confessed, it might be proved undeniably. For if that be accounted our deed, which others do, whom we have appointed to be our agents, how should God but approve those deeds, even as his own, which are done by virtue of that commission and power which he hath given. “Take heed (saith Jehoshaphat unto his Judges), be careful and circumspect what ye do; ye do not execute the judgments of man, but of the Lord.” The Authority of Cæsar over the Jews, from whence was it? Had it any other ground than the Law of Nations, which maketh Kingdoms, subdued by just war, to be subject unto their conquerors? By this Power Cæsar exacting tribute, our Saviour confesseth it to be his right, a right which could not be withheld without injury, yea disobedience herein unto him, and even rebellion against God. Usurpers of Power, whereby we do not mean them that by violence have aspired unto places of highest Authority, but them that use more Authority than they did ever receive in form and manner before mentioned† (for so they may do, whose title unto the Rooms of Authority which they possess, no man can deny to be just and lawful: even as contrariwise some men’s proceedings in Government have been very orderly, who notwithstanding did not attain to be made Governors without great violence and disorder); such usurpers‡ thereof, as in the exercise of their Power do more than they have been authorized to do, cannot in Conscience bind any man unto obedience.§

That Subjection which we owe unto lawful Powers, doth not only import that we should be under them by order of our state, but that we shew all submission towards them, both by honour and obedience. He that resisteth them, resisteth God: and resisted they be, if either the Authority

* [See BAXTER, § 23, *ut sup.* and Part II. *Cases of Conscience*, Quest. 132.]

† [See BAXTER, *ut sup.*]

‡ [See BAXTER, (chap. iii. *apud fin.*) *ut sup.* p. 266.]

§ [See BAXTER, § 23, *ut sup.* and Part II. *Cases of Consc.* Quest. 132.]

itself which they exercise be denied, as by Anabaptists all Secular Jurisdiction is; or if resistance be made but only so far forth as doth touch their persons which are invested with Power (for they which said, “*Nolumus hunc regnare*,” did not utterly exclude Regiment; nor did they wish all kind of Government clearly removed, which would not at the first have David to govern); or if that which they do by virtue of their Power, namely, their Laws, Edicts, Services, or other acts of Jurisdiction, be not suffered to take effect, contrary to the blessed Apostle’s most holy Rule, “Obey them that have the oversight of you.” Or if they do take effect, yet is not the will of God thereby satisfied neither, as long as that which we do is contemptuously or repiningly done, because we can do no otherwise. In such sort the Israelites in the Desert obeyed Moses, and were notwithstanding deservedly plagued for disobedience. The Apostle’s Precept therefore is, “Be subject even for God’s cause; be subject, not for fear, but of mere Conscience, knowing, that he which resisteth them, purchaseth to himself condemnation.” Disobedience, therefore, unto Laws which are made by Men, is not a thing of so small account as some would make it.

Heb.
xiii. 17.

[Rom.
xiii. 1—
5.]

Howbeit, too rigorous it were, that the breach of every Human Law should be held a deadly sin:* a mean there is between those extremities, if so be we can find it out.†

* [See BAXTER, § 70, *ut sup.*]

† [Bishop WARBURTON, *ut sup.* (see Note * in p. 255 of this Vol.) says, “The result of the principles contended for in this Eighth Book was this, That the Puritan principle established an *imperium in imperio*: and that Hooker’s introduced Persecution for Opinion.” He thinks it cannot be doubted that the last three Books of Hooker “are indeed of the same hand with the other five,” p. 180, *ut sup.* And in p. 243, *ibid.* he styles Hooker, “The best good man of our Order.” ANTHONY WOOD remarking on the authenticity of Hooker’s last three Books, says, “The reason why Mr. Rich. Baxter contends so earnestly for their genuineness, is because the said three Books, but more especially the Eighth, do contain certain popular and false principles, concerning the true nature of the Legislative Power, the Original of Government and the Office of Kings itself, as derived from the People. And that he may charge these destructive assertions home on our Author, he (in his Pref. to the fourth part of his Christian Directory, 1673) saith, ‘If any do causelessly question whether the Eighth (imperfect) Book be in those passages his own, let them remember that the sum of them is in his First Book, which is old, and highly honoured by the *Prelatists*.’” *Athenæ Oxon.* edit. Bliss. 1813. 4to. Vol. I. col. 697. BAXTER’S words are—“and highly honoured, by you know whom: and I will do him (Hooker) the honour, and myself the dishonour to confess, that I think the far greater number of Casuists and authors of Politics; Papists, and Protestants; are on his side, and fewest on mine.”]

TRACTS AND SERMONS.

THE TREATISES FOLLOWING.

- I. A Supplication to the Privy Council, by WALTER TRAVERS.**
- II. HOOKER'S Answer to that Supplication.**
- III. A Learned Discourse of Justification, Works, and How the Foundation of Faith is overthrown.**
- IV. A Learned Sermon of the Nature of Pride.**
- V. A Remedy against Sorrow and Fear: delivered in a Funeral Sermon.**
- VI. Of the Certainty and Perpetuity of Faith in the Elect: especially the Prophet Habakkuk's Faith.**
- VII. Two Sermons on part of St. Jude's Epistle.**
- VIII. A Sermon on Matt. vii. 7, 8.**

“ A SUPPLICATION

MADE TO THE

PRIVY COUNCIL,

BY

MASTER WALTER TRAVERS.”*

“ RIGHT HONOURABLE,

“ 1. THE manifold benefits which all the subjects within this Dominion do at this present, and have many years enjoyed under her Majesty’s most happy and prosperous reign, by your godly wisdom and careful watching over this Estate night and day, I truly and unfeignedly acknowledge, from the bottom of my heart, ought worthily to bind us all to pray continually to Almighty God for the continuance and increase of the life and good estate of your Honours, and

* [An. 1591. Printed, together with HOOKER’S Answer, at Oxford, 1612. 4to.—and, in a subsequent edition, with the following Address:—

“ To the Reader.—The pleasures of thy spacious walks in Mr. Hooker’s Temple-garden (not unfitly so called, both for the Temple whereof he was Master, and the subject, Ecclesiastical Polity) do promise acceptance to these flowers, planted and watered by the same hand, and, for thy sake, composed into this posy. Sufficiently are they commended by their fragrant smell, in the dogmatical Truth; by their beautiful colours, in the accurate Style; by their medicinable virtue, against some diseases in our neighbour Churches, now proving epidemical, and threatening farther infection; by their straight feature and spreading nature, growing from the root of Faith (which, as here is proved, can never be rooted up), and extending the branches of Charity to the covering of Noah’s nakedness; opening the windows of Hope to men’s misty conceits of their bemisted forefathers. Thus, and more than thus, do the Works commend themselves: the Workman needs a better workman to commend him, (Alexander’s picture requires Apelles his pencil); nay, he needs it not, His ‘own Works commend’ him ‘in the gates’ (Prov. xxxi. 31.); and, ‘being dead he yet speaketh’ (Heb. xi. 4.); the syllables of that memorable name, Mr. RICHARD HOOKER, proclaiming more, than if I should here style him, a painful Student, a profound Scholar, a judicious Writer, with other due titles of his honour. Receive then this posthume Orphan for his own, yea, for thine own sake; and if the Printer hath, with overmuch haste, like Mephibosheth’s nurse, lamed the child with slips and falls, yet be thou of David’s mind, ‘shew kindness’ to him for his ‘father Jonathan’s sake’ (2 Sam. ix. 7.). God grant, that the rest of his brethren be not more than lamed, and that as Saul’s three sons died the same day with him (1 Chron. x. 6.), so those three promised to perfect his Polity, with other issues of that learned brain be not buried in the grave with their renowned Father. Farewell.

W. S.”*]

* [Query, WILLIAM SOMNER? See Life of Hooker, App.]

to be ready, with all good duties, to satisfy and serve the same to our power. Besides public benefits common unto all, I must needs, and do willingly, confess myself to stand bound by most special obligation, to serve and honour you more than any other, for the honourable favour it hath pleased you to vouchsafe both oftentimes heretofore, and also now of late, in a matter more dear unto me than any earthly commodity, that is, The upholding and furthering of my service in the ministering of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. For which cause, as I have been always careful so to carry myself as I might by no means give occasion to be thought unworthy of so great a benefit, so do I still, next unto her Majesty's gracious countenance, hold nothing more dear and precious to me, than that I may always remain in your Honours' favour, which hath oftentimes been helpful and comfortable unto me in my Ministry, and to all such as reaped any fruit of my simple and faithful labour. In which dutiful regard I humbly beseech your Honours to vouchsafe to do me this grace, To conceive nothing of me otherwise than according to the duty wherein I ought to live, by any information against me, before your Honours have heard my answer, and been thoroughly informed of the matter. Which, although it be a thing that your Wisdoms, not in favour, but in justice, yield to all men, yet the state of the calling unto the Ministry, whereunto it hath pleased God of his goodness to call me, though unworthiest of all, is so subject to misinformation, as, except we may find this favour with your Honours, we cannot look for any other, but that our unindifferent parties may easily procure us to be hardly esteemed of; and that we shall be made like the poor fisher-boats in the sea, which every swelling wave and billow raketh and runneth over. Wherein my estate is yet harder than any others' of my rank and calling, who are indeed to fight against flesh and blood in what part soever of the Lord's host and field they shall stand marshalled to serve, yet many of them deal with it naked, and unfurnished of weapons: but my service was in a place where I was to encounter with it, well appointed and armed with skill and with authority; whereof, as I have always thus deserved, and therefore have been careful by all good means to entertain still your Honours' favourable respect of me, so have I special cause at this present,

wherein misinformation to the Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, and other of the High Commission, hath been able so far to prevail against me, that by their Letter they have inhibited me to preach, or execute any act of Ministry, in the Temple or elsewhere; having never once called me before them, to understand by mine answer the truth of such things as had been informed against me. We have a story in our books wherein the Pharisees, proceeding against our Saviour Christ, without having heard him, are reprov'd by an honourable Counsellor (as the Evangelist doth term him,) saying, 'Doth our Law judge a man before it hear him, and know what he hath done?' Which I do not mention, ^[John vii. 51.] to the end that by an indirect and covert speech I might so compare those who have, without ever hearing me, pronounced a heavy sentence against me; for notwithstanding such proceedings, I purpose, by God's grace, to carry myself towards them in all seeming duty, agreeable to their places: much less do I presume to liken my cause to our Saviour Christ's, who hold it my chiefest honour and happiness to serve him, though it be but among the hinds and hired servants that serve him in the basest corners of his House: but my purpose in mentioning it is, to shew, by the judgment of a prince and great man in Israel, that such proceeding standeth not with the Law of God, and in a princely pattern to shew it to be a noble part of an honourable Counsellor, not to allow of indirect dealings, but to love and affect such a course in Justice as is agreeable to the Law of God. We have also a plain rule in the Word of God, not ^{1 Tim. v. 19.} to proceed any otherwise against any Elder of the Church; much less against one that laboureth in the Word, and in ^{ver. 17.} Teaching. Which rule is delivered with this most earnest charge and obtestation, 'I beseech and charge thee in the ^{ver. 21.} sight of God, and the Lord Jesus Christ, and the elect Angels, that thou keep those (rules) without preferring one before another, doing nothing of partiality,' or inclining to either part; which Apostolical and most earnest charge, I refer it to your Honours' wisdom how it hath been regarded in so heavy a judgment against me, without ever hearing my cause; and whether, as having God before their eyes, and the Lord Jesus, by whom all former judgments shall be tried again; and, as in the presence of the elect Angels, witnesses and observers of the Regiment of the Church,

they have proceeded thus to such a Sentence. They allege indeed two reasons in their Letter, whereupon they restrain my Ministry; which, if they were as strong against me as they are supposed, yet I refer to your Honours' wisdoms, whether the quality of such an offence as they charge me with, which is in effect but an indiscretion, deserve so grievous a punishment both to the Church and me, in taking away my Ministry, and that poor little commodity which it yieldeth for the necessary maintenance of my life; if so unequal a balancing of faults and punishments should have place in the Commonwealth, surely we should shortly have no actions upon the case, nor of trespass, but all should be pleas of the Crown, nor any man amerced, or fined, but for every light offence put to his ransom. I have credibly heard, that some of the Ministry have been committed for grievous transgressions of the Laws of God and men, being of no ability to do other service in the Church than to read; yet hath it been thought charitable, and standing with Christian moderation and temperancy not to deprive such of Ministry and beneficiency, but to inflict some more tolerable punishment. Which I write not because such, as I think, were to be favoured, but to shew how unlike their dealing is with me, being through the goodness of God not to be touched with any such blame; and one, who, according to the measure of the gift of God, have laboured now some years painfully, in regard of the weak estate of my body, in preaching the Gospel, and, as I hope, not altogether unprofitably, in respect of the Church.* But I beseech your Honours to give me leave briefly to declare the particular reasons of their Letter, and what answer I have to make unto it.

2. "The first is, that, as they say, 'I am not lawfully called to the Function of the Ministry, nor allowed to preach, according to the Laws of this Church of England.'

"For answer to this, I had need to divide the points. And first to make answer to the former; wherein, leaving to shew what by the Holy Scriptures is required in a Lawful Calling, and that all that is to be found in mine, that I be not too long for your other weighty affairs, I rest in this answer:

* [See Vol. I. p. 45, Note.]

“ My Calling to the Ministry was such as, in the calling of any thereunto, is appointed to be used by the Orders agreed upon in the National Synods of the Low Countries, for the direction and guidance of their Churches; which Orders are the same with those whereby the French and Scottish Churches are governed; whereof I have shewed such sufficient Testimonial to my Lord the Archbishop of Canterbury, as is requisite in such a matter: whereby it must needs fall out, if any man be lawfully called to the Ministry in those Churches, then is my Calling, being the same with theirs, also Lawful. But I suppose, notwithstanding they use this general speech, they mean only, my Calling is not sufficient to deal in the Ministry within this Land, because I was not made Minister according to that Order, which in this cause is ordained by our Laws. Whereunto I beseech your Honours to consider thoroughly of mine answer, because exception now again is taken to my Ministry, whereas, having been heretofore called in question for it, I so answered the matter, as I continued in my Ministry, and, for any thing I discerned, looked to hear that no more would be objected unto me. The Communion of Saints (which every Christian man professeth to believe) is such, as that the acts which are done in any true Church of Christ's according to his Word, are held as Lawful, being done in one Church as in another. Which, as it holdeth in other acts of Ministry, as Baptism, Marriage, and such like, so doth it in the Calling to the Ministry; by reason whereof, all Churches do acknowledge and receive him for a Minister of the Word, who hath been lawfully called thereunto in any Church of the same profession. A Doctor created in any University of Christendom, is acknowledged sufficiently qualified to teach in any country. The Church of Rome itself, and the Canon Law holdeth it, that being ordered in Spain, they may execute that [which] belongeth to their Order, in Italy, or in any other place. And the Churches of the Gospel never made any question of it: which if they shall now begin to make doubt of, and deny such to be Lawfully called to the Ministry, as are called by another Order than our own; then may it well be looked for, that other Churches will do the like: and if a Minister called in the Low Countries be not Lawfully called in England, then may they say to our Preachers which are there, that being made by

another Order than theirs, they cannot suffer them to execute any act of Ministry amongst them; which in the end must needs breed a schism, and dangerous division in the Churches. Further, I have heard of those that are Learned in the Laws of this Land, that by express Statute to that purpose, anno 13 [Eliz.] upon subscription of the Articles agreed upon, anno 1562, that they who pretend to have been ordered by another Order than that which is now established, are of like capacity to enjoy any place of Ministry within the Land, as they that have been ordered according to that [which] is now by Law in this case established. Which comprehending manifestly all, even such as were made Priests according to the Order of the Church of Rome, it must needs be, that the Law of a Christian land, professing the Gospel, should be as favourable for a Minister of the Word, as for a Popish Priest; which also was so found in Mr. Whittingham's case, who, notwithstanding such replies against him, enjoyed still the benefit he had by his Ministry, and might have done until this day, if God had spared him life so long; which if it be understood so, and practised in others, why should the change of the person alter the right which the Law giveth to all others?*

The Place of Ministry whereunto I was called was not Representative: and if it had been so, surely they would never have presented any man whom they never knew; and the

* [Sandys, Archbishop of York, having excommunicated Whittingham, Dean of Durham, he appealed to the Queen, who directed a Commission to hear and determine the validity of his Ordination, &c. Dr. Hutton, Dean of York, boldly averred, "That Dean Whittingham was ordained in a better sort than even the Archbishop himself." Sandys being foiled by that Commission, obtained one directed to himself and some other partisans; designing to deprive Whittingham, as a Layman. On being cited before them he produced this Certificate, signed at Geneva by eight persons, "It pleased God, by the suffrages of the whole Congregation, orderly to choose Mr. W. Whittingham unto the Office of Preaching the Word of God and Ministering the Sacraments; and he was admitted Minister, and so published, with such other Ceremonies as are used and accustomed." It was objected, that there was no mention of a Bishop or Superintendent, nor of any external solemnities, nor so much as of imposition of hands; the Dean replied, "There was mention in general of the Ceremonies of that Church; and, that he was able to prove his vocation to be the same that all the Ministers of Geneva had." The Lord-President of the North then rose and said, "That he could not, in conscience, agree to deprive him for that cause only; for it would be ill taken by all the Godly and learned both at home and abroad, that we should allow of the Popish Massing-Priests in our ministry, and disallow of Ministers made in a Reformed Church!" The Commission consequently adjourned, and never resumed its design. To question such Ordination was expressly contrary to the Statute of 13th of Eliz. cap. 12, by which, the Ordination of foreign Reformed Churches was declared valid; and, those that had no other Orders were made of like capacity with others to enjoy any place of Ministry within England. Consult STRYPE's *Annals*, Vol. ii. p. 481, 519—524. Travers was ordained at Antwerp, May 8, 1578; the certificate is copied in Fuller's *Church History*, bk. ix. p. 214. Besides this Supplication in vindication of his Ordination, he also addressed a Letter to the Lord Treasurer, for which see STRYPE's *Life of Whitgift*. bk. iii. No. 12, Records.]

Order of this Church is agreeable herein to the Word of God, and the ancient and best Canons, That no man should be made Minister *sine titulo*: therefore having none, I could not by the Orders of this Church have entered into the Ministry, before I had Charge to tend upon. When I was at Antwerp, and to take a place of Ministry among the people of that nation, I see no cause why I should have returned again over the seas for Orders here; nor how I could have done it, without disallowing the Orders of the Churches provided in the country where I was to live. Whereby I hope it appeareth, that my Calling to the Ministry is Lawful, and maketh me, by our Law, of capacity to enjoy any benefit or commodity, that any other, by reason of his Ministry, may enjoy. But my cause is yet more easy, who reaped no benefit of my Ministry by Law, receiving only a benevolence and Voluntary Contribution; and the Ministry I dealt with being Preaching only, which every Deacon here may do being licensed, and certain that are neither Ministers nor Deacons. Thus I answer the former of these two points, whereof, if there be yet any doubt, I humbly desire, for a final end thereof, that some competent judges in Law may determine of it; whereunto I refer and submit myself with all reverence and duty.

3. “The second is, ‘That I preached without Licence.’ Whereunto this is my answer: I have not presumed, upon the Calling I had to the Ministry abroad, to preach or deal with any part of the Ministry within this Church, without the consent and allowance of such as were to allow me unto it. My allowance was from the Bishop of London, testified by his two several Letters to the Inner Temple, who, without such testimony, would by no means rest satisfied in it: which Letters being by me produced, I refer it to your Honours’ wisdom, whether I have taken upon me to preach, without being allowed (as they charge) according to the Orders of the Realm. Thus having answered the second point also, I have done with the objection, ‘Of dealing without Calling or Licence.’

4. “The other reason they allege is, concerning a late action, wherein I had to deal with Mr. Hooker, Master of the Temple. In the handling of which cause, they charge me with an indiscretion, and want of duty, ‘in that I inveighed (as they say) against certain points of Doctrine

taught by him, as erroneous, not conferring with him, nor complaining of it to them.' My answer hereunto standeth, in declaring to your Honours the whole course and carriage of that cause, and the degrees of proceeding in it, which I will do as briefly as I can, and according to the truth, God be my witness, as near as my best memory, and notes of remembrance, may serve me thereunto. After that I have taken away that which seemeth to have moved them to think me not charitably minded to Mr. Hooker; which is, because he was brought into Mr. Alvey's place, wherein this Church desired that I might have succeeded; which place, if I would have made suit to have obtained, or if I had ambitiously affected and sought, I would not have refused to have satisfied, by Subscription, such as the matter then seemed to depend upon: whereas contrariwise, notwithstanding I would not hinder the Church to do that they thought to be the most for their edification and comfort, yet did I, neither by speech nor Letter, make suit to any for the obtaining of it; following herein that resolution, which I judge to be most agreeable to the Word and will of God; that is, that labouring and suing for places and charges in the Church is not Lawful. Further, whereas, at the suit of the Church, some of your Honours entertained the cause, and brought it to a near issue, that there seemed nothing to remain, but the Commendation of my Lord the Archbishop of Canterbury, whenas he could not be satisfied, but by my subscribing to his late Articles;* and that my answer, agreeing to subscribe according to any Law, and to the Statute provided in that case, but praying to be respited for subscribing to any other, which I could not in conscience do, either for the Temple (which otherwise he said he would not commend me to), nor for any other place in the Church, did so little please my Lord Archbishop, as he resolved that otherwise I should not be commended to it: I had utterly here no cause of offence against Mr. Hooker, whom I did in no sort esteem to have prevented or undermined me, but that God disposed of me as it pleased him, by such means and occasions as I have declared.

5. " Moreover, as I had taken no cause of offence at Mr. Hooker for being preferred, so there were many

* [See STRYPE's *Life of Whitgift*, p. 115, 116.]

witnesses, that I was glad that the place was given him, hoping to live in all godly peace and comfort with him, both for acquaintance and good-will which hath been between us, and for some kind of affinity in the marriage of his nearest kindred and mine. Since his coming, I have so carefully endeavoured to entertain all good correspondence and agreement with him, as I think he himself will bear me witness of many earnest disputations and conferences with him about the matter; the rather, because that, contrary to my expectation, he inclined from the beginning but smally thereunto, but joined rather with such as had always opposed themselves to any good order in this Charge, and made themselves to be brought indisposed to his present state and proceedings. For, both knowing that God's commandment charged me with such duty, and discerning how much our peace might further the good service of God and his Church, and the mutual comfort of us both, I had resolved constantly to seek for peace; and though it should fly from me (as I saw it did by means of some, who little desired to see the good of our Church), yet according to the rule of God's Word, to follow after it. Which being so (as hereof I take God to witness, who searcheth the heart and reins, and who by his Son will judge the world, both the quick and dead), I hope no charitable judgment can suppose me to have stood evil-affected towards him for his place, or desirous to fall into any controversy with him. [1 Pet. iii. 11.]

6. "Which my resolution I so pursued, that, whereas I discovered sundry unsound matters in his Doctrine (as many of his Sermons tasted of some sour leaven or other), yet thus I carried myself towards him. Matters of smaller weight, and so covertly discovered, that no great offence to the Church was to be feared in them, I wholly passed by, as one that discerned nothing of them, or had been unfurnished of replies: for other of greater moment, and so openly delivered, as there was just cause of fear lest the Truth and Church of God should be prejudiced and perilled by it, and such as the conscience of my duty and calling would not suffer me altogether to pass over, this was my course; to deliver, when I should have just cause by my text, the truth of such Doctrine as he had otherwise taught in general speeches, without touch of his person in any sort, and further at convenient opportunity to confer with him on such points.

7. “ According to which determination, whereas he had taught certain things concerning Predestination otherwise than the Word of God doth, as it is understood by all Churches professing the Gospel, and not unlike that wherewith Coranus sometimes troubled his Church, I both delivered the truth of such points in a general doctrine, without any touch of him in particular, and conferred with him also privately upon such articles. In which conference, I remember, when I urged the consent of all Churches and good writers against him that I knew; and desired, if it were otherwise, What Authors he had seen of such doctrine? he answered me, That his best author was his own Reason: which I wished him to take heed of, as a matter standing with Christian modesty and wisdom in a doctrine not received by the Church, not to trust to his own judgment so far as to publish it before he had conferred with others of his profession labouring by daily prayer and study to know the will of God, as he did, to see how they understood such doctrine. Notwithstanding, he, with wavering, replying That he would some other time deal more largely in the matter; I wished him, and prayed him not so to do, for the peace of the Church, which, by such means, might be hazarded; seeing he could not but think, that men, who make any conscience of their Ministry, will judge it a necessary duty in them to teach the truth, and to convince the contrary.

8. “ Another time, upon like occasion of this Doctrine of his, ‘ That the assurance of that we believe by the Word, is not so certain, as of that we perceive by sense;’ I both taught the doctrine otherwise, namely, the assurance of Faith to be greater, which assureth both of things above, and contrary to all sense and human understanding, and dealt with him also privately upon that point: according to which course of late, whenas he had taught, ‘ That the Church of Rome is a true Church of Christ, and a sanctified Church by profession of that Truth, which God hath revealed unto us by his Son, though not a pure and perfect Church;’ and further, ‘ That he doubted not, but that thousands of the Fathers, which lived and died in the Superstitions of that Church, were saved, because of their Ignorance, which excuseth them;’ misalleging to that end a text of Scripture to prove it: the matter being of set purpose openly and at large handled by him, and of that moment, that might prejudice

the Faith of Christ, encourage the ill-affected to continue still in their damnable ways, and others weak in Faith to suffer themselves easily to be seduced to the destruction of their souls; I thought it my most bounden duty to God and to his Church, whilst I might have opportunity to speak with him, to teach the Truth in a general speech in such points of doctrine.

9. “At which time I taught, ‘That such as die, or have died at any time in the Church of Rome, holding in their Ignorance that faith which is taught in it, and namely, Justification in part by Works, could not be said by the Scriptures to be saved.’ In which matter, foreseeing that if I waded not warily in it, I should be in danger to be reported (as hath fallen out since notwithstanding) to condemn all the Fathers, I said directly and plainly to all men’s understanding, ‘That it was not indeed to be doubted, but many of the Fathers were saved; but the means (said I) was not their Ignorance, which excuseth no man with God, but their knowledge and faith of the Truth, which, it appeareth, God vouchsafed them, by many notable monuments and records extant of it in all ages.’ Which being the last point in all my Sermon, rising so naturally from the text I then expounded, as would have occasioned me to have delivered such matter, notwithstanding the former doctrine had been sound; and being dealt in by a general speech, without touch of his particular; I looked not that a matter of controversy would have been made of it, no more than had been of my like dealing in former time. But, far otherwise than I looked for, Mr. Hooker, shewing no grief of offence taken at my speech all the week long, the next Sabbath, leaving to proceed upon his ordinary text, professed to preach again that he had done the day before, for some question that his Doctrine was drawn into, which he desired might be examined with all severity.

“So proceeding, he bestowed his whole time, in that Discourse, concerning his former doctrine, and answering the places of Scripture which I had alleged to prove that a man dying in the Church of Rome is not to be judged by the Scriptures to be saved. In which long speech, and utterly impertinent to his text, under colour of answering for himself, he impugned directly and openly to all men’s understanding, the true Doctrine which I had delivered; and, added to his

Apoc.
xviii. 4.
Gal. v.
2—4.

former points some other like (as willingly one error followeth another), that is, ‘That the Galatians joining with Faith in Christ, Circumcision, as necessary to salvation, might be saved;’ and ‘That they of the Church of Rome may be saved by such a Faith of Christ as they had, with a general repentance of all their errors, notwithstanding their opinion of Justification in part by their Works and Merits:’ I was necessarily, though not willingly, drawn to say something to the points he objected against sound Doctrine; which I did in a short speech in the end of my Sermon, with protestation of so doing not of any sinister affection to any man, but to bear witness to the Truth according to my calling; and wished, if the matter should needs further be dealt in, some other more convenient way might be taken for it. Wherein, I hope, my dealing was manifest to the consciences of all indifferent hearers of me that day, to have been according to peace, and without any uncharitableness, being duly considered.

“For that I conferred [not] with him the first day, I have shewed that the cause requiring of me in duty at the least not to be altogether silent in it, being a matter of such consequence; that the time also being short wherein I was to preach after him, the hope of the fruit of our communication being small upon experience of former conferences; [and] my expectation being that the Church should be no further troubled with it, upon the motion I made of taking some other course of dealing; I suppose my deferring to speak with him till some fit opportunity, cannot in charity be judged uncharitable.

10. “The second day, his unlooked-for opposition with the former reasons, made it to be a matter that required of necessity some public answer; which being so temperate as I have shewed, if notwithstanding it be censured as uncharitable, and punished so grievously as it is, what should have been my punishment, if (without all such cautions and respects as qualified my speech) I had before all, and in the understanding of all, so reproved him offending openly, that others might have feared to do the like? Which yet, if I had done, might have been warranted by the rule and charge of the Apostle, ‘Them that offend openly, rebuke openly, that the rest may also fear;’ and by his example, who, when Peter in this very case which is now between us, had, not in

preaching, but in a matter of conversation, not gone with a right foot, as was fit for the Truth of the Gospel, conferred not privately with him, but, as his own rule required, re-^[Gal. ii. 11, 14.]proved him openly before all, that others might hear, and fear, and not dare to do the like. All which reasons together weighed, I hope, will shew the manner of my dealing to have been charitable, and warrantable in ever sort.

11. “ The next Sabbath-day after this, Mr. Hooker kept the way he had entered into before, and bestowed his whole hour and more only upon the questions he had moved and maintained; wherein he so set forth the agreement of the Church of Rome with us, and their disagreement from us, as if we had consented in the greatest and weightiest points, and differed only in certain smaller matters. Which agreement noted by him in two chief points, is not such as he would have made men believe: the one, in that he said, ‘ They acknowledged all men sinners, even the blessed Virgin, though some of them freed her from sin;’ for the Council of Trent holdeth, ‘ That she was free from sin:’ another, in that he said, ‘ They teach Christ’s Righteousness to be the only meritorious cause of taking away sin, and differ from us only in the applying of it.’ For Thomas Aquinas their chief Schoolman, and Archbishop Catherinus, teach, ‘ That Christ took away only original sin, and that the rest are to be taken away by ourselves;’ yea, the Council of Trent teacheth, ‘ That righteousness whereby we are righteous in God’s sight, is an inherent righteousness;’ which must needs be of our own Works, and cannot be understood of the righteousness inherent only in Christ’s person, and accounted unto us. Moreover he taught the same time, ‘ That neither the Galatians, nor the Church of Rome, did directly overthrow the Foundation of Justification by Christ alone, but only by consequent, and therefore might well be saved; or else neither the Churches of the Lutherans, nor any which hold any manner of error, could be saved; because (saith he) every error by consequent overthroweth the Foundation.’ In which discourses, and such like, he bestowed his whole time; and more, which, if he had affected either the truth of God, or the peace of the Church, he would truly not have done. Whose example could not draw me to leave the Scripture I took in hand, but standing about an hour to deliver the doctrine

of it, in the end, upon just occasion of the text, leaving sundry other his unsound speeches, and keeping me still to the principal, I confirmed the believing the doctrine of Justification by Christ only, to be necessary to the justification of all that should be saved, and that the Church of Rome directly denieth, That a man is saved by Christ, or by Faith alone, without the works of the Law. Which my answer, as it was most necessary for the service of God and the Church, so was it without any immodest or reproachful speech of Mr. Hooker: whose unsound and wilful dealings in a cause of so great importance to the Faith of Christ, and salvation of the Church, notwithstanding I knew well what speech it deserved, and what some zealous earnest man of the spirit of John and James, surnamed Boanerges, Sons of Thunder, would have said in such a case; yet I chose rather to content myself in exhorting him to revisit his Doctrine, as Nathan the Prophet did the devise [*advice?*]; which, without consulting with God, he had of himself given to David, concerning the building of the Temple: and, with Peter the Apostle, to endure to be withstood in such a case, not unlike unto this. This in effect was that which passed between us concerning this matter, and the invectives I made against him, wherewith I am charged. Which rehearsal, I hope, may clear me (with all that shall indifferently consider it) of the blames laid upon me for want of duty to Mr. Hooker in not conferring with him, whereof I have spoken sufficiently already; and to the High Commission, in not revealing the matter to them, which yet now I am further to answer. My answer is, That I protest, no contempt nor wilful neglect of any lawful Authority stayed me from complaining unto them, but these reasons following:

Mark
iii. 17.

2 Sam.
vii. 2—5.

Gal. ii.
ii. 14.

12. “First, I was in some hope, that Mr. Hooker, notwithstanding he had been over-carried, with a shew of charity, to prejudice the Truth, yet when it should be sufficiently proved, would have acknowledged it, or at the least induced with peace, that it might be offered without either offence to him, or to such as would receive it; either of which would have taken away any cause of just complaint. When neither of these fell out according to my expectation and desire, but that he replied to the Truth, and objected against it, I thought he might have some doubts

and scruples in himself; which yet, if they were cleared, he would either embrace some [*sound*] doctrine, or at least suffer it to have his [*its*] course: which hope of him I nourished so long, as the matter was not bitterly and immodestly handled between us.

“ Another reason was, the Cause itself, which, according to the parable of the tares (which are said to be sown amongst the wheat), sprung up first in his grass: therefore, as the servants in that place are not said to have come to complain to the Lord, till the tares came to shew their fruits in their kind; so I, thinking it yet but a time of discovering of it, what it was, desired not their sickle to cut it down. [Matt. xiii. 27.]

“ For further answer, it is to be considered, That the conscience of my duty to God, and to his Church, did bind me at the first, to deliver sound doctrine in such points as had been otherwise uttered in the place, where I had now some years taught the Truth; otherwise the rebuke of the Prophet had fallen upon me, for not going up to the breach, and standing in it, and the peril of answering for the blood of the City, in whose watch-tower I sate; if it had been surprised by my default. Ezek. xxii. 30. Moreover, my public protestation, in being [not] unwilling, That if any were not yet satisfied, some other more convenient way might be taken for it. And, lastly, That I had resolved (which I uttered before to some, dealing with me about the matter) to have protested the next Sabbath-day, that I would no more answer in that place any objections to the Doctrine taught by any means, but some other way satisfy such as should require it. xxxiii. 6.

13. “ These, I trust, may make it appear, that I failed not in duty to Authority, notwithstanding I did not complain, nor give over so soon dealing in the case. If I did, how is he clear, which can allege none of all these for himself? who leaving the expounding of the Scriptures, and his ordinary calling, voluntarily discoursed upon school-points and questions, neither of edification nor of truth? Who after all this, as promising to himself and to untruth, a victory by my silence, added yet in the next Sabbath-day, to the maintenance of his former opinions, these which follow:

“ ‘ That no additament taketh away the Foundation, except it be a privative; of which sort neither the Works added to Christ by the Church of Rome, nor Circumcision by the Galatians, were; as one denieth him not to be a

man, that saith, he is a Righteous man, but he that saith he is a Dead man :’ whereby it might seem, that a man might, without hurt, add Works to Christ, and pray also that God and St. Peter would save him.

“ ‘ That the Galatians’ case is harder than the case of the Church of Rome, because the Galatians joined Circumcision with Christ, which God hath forbidden and abolished; but that which the Church of Rome joined with Christ, were good Works, which God hath commanded.’ Wherein he committed a double fault: one, in expounding all the questions of the Galatians, and consequently of the Romans, and other Epistles, of Circumcision only, and the ceremonies of the Law (as they do, who answer for the Church of Rome in their writings), contrary to the clear meaning of the Apostle, as may appear by many strong and sufficient reasons; the other, in that he said, ‘ The addition of the Church of Rome was of Works commanded of God.’ Whereas the least part of the Works, whereby they looked to merit, was of such Works: and most were of supererogation, and of Works which God never commanded, but was highly displeased with, as of Masses, Pilgrimages, Pardons, Pains of Purgatory, and such like. Further, ‘ That no one sequel urged by the Apostle against the Galatians for joining Circumcision with Christ, but might be as well enforced against the Lutherans; that is, that for their Ubiquity it may be as well said to them, If ye hold the Body of Christ to be in all places, you are fallen from grace, you are under the curse of the Law, saying, Cursed be he that fulfilleth not all things written in this Book,’ with such like. He added yet further, ‘ That to a Bishop of the Church of Rome, to a Cardinal, yea, to the Pope himself, acknowledging Christ to be the Saviour of the world, denying other errors, and being discomforted for want of Works whereby he might be justified, he would not doubt, but use this speech; Thou holdest the Foundation of Christian Faith, though it be but by a slender thread; thou holdest Christ, though but as by the hem of his garment; why shouldst thou not hope that virtue may pass from Christ to save thee? That which thou holdest of Justification by thy Works, overthroweth indeed by consequent the Foundation of Christian Faith; but be of good cheer, thou hast not to do with a captious

sophister, but with a merciful God, who will justify thee for that thou holdest, and not take the advantage of doubtful construction to condemn thee. And if this (said he) be an error, I hold it willingly; for it is the greatest comfort I have in this world, without which I would not wish either to speak or to live.' Thus far, being not to be answered in it any more, he was bold to proceed; the absurdity of which speech I need not to stand upon. I think the like to this, and other such in this Sermon, and the rest of this matter, hath not been heard in public places within this Land since Queen Mary's days. What consequence this Doctrine may be of, if he be not by Authority ordered to revoke it, I beseech your Honours, as the truth of God and his Gospel is dear and precious unto you, according to your godly wisdoms to consider.

14. "I have been bold to offer to your Honours a long and tedious discourse of these matters; but speech being like to tapestry, which, if it be folded up, sheweth but part of that which is wrought, and being unlapt and laid open, sheweth plainly to the eye all the work that is in it; I thought it necessary to unfold this tapestry, and to hang up the whole chamber of it in your most honourable Senate, that so you may the more easily discern of all the pieces, and the sundry works and matters contained in it. Wherein my hope is, your Honours may see I have not deserved so great a punishment as is laid upon the Church for my sake, and also upon myself, in taking from me the exercise of my Ministry. Which punishment, how heavy it may seem to the Church, or fall out indeed to be, I refer it to them to judge, and spare to write what I fear; but to myself it is exceeding grievous, for that it taketh from me the exercise of my calling. Which I do not say is dear unto me, as the means of that little benefit whereby I live (although this be a lawful consideration, and to be regarded of me in due place, and of the Authority under whose protection I most willingly live, even by God's commandment both unto them and unto me); but which ought to be more precious to me than my life, for the love which I should bear to the glory and honour of Almighty God, and to the edification and salvation of his Church, for that my life cannot any other way be of like service to God, nor of such use and profit to men

[Phil.
ii. 17.]

by any means. For which cause, as I discern how dear any [*my*] Ministry ought to be unto me, so it is my hearty desire, and most humble request unto God, to your Honours, and to all the Authority I live under, to whom any dealing herein belongeth, that I may spend my life (according to his example, who in a word of like sound, of fuller sense, comparing by it the bestowing of his Life to the *offering* poured out) upon the sacrifice of the Faith of God's people, and especially of this Church, whereupon I have already poured out a great part thereof in the same Calling, from which I stand now restrained. And if your Honours shall find it so, that I have not deserved so great a punishment, but rather performed the duty which a good and faithful servant ought, in such a case, to do to his Lord and the people he putteth him in trust withal carefully to keep; I am a most humble suitor by these presents to your Honours, that, by your godly wisdom, some good course may be taken for the restoring of me to my Ministry and place again. Which so great a favour, shall bind me yet in a greater obligation of duty (which is already so great, as it seemed nothing could be added unto it to make it greater) to honour God daily for the continuance and increase of your good estate, and to be ready, with all the poor means God hath given me, to do your Honours that faithful service I may possibly perform. But if, notwithstanding my cause be never so good, your Honours can by no means pacify such as are offended, nor restore me again, then am I to rest in the good pleasure of God; and to commend to your Honours' protection, under her Majesty's, my private life, while it shall be led in duty; and the Church, to Him, who hath redeemed to himself a people with his precious blood, and is making ready to come to judge both the quick and the dead, to give to every one according as he hath done in this life, be it good or evil; to the wicked and unbeliever, justice unto death; but to the faithful, and such as love his truth, mercy and grace to life everlasting.

“ Your Honours' most bounden, and

“ Most humble Suppliant,

“ WALTER TRAVERS,

“ MINISTER OF THE WORD OF GOD.”

ANSWER*
TO THE
“ SUPPLICATION.”

TO MY LORD OF CANTERBURY HIS GRACE.

1. My duty in my most humble wise remembered: May it please your Grace to understand, that whereas there hath been a late controversy raised in the Temple, and pursued by Mr. TRAVERS, upon conceit taken at some words by me uttered, with a most simple and harmless meaning; in the heat of which pursuit, after three public invectives, silence being enjoined him by Authority, he hath hereupon, for defence of his proceedings, both presented the Right Honourable Lords, and others of her Majesty's Privy Council, with a Writing, and also caused or suffered the same to be copied out, and spread through the hands of so many, that well nigh all sorts of men have it in their bosoms; the matters wherewith I am therein charged, being of such quality as they are, and myself being better known to your Grace than to any other of their Honours besides, I have chosen to offer to your Grace's hands a plain declaration of my innocency in all those things wherewith I am so hardly and so heavily charged: lest, if I still remain silent, that which I do for quietness' sake, be taken as an argument, that I lack what to speak truly and justly in mine own defence.

2. First, because Mr. Travers thinketh it is expedient to breed an opinion in men's minds, That the root of all inconvenient events which are now sprung out, is the surly and unpeaceable disposition of the man with whom he hath to do; therefore, the first in the rank of accusations laid against me, is, my inconformity, which have so little inclined to so many and so earnest exhortations and conferences, as myself (he saith) can witness,† to have been spent upon me, for my better fashioning unto good correspondence and agreement.

* [Printed at Oxford, 1612. 4to.]

† [See *sup.* p. 343.]

3. Indeed, when at the first, by means of special well-willers, without any suit of mine, as they very well know (although I do not think it had been a mortal sin, in a reasonable sort, to have shewed a moderate desire that way), yet when by their endeavour without instigation of mine, some Reverend and Honourable, favourably affecting me, had procured her Majesty's grant of the place; at the very point of my entering thereinto, the evening before I was first to preach, he came, and two other gentlemen joined with him: the effect of his conference then was, "That he thought it his duty to advise me, not to enter with a strong hand, but to change my purpose of preaching there the next day, and to stay till he had given notice of me to the congregation, that so their allowance might seal my Calling." The effect of my answer was, "That, as in a place where such order is, I would not break it; so here, where it never was, I might not, of mine own head, take upon me to begin it:" but liking very well the motion, for the opinion which I had of his good meaning who made it, requested him not to dislike my answer, though it were not correspondent to his mind.

4. When this had so displeased some, that whatsoever was afterwards done or spoken by me, it offended their taste, angry informations were daily sent out, intelligence given far and wide, what a dangerous enemy was crept in; the worst that jealousy could imagine was spoken and written to so many, that at the length some knowing me well, and perceiving how injurious the reports were, which grew daily more and more unto my discredit, wrought means to bring Mr. Travers and me to a second conference. Wherein, when a common friend unto us both had quietly requested him to utter those things wherewith he found himself any way grieved, he first renewed the memory of my entering into this Charge, by virtue only of an human creature (for so the want of that formality* of popular allowance was then censured), and unto this was annexed a catalogue, partly of causeless surmises, as, "That I had conspired against him, and that I sought superiority over him;" and partly of faults, which to note, I should have thought it a greater offence than to commit, if I did account them faults,

* A mere formality it had been to me in that place; where, as no man had ever used it before me, so it could neither further me if I did use it, nor hinder me if I did not.

and had heard them so curiously observed in any other than myself; they are such silly things, as, "praying in the entrance of my Sermons only, and not in the end," "naming Bishops in my Prayer," "kneeling when I pray, and kneeling when I receive the Communion,"† with such like, which I would be as loth to recite, as I was sorry to hear them objected, if the rehearsal thereof were not by him thus wrested from me. These are the conferences wherewith I have been wooed to entertain peace and good agreement.

5. As for the vehement exhortations he speaketh of, I would gladly know some reason wherefore he thought them needful to be used. Was there any thing found in my speeches or dealings that gave them occasion, who are studious of peace, to think that I disposed myself to some unquiet kind of proceedings? Surely, the special providence of God I do now see it was, that the first words I spake in this place, should make the first thing whereof I am accused to appear not only untrue, but improbable, to as many as then heard me with indifferent ears; and do, I doubt not, in their consciences clear me of this suspicion. Howbeit, I grant this were nothing, if it might be shewed, that my deeds following were not suitable to my words. If I had spoken of peace at the first, and afterwards sought to molest and grieve him, by crossing him in his function; by storming, if my pleasure were not asked and my will obeyed in the least occurrences; by carping needlessly sometimes at the manner of his teaching, sometimes at this, sometimes at that point of his doctrine; I might then with some likelihood have been blamed, as one disdaining a peaceable hand when it hath been offered. But if I be able (as I am) to prove that myself have now a full year together, borne the continuance of such dealings, not only without any manner of resistance, but also without any such complaint, as might let or hinder him in his course, I see no cause in the world why of this I should be accused, unless it be, lest I should accuse, which I meant not. If therefore I have given him occasion to use conferences and exhortations unto peace, if when they were bestowed upon me I have despised them, it will not be hard to shew some one word or deed wherewith I have gone about to work disturbance: one is not much, I require but one. Only, I require if any thing be shewed,

* [See Vol. II. p. 299, Note †.]

it may be proved, and not objected only as this is, "That I have joined with such as have always opposed themselves to any good Order in this Church, and made themselves to be thought indisposed to the present estate and proceedings."* The words have reference, as it seemeth, unto some such things as being attempted before my coming to the Temple, went not so effectually, perhaps, forward, as he which devised them would have wished. An Order, as I learn, there was tendered, that Communicants should neither kneel, as in the most places of the Realm; nor sit, as in this place the custom is; but walk to the one side of the Table, and there standing till they had received, pass afterwards away round about by the other. Which being on a sudden begun to be practised in the Church, some sat wondering what it should mean, others deliberating what to do: till such time as at length by name one of them being called openly thereunto, requested that they might do as they had been accustomed, which was granted; and as Mr. Travers had ministered this way to the rest, so a Curate was sent to minister to them after their way. Which unprosperous beginning of a thing (saving only for the inconvenience of needless alterations otherwise harmless) did so disgrace that Order, in their conceit who had to allow or dissallow it, that it took no place. For neither they could ever induce themselves to think it good, and it so much offended Mr. Travers, who supposed it to be the best, that he, since that time, although contented himself to receive it as they do, at the hands of others, yet hath not thought it meet that they should ever receive it out of his, which would not admit that Order of receiving it, and therefore in my time hath been always present not to minister, but only to be ministered unto.

6. Another Order there was likewise devised, but an Order of much more weight and importance. This soil, in respect of certain immunities and other specialties belonging unto it, seemed likely to bear that which in other places of the Realm of England doth not take. For which cause, request was made to some of her Majesty's Privy Council, that whereas it is provided by a Statute there should be Collectors and Sidemen in Churches, which thing, or somewhat correspondent unto it, this place did greatly want; it would please their Honours to motion such a matter to the Ancients of

* [Compare with *sup.* p. 343.]

the Temple. And, according to their honourable manner of helping forward all motions so grounded, they wrote their Letters, as I am informed, to that effect. Whereupon, although these Houses* never had use of such Collectors and Sidemen as are appointed in other places, yet they both erected a box to receive men's devotion for the poor, appointing the Treasurer of both Houses to take care for bestowing it where need is; and granting further, that if any could be entreated (as in the end some were) to undertake the labour of observing men's slackness in divine duties, they should be allowed, their complaints heard [at] all times, and the faults they complained of, if Mr. Alvey's† private admonition did not serve, then by some other means [to be] redressed; but according to the old received Orders of both Houses. Whereby the substance of their Honours' Letters was indeed fully satisfied. Yet because Mr. Travers intended not this, but, as it seemed, another thing; therefore, notwithstanding the Orders which have been taken, and for any thing I know, do stand still in as much force in this Church now as at any time heretofore, he complaineth much of the good Orders which he doth mean have been withstood. Now it were hard, if as many as any way oppose unto these and the like Orders, in his persuasion good, do thereby make themselves to be thought dislikers of the present state and proceedings. If they, whom he aimeth at, have any otherwise made themselves to be thought such, it is likely he doth know wherein, and will, I hope, disclose to whom it appertaineth, both the persons whom he thinketh, and the causes why he thinketh them so ill-affected. But whatsoever the men be, do their faults make me faulty? They do, if I join myself with them. I beseech him therefore to declare wherein I have joined with them. Other joining than this with any man here, I cannot imagine: it may be I have talked, or walked, or eaten, or interchangeably used the duties of common humanity, with some such as he is hardly persuaded of. For I know no Law of God or man, by force whereof they should be as Heathens and Publicans unto me, that are not gracious in the eyes of another man,

* [Or Societies, called The Inner and The Middle Temple.]

† (Mr. Travers. *sic* MS. Bodl.) [Alvey had been Master of the Temple, and Travers Lecturer, at that time. See p. 342.]

perhaps without cause, or if with cause, yet such cause as he is privy unto, and not I. Could he, or any reasonable man, think it a charitable course in me, to observe them that shew by external courtesies a favourable inclination towards him, and if I spy out any one amongst them of whom I think not well, hereupon to draw such an accusation as this against him, and to offer it where he hath given up his against me? which notwithstanding I will acknowledge to be just and reasonable, if he or any man living shall shew, that I use as much as the bare familiar company but of one, who by word or deed hath ever given me cause to suspect or conjecture him such as here they are termed, with whom complaint is made that I join myself. This being spoken, therefore, and written without all possibility of proof, doth not Mr. Travers give me over-great cause to stand in some fear lest he make too little conscience how he useth his tongue or pen? These things are not laid against me for nothing; they are to some purpose if they take place. For in a mind persuaded that I am, as he deciphereth me, one which refuse to be at peace with such as embrace the Truth, and side myself with men sinisterly affected thereunto, any thing that shall be spoken concerning the unsoundness of my Doctrine cannot choose but be favourably entertained. This presupposed, it will have likelihood enough which afterwards followeth, "That many of my Sermons have tasted of some sour leaven or other; that in them he hath discovered many unsound matters."* A thing much to be lamented, that such a place as this, which might have been so well provided for, hath fallen into the hands of one no better instructed in the Truth. But what if in the end it be found that he judgeth my words, as they do colours which look upon them with green spectacles, and think that which they see is green, when indeed that is green whereby they see?

7. Touching the first point of his discovery, which is about the matter of Predestination,† to set down that I spake (for I have it written), to declare and confirm the several branches thereof, would be tedious now in this Writing, where I have so many things to touch, that I can but touch them only. Neither is it herein so needful for me to justify my speech, when the very place and

* [See *sup.* p. 343.]

† [Ibid. p. 344.]

presence where I spake, doth itself speak sufficiently for my clearing. This matter was not broached in a blind alley, or uttered where none was to hear it that had skill with authority to control; or covertly insinuated by some gliding sentence.

8. That which I taught was at Paul's Cross; it was not huddled in amongst other matters, in such sort that it could pass without noting: it was opened, it was proved, it was some reasonable time stood upon. I see not which way my Lord of London, who was present and heard it, can excuse so great a fault, as patiently, without rebuke or controlment afterwards, to hear any man there teach otherwise than "the Word of God doth;" not as it is understood by the private interpretation of some one or two men, or by a special construction received in some few books; but, as it is understood "by all Churches professing the Gospel;"* by them all, and therefore even by our own also amongst others. A man that did mean to prove that he speaketh, would surely take the measure of his words shorter.

9. The next thing discovered, is an opinion about the assurance of men's persuasion in matters of Faith. I have taught, he saith, "That the assurance of things which we believe by the Word, is not so certain as of that we perceive by sense."† And, is it as certain? Yea, I taught, as he himself, I trust, will not deny, That the things which God doth promise in his Word are surer unto us than any thing we touch, handle, or see. But are we so sure and certain of them? if we be, why doth God so often prove his promises unto us, as he doth by arguments taken from our sensible experience? We must be surer of the proof, than of the thing proved, otherwise it is no proof. How is it, that if ten men do all look upon the moon, every one of them knoweth it as certainly to be the moon as another; but many believing one and the same promise, all have not one and the same fulness of persuasion? How falleth it out, that men being assured of any thing by Sense, can be no surer of it than they are; whereas the strongest in Faith that liveth upon the earth, hath always need to labour and strive, and pray, that his assurance concerning heavenly and spiritual things, may grow, increase, and be augmented?

* [See *sup.* p. 344.]

† [Ibid. p. 344.]

10. The Sermon wherein I have spoken somewhat largely of this point was, long before this late controversy rose between him and me, upon request of some of my friends, seen and read by many, and amongst many, some who are thought able to discern; and I never heard that any one of them hitherto hath condemned it as containing unsound matter. My case were very hard, if, as oft as any thing I speak displeasing one man's taste, my doctrine upon his only word should be taken for "sour leaven."

11. The rest of this discovery is all about the matter now in question; wherein he hath two faults predominant which would tire out any that should answer unto every point severally; unapt speaking of School-controversies; and of my words sometimes so untoward a reciting, that he which should promise to draw a man's countenance, and did indeed express the parts, at leastwise most of them, truly, but perversely place them, could not represent a more offensive visage, than unto me my own speech seemeth in some places, as he hath ordered it. For answer whereunto, that Writing is sufficient, wherein I have set down both my words and meaning in such sort, that where this accusation doth deprave the one, and either misinterpret, or without just cause mislike the other, it will appear so plainly, that I may spare very well to take upon me a new and a needless labour here.

12. Only at one thing which is there to be found, because Mr. Travers doth here seem to take such a special advantage, as if the matter were unanswerable, he constraineth me either to detect his oversight, or to confess mine own in it. In setting the question between the Church of Rome and us, about Grace and Justification, lest I should give them an occasion to say, as commonly they do, That when we cannot refute their opinions, we propose to ourselves such instead of theirs, as we can refute: I took it for the best and most perspicuous way of teaching, to declare first, how far we do agree, and then to shew our disagreement: not generally (as Mr. Travers his words* would carry it, for

* His words be these: "The next Sabbath-day after this, Mr. Hooker kept the way he had entered into before, and bestowed his whole hour and more only upon the questions he had moved and maintained; wherein he so set *out* the agreement of the Church of Rome with us, and their disagreement from us, as if we had consented in the greatest and weightiest points, and differed only in certain smaller matters. Which agreement, noted by him in two

the easier fastening that upon me wherewith, saving only by him, I was never in my life touched); but about the matter only of Justification: for farther I had no cause to meddle at that time. What was then my offence in this case? I did, as he saith, so set it out as if we had consented in the greatest and weightiest points, and differed only in smaller matters. It will not be found, when it cometh to the balance, a light difference where we disagree, as I did acknowledge that we do, about the very essence of the medicine whereby Christ cureth our disease. Did I go about to make a shew of agreement in the weightiest points, and was I so fond as not to conceal our disagreement about this? I do wish that some indifferency were used by them that have taken the weighing of my words.

13. Yea, but our agreement is not such in two of the chiefest points, as I would have men believe it is: and what are they? The one is, I said, "They acknowledge all men sinners, even the Blessed Virgin, though some of them free her from sin." Put the case I had affirmed, that only some of them free her from sin, and had delivered it as the most current opinion amongst them, that she was conceived in sin: doth not Bonaventure say plainly, "omnes fere," in a manner all men do hold this? Doth he not bring many reasons wherefore all men should hold it? Were their voices since that time ever counted, and their number found smaller which hold it than theirs that hold the contrary? Let the question then be, Whether I might say, the most of them "acknowledged all men sinners, even the Blessed Virgin herself?" To shew that their general received opinion is the contrary, the Tridentine Council is alleged, peradventure not altogether so considerately. For, if that Council have by resolute determination freed her, if it hold, as Mr. Travers saith it doth, that she was free from sin; then must the Church of Rome needs condemn them that

chief points, is not such as he would have made men believe: the one, in that he said, They acknowledge all men sinners, even the Blessed Virgin, though some of them freed her from sin; for the Council of Trent holdeth, that she was free from sin: another, in that he said, They teach Christ's righteousness to be the only meritorious cause of taking away sin, and differ from us only in the applying of it: for Thomas Aquinas, their chief Schoolman, and Archbishop Catharinus, teach, That Christ took away only original sin, and that the rest are to be taken away by ourselves; yea, the Council of Trent teacheth, That the righteousness whereby we are righteous in God's sight, is inherent righteousness; which must needs be of our own works, and cannot be understood of the righteousness inherent only in Christ's person, and accounted unto us." (*ut sup.* p. 347.)

hold the contrary. For what that Council holdeth, the same they all do and must hold. But in the Church of Rome, who knoweth not, that it is a thing indifferent to think and defend the one or the other? So that, this argument, The Council of Trent holdeth the Virgin free from sin, *ergo*, it is plain than none of them may, and therefore untrue that most of them do acknowledge her a sinner; were forcible to overthrow my supposed assertion, if it were true that the Council did hold this. But to the end it may clearly appear, how it neither holdeth this nor the contrary, I will open what many do conceive of the Canon that concerneth this matter.—The Fathers of Trent perceived, that if they should define of this matter, it would be dangerous howsoever it were determined. If they had freed her from her original sin, the reasons against them are unanswerable, which Bonaventure and others do allege, but especially Thomas, whose line, as much as may be, they follow. Again, if they did resolve the other way, they should control themselves in another thing, which in no case might be altered. For they profess to keep no day holy in the honour of an unholy thing, and the Virgin's Conception they honour with a feast,* which they could not abrogate without cancelling a Constitution of Xystus Quartus. And, that which is worse, the world might perhaps, hereupon suspect, that if the Church of Rome did amiss before in this, it is not impossible for her to fail in other things. In the end, they did wisely quote out their Canon by a middle thread, establishing the Feast of the Virgin's Conception, and leaving the other question doubtful as they found it; giving only a caveat, That no man should take the Decree which pronounceth all mankind originally sinful, for a definitive sentence concerning the Blessed Virgin. This in my sight is plain by their own words, “*Declarat hac ipsa sancta Synodus,*” &c. Wherefore our countrymen at Rhemes, mentioning this point, are marvellous wary how they speak; they touch it as though it were a hot coal: “Many godly

* This doth much trouble Thomas, holding her conception stained with the natural blemish inherent in mortal seed. And therefore he putteth it off with two answers: the one, that the Church of Rome doth not allow, but tolerate the Feast; which answer now will not serve: the other, that being sure she was sanctified before birth, but unsure how long a while after her conception, therefore, under the name of her Conception-day, they honour the time of her sanctification. So that, besides this, they have now no soder to make the certain allowance of their Feast, and their uncertain sentence concerning her sin, to cleave together. Thom. part iii. quest. 27. art. 2. ad 2m. et 3m.

devout men judge that our Blessed Lady was neither born nor conceived in sin." Is it their wont to speak nicely of things definitively set down in that Council?

Annot.
in Rom.
v. sec. 9.

In like sort, we find that the rest, which have since the time of the Tridentine Synod written of Original Sin, are in this point, for the most part, either silent, or very sparing in speech: and, when they speak, either doubtful what to think, or whatsoever they think themselves, fearful to set down any certain determination. If I be thought to take the Canon of that Council otherwise than they themselves do, let him expound it whose sentence was neither last asked, nor his pen least occupied in setting it down; I mean Andradius, whom Gregory the Thirteenth hath allowed plainly to confess, that it is a matter which neither express evidence of Scripture, nor the tradition of the Fathers, nor the sentence of the Church, hath determined; that they are too surly and self-willed, which, defending either opinion, are displeased with them by whom the other is maintained: finally, that the Fathers of Trent have not set down any certainty about this question, but left it doubtful and indifferent.

Lib. v.
Def.
fidel.

Now whereas my words, which I had set down in writing before I uttered them, were indeed these, "Although they imagine, that the Mother of our Lord Jesus Christ were, for his honour, and by his special protection, preserved clean from all sin: yet concerning the rest, they teach as we do, that all have sinned." Against my words they might, with more pretence, take exception, because so many of them think she had sin: which exception notwithstanding, the proposition being indefinite and the matter contingent, they cannot take, because they grant, that many whom they count grave and devout amongst them think that she was clear from all sin. But whether Mr. Travers did note my words himself, or take them upon the credit of some other man's noting, the tables were faulty wherein it was noted, "All men sinners, even the Blessed Virgin." When my speech was rather, "All men except the Blessed Virgin." To leave this; another fault he findeth, that I said, "They teach Christ's Righteousness to be the only meritorious cause of taking away sin, and differ from us only in the applying of it."* I did say and do, "They

* [See *sup.* p. 347.]

teach as we do, That although Christ be the only meritorious cause of our justice, yet as a medicine, which is made for health, doth not heal by being made, but by being applied; so, by the merits of Christ, there can be no life nor justification, without the application of his merits: but about the manner of applying Christ, about the number and power of means whereby he is applied, we dissent from them." This of our dissenting from them is acknowledged.

Orthod.
lib. iii.

In
Sent. 4.
dist. 1.
quest. 4.
art. 6.

14. Our agreement in the former is denied to be such as I pretend. Let their own words therefore and mine concerning them be compared. Doth not Andradius plainly confess; "Our sins do shut, and only the merits of Christ open, the entering unto blessedness?" And Soto, "It is put for a ground, that all, since the fall of Adam, obtain salvation only by the Passion of Christ: howbeit, as no cause can be effectual without applying, so neither can any man be saved, to whom the suffering of Christ is not applied." In a word, who not? When the Council of Trent, reckoning up the causes of our first Justification, doth name no end but God's glory, and our felicity; no efficient but his Mercy; no instrumental but Baptism; no meritorious but Christ: whom to have merited the taking away of no sin but Original, is not their opinion: which himself will find, when he hath well examined his witnesses, Catharinus and Thomas. Their Jesuits are marvellous angry with the men out of whose gleanings Mr. Travers seemeth to have taken this; they openly disclaim it; they say plainly, "Of all the Catholics there is not one that did ever so teach;" they make solemn protestation, "We believe and profess, that Christ upon the Cross hath altogether satisfied for all sins, as well original as actual."* Indeed they teach, that the merit of Christ doth not take away Actual sin in such sort as it doth Original; wherein, if their doctrine had been understood, I for my speech had never been accused. As for the Council of Trent, concerning inherent righteousness, what doth it here? No man doubteth, but they make another formal cause of Justification than we do. In respect whereof, I have

* "Nemo Catholicorum unquam sic docuit; sed credimus et profitemur, Christum in Cruce pro omnibus omnino peccatis satisfacisse, tam originalibus quam actualibus." Bellarm. Judic. de lib. Concor. Mendac. 18.

shewed already, that we disagree about the very essence of that which cureth our spiritual disease. Most true it is which the grand Philosopher hath, "Every man judgeth well of that which he knoweth;" and therefore, till we know the things thoroughly whereof we judge, it is a point of judgment to stay our judgment. [Aristotle.]

15. Thus much labour being spent in discovering the unsoundness of my Doctrine, some pains he taketh further to open faults in the Manner of my teaching, as that, I bestowed my whole hour and more, my time and more than my time, in discourses utterly impertinent to my text.* Which, if I had done, it might have past without complaining of to the Privy Council.

16. But I did worse, as he saith, "I left the expounding of the Scriptures, and my ordinary calling, and discoursed upon School-points and questions, neither of edification, nor of truth."† I read no Lecture in the Law, or in Physic. And, except the bounds of ordinary calling may be drawn like a purse, how are they so much wider unto him than to me, that he within the limits of his ordinary calling, should reprove that in me, which he understood not; and I labouring that both he and others might understand, could not do this without forsaking my calling? The matter whereof I spake was such, as being at the first by me but lightly touched, he had in that place openly contradicted, and solemnly taken upon him to disprove. If therefore it were a School-question, and unfit to be discoursed of there, that which was in me but a proposition only at the first, wherefore made he a problem of it? Why took he first upon him to maintain the negative of that which I had affirmatively spoken only to shew mine own opinion, little thinking that ever it would have [become] a question? Of what nature soever the question were, I could do no less than there explain myself to them, unto whom I was accused of unsound Doctrine; wherein if to shew what had been through ambiguity mistaken in my words, or misapplied by him in this cause against me, I used the distinctions and helps of Schools, I trust that herein I have committed no unlawful thing. These School-implements are acknowledged by grave and wise men not unprofitable to have been invented.‡

* [See *sup.* p. 347.]

† [Ibid. p. 349.]

‡ Calv. Inst. lib. i. cap. 8. sect. 1.

The most approved for learning and judgment do use them without blame; the use of them hath been well liked in some that have taught even in this very place before me: the quality of my hearers is such, that I could not but think them of capacity very sufficient, for the most part, to conceive harder [sentences] than I used any; the cause I had in hand did in my judgment necessarily require them which were then used: when my words spoken generally without distinctions had been perverted, what other way was there for me, but by distinctions to lay them open in their right meaning, that it might appear to all men whether they were consonant to Truth or no? And although Mr. Travers be so inured with the City, that he thinketh it unmeet to use my speech which savoureth of the School, yet his opinion is no Canon; though unto him, his mind being troubled, my speech did seem like fetters and manacles, yet there might be some more calmly affected which thought otherwise; his private judgment will hardly warrant his bold words, that the things which I spake "were neither of edification nor truth." They might edify some other, for any thing he knoweth, and be true for any thing he proveth to the contrary. For it is no proof to cry, "Absurdities, the like whereunto have not been heard in public places within this Land since Queen Mary's days!"* If this came in earnest from him, I am sorry to see him so much offended without cause; more sorry, that his fit should be so extreme, to make him speak he knoweth not what. That I neither "affected the truth of God nor the peace of the Church,"† *mihi pro minimo est*, it doth not much move me, when Mr. Travers doth say that, which, I trust, a greater than Mr. Travers will gainsay.

17. Now let all this which hitherto he hath said be granted him, let it be as he would have it, let my Doctrine and Manner of teaching be as much disallowed by all men's judgment as by his, what is all this to his purpose? He allegeth this to be the cause why he bringeth it in; the High Commissioners charge him with an indiscretion and want of duty in that he inveighed against certain points of doctrine taught by me, as erroneous, not conferring first with me, nor complaining of it to them.‡ Which faults, a sea

* [See *sup.* p. 351.]

† [Ibid. p. 347.]

‡ [Ibid. p. 348.]

of such matter as he hath hitherto waded in, will never be able to scour from him. For the avoiding of schism and disturbance in the Church, which must needs grow, if all men might think what they list, and speak openly what they think;* therefore by a Decree agreed upon by the Bishops, and confirmed by her Majesty's Authority, it was ordered that erroneous Doctrine, if it were taught publicly, should not be publicly refuted; but that notice thereof should be given unto such as are by her Highness appointed to hear and to determine such causes.† For breach of which Order, when he is charged with lack of duty, all the faults that can be heaped upon me will make but a weak defence for him. As surely his defence is not much stronger, when he allegeth for himself, that, He was in some hope his speech in proving the Truth, and clearing those scruples which I had in myself, might cause me either to embrace sound Doctrine, or suffer it to be embraced of others; which, if I did, he should not need to complain:‡ that, It was meet he should discover first what I had sown, and make it manifest to be tares, and then desire their scythe to cut it down:§ that, Conscience did bind him to do otherwise, than the foresaid Order requireth:¶ that, He was unwilling to deal in that public manner, and wished a more convenient way were taken for it:¶¶ that, He had resolved to have protested the next Sabbath-day, that he would some other way satisfy such as should require it, and not deal more in that place.** Be it imagined (let me not be taken as if I did compare the offenders, when I do not, but their answers only) be it imagined that a libeller did make this apology for himself; I am not ignorant that if I have just matter against any man the Law is open, there are Judges to hear it, and Courts where it ought to be complained of: I have taken another course against such or such a man, yet without breach of duty; forasmuch as I am able to

* [See Vol. II. p. 360, Note.]

† In the Advertisements published in the seventh year of her Majesty's reign: "If any Preacher, or Parson, Vicar, or Curate so licensed, shall fortune to preach any matter tending to dissension, or to derogation of the Religion and Doctrine received, that the hearers denounce the same to the Ordinary, or the next Bishop of the same place, but not openly to contrary, or to impugn the same speech so disorderly uttered, whereby may grow offence, and disquiet of the people, but shall be convinced and reproved by the Ordinary, after such agreeable order as shall be seen to him, according to the gravity of the offence: and that it be presented within one month after the words spoken."

‡ [See *sup.* p. 348.]

§ [Ibid. p. 349.]

¶ [Ibid.]

¶¶ [Ibid.]

** [Ibid.]

yield a reason of my doing, I conceive some hope that a little discredit amongst men would make him ashamed of himself, and that his shame would work his amendment; which, if it did, other accusation there should not need: could his answer be thought sufficient, could it in the judgment of discreet men free him from all blame? No more can the hope which Mr. Travers conceived to reclaim me by public speech, justify his fault against the established Order of the Church.

18. His thinking it meet, He should first openly discover to the people the tares that had been sown amongst them, and then require the hand of Authority to mow them down;* doth only make it a question, whether his opinion that this was meet, may be a privilege or protection against that lawful Constitution which had before determined of it as of a thing unmeet? Which question I leave for them to discuss whom it most concerneth. If the Order be such, that it cannot be kept without hazarding a thing so precious as a good conscience, the peril whereof could be no greater to him, than it needs must be to all others whom it toucheth in like causes; when this is evident, it will be a most effectual motive, not only for England, but also for other reformed Churches, even Geneva itself (for they have the like), to change or take that away which cannot but with great inconvenience be observed. In the meanwhile the breach of it may, in such consideration, be pardoned (which truly I wish, howsoever it be), yet hardly defended as long as it standeth in force uncanceled.

19. Now whereas he confesseth another way had "been more convenient,"† and that he found in himself secret unwillingness to do that which he did, doth he not say plainly, in effect, that the light of his own understanding proved the way that he took perverse and crooked? Reason was so plain and pregnant against it, that his mind was alienated, his will averted to another course, yet somewhat there was which so far over-ruled, that it must need be done even against the very stream; what doth it bewray? Finally, his purposed protestation, whereby he meant openly to make it known, that he did not allow this kind of proceeding, and therefore would satisfy men otherwise, "and deal no more

* [Compare with *sup.* p. 349.]

† [Ibid.]

in this place,"* sheweth his good mind in this, that he meant to stay himself from further offending; but it serveth not his turn. He is blamed because the thing he had done was amiss, and his answer is, That which I would have done afterwards had been well, if so be I had done it.

20. But as in this he standeth persuaded, that he hath done nothing besides duty, so he taketh it hardly, that the High Commissioners should charge him with indiscretion. Wherefore, as if he could so wash his hands, he maketh a long and a large declaration concerning the carriage of himself; how he waded in "matters of smaller weight," and how in things of "greater moment;" how warily he dealt; how "naturally he took his things rising from the text;" how "closely he kept himself to the Scripture he took in hand;" how "much pains he took to confirm the necessity of believing Justification by Christ only," and to shew how "the Church of Rome denieth that a man is saved by Faith alone, without Works of the Law;" what "the Sons of Thunder would have done," if they had been in his case; that his "answer was very temperate, without immodest or reproachful speech;" that when he might "before all have reproved me," he did not, "but contented himself with exhorting me" before all, "to follow Nathan's example, and revisit my Doctrine;" when he might have followed St. Paul's example in "reproving" Peter, he did not, but exhorted me, with Peter, to "endure to be withstood."† This testimony of his discreet carrying himself in the handling of his matter, being more agreeably framed and given him by another than by himself, might make somewhat for the praise of his person; but for defence of his action, unto them by whom he is thought undiscreet for not conferring privately before he spake, will it serve to answer, that when he spake, he did it considerately? He perceiveth it will not, and therefore addeth reasons, such as they are: as, namely, how he purposed at the first to take another course, and that was this, "Publicly to deliver the truth of such doctrine as I had otherwise taught, and at convenient opportunity to confer with me upon such points." Is this the Rule of Christ? If thy brother offend openly in his speech, control it first with contrary speech openly,

* [See *sup.* p. 349.]

† [Ibid. p. 348.]

and confer with him afterwards upon it, when convenient opportunity serveth? Is there any Law of God or of man, whereupon to ground such a resolution? any Church extant in the world, where Teachers are allowed thus to do, or to be done unto? He cannot but see how weak an allegation it is, when he bringeth in his following this course, first in one matter, and so afterwards in another, to approve himself now following it again. For, if the very purpose of doing a thing so uncharitable be a fault, the deed is a greater fault; and doth the doing of it twice, make it the third time fit and allowable to be done? The weight of the Cause, which is his third defence, relieveth him as little. The weightier it was, the more it required considerate advice and consultation, the more it stood him upon to take good heed, that nothing were rashly done or spoken in it. But he meaneth weighty, in regard of the wonderful danger, except he had presently withstood me without expecting a time of conference. "This cause being of such moment that might prejudice the Faith of Christ, encourage the ill-affected to continue still in their damnable ways, and others weak in Faith to suffer themselves to be seduced, to the destruction of their souls, he thought it his bounden duty to speak before he talked with me."* A man that should read this, and not know what I had spoken, might imagine that I had at the least denied the Divinity of Christ. But they which were present at my speech, and can testify that nothing passed my lips more than is contained in their writings, whom, for soundness of doctrine, learning, and judgment, Mr. Travers himself doth, I dare say, not only allow, but honour; they which heard, and do know, that the Doctrine here signified in so fearful manner, the Doctrine that was so dangerous to the Faith of Christ, that was so likely to "encourage ill-affected men to continue still in their damnable ways;" that gave so great cause to tremble for fear of the present "destruction of souls," was only this; "I doubt not but God was merciful to save thousands of our Fathers, living heretofore in Popish Superstitions, inasmuch as they sinned Ignorantly;" and this spoken in a Sermon, the greatest part whereof was against Popery; they will hardly be able to

[See *sup.* p. 344.]

discern how Christianity should herewith be so grievously shaken.

21. Whereby his fourth excuse is also taken from him. For what doth it boot him to say, "The time was short wherein he was to preach after me,"† when his preaching of this matter perhaps ought, surely might have been either very well omitted, or at the least more conveniently for awhile deferred; even by their judgments that cast the most favourable aspect towards these his hasty proceedings. The poison which men had taken at my hands, was not so quick and strong in operation, as in eight days to make them past cure; by eight days' delay, there was no likelihood that the force and power of his speech could die; longer meditation might bring better and stronger proofs to mind, than extemporal dexterity could furnish him with. And, who doth know whether time, the only mother of sound judgment and discreet dealing, might have given that action of his some better ripeness, which by so great festination hath, as a thing born out of time, brought small joy unto him that begat it? Doth he think it had not been better, that neither my speech had seemed in his eyes as an arrow sticking in a thigh of flesh; nor his own as a child whereof he must needs be delivered by an hour? His last way of disburdening himself is, by casting his load upon my back, as if I had brought him by former conferences out of hope, that any fruit would ever come of conferring with me. Loth I am to rip up those conferences, whereof he maketh but a slippery and loose relation. In one of them, the question between us was, Whether the persuasion of Faith concerning remission of sins, eternal life, and whatsoever God doth promise unto man, be as free from doubting, as the persuasion which we have by Sense concerning things tasted, felt, and seen? For the negative, I mentioned their example, whose Faith in Scripture is most commended, and the experience which all faithful men have continually had of themselves. For proof of the affirmative, which he held, I desiring to have some reason, heard nothing but "all good writers" oftentimes inculcated. At the length, upon request to see some one of them, Peter Martyr's Common Places were brought, where the leaves were turned down,

* [See *sup.* p. 346.]

at a place sounding to this effect, "That the Gospel doth make Christians more virtuous than Moral Philosophy doth make Heathens:" which came not near the question by many miles.

22. In the other conference he questioned about the matter of Reprobation, misliking first, That I had termed God a permissive, and no positive Cause of the evil which the Schoolmen do call "*malum culpæ*." Secondly, that to their objection, who say, "If I be elected, do what I will I shall be saved," I had answered, That the will of God in this thing is not absolute, but conditional, to save his elect believing, fearing, and obediently serving him. Thirdly, that to stop the mouths of such as grudge and repine against God for rejecting castaways, I had taught, That they are not rejected, no not in the purpose and counsel of God, without a foreseen worthiness of rejection going, though not in time, yet in order, before. For, if God's electing do in order (as needs it must) presuppose the foresight of their *being* that are elected, though they be elected before they *be*; nor only the positive foresight of their being, but also the permissive of their being miserable, because election is through mercy, and mercy doth always presuppose misery: it followeth, that the very chosen of God acknowledge, to the praise of the riches of his exceeding free compassion, that when he in his secret determination set it down, "Those shall live and not die," they lay as ugly spectacles before him, as lepers covered with dung and mire, as ulcers putrefied in their father's loins, miserable, worthy to be had in detestation; and shall any forsaken creature be able to say unto God, Thou didst plunge me into the depth, and assign me unto endless torments, only to satisfy thine own will, finding nothing in me for which I could seem in thy sight so well worthy to feel everlasting flames?

23. When I saw that Mr. Travers carped at these things, only because they lay not open, I promised at some convenient time to make them clear as light, both to him and all others. Which, if they that reprove me will not grant me leave to do, they must think that they are for some cause or other more desirous to have me reputed an unsound man, than willing that my sincere meaning should appear and be approved. When I was farther asked, What

my grounds were? I answered, That St. Paul's words concerning this cause were my grounds. His next demand, What author I did follow in expounding St. Paul, and gathering the doctrine out of his words, against the judgment (he saith) "of all Churches and all good writers?" I was well assured, that to control this over-reaching speech, the sentences which I might have cited out of Church-confessions, together with the best learned monuments of former times, and not the meanest of our own, were mo [more] in number than perhaps he would willingly have heard of: but what had this bootied me? For, although he himself in generality do much use those formal speeches, "all Churches," and "all good writers," yet as he holdeth it in the pulpit lawful to say in general, the Painims think this, or the Heathens that, but utterful unlawful to cite any sentence of theirs that say it; so he gave me at that time great cause to think, that my particular alleging of other men's words, to shew their agreement with mine, would as much have displeased his mind, as the thing itself for which it had been alleged: for he knoweth how often he hath in public place bitten me for this, although I did never in any Sermon use many of the sentences of other writers, and do make most without any; having always thought it meetest, neither to affect nor to contemn the use of them.

24. He is not ignorant, that in the very entrance to the talk which we had privately at that time, to prove it unlawful altogether in preaching, either for confirmation, declaration, or otherwise, to cite any thing but mere Canonical Scripture, he brought in, "The Scripture is given ^[2 Tim. iii. 16, 17.] by inspiration, and is profitable to teach, improve, &c." urging much the vigour of these two clauses, "the man of God," and "every good work." If therefore the Work were good which he required at my hands, if privately to shew why I thought the doctrine I had delivered to be according to St. Paul's meaning, were a good work, can they which take the place before alleged for a Law, condemning every man of God, who in doing the work of preaching any way useth human authority, like it in me, if in the Work of strength'ning that which I had preached, I should bring forth the testimonies and the sayings of mortal men? I alleged therefore that which might under no

pretence in the world be disallowed, namely, Reasons; not meaning thereby my own reason as now it is reported, but true, sound, divine reason; reason whereby those conclusions might be out of St. Paul demonstrated, and not probably discoursed of only; reason, proper to that science whereby the things of God are known; theological reason, which out of principles in Scripture that are plain, soundly deduceth more doubtful inferences, in such sort, that being heard they cannot be denied, nor any thing repugnant unto them received; but whatsoever was before otherwise by miscollecting gathered out of dark places, is thereby forced to yield itself, and the true consonant meaning of sentences not understood is brought to light. This is the reason which I intended. If it were possible for me to escape the ferula in any thing I do or speak, I had undoubtedly escaped it in this. In this I did that which by some is enjoined as the only allowable, but granted by all as the most sure and safe way, whereby to resolve things doubted of in matters appertaining to Faith and Christian Religion. So that Mr. Travers had here small cause given him to be weary of conferring, unless it were in other respects than that poor one which is here pretended, that is to say, the little hope he had of doing me any good by conference.

25. Yet behold his first reason of not complaining to the High Commission is, That sith I offended only through an over-charitable inclination, he conceived good hope, when I should see the Truth cleared, and some scruples which were in my mind removed by his diligence, I would yield.* But what experience soever he had of former conferences, how small soever his hope was that fruit would come of it, if he should have conferred, will any man judge this a cause sufficient, why to open his mouth in public, without any one word privately spoken? He might have considered that men do sometimes reap, where they sow but with small hope; he might have considered, that although unto me (whereof he was not certain neither), but if to me his labour should be as water spilt or poured into a torn dish, yet to him it could not be fruitless to do that which Order in Christian Churches, that which charity amongst

* [See *sup.* p. 348.]

Christian men, that which at many men's hands, even common humanity itself, at his, many other things besides, did require. What fruit could there come of his open contradicting in so great haste, with so small advice, but such as must needs be unpleasant, and mingled with much acerbity? Surely, he which will take upon him to defend, that in this there was no oversight, must beware, lest by such defences he leave an opinion dwelling in the minds of men, that he is more stiff to maintain what he hath done, than careful to do nothing but that which may justly be maintained.

26. Thus have I, as near as I could, seriously answered things of weight: with smaller I have dealt as I thought their quality did require. I take no joy in striving, I have not been nuzzled or trained up in it. I would to Christ they which have at this present enforced me hereunto, had so ruled their hands in any reasonable time, that I might never have been constrained to strike so much as in mine own defence. Wherefore to prosecute this long and tedious contention no further, I shall wish that your Grace, and their Honours (unto whose intelligence the dutiful regard which I have of their judgments maketh me desirous, that as accusations have been brought against me, so that this my Answer thereunto may likewise come), did both with the one and the other, as Constantine with books containing querelous matter. Whether this be convenient to be wisht or no, I cannot tell: but sith there can come nothing of contention, but the mutual waste of the parties contending, till a common enemy dance in the ashes of them both, I do wish heartily that the grave advice which Constantine gave for re-uniting of his Clergy so many times, upon so small occasions, in so lamentable sort divided; or rather the strict commandment of Christ unto his, that they should not be divided at all; may at the length, if it be his blessed will, prevail so far, at the least in this corner of the Christian world, to the burying and quite forgetting of strife, together with the causes which have either bred it, or brought it up: that things of small moment never disjoin them, whom one God, one Lord, one Faith, one Spirit, one Baptism, bands of so great force, have linked: that a respective eye towards things wherewith we should not be disquieted, make us not, as through infirmity the very

Patriarchs themselves sometimes were, full gorged, unable to speak peaceably to their own brother: finally, that no strife may ever be heard of again, but this,—who shall hate strife most, who shall pursue peace and unity with swiftest paces!*

* [Dr. WORDSWORTH, *Eccl. Biog.* edit. 1818, says at p. 205, “Respecting the point on which he incurred the censure of Mr. Travers, Hooker did not hesitate to maintain the same distinction in his *Eccles. Pol.* Book V. Sect. 49.]

A
DISCOURSE

OF

JUSTIFICATION, WORKS, AND HOW THE FOUNDATION OF
FAITH IS OVERTHROWN.*

“The wicked doth compass about the righteous; therefore perverse judgment doth
proceed.” HABAK. i. 4.

1. For the better manifestation of the Prophet's meaning in this place, we are, first, to consider “the Wicked,” of whom he saith, that they “compass about the righteous:” secondly, “the Righteous” that are compassed about by them: and thirdly, that which is inferred; “Therefore perverse judgment proceedeth.” Touching the first, there are two kinds of wicked men, of whom in the fifth of the former to the Corinthians, the blessed Apostle speaketh thus, “Do ye not judge them that are within? but God judgeth them that are without.” There are wicked, therefore, whom the Church may judge, and there are wicked whom God only judgeth: wicked within, and wicked without, the walls of the Church. If within the Church, particular persons be apparently such,

* [Printed at Oxford, 1612, 4to. “To the Christian Reader.—Whereas many, desirous of resolution in some points handled in this Learned Discourse, were earnest to have it copied out; to ease so many labours, it hath been thought most worthy and very necessary to be printed; that not only they might be satisfied, but the whole Church also hereby edified. The rather, because it will free the Author from the suspicion of some errors, which he hath been thought to have favoured. Who might well have answered with Cremutius in Tacitus, ‘Verba mea arguuntur; adeo factorum innocens sum’ (Annal. lib. iv.). Certainly the event of that time wherein he lived, shewed that to be true which the same Author spake of a worse, ‘Cui deerat inimicus, per amicos oppressus;’ and that there is not ‘minus periculum ex magna fama, quam ex mala’ (Hist. lib. i.). But he hath so quit himself, that all may see how, as it was said of Agricola, ‘Simul suis virtutibus, simul vitiis aliorum, in ipsam gloriam præceps agebatur’ (In Vita Agric.). Touching whom I will say no more, but that which my Author said of the same man, ‘Integritatem, &c. in tanto viro referre, injuria virtutum fuerit.’ But as of all other his writings, so of this I will add that which Velleius spake in commendation of Piso, ‘Nemo fuit, qui magis quæ agenda erant curaret, sine ulla ostentatione agendi’ (Lib. ii.). So not doubting, good Christian Reader, of thy assent herein, but wishing thy favourable acceptance of this work (which will be an inducement to set forth others of his learned labours), I take my leave, from Corpus Christi College in Oxford, the 6th of July, 1612.* Thine in Christ Jesus, HENRY JACKSON.†]

* [This date is not in the Edition of 1612.]

† [See Vol. I. Dr. King's Letter.]

as cannot otherwise be reformed, the rule of the Apostolical judgment is this, “Separate them from among you:” if whole assemblies, this, “Separate yourselves from among them: for what society hath light with darkness?” But the wicked, whom the Prophet meaneth, were Babylonians, and therefore, without. For which cause we have heard at large heretofore in what sort he urgeth God to judge them.

2. Now concerning the Righteous, there neither is, nor ever was, any mere natural man absolutely righteous in himself; that is to say, void of all unrighteousness, of all sin. We dare not except, no not the Blessed Virgin herself, of whom, although we say with St. Augustine, for the honour’s sake which we owe to our Lord and Saviour Christ, we are not willing, in this cause, to move any question of his Mother; yet forasmuch as the Schools of Rome have made it a question, we may answer with Eusebius Emisenus,* who speaketh of her, and to her, in this effect; “Thou didst by special prerogative nine months together entertain within the closet of thy flesh the hope of all the ends of the earth, the honour of the world, the common joy of men. He, from whom all things had their beginning, had his beginning from thee; of thy body he took the blood which was to be shed for the life of the world; of thee he took that which even for thee he paid. *A peccati enim veteris nexu, per se† non est immunis ipsa Genitrix Redemptoris*: The Mother of the Redeemer herself is not otherwise loosed from the bond of ancient sin, than by Redemption.” If Christ have paid a ransom for all, even for her, it followeth, that all, without exception, were captives. If one have died for all, then all were dead in sin; all sinful, therefore, none absolutely righteous in themselves; but we are absolutely righteous in Christ. The world then must shew a righteous man, otherwise it is not able to shew a man that is perfectly righteous: “Christ is made to us wisdom, justice, sanctification, and redemption:” Wisdom, because he hath revealed his Father’s will; Justice, because he hath offered up himself a sacrifice for sin; Sanctification, because he hath given us his Spirit; Redemption, because he hath appointed a day to vindicate

[2 Cor. v.
14—21.]

[1 Cor. i.
30.]

• Or whosoever it be, that was the author of those Homilies, that go under his name.

† Knowing how the Schoolmen hold this question, some critical wits may perhaps half suspect that these two words, *per se*, are iumates. But, if the place which they have be their own, their sense can be none other than that which I have given them by a paraphrastical interpretation.

his children out of the bands of corruption into liberty which is glorious. How Christ is made Wisdom, and how Redemption, it may be declared when occasion serveth; but how Christ is made the Righteousness of men, we are now to declare.

3. There is a glorifying righteousness of men in the world to come; as there is a justifying and sanctifying righteousness here. The righteousness, wherewith we shall be clothed in the world to come, is both perfect and inherent. That whereby here we are justified is perfect, but not inherent: that whereby we are sanctified is inherent, but not perfect. This openeth a way to the understanding of that grand question, which hangeth yet in controversy between us and the Church of Rome, about the matter of Justifying Righteousness.

4. First, although they imagine that the Mother of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ were for his honour, and by his special protection, preserved clean from all sin; yet touching the rest, they teach as we do, That Infants which never did actually offend, have their natures defiled, destitute of justice, averted from God; that in making man righteous, none do efficiently work with God, but God. They teach as we do, That unto justice no man ever attained, but by the merits of Jesus Christ.* They teach as we do, That although Christ as God be the efficient, as man the meritorious cause of our justice; yet in us also there is something required.† God is the cause of our natural life; in him we live: but he quick'neth not the body without the soul in the body. Christ hath merited to make us just: but as a medicine which is made for health, doth not heal by being made, but by being applied; so, by the merits of Christ there can be no Justification, without the application of his merits. Thus far we join hands with the Church of Rome.

They teach as we do, that God doth justify the soul of man alone, without any co-effective cause of justice.

5. Wherein then do we disagree? We disagree about the nature and essence of the medicine whereby Christ cureth our disease; about the manner of applying it; about the number and the power of means, which God requireth in us for the effectual applying thereof to our soul's comfort. When they are required to shew what the righteousness is whereby a Christian man is justified, they answer, That it is a divine spiritual quality; which quality received into the

The difference betwixt the Papists and us about Justification.

* "Deus sine medio coeffectivo animam justificat." Casal. de quadripart. just. lib. i. cap. 8.

† *Idem*. lib. iii. cap. 9.

soul, doth first make it to be one of them who are born of God: and, secondly, indue it with a power to bring forth such Works, as they do that are born of him; even as the soul of man being joined to his body, doth first make him to be of the number of reasonable creatures, and secondly enable him to perform the natural functions which are proper to his kind; that it maketh the soul amiable and gracious in the sight of God, in regard whereof it is termed Grace; that it purgeth, purifieth, and washeth out, all the stains and pollutions of sin; that by it, through the Merit of Christ, we are delivered as from sin, so from eternal death and condemnation, the reward of sin. This Grace they will have to be applied by infusion; to the end, that as the body is warm by the heat which is in the body, so the soul might be righteous by the inherent Grace: which Grace they make capable of increase; as the body may be more and more warm, so the soul more and more justified, according as Grace shall be augmented; the augmentation whereof is merited by Good Works, as good works are made meritorious by it.* Wherefore, the first receipt of Grace, in their divinity, is the first Justification; the increase thereof, the second Justification. As Grace may be increased by the merit of Good Works; so it may be diminished by the demerit of sins Venial; it may be lost by Mortal sin. Inasmuch, therefore, as it is needful in the one case to repair, in the other to recover, the loss which is made; the infusion of Grace hath her sundry after-meals; for the which cause they make many ways to apply the infusion of Grace. It is applied to Infants through Baptism, without either Faith or Works, and in them really it taketh away Original Sin, and the punishment due unto it; it is applied to Infidels and wicked men in the first Justification, through Baptism without Works, yet not without Faith; and it taketh away both sins Actual and Original together, with all whatsoever punishment, eternal or temporal, thereby deserved. Unto such as have attained the first Justification, that is to say, the first receipt of Grace, it is applied farther by Good

* Tho. Aquin. 1. 2. quæst. 100. "Gratia gratum faciens, id est, justificans, est in anima quiddam reale et positivum, qualitas quædam (art. ii. concl.) supernaturalis, non eadem cum virtute infusa, ut magister; sed aliquid (art. iii.) præter virtutes infusas, fidem, spem, charitatem, habitudo quædam (art. iii. ad 3.) quæ præsupponitur in virtutibus istis sicut earum principium et radix; essentiam animæ tanquam subjectum occupat, non potentias, sed ab ipsa (art. iv. ad 1.) effluunt virtutes in potentias animæ, per quas potentiæ moventur ad actus." Plura vid. quæst. 113. de Justificatione.

Works to the increase of former Grace, which is the second Justification. If they work more and more, Grace doth more increase, and they are more and more justified. To such as [have] diminished it by Venial sins, it is applied by Holy Water, Ave Marias, Crossings, Papal Salutations, and such like, which serve for reparations of Grace decayed. To such as have lost it through Mortal sin, it is applied by the Sacrament (as they term it) of Penance; which Sacrament hath force to confer Grace anew, yet in such sort, that being so conferred, it hath not altogether so much power as at the first. For it only cleanseth out the stain or guilt of sin committed, and changeth the punishment eternal into a temporal satisfactory punishment here, if time do serve; if not, hereafter to be endured, except it be lightened by Masses, Works of Charity, Pilgrimages, Fasts, and such like; or else shortened by pardon for term, or by plenary pardon quite removed and taken away. This is the mystery of the Man of sin. This maze the Church of Rome doth cause her followers to tread, when they ask her the way to Justification. I cannot stand now to unrip this building, and to sift it piece by piece; only I will pass by it in few words, that that may befall Babylon, in the presence of that ^[1 Sam. v.] which God hath builded, as happened unto Dagon before the Ark.

6. "Doubtless (saith the Apostle), I have counted all ^{Phil. i. 1. 8, 9.} things loss, and judge them to be dung, that I may win Christ, and be found in him, not having my own righteousness, but that which is through the Faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God through Faith." Whether they speak of the first or second Justification, they make it the essence of a divine quality inherent, they make it righteousness which is *in us*. If it be *in us*, then is it ours, as our souls are ours, though we have them from God, and can hold them no longer than pleaseth him; for if he withdraw the breath of our nostrils, we fall to dust: but the righteousness wherein we must be found, if we will be justified, is not our own; therefore we cannot be justified by any inherent quality. Christ hath merited righteousness for as many as are found *in him*. *In him* God findeth us, if we be faithful; for by Faith we are incorporated into Christ. Then, although in ourselves we be altogether sinful and unrighteous, yet even the man which is impious in himself,

2 Cor.
v. 21.

Rom.
iv. 5.

1 John
iii. 7.

[Rom.
iv.]
[Jam. ii.]

Rom.
vi. 22.

full of iniquity, full of sin; him being found in Christ through Faith, and having his sin remitted through Repentance; him God upholdeth with a gracious eye, putteth away his sin by not imputing, taketh quite away the punishment due thereunto by pardoning it, and accepteth him in Jesus Christ, as perfectly righteous as if he had fulfilled all that was commanded him in the Law; shall I say more perfectly righteous than if himself had fulfilled the whole Law? I must take heed what I say: but the Apostle saith, "God made him to be sin for us, who knew no sin; that we might be made the righteousness of God in him." Such we are in the sight of God the Father, as is the very Son of God himself. Let it be counted folly, or frenzy, or fury, whatsoever, it is our comfort, and our wisdom; we care for no knowledge in the world but this, That man hath sinned, and God hath suffered; that God hath made himself the Son of man, and that men are made the righteousness of God. You see, therefore, that the Church of Rome, in teaching Justification by inherent Grace, doth pervert the truth of Christ; and that by the hands of the Apostles we have received otherwise than she teacheth. Now concerning the righteousness of Sanctification, we deny it not to be inherent; we grant, that unless we work, we have it not; only we distinguish it a thing different in nature from the righteousness of Justification: we are righteous the one way, by the Faith of Abraham; the other way, except we do the Works of Abraham, we are not righteous. Of the one, St. Paul, "To him that worketh not, but believeth, Faith is counted for righteousness." Of the other, St. John, "Qui facit justitiam, justus est; He is righteous which worketh righteousness." Of the one, St. Paul doth prove by Abraham's example, that we have it of Faith without Works. Of the other, St. James by Abraham's example, that by Works we have it, and not only by Faith. St. Paul doth plainly sever these two parts of Christian righteousness one from the other. For in the Sixth to the Romans thus he writeth, "Being freed from sin, and made servants to God, ye have your fruit in holiness, and the end everlasting life." "Ye are made free from sin, and made servants unto God;" this is the righteousness of Justification: "Ye have your fruit in holiness;" this is the righteousness of Sanctification. By the one we are interested in the right of inheriting, by the other we are brought to

the actual possession of eternal bliss, and so the end of both is “everlasting life.”

7. The Prophet Habakkuk doth here term the Jews “righteous” men, not only because being justified by Faith they were free from sin; but also because they had their measure of fruits in holiness. According to whose example of charitable judgment, which leaveth it to God to discern what we are, and speaketh of them according to that which they do profess themselves to be, although they be not holy men whom men do think, but whom God doth know indeed to be such; yet let every Christian man know, that in Christian equity, he standeth bound for to think and speak of his brethren, as of men that have measure in the fruit of holiness, and a right unto the titles wherewith God, in token of special favour and mercy, vouchsafeth to honour his chosen servants. So we see the Apostles of our Saviour Christ do use every where the name of “Saints;”^{*} so the Prophet, the name of “Righteous.” But let us all be such as we desire to be termed: “*Reatus impii est pium nomen*,” saith *Salvianus*; Godly names do not justify godless men. We are but upbraided, when we are honoured with names and titles whereunto our lives and manners are not suitable. If indeed we have our fruit in holiness, notwithstanding we must note, that the more we abound therein, the more need we have to crave that we may be strength’ned and supported. Our very virtues may be snares unto us. The enemy that waiteth for all occasions to work our ruin, hath found it harder to overthrow an humble sinner, than a proud Saint. There is no man’s case so dangerous as his whom Satan hath persuaded that his own righteousness shall present him pure and blameless in the sight of God. If we could say, we were not guilty of any thing at all in our consciences (we know ourselves far from this innocency; we cannot say, we know nothing by ourselves, but if we could), should we therefore plead not guilty before the presence of our Judge, that sees further into our hearts than we ourselves can do? If our hands did never offer violence to our brethren, a bloody thought doth prove us murderers before Him: if we had never opened our mouth to utter any scandalous, offensive, or hurtful word, the cry of our secret cogitations is

* [The ancients very seldom gave this epithet even to the evangelists and apostles: thus, *TERTULL.* (*de Præsc.* p. 78.) “*A Petro ordinatum.*” “*Ab Johanne conlocatum.*”]

heard in the ears of God. If we did not commit the sins, which daily and hourly either in deed, word, or thoughts we do commit; yet in the good things which we do, how many defects are there intermingled! God, in that which is done, respecteth the mind and intention of the doer. Cut off then all those things wherein we have regarded our own glory; those things which men do to please men, and to satisfy our own liking; those things which we do by any respect, not sincerely and purely for the love of God; and a small score will serve for the number of our righteous deeds. Let the holiest and best thing we do be considered: we are never better affected unto God than when we pray; yet when we pray, how are our affections many times distracted! How little reverence do we shew unto the grand Majesty of God, unto whom we speak! How little remorse of our own miseries! How little taste of the sweet influence of his tender mercies do we feel! Are we not as unwilling many times to begin, and as glad to make an end; as if in saying, "Call upon me," he had set us a very burdensome task? It may seem somewhat extreme, which I will speak; therefore let every one judge of it, even as his own heart shall tell him, and no otherwise; I will but only make a demand: If God should yield unto us, not as unto Abraham, if fifty, forty, thirty, twenty, yea, or if ten good persons could be found in a city, for their sakes that city should not be destroyed; but, and if he should make us an offer thus large, Search all the generations of men sithence the fall of our father Adam, find one man, that hath done one action, which hath past from him pure, without any stain or blemish at all; and for that one man's one only action, neither Man nor Angel shall feel the torments which are prepared for both; do you think that this ransom, to deliver Men and Angels, could be found to be among the sons of men? The best things which we do have somewhat in them to be pardoned. How then can we do any thing meritorious, or worthy to be rewarded? Indeed, God doth liberally promise whatsoever appertaineth to a blessed life, to as many as sincerely keep his Law, though they be not exactly able to keep it. Wherefore, we acknowledge a dutiful necessity of doing well; but the meritorious dignity of doing well we utterly renounce. We see how far we are from the perfect righteousness of the Law: the little fruit which we have in

holiness, it is, God knoweth, corrupt and unsound; we put no confidence at all in it, we challenge nothing in the world for it, we dare not call God to reckoning, as if we had him in our debt-books: our continual suit to him is, and must be, To bear with our infirmities, and pardon our offences.

8. But the people of whom the Prophet speaketh, were they all, or were the most part of them, such as had care to walk uprightly? Did they thirst after righteousness? did they wish, did they long with the righteous Prophet, “O ^[Psalm cxix. 5.] that our ways were made so direct that we might keep thy Statutes?” Did they lament with the righteous Apostle, “O miserable men, the good which we wish and purpose, ^{Rom. vii. 19.} and strive to do, we cannot?” No; the words of the other Prophet concerning this people do shew the contrary. How grievously doth Esay mourn over them! “O sinful ^{Isa. i. 4.} nation, laden with iniquity, wicked seed, corrupt children!” All which notwithstanding, so wide are the bowels of his compassion enlarged, that he denieth us not, no not when we were laden with iniquity, leave to commune familiarly with him; liberty to crave and entreat, that what plagues soever we have deserved, we may not be in worse case than unbelievers, that we may not be hemmed in by Pagans and Infidels. Jerusalem is a sinful, polluted, city; but Jerusalem compared with Babylon is righteous. And shall the righteous be overborne? Shall they be compast about by the wicked? But the Prophet doth not only complain, Lord, how cometh it to pass that thou handlest us so hardly, of whom thy name is called, and bearest with the Heathen nations, that despise thee? no, he breaketh out through extremity of grief, and inferreth violently, This “proceeding is perverse,” the “righteous” are thus handled; “therefore perverse judgment doth proceed.”

9. Which illation containeth many things, whereof it were better much both for you to hear, and me to speak, if necessity did not draw me to another task. Paul and Barnabas being requested to preach the same things again ^{Acts xiii. 42—44.} which once they had preached, thought it their duties to satisfy the godly desires of men sincerely affected to the truth. Nor may it seem burdenous to me, or for you unprofitable, that I follow their example, for the like occasion unto theirs being offered me. When we had last the Epistle of St. Paul to the Hebrews in hand, and of that Epistle

Heb.
i. 2.

these words, “ In these last days he hath spoken unto us by his Son;” after we had thence collected the nature of the visible Church of Christ, and had defined it to be a community of men sanctified* through the profession of the truth which God hath taught the world by his Son; and had declared, that the scope of Christian doctrine is the comfort of them whose hearts are overcharged with the burden of sin; and had proved that the doctrine professed in the Church of Rome, doth bereave men of comfort, both in their lives, and in their deaths; the conclusion in the end, whereunto we came, was this, The Church of Rome being in faith so corrupted, as she is, and refusing to be reformed, as she doth, we are to sever ourselves from her: the example of our Fathers may not retain us in communion with that Church, under hope that we so continuing, may be saved as well as they.† God, I doubt not, was merciful to save thousands of them, though they lived in Popish Superstitions, inasmuch as they sinned Ignorantly: but the truth is now laid before our eyes. The former part of this last sentence, namely, these words, *I doubt not but God was merciful to save thousands of our Fathers living in Popish Superstitions, inasmuch as they sinned Ignorantly;* this sentence I beseech you to mark, and to sift it with the severity of austere judgment, that if it be found to be gold, it may be suitable to the precious foundation whereon it was then laid; for I protest, that if it be hay or stubble, my own hand shall set fire to it. Two questions have risen by this speech before alleged: the one, Whether our Fathers, infected with Popish errors and superstitions, may be saved? the other, Whether their Ignorance be a reasonable inducement to make us think they might? We are then to examine, first, what possibility; then, what probability there is, that God might be merciful unto so many of our Fathers.

[1 Cor.
iii. 12.]

10. So many of our Fathers living in Popish Superstitions, yet by the mercy of God to be saved? No; this could not be: God hath spoken by his Angel from Heaven unto

* By sanctification, I mean a separation from others not professing as they do. For true holiness consisteth not in professing, but in obeying the truth of Christ.

† [The text quoted in p. 378, 2 Cor. vi. 17, refers to infidels and idolaters. If then it were allowable to “separate” from the Church of Rome, on the grounds Hooker states, surely it is equally allowable for any Christian after deliberate reflection to separate himself from any other communion, which *he* may judge sufficiently defective: and, who shall be the umpire between him and his conscience? Rom. xiv. 4, 5.]

his people concerning Babylon (by Babylon we understand the Church of Rome); “Go out of her, my people, that you be not partakers of her plagues.” For answer whereunto, first, I do not take the words to be meant only of temporal plagues, of the corporal death, sorrow, famine, and fire, whereunto God in his wrath hath condemned Babylon; and that to save his chosen people from these plagues, he saith, “Go out;” with like intent, as in the Gospel, speaking of Jerusalem’s desolations, he saith, “Let them that are in Judea flee unto the mountains, and them that are in the midst thereof depart out;” or, as in former times to Lot, “Arise, take thy wife and thy daughters which are there, lest thou be destroyed in the punishment of the city:” but, forasmuch as here it is said, “Go out of Babylon;” we doubt, their everlasting destruction, which are partakers therein, is either principally meant, or necessarily implied in this sentence. How then was it possible for so many of our Fathers to be saved, sith they were so far from departing out of Babylon, that they took her for their Mother, and in her bosom yielded up the ghost?

11. First, for the plagues being threatened unto them that are “partakers” in the sins of Babylon, we can define nothing concerning our Fathers out of this sentence; unless we shew what the sins of Babylon be, and what they be which are such partakers of them, that their everlasting plagues are inevitable. The sins which may be common both to them of the Church of Rome, and to others departed thence, must be severed from this question. He which saith, “Depart out of Babylon, lest you be partakers of her sins,” sheweth plainly, that he meaneth such sins, as except we separate ourselves, we have no power in the world to avoid; such impieties, as by the Law they have established, and whereunto all that are among them, either do indeed assent, or else are, by powerable means forced in shew and appearance to subject themselves. As, for example, in the Church of Rome it is maintained, that the same credit and reverence that we give to the Scriptures of God, ought also to be given to unwritten verities; that the Pope is Supreme Head Ministerial over the universal Church Militant; that the bread in the Eucharist is transubstantiated into Christ; that it is to be adored, and to be offered up unto God, as a sacrifice propitiatory for quick

and dead; that images are to be worshipped; Saints to be called upon as intercessors; and such like. Now, because some Heresies do concern things only believed, as the Transubstantiation of the Sacramental Elements in the Eucharist; some concern things which are practised and put in ure, as the Adoration of the elements transubstantiated; we must note, that erroneously, the practice of that is sometime received, whereof the doctrine, that teacheth it, is not heretically maintained. They are all partakers in the maintenance of Heresies, who by word or deed allow them, knowing them, although not knowing them to be Heresies; as also they, and that most dangerously of all others, who knowing Heresy to be Heresy, do notwithstanding, in worldly respects, make semblance of allowing that, which in heart and judgment they condemn: but Heresy is heretically maintained, by such as obstinately hold it after wholesome admonition. Of the last sort, as of the next before, I make no doubt, but that their condemnation, without an actual repentance, is inevitable. Lest any man therefore should think, that in speaking of our Fathers, I should speak indifferently of them all; let my words, I beseech you, be well marked, *I doubt not but God was merciful to save thousands of our Fathers*: which thing I will now, by God's assistance, set more plainly before your eyes.

12. Many are partakers of the Error, which are not of the Heresy of the Church of Rome. The People following the conduct of their Guides, and observing as they did, exactly that which was prescribed, thought they did God good service, when indeed they did dishonour him.* This was their error: but the Heresy of the Church of Rome, their dogmatical positions opposite unto Christian truth, what one man among ten thousand did ever understand? Of them, which understand Roman Heresies, and allow them, all are not alike partakers in the action of allowing. Some allow them as the first founders and establishers of them; which crime toucheth none but their Popes and Councils; the

* [Hence the necessity of exercising private judgment in matters of religion! 1 John iv. 1. This right (it has been remarked) was not questioned before the corruption of the Christian Faith; because the Clergy entertained no fears of being detected in teaching new Doctrines of men's invention! "The Hearers," says St. BASIL, "that are instructed in the Scriptures, must examine the Doctrines of their Teachers, they must receive those things which are agreeable to Scripture, and reject what are contrary to it." *Mor. Reg. cap. 72, in init.*]

People are clear and free from this. Of them which maintain Popish Heresies, not as authors, but receivers of them from others, all maintain them not as masters. In this are not the People partakers neither, but only the Predicants and Schoolmen. Of them which have been partakers in this sin of teaching Popish Heresy, there is also a difference; for they have not all been teachers of all Popish Heresies. “Put a difference (saith St. Jude); have compassion upon Ver. 22. some.” Shall we lay up all in one condition? shall we cast them all headlong? shall we plunge them all into that infernal and everlasting flaming lake? Them that have been partakers of the Errors of Babylon, together with them which are in the Heresy? them which have been the authors of Heresy, with them that by terror and violence have been forced to receive it? them who have taught it, with them whose simplicity hath by sleights and conveyances of false teachers been seduced to believe it? them which have been partakers in one, with them which have been partakers in many? them which in many, with them which in all?

13. Notwithstanding I grant, that although the condemnation of them, be more tolerable than of these; yet from the man that laboureth at the plough, to him that sitteth in the Vatican; to all “partakers” in the sins of Babylon; to our Fathers, though they did but erroneously practise that which the Guides heretically taught; to all, without exception, plagues were due. The pit is ordinarily the end, as well of the guide, as of the guided in blindness. But worth the hour wherein we were born, except we might promise ourselves better things; things which accompany [Heb. vi. 9.] man’s salvation, even where we know that worse, and such as accompany condemnation, are due. Then must we shew some way how possibly they might escape. What way is there that sinners can find to escape the judgment of God, but only by appealing to the seat of his saving Mercy? Which mercy, with Origen, we do not extend to devils and damned spirits. God hath mercy upon thousands, but there be thousands also which he hardeneth. Christ hath therefore set the bounds, he hath fixed the limits of his saving mercy within the compass of these terms, “God sent John iii. 17. not his own son to condemn the world, but that the world through him might be saved.” In the third of St. John’s Gospel, mercy is restrained to believers; “He that believeth

John
iii. 18.

shall not be condemned: he that believeth not, is condemned already, because he believed not in the Son of God." In the second of the Revelation, mercy is restrained to the penitent: for of Jezebel and her sectaries thus he speaketh,

Rev. ii.
21—23.

"I gave her space to repent, and she repented not. Behold, I will cast her into a bed, and them that commit fornication with her into a great affliction, except they repent them of their works; and I will kill her children with death." Our hope therefore of the Fathers is, *if* they were not altogether faithless and impenitent.

14. They are not all faithless that are weak in assenting to the Truth, or stiff in maintaining things any way opposite to the truth of Christian doctrine. But as many as hold the Foundation which is precious, though they hold it but weakly, and as it were with a slender thread, although they frame many base and unsuitable things upon it, things that cannot abide the trial of the fire; yet shall they pass the fiery trial and be saved, which indeed have builded themselves upon the Rock, which is the foundation of the Church. If then our Fathers did not hold the Foundation of Faith, there is no doubt but they were faithless. If many of them held it, then is therein no impediment but many of them might be saved. Then let us see what the Foundation of Faith is, and whether we may think that thousands of our Fathers being in Popish Superstitions, did notwithstanding hold the foundation.

15. If the foundation of Faith do import the general ground whereupon we rest when we do believe; the Writings of the Evangelists and the Apostles are the foundation of Christian Faith: "*Credimus quia legimus*," saith St. Jerome. O that the Church of Rome did as soundly interpret* these fundamental Writings whereupon we build our Faith, as she doth willingly hold and embrace them!

1 Tim.
iii. 16.

16. But if the name of Foundation do note the principal thing which is believed; then is that the foundation of our Faith which St. Paul hath to Timothy, "God manifested in the flesh, justified in the Spirit, &c.:" that of Nathanael,

John
i. 49.
iv. 42.

"Thou art the Son of the living God; thou art the King of Israel:" that of the inhabitants of Samaria, "This is

* They misinterpret, not only by making false and corrupt glosses upon the Scripture, but also by forcing the old vulgar Translation as the only authentical, howbeit, they refuse no Book which is Canonical, though they admit sundry which are not.

Christ the Saviour of the world:" he that directly denieth this, doth utterly raze the very foundation of our Faith. I have proved heretofore, that although the Church of Rome hath played the harlot worse than ever did Israel, yet are they not, as now the Synagogue of the Jews which plainly deny Christ Jesus, quite and clean excluded from the New Covenant. But as Samaria compared with Jerusalem is termed *Aholah*, a Church or Tabernacle of her own; contrariwise Jerusalem, *Aholibah*, the resting place of the Lord; so, whatsoever we term the Church of Rome, when we compare her with reformed Churches, still we put a difference, as then between Babylon and Samaria, so now between Rome and the Heathenish assemblies. Which opinion I must and will recall; I must grant and will, that the Church of Rome, together with all her children, is clean excluded; there is no difference in the world between our Fathers and Saracens, Turks and Painims, if they did directly deny Christ crucified for the salvation of the world.

17. But how many millions of them were known so to have ended their mortal lives, that the drawing of their breath hath ceased with the uttering of this Faith, "Christ my Saviour, my Redeemer Jesus?" Answer is made, "That this they might unfeignedly confess, and yet be far enough from salvation. For behold, saith the Apostle, "I, Paul, Gal. say unto you, that if you be circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing." Christ, in the work of man's salvation, is alone: the Galatians were cast away by joining Circumcision and the other Rites of the Law with Christ: the Church of Rome doth teach her children to join other things likewise with him; therefore their Faith, their Belief, doth not profit them any thing at all." It is true, that they do indeed join other things with Christ; but how? Not in the work of redemption itself, which they grant that Christ alone hath performed sufficiently for the salvation of the whole world; but in the application of this inestimable treasure, that it may be effectual to their salvation: how demurely soever they confess that they seek remission of sins no otherwise than by the blood of Christ, using humbly the means appointed by him to apply the benefit of holy blood; they teach, indeed, so many things pernicious in Christian Faith, in setting down the means whereof they speak, that the

very Foundation of Faith which they hold, is thereby plainly overthrown,* and the force of the blood of Jesus Christ extinguished. We may therefore dispute with them, urge them even with as dangerous sequels as the Apostle doth the Galatians. But I demand, if some of those Galatians, heartily embracing the Gospel of Christ, sincere and sound in Faith (this one only error excepted) had ended their lives before they were ever taught how perilous an opinion they held; shall we think that the damage of this error did so overweigh the benefit of their Faith, that the mercy of God might not save them? I grant they overthrew the very Foundation of Faith by consequent: doth not that so likewise which the Lutheran Churches do at this day so stiffly and so firmly maintain?† For mine own part, I dare not here deny the possibility of their salvation, which have been the chiefest instruments of ours, albeit they carried to their grave a persuasion so greatly repugnant to the Truth. Forasmuch therefore, as it may be said of the Church of Rome, she hath yet a little strength, she doth not directly deny the foundation of Christianity; I may, I trust without offence, persuade myself that thousands of our Fathers, in former times, living and dying within her walls, have found mercy at the hands of God.

[Luke
xiii. 3.]

18. What, although they repented not of their errors? God forbid that I should open my mouth to gainsay that which Christ himself hath spoken; “Except ye repent, ye shall all perish.” And if they did not repent, they perished. But withal note, that we have the benefit of a double repentance: the least sin which we commit in deed, thought, or word, is death, without repentance. Yet how many things do escape us in every of these, which we do not know? how many, which we do not observe, to be sins? and without the knowledge, without the observation of sin, there is no actual repentance. It cannot then be chosen,

* Plainly in all men's sight whose eyes God hath enlightened to behold his truth. For they which are in error are in darkness, and see not that which in light is plain. In that which they teach concerning the natures of Christ, they hold the same which Nestorius [did] fully, the same which Eutyches, about the proprieties of his nature.

† The opinion of the Lutherans, though it be no direct denial of the Foundation, may notwithstanding be damnable unto some; and I do not think but that in many respects it is less damnable, as at this day some maintain it, than it was in them which held it at first; as Luther and others whom I had an eye unto in this speech. The question is not, whether our error with such and such circumstances; but simply, whether [or not] an error overthrowing the Foundation, do exclude all possibility of salvation, if it be recanted, and expressly repented of.

but that for as many as hold the Foundation: and have all holden sins and errors in hatred? the blessing of repentance for unknown sins and errors is obtained at the hands of God, through the gracious mediation of Jesus Christ, for such suitors as cry with the Prophet David, "Purge me, O Lord, [Psalm. xix. 12.] from my secret sins."

19. But we wash a wall of loam; we labour in vain; all this is nothing; it doth not prove, it cannot justify, that which we go about to maintain! Infidels and Heathen men are not so godless, but that they may, no doubt, cry "God mercy," and desire in general to have their sins forgiven them. To such as deny the foundation of Faith, there can be no salvation (according to the ordinary course which God doth use in saving men) without a particular repentance of that error. The Galatians, thinking that unless they were circumcised, they could not be saved, overthrew the foundation of Faith directly: therefore if any of them did die so persuaded, whether before or after they were told of their error, their end is dreadful; there is no way with them but one, death and condemnation. For the Apostle speaketh nothing of men departed, but saith generally of all, "If you be circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing. Gal. v. 2. 4. You are abolished from Christ, whosoever are justified by the Law; ye are fallen from grace." Of them in the Church of Rome the reason is the same. For whom Antichrist hath seduced, concerning them did not St. Paul speak long before, that "They received not the word of truth, that they might 2 Thess. ii. 10—12. be saved; therefore God would send them strong delusions to believe lies, that all they might be damned which believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness?" And St. John, "All that dwell upon the earth shall worship Apoc. xlii. 8. him, whose names are not written in the Book of Life?" Indeed many in former times, as their books and writings do yet shew, held the Foundation, to wit, salvation by Christ alone, and therefore might be saved. God hath always had a Church amongst them, which firmly kept his saving truth. As for such as hold with the Church of Rome, that we cannot be saved by Christ alone without Works; they do not only by a circle of consequence, but directly, deny the foundation of Faith; they hold it not, no not so much as by a thread.

20. This, to my remembrance, being all that hath been opposed with any countenance or shew of reason, I hope,

if this be answered, the cause in question is at an end. Concerning general repentance, therefore: what? a murderer, a blasphemer, an unclean person, a Turk, a Jew, any sinner to escape the wrath of God by a general repentance, "God forgive me?" Truly, it never came within mine heart, that a general repentance doth serve for all sins: it serveth only for the common oversights of our sinful life, and for the faults which either we do not mark, or do not know that they are faults. Our Fathers were actually penitent for sins, wherein they knew they displeased God; or else they fall not within the compass of my first speech. Again, That otherwise they could not be saved, than holding the foundation of Christian Faith, we have not only affirmed, but proved. Why is it not then confessed, that thousands of our Fathers which lived in Popish Superstitions, might yet, by the mercy of God, be saved? First, if they had directly denied the very foundations of Christianity, without repenting them particularly of that sin: he which saith, there could be no salvation for them, according to the ordinary course which God doth use in saving men, granteth plainly, or at the least closely insinuateth, that an extraordinary privilege of mercy might deliver their souls from hell; which is more than I required. Secondly, if the foundation be denied, it is denied for fear of some Heresy which the Church of Rome maintaineth. But how many were there amongst our Fathers, who being seduced by the common error of that Church, never knew the meaning of her Heresies? So that although all Popish Heretics did perish, thousands of them which lived in Popish Superstitions might be saved. Thirdly, seeing all that held Popish Heresies did not hold all the Heresies of the Pope; why might not thousands which were infected with other leaven, live and die unsoured with this, and so be saved? Fourthly, if they all held this Heresy, many there were that held it, no doubt, but only in a general form of words, which a favourable interpretation might expound in a sense differing far enough from the poisoned conceit of Heresy. As for example; did they hold that we cannot be saved by Christ without good works?* We ourselves do, I think,

* For this is the only thing alleged to prove the impossibility of their salvation; The Church of Rome joineth Works with Christ, which is a denial of the Foundation; and unless we hold the Foundation, we cannot be saved.

all say as much, with this construction, salvation being taken as in that sentence, “Corde creditur ad justitiam, ^[Rom. x. 10.] ore fit confessio ad salutem;” except infants, and men cut off upon the point of their conversion, of the rest none shall see God, but such as seek peace and holiness, though not [as] a cause of their salvation, yet as a way which they must walk which will be saved. Did they that hold, [that] without Works we are not justified, take Justification so as it may also imply Sanctification? And St. James doth say as much. For except there be an ambiguity in the same term, St. Paul and St. James do contradict each the other; which cannot be. Now there is no ambiguity in the name either of Faith or of Works, being meant by them both in one and the same sense. Finding, therefore, that Justification is spoken of by St. Paul without implying Sanctification, when he proveth that a man is justified by Faith without Works; finding likewise that Justification doth sometime imply Sanctification also with it; I suppose nothing to be more sound, than so to interpret St. James speaking not in that sense, but in this.

21. We have already shewed, that there be two kinds of Christian righteousness: the one without us, which we have by imputation; the other in us, which consisteth of Faith, Hope, and Charity, and other Christian virtues: and ^[Jas. ii. 21, 23.] St. James doth prove that Abraham had not only the one, because the thing believed was imputed unto him for righteousness; but also the other, because he offered up his son. . . . God giveth us both the one justice and the other: the one by accepting us for righteous in Christ: the other by working Christian righteousness in us. The proper and most immediate efficient cause in us of this latter, is, “the Spirit of Adoption” we have received into ^[Rom. viii. 15.] our hearts. That whereof it consisteth, whereof it is really and formally made, are those infused virtues proper and peculiar unto Saints; which the Spirit, in the very moment when first it is given of God, bringeth with it: the effects whereof are such actions as the Apostle doth call the fruits of Works, the operations of the Spirit. The difference of the which operations from the root whereof they spring, maketh it needful to put two kinds likewise of sanctifying righteousness, habitual and actual. Habitual, that holiness,

wherewith our souls are inwardly indued, the same instant when first we begin to be the temples of the Holy Ghost; actual, that holiness which afterwards beautifieth all the parts and actions of our life, the holiness for which Enoch, Job, Zachary, Elizabeth, and other Saints, are in the Scriptures so highly commended. If here it be demanded, which of these we do first receive? I answer, that the Spirit, the virtues of the Spirit, the habitual justice, which is ingrafted, the external justice of Jesus Christ, which is imputed, these we receive all at one and the same time; whensoever we have any of these, we have all; they go together: yet sith no man is justified except he believe, and no man believeth except he have Faith, and no man except he have received the Spirit of Adoption, hath Faith, forasmuch as they do necessarily infer Justification, and Justification doth of necessity presuppose them; we must needs hold that imputed righteousness, in dignity being the chiefest, is notwithstanding in order to the last of all these, but actual righteousness, which is the righteousness of good works, succeedeth all, followeth after all, both in order and time. Which being attentively marked, sheweth plainly how the Faith of true believers cannot be divorced from Hope and Love; how Faith is a part of Sanctification, and yet unto Justification necessary; how Faith is perfected by good Works, and no Work of ours without Faith; finally, how our Fathers might hold, that we are justified by Faith alone, and yet hold truly that without Works we are not justified. Did they think that men do merit rewards in Heaven by the Works they perform on earth? The Ancient use *meriting* for *obtaining*, and in that sense they of Wittenberg have it in their Confession; "We teach that good Works commanded of God are necessarily to be done, and by the free kindness of God they merit their certain rewards." Therefore, speaking as our Fathers did, and we taking their speech in a sound meaning, as we may take our Fathers', and might, forasmuch as their meaning is doubtful, and charity doth always interpret doubtful things favourably; what should induce us to think that rather the damage of the worst construction did light upon them all, than that the blessing of the better was granted unto thousands? Fifthly, if in the worst construction that may be

made, they had generally all embraced it living, might not many of them dying utterly renounce it? Howsoever men, when they sit at ease, do vainly tickle their hearts with the wanton conceit of I know not what proportionable correspondence between their merits and their rewards, which, in the trance of their high speculations, they dream that God hath measured, weighed, and laid up, as it were, in bundles for them; notwithstanding we see by daily experience, in a number even of them, that when the hour of death approacheth, when they secretly hear themselves summoned forthwith to appear, and stand at the bar of that Judge, whose brightness causeth the eyes of the Angels themselves to dazzle, all these idle imaginations do then begin to hide their faces; to name merits then, is to lay their souls upon the rack, the memory of their own deeds is loathsome unto them, they forsake all things wherein they have put any trust or confidence; no staff to lean upon, no ease, no rest, no comfort then, but only in Jesus Christ.

22. Wherefore if this proposition were true, "To hold in such wise, as the Church of Rome doth, that we cannot be saved by Christ alone without Works, is directly to deny the foundation of Faith;" I say, that if this proposition were true; nevertheless so many ways I have shewed, whereby we may hope that thousands of our Fathers which lived in Popish Superstition might be saved.* But what if it be not true? What if neither that of the Galatians concerning Circumcision, nor this of the Church of Rome by Works, be any direct denial of the Foundation, as it is affirmed that both are? I need not wade so far as to discuss this controversy, the matter which first was brought into question being so clear, as I hope it is. Howbeit, because I desire that the truth even in that also should receive light, I will do mine endeavour to set down somewhat more plainly; first, the foundation of Faith, what it is; secondly, what it is directly to deny the Foundation; thirdly, whether they whom God hath chosen to be heirs of life, may fall so far as directly to deny it; fourthly, whether the Galatians did so by admitting the error about

* They may cease to put any confidence in Works, and yet never think, living in Popish Superstition, they did amiss. Pighius died Popish, and yet denied Popery in the article of Justification by Works long before his death.

Circumcision and the Law; last of all, whether the Church of Rome, for this one opinion of Works, may be thought to do the like, and thereupon to be no more a Christian Church, than are the assemblies of Turks and Jews.

What
the
founda-
tion of
Faith is.

Ephes.
i. 23.
iv. 15.
ii. 20.

Ibid.

John
vi. 68.
2 Tim.
iii. 15.

23. This word Foundation being figuratively used, hath always reference to somewhat which resembleth a material building, as both that doctrine of Laws and the community of Christians do. By the Masters of Civil Policy nothing is so much inculcated, as that Commonweals are founded upon Laws; for that a multitude cannot be compacted into one body otherwise than by a common acception of Laws, whereby they are to be kept in order.* The ground of all Civil Laws is this, "No man ought to be hurt or injured by another." Take away the persuasion, and ye take away all the Laws; take away Laws, and what shall become of Commonweals? So it is in our spiritual Christian Community: I do not mean that "body" mystical, whereof Christ is only "the Head," that building undiscernible by mortal eyes, wherein "Christ is the chief Cornerstone:" but I speak of the visible Church; "the foundation" whereof is the Doctrine which "the Prophets and the Apostles" profest. The mark whereunto their Doctrine tendeth, is pointed at in these words of Peter unto Christ, "Thou hast the words of eternal Life:" in these words of Paul to Timothy, "The Holy Scriptures are able to make thee wise unto salvation." It is the demand of Nature itself, "What shall we do to have eternal life?" The desire of immortality and the knowledge of that whereby it may be obtained, is so natural unto all men, that even they who are not persuaded that they shall, do notwithstanding wish that they might, know a way how to see no end of life. And because natural means are not able still to resist the force of death, there is no people in the earth so savage, which hath not devised some supernatural help or other, to fly to for aid and succour in extremities, against the enemies of their Laws [*Lives*]. A longing therefore to be saved, without understanding the true way how, hath been the cause of all the Superstitions in the world. O that the miserable state of others, which wander

* "Vocata ad concionem multitudine, quæ coalescere in populi unius corpus nulla re præterquam legibus poterat." Liv. de Rom. lib. i.

in darkness, and wot not whither they go, could give us understanding hearts, worthily to esteem the riches of the mercy of God towards us, before whose eyes the doors of the Kingdom of Heaven are set wide open! Should we offer violence unto it? It offereth violence unto us, and we gather strength to withstand it. But I am besides my purpose when I fall to bewail the cold affection which we bear towards that whereby we should be saved; my purpose being only to set down what the ground of salvation is. The doctrine of the Gospel proposeth salvation as the end; and doth it not teach the way of attaining thereunto? Yet the Damsel possest with a spirit of divination spake the truth; "These men are the servants of the most high God, which shew unto us the way of salvation:" "A new and living way which Christ hath prepared for us through the vail, that is, his flesh:" salvation purchased by the death of Christ. By this foundation the children of God, before the written Law, were distinguished from the sons of men; the reverend Patriarchs both possest it living, and spake expressly of it at the hour of their death. It comforted Job in the midst of grief; it was afterwards the anchor-hold of all the righteous in Israel, from the writing of the Law to the time of Grace. Every Prophet maketh mention of it. It was famously spoken of, about the time when the coming of Christ, to accomplish the promises which were made long before it, drew near, that the sound thereof was heard even amongst the Gentiles. When he was come, as many as were his, acknowledged that he was their salvation; he, that long-expected "Hope of Israel;" he, that "seed, in whom all the nations of the earth shall be blessed." So that now he is a name of ruin, a name of death and condemnation, unto such as dream of a new Messiah, to as many as look for salvation by any other than by him; "For amongst men there is given no other name under Heaven whereby we must be saved." Thus much St. Mark doth intimate by that which he doth put in the front of his book, making his entrance with these words, "The beginning of the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God." His doctrine he termeth "the Gospel," because it teacheth salvation; "the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the Son of God," because it teacheth salvation by him. This is then the foundation,

Acts
xvi. 17.Heb.
x. 20.Gen.
xlix.Job
xix.[Acts
xxviii.
20.]Acts
iv. 12.

Luke
ii. 11.

1 Cor.
iii. 11.

whereupon the frame of the Gospel is erected; that very Jesus whom the Virgin conceived of the Holy Ghost, whom Simeon embraced in his arms, whom Pilate condemned, whom the Jews crucified, whom the Apostles preached, he is “Christ the Lord,” the only “Saviour” of the world; “other foundation can no man lay.” Thus I have briefly opened that principle in Christianity, which we call the Foundation of our Faith. It followeth now that I declare unto you, what it is directly to overthrow it. This will be better opened, if we understand, what it is to hold the foundation of Faith.

24. There are which defend, that many of the Gentiles, who never heard the name of Christ, held the foundation of Christianity: and why? They acknowledged, many of them, the providence of God, his infinite wisdom, strength, power; his goodness, and his mercy towards the children of men; that God hath judgment in store for the wicked, but for the righteous which serve him rewards, &c. In this which they confessed, that lieth covered which we believe; in the rudiments of their knowledge concerning God, the foundation of our Faith concerning Christ lieth secretly wrapt up, and is virtually contained: therefore they held the foundation of Faith, though they never had it. Might we not with as good a colour of reason defend, that every ploughman hath all the sciences, wherein philosophers have excelled? For no man is ignorant of their first principles, which do virtually contain whatsoever by natural means is or can be known. Yea, might we not with as great reason affirm, that a man may put three mighty oaks wheresoever three acorns may be put? For virtually an acorn is an oak. To avoid such paradoxes, we teach plainly, that to hold the foundation is, in express terms to acknowledge it.

25. Now, because The Foundation, is an affirmative position, they all overthrow it, who deny it; they directly overthrow it, who deny it directly; and they overthrow it by consequent, or indirectly, which hold any one assertion whatsoever, whereupon the direct denial thereof may be necessarily concluded. What is the question between the Gentiles and us, but this, Whether salvation be by Christ? What between the Jews and us, but this, Whether by this Jesus, whom we call Christ, yea, or no? This to be the

main point whereupon Christianity standeth, it is clear by that one sentence of Festus concerning Paul's accusers; "They brought no crime of such things as I supposed, but had certain questions against him of their Superstition, and of one Jesus which was dead, whom Paul affirmed to be alive." ^[Acts xxv. 19.] Where we see that Jesus, dead and raised for the salvation of the world, is by Jews denied, despised by a Gentile, by a Christian Apostle maintained. The Fathers therefore in the primitive Church, when they wrote; Tertullian, the Book which he calleth Apologeticus; Minutius Felix, the Book which he intituleth Octavius; Arnobius, the seven Books against the Gentiles; Chrysostom, his Orations against the Jews; Eusebius, his ten Books of Evangelical Demonstration; they stand in defence of Christianity against them, by whom the Foundation thereof was directly denied. But the writings of the Fathers against Novatians, Pelagians, and other Heretics of the like note, refel positions, whereby the foundation of Christian Faith was overthrown by consequent only. In the former sort of writings the Foundation is proved; in the latter, it is alledged as a proof, which to men that had been known directly to deny, must needs have seem'd a very beggarly kind of disputing. All Infidels therefore deny the Foundation of Faith directly; by consequent, many a Christian man, yea whole Christian Churches have denied it, and do deny it at this present day. Christian Churches! the Foundation of Christianity? not directly, for then they cease to be Christian Churches; but by a consequent, in respect whereof we condemn them as erroneous, although, for holding the Foundation, we do and must hold them Christian.

26. We see what it is to hold the Foundation; what directly, and what by consequent, to deny it. The next thing which followeth is, Whether they whom God hath chosen to obtain the glory of our Lord Jesus Christ, may, once effectually called, and through Faith justified truly, afterwards fall so far as directly to deny the Foundation which their hearts have before embraced with joy and comfort in the Holy Ghost; for such is the Faith, which indeed doth justify. Devils know the same things which we believe, and the minds of the most ungodly may be fully persuaded of the truth; which knowledge in the one and in the other, is sometimes termed Faith, but equivocally, being indeed no

such Faith as that whereby a Christian man is justified. It is the Spirit of Adoption which worketh Faith in us, in them not: the things which we believe, are by us apprehended; not only as true, but also as good, and that to us: as good, they are not by them apprehended; as true, they are. Whereupon followeth the third difference; the Christian man the more he increaseth in Faith, the more his joy and comfort aboundeth: but they, the more sure they are of the truth, the more they quake and tremble at it. This begetteth another effect, where the hearts of the one sort have a different disposition from the other. “Non ignoro plerosque conscientia meritorum, nihil se esse per mortem magis optare quam credere; malunt enim extingui penitus, quam ad supplicia reparari: I am not ignorant, saith Minutius, that there be many, who being conscious what they are to look for, do rather wish that they might, than think that they shall cease, when they cease to live; because they hold it better that death should consume them unto nothing, than God revive them unto punishment.” So it is in other articles of Faith, whereof wicked men think, no doubt, many times they are too true: on the contrary side, to the other, there is no grief or torment greater, than to feel their persuasion weak in things, whereof, when they are persuaded, they reap such comfort and joy of spirit: such is the Faith whereby we are justified; such, I mean, in respect of the quality. For touching the principal object of Faith, longer than it holdeth the Foundation whereof we have spoken, it neither justifieth, nor is; but ceaseth to be Faith when it ceaseth to believe that Jesus Christ is the only Saviour of the world. The cause of life spiritual in us, is Christ, not carnally or corporally inhabiting, but dwelling in the soul of man, as a thing which (when the mind apprehendeth it) is said to inhabit or possess the mind. The mind conceiveth Christ by “hearing” the Doctrine of Christianity, as the light of Nature doth [enable] the mind to apprehend those truths which are merely rational; so that saving truth, which is far above the reach of human reason, cannot otherwise, than by the Spirit of the Almighty, be conceived. All these are implied, wheresoever any of them is mentioned as the cause of the spiritual life. Wherefore if we have read, that “the Spirit is our life;” or, “the Word, our life;” or, “Christ, our life;” we are in every of these to understand, that our “life” is Christ,

[Rom.
x. 17.]

Rom.
viii. 10.
Phil.
ii. 10.
Col.
iii. 4.

by the “hearing” of the Gospel, apprehended as a Saviour, and assented unto through the power of the Holy Ghost. The first intellectual conceit and comprehension of Christ so embraced, St. Peter calleth the “seed” whereof we be 1 Pet. i. 23. Ephes. ii. 5. 1 John v. 12. “new born:” our first embracing of Christ, is our first reviving from the state of “death” and condemnation. “He that hath the Son hath life,” saith St. John, “and he that hath not the Son of God, hath not life.” If therefore he which once hath the Son, may cease to have the Son, though it be for a moment, he ceaseth for that moment to have life. But the life of them which have the Son of God, is “everlasting” in the world to come. But because as “Christ being raised from the dead dieth no more, death hath no more power over him;” so the justified man, being allied to God in Jesus Christ our Lord, doth as necessarily from that time forward always live, as Christ, by whom he hath life, liveth always. I might, if I had not elsewhere largely done it already, shew by many and sundry manifest and clear proofs, how the motions and operations of life are sometimes so indiscernible, and so secret, that they seem stone-dead, who notwithstanding are still alive unto God in Christ. For as long as that abideth in us, which animateth, quick’neth, and giveth life, so long we live; and we know that the cause of our Faith abideth in us for ever. If Christ, the fountain of life, may flit and leave the habitation where once he dwelleth, what shall become of his promise, “I am with you to the world’s end?” [Matt. xxviii. 20.] If the seed of God, which containeth Christ, may be first conceived and then cast out, how doth St. Peter term it “immortal?” How doth St. John affirm it “abideth?” 1 Pet. i. 23. 1 John iii. 9. If the Spirit, which is given to cherish and preserve the seed of life, may be given and taken away, how is it “the earnest of our inheritance until redemption?” Ephes. i. 14. how doth it continue with us “for ever?” If therefore the man which is once justified by Faith, shall live by Faith, and live for ever, it followeth, that he which once doth believe the Foundation, must needs believe the Foundation for ever. If he believe it for ever, how can he ever directly deny it? Faith holdeth the direct affirmation; the direct negation, so long as Faith continueth, is excluded.

But you will say, “That as he that is to-day holy, may to-morrow forsake his holiness, and become impure; as a friend may change his mind, and be made an enemy; as hope may wither; so Faith may die in the

[Luke
xii. 32.]
Col. i.
23.

1 Tim.
ii. 15.

John
x. 28.

1 John
iii. 9.

heart of man, the Spirit may be quenched, Grace may be extinguished, they which believe may be quite turned away from the Truth." The case is clear, long experience hath made this manifest; it needs no proof. I grant we are apt, prone, and ready, to forsake God; but is God ready to forsake us? Our minds are changeable; is his so likewise? Whom God hath justified, hath not Christ assured, that it is "his Father's will to give them a Kingdom?" Notwithstanding, it shall not be otherwise given them, than "if they continue grounded and stablished in the Faith, and be not moved away from the hope of the Gospel;" "if they abide in love and holiness." Our Saviour therefore, when he spake of the sheep effectually called, and truly gathered into his fold, "I give unto them eternal Life, and they shall never perish, neither shall any pluck them out of my hand;" in promising to save them, he promised, no doubt, to preserve them in that without which there can be no salvation, as also from that whereby it is irrecoverably lost. Every error in things appertaining unto God is repugnant unto Faith; every fearful cogitation, unto Hope; unto Love, every straggling inordinate desire; unto Holiness, every blemish wherewith either the inward thoughts of our minds, or the outward actions of our lives, are stained. But Heresy, such as that of Ebion, Cerinthus, and others, against whom the Apostles were forced to bend themselves, both by word and also by writing; that repining discouragement of heart which tempteth God, whereof we have Israel in the Desert for a pattern; coldness, such as that in the Angels of Ephesus; foul sins, known to be expressly against the first or second Table of the Law, such as Noah, Manasses, David, Solomon, and Peter, committed; these are, each in their kind, so opposite to the former virtues, that they leave no place for salvation without an actual repentance. But infidelity, extreme despair, hatred of God and all goodness, obduration in sin, cannot stand where there is but the least spark of Faith, Hope, Love, and Sanctity; even as cold in the lowest degree cannot be, where heat in the highest degree is found. Whereupon I conclude, that although in the first kind, no man liveth which sinneth not; and in the second, as perfect as any do live, may sin; yet, sith the man which is born of God hath a promise, that in him "the seed of God shall abide," which seed is a sure preservative against the sins

that are of the third suit; greater and clearer assurance we cannot have of any thing, than of this, That from such sins God shall preserve the righteous, as the apple of his eye, for ever. Directly to deny the Foundation of Faith, is plain infidelity; where Faith is entered, there infidelity is for ever excluded: therefore, by him which hath once sincerely believed in Christ, the foundation of Christian Faith can never be directly denied. Did not Peter? did not Marcellinus? did not others, both directly deny Christ after that they had believed, and again believe after they had denied? No doubt, as they confess in words, whose condemnation is nevertheless their not believing (for example we have Judas); so likewise, they may believe in heart whose condemnation, without repentance, is their not confessing. Although, therefore, Peter and the rest, for whose faith Christ hath prayed that it might not fail, did not by denial sin the sin of infidelity, which is an inward abnegation of Christ (but if they had done this, their faith had clearly failed); yet, because they sinned notoriously and grievously, committing that which they knew to be expressly forbidden by the Law, which saith, “Thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve;” ^[Dent. vi. 13.] necessary it was, that he which purposed to save their souls should, as he did, touch their hearts with true unfeigned repentance, that his mercy might restore them again to life, whom sin had made the children of death and condemnation. Touching this point therefore, I hope I may safely set down, That if the justified err, as he may, and never come to understand his error, God doth save him through general repentance; but if he fall into Heresy, he calleth him at one time or other by actual repentance; but from infidelity, which is an inward direct denial of the Foundation, he preserveth him by special providence for ever. Whereby we may easily know, what to think of those Galatians whose hearts were so possess’d with the love of the Truth, that, if it had been possible, ^[Gal. iv. 15.] they would have pluckt out their eyes to bestow upon their Teachers. It is true, that they were greatly changed,* both

* Howsoever men be changed (for changed they may be, even the best amongst men), if they that have received, as it seemeth some of the Galatians, which fell into error, had received, the gifts and graces of God, which are called ἀμεταμέλητα, such as faith, hope, and charity are, which God doth never take away from him to whom they are given, as if it repented him to have given them; if such might be so far changed by error, as that the very root of Faith should be quite extinguished in them, and so their salvation utterly lost, it would shake the hearts of the strongest and stoutest of us all. See the contrary in Beza, his Observations upon the Harmony of Confessions.

[Phil.
iii. 2.]

in persuasion and affection; so that the Galatians, when St. Paul wrote unto them, were not now the Galatians which they had been in former time, for that through error they wandered, although they were his sheep. I do not deny, but that I should deny that they were his sheep, if I should grant, that through error they perished. It was a perilous opinion that they held; perilous even in them that held it only as an error, because it overthroweth the Foundation by consequent. But in them which obstinately maintain it, I cannot think it less than a damnable Heresy. We must therefore put a difference between them which err of ignorance, retaining nevertheless a mind desirous to be instructed in truth, and them which, after the truth is laid open, persist in the stubborn defence of their blindness. Heretical defenders, froward and stiff-necked teachers of Circumcision, the blessed Apostle calls “dogs:” silly men, who were seduced to think they taught the truth, he pitieth, he taketh up in his arms, he lovingly embraceth, he kisseth, and with more than fatherly tenderness doth so temper, qualify, and correct the speech he useth toward them, that a man cannot easily discern, whether did most abound, the love which he bare to their godly affection, or the grief which the danger of their opinion bred them. Their opinion was dangerous; was not theirs also, who thought the Kingdom of Christ should be earthly? was not theirs, which thought the Gospel only should be preached to the Jews? What more opposite to Prophetical doctrine, concerning the coming of Christ, than the one? concerning the Catholic Church, than the other? Yet they which had these fancies, even when they had them, were not the worst men in the world. The Heresy of Free-will was a millstone about the Pelagians’ neck; shall we therefore give sentence of death inevitable against all those Fathers in the Greek Church, which being mispersuaded, died in the error of Free-will? Of these Galatians, therefore, which first were justified, and then deceived, as I can see no cause, why as many as died before admonition might not by mercy be received, even in error; so I make no doubt, but as many as lived till they were admonished, found the mercy of God effectual in converting them from their error,* lest any one that is Christ’s should

* Error convicted, and afterwards maintained, is more than error; for although opinion be the same it was, in which respect I still call it error, yet they are not now the same they were when they are taught what the truth is, and plainly taught.

perish. Of this, I take it, there is no controversy: only against the salvation of them that died, though before admonition, yet in error, it is objected, that their opinion was a very plain direct denial of the Foundation. If Paul and Barnabas had been persuaded, they would haply have used the terms otherwise, speaking of the Masters themselves who did first set that error abroad, “certain of the sect of the Pharisees which *believed*.” What difference was there ^{Acts xv. 5.} between these Pharisees and other Pharisees, from whom by a special description they are distinguished, but this, These which came to Antioch, teaching the necessity of Circumcision, were Christians; the other, enemies of Christianity? Why then should these be termed so distinctly Believers, if they did directly deny the Foundation of our belief; besides which, there was no other thing, that made the rest to be no believers? We need go no farther than St. Paul’s very reasoning against them for proof of this matter: “Seeing you ^{Gal. iv. 9.} know God, or rather are known of God, how turn you again to impotent rudiments? The Law engendereth servants, her children are in bondage: they which are begotten by the ^{ver. 24, 25.} Gospel, are free. Brethren, we are not children of the ^{ver. 26.} Law, but of the free woman, and will ye yet be under the ^{ver. 31.} Law?” That they thought it unto salvation necessary, for the Church of Christ to observe days, and months, and times, ^{ver. 21.} and years, to keep the Ceremonies and Sacraments of the Law, this was their error. Yet he which condemneth their error, confesseth that, notwithstanding, they knew God and were known of him; he taketh not the honour from them to be termed Sons begotten of the immortal seed of the Gospel. Let the heaviest words which he used be weighed; consider the drift of those dreadful conclusions, “If ye be circumcised, ^{Gal. v. 2, 4.} Christ shall profit you nothing: as many as are justified by the Law, are fallen from Grace.” It had been to no purpose in the world so to urge them, had not the Apostle been persuaded, that at the hearing of such sequels, “No benefit by Christ, A defection from Grace,” their hearts would tremble and quake within them: and why? because that they knew, that in Christ, and in Grace, their salvation lay; which is a plain direct acknowledgment of the Foundation. Lest I should herein seem to hold that which no one learned or godly hath done, let these words be considered, which import as much as I affirm: “Surely those brethren which,

Bucér.
de Unit.
Eccles.
Ser-
vanda.

in St. Paul's time, thought that God did lay a necessity upon them to make choice of days and meats, spake as they believed, and could not but in words condemn the liberty, which they supposed to be brought in against the authority of Divine Scripture. Otherwise it had been needless for St. Paul to admonish them not to condemn such as eat without scrupulosity, whatsoever was set before them. This error, if you weigh what it is of itself, did at once overthrow all Scriptures, whereby we are taught salvation by Faith in Christ, all that ever the Prophets did foretell, all that ever the Apostles did preach of Christ; it drew with it the denial of Christ utterly: insomuch, that St. Paul complaineth, that his labour was lost upon the Galatians, unto whom this error is obtruded, affirming that Christ, if so be they were circumcised, should not profit them any thing at all. Yet so far was St. Paul from striking their names out of Christ's Book, that he commandeth others to entertain them, to accept them with singular humanity, to use them like brethren; he knew man's imbecility; he had a feeling of our blindness which are mortal men, how great it is; and being sure that they are the sons of God whosoever be indued with his Fear, would [he] have them counted enemies of that whereunto they could not as yet frame themselves to be friends, but did ever, upon a very religious affection to the truth, willingly [*wittingly*?] reject the truth [?] They acknowledged Christ to be their only and perfect Saviour, but saw not how repugnant their believing the necessity of Mosaical Ceremonies was to their Faith in Jesus Christ." Hereunto a reply is made, that if they had not directly denied the Foundation, they might have been saved; but saved they could not be, therefore their opinion was, not only by consequent, but directly, a denial of the Foundation. When the question was about the possibility of their salvation, their denying of the Foundation was brought to prove that they could not be saved: now, that the question is about their denial of the Foundation, the impossibility of their salvation is alleged to prove they denied the Foundation. Is there nothing which excludeth men from salvation, but only the Foundation of Faith denied? I should have thought, that besides this, many other things are death unto as many as, being given to understand that to cleave thereunto was to fall from Christ, did notwithstanding cleave unto it. But of this

enough. Wherefore I come to the last question, “Whether that the doctrine of the Church of Rome, concerning the necessity of Works unto salvation, be a direct denial of our Faith?”

27. I seek not to obtrude unto you any private opinion of my own. The best learned in our profession are of this judgment, That all the corruptions of the Church of Rome do not prove her to deny the Foundation directly; if they did, they should grant her simply to be no Christian Church.

“But I suppose (saith one) that in the Papacy some Church remaineth, a Church crazed, or, if you will, broken quite in pieces, forlorn, mishapen, yet some Church:” his reason is this, “Antichrist must sit in the Temple of God.” Lest any man should think such sentences as these to be true only in regard of them whom that Church is supposed to have kept by the special providence of God, as it were, in the secret corners of his bosom, free from infection, and as sound in the Faith, as we trust, by his mercy, we ourselves are; I permit* it to your wise considerations, Whether it be more likely, that as frenzy, though itself take away the use of reason, doth notwithstanding prove them reasonable creatures which have it, because none can be frantic but they; so Antichristianity being the bane and plain overthrow of Christianity, may nevertheless argue, the Church wherein Antichrist sitteth, to be Christian. Neither have I hitherto heard or read any one word alleged of force to warrant, that God doth otherwise than so as in the two next questions before hath been declared, bind himself to keep his elect from worshipping the Beast, and from receiving his mark in their foreheads; but he hath preserved, and will preserve them from receiving any deadly wound at the hands of the Man of Sin, whose deceit hath prevailed over none unto death, but only unto such as never loved the Truth, such as took a pleasure in unrighteousness: they in all ages, whose hearts have delighted in the Principal Truth, and whose souls have thirsted after righteousness, if they received the mark of Error, the mercy of God, even erring, and dangerously erring, might save them; if they received the mark of Heresy, the same mercy did, I doubt not, convert them. How far Romish Heresies may prevail over God’s Elect; how many God hath kept [from] falling into them, how many have been converted from them; is

Calv.
Ep. 104.

* [*Resign*: thus Dryden, “To the gods *permit* the rest.”]

Morn.
de
Eccles.

not the question now in hand: for if Heaven had not received any one of that coat for these thousand years, it may still be true, that the Doctrine which this day they do profess, doth not directly deny the Foundation, and so prove them simply to be no Christian Church. One I have alleged, whose words, in my ears, sound that way: shall I add another, whose speech is plain? “I deny her not the name of a Church,” saith another, “no more than to a man the name of a man, as long as he liveth, what sickness soever he hath.” His reason is this, “Salvation in Jesus Christ, which is the mark which joineth the Head with the Body, Jesus Christ with the Church, is so cut off by many merits, by the merits of Saints, by the Pope’s pardons, and such other wickedness, that the life of the Church holdeth by a very thread, yet still the life of the Church holdeth.”

Zanch.
præfat.
de Relig.

A third hath these words, “I acknowledge the Church of Rome, even at this present day, for a Church of Christ, such a Church as Israel did Jeroboam, yet a Church.” His reason is this, “Every man seeth, except he willingly hoodwink himself, that as always, so now, the Church of Rome holdeth firmly and stedfastly the doctrine of truth concerning Christ; and baptizeth in the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost; confesseth and avoucheth Christ for the only Redeemer of the world, and the Judge that shall sit upon quick and dead, receiving true believers into endless joy, faithless and godless men being cast with Satan and his Angels into flames inquenchable.”

28, I may, and will, rein the question shorter than they do. Let the Pope take down his top, and captivate no more men’s souls by his Papal Jurisdiction; let him no longer count himself Lord Paramount over the Princes of the world, no longer hold Kings as his servants *paravaile*;^{*} let his stately Senate submit their necks to the yoke of Christ, and cease to die their garments, like Edom, in blood; let them, from the highest to the lowest, hate and forsake their idolatry, abjure all their errors and heresies, wherewith they have any way perverted the Truth; let them strip their Church, till they leave no polluted rag, but only this one about her, “By Christ alone, without Works, we cannot be saved:” it is enough for me, if I shew, that the

* [A Law term. The lowest Tenant; or he that is Tenant to one that holds his Fee of another.]

holding of this one thing doth not prove the Foundation of Faith directly denied in the Church of Rome.

29. Works are an addition: be it so, what then? the Foundation is not subverted by every kind of addition: simply to add unto those fundamental words, is not to mingle wine with water, Heaven with earth, things polluted with the sanctified Blood of Christ: of which crime indict them which attribute those Operations in whole or in part to any creature, which, in the work of our salvation, wholly are peculiar unto Christ; and, if I open my mouth to speak in their defence, if I hold my peace, and plead not against them as long as breath is within my body, let me be guilty of all the dishonour that ever hath been done to the Son of God. But a dreadful thing it is to deny salvation by Christ alone; [therefore] the more slow and fearful I am, except it be too manifest, to lay a thing so grievous to any man's charge. Let us beware, lest if we make too many ways of denying Christ, we scarce leave any way for ourselves truly and soundly to confess him. Salvation only by Christ is the true Foundation whereupon indeed Christianity standeth. But what if I say you cannot be saved only by Christ, without this addition, Christ believed in heart, confessed with mouth, obeyed in life and conversation? Because I add, do I therefore deny that which I did directly affirm? There may be an additament of explication, which overthroweth not, but proveth and concludeth the proposition whereunto it is annexed. He which saith, Peter was a chief Apostle, doth prove that Peter was an Apostle: he which saith, Our salvation is of the Lord, through Sancti-^{2 Thesa.} fication of the Spirit, and Faith of the truth, proveth that^{ii. 13.} our salvation is of the Lord. But if that which is added, be such a privation as taketh away the very essence of that whereunto it is added, then by the sequel, it overthroweth. He which saith, Judas is a dead man, though in word he granteth Judas to be a man, yet in effect he proveth him by that very speech no man; because death depriveth him of being. In like sort, he that should say, Our election is of grace for our works' sake, should grant in sound of words, but indeed by consequent deny, that our election is of Grace; for the grace which electeth us is no grace, if it^{Rom.} elect us for our works' sake.^{xi. 6.}

30. Now whereas the Church of Rome addeth Works,

we must note farther, That the adding of Works* is not like the adding of Circumcision unto Christ. Christ came not to abrogate and put away good Works: he did, to change Circumcision; for we see that in place thereof he hath substituted Holy Baptism. To say, ye cannot be saved by Christ except ye be circumcised, is to add a thing excluded, a thing not only not necessary to be kept, but necessary not to be kept by them that will be saved. On the other side, to say, ye cannot be saved by Christ without Works, is to add things not only not excluded, but commanded, as being in their place and in their kind necessary, and therefore subordinated unto Christ, by Christ himself, by whom the web of salvation is spun: “Except your righteousness exceed the righteousness of the Scribes and Pharisees, ye shall not enter into the Kingdom of Heaven.” They were rigorous exacters of things not utterly to be neglected and left undone, washings and tithings, &c. As they were in these, so must we be in judgment and the love of God. Christ, in Works Ceremonial, giveth more liberty, in Moral much less, than they did. Works of righteousness therefore are not so repugantly added in the one proposition; as in the other, Circumcision is.

Matt.
v. 20.

Luke
xi. 39.

Matt. v.
21, 22.

31. But we say, our salvation is by Christ alone; therefore howsoever, or whatsoever, we add unto Christ in the matter of salvation, we overthrow Christ. Our case were very hard, if this argument, so universally meant as it is proposed, were sound and good. We ourselves do not teach Christ alone, excluding our own Faith, unto Justification; Christ alone, excluding our own Works, unto Sanctification; Christ alone, excluding the one or the other unnecessary unto salvation. It is a childish cavil wherewith in the matter of Justification our adversaries do so greatly please themselves, exclaiming, that we tread all Christian virtues under our feet, and require nothing in Christians but Faith; because we teach that Faith alone justifieth: whereas by this speech we never meant to exclude either

* I deny not but that the Church of Rome requireth some kinds of works which she ought not to require at men's hands. But our question is general about the adding of good works, not whether such or such works be good. In this comparison it is enough to touch so much on the matter in question between St. Paul and the Galatians, as inferreth those conclusions, “Ye are fallen from grace; Christ can profit you nothing:” which conclusions will follow upon Circumcision and Rites of the Law Ceremonial, if they be required as things necessary to salvation. This only was alleged against me: and need I touch more than was alleged?

Hope or Charity from being always joined as inseparable mates with Faith in the man that is justified; or Works from being added as necessary duties, required at the hands of every justified man: but to shew that Faith is the only hand which putteth on Christ unto Justification; and Christ the only garment, which being so put on, covereth the shame of our defiled natures, hideth the imperfections of our works, preserveth us blameless in the sight of God, before whom otherwise the weakness of our Faith were cause sufficient to make us culpable, yea, to shut us from the Kingdom of Heaven, where nothing that is not absolute can enter. That our dealing with them be not as childish as theirs with us; when we hear of salvation by Christ *alone*, considering that “alone,” as an exclusive particle, we are to note what it doth exclude, and where. If I say, “Such a Judge only, ought to determine such a case,” all things incident to the determination thereof, besides the person of the Judge, as Laws, Depositions, Evidences, &c. are not hereby excluded; persons are not excluded from witnessing herein, or assisting, but only from determining and giving sentence. How then is our salvation wrought by Christ alone? It is not our meaning, that nothing is requisite to man’s salvation but Christ to save, and he to be saved quietly without any more ado. As we have received, so we teach, that besides the bare and naked work, wherein Christ, without any other associate, finished all the parts of our redemption, and purchased salvation himself alone,—for conveyance of this eminent blessing unto us, many things are of necessity required; as, to be known and chosen of God before the foundation of the world; in the world to be called, justified, sanctified; after we have left the world, to be received unto glory;—Christ in every of these hath somewhat which he worketh alone. Through him, according to the eternal purpose of God before the foundation of the world, born, crucified, buried, raised, &c. we were in a gracious acception known unto God long before we were seen of men: God knew us, loved us, was kind to us in ^{Eph. i. 6.} _{ii. 7.} Jesus Christ, in him we were elected to be heirs of life. Thus far God through Christ hath wrought in such sort alone, that ourselves are mere patients, working no more than dead and senseless matter, wood, or stone, or iron, doth in the artificer’s hands; no more than the clay, when the

potter appointeth it to be framed for an honourable use; nay, not so much; for the matter whereupon the craftsman worketh he chooseth, being moved by the fitness which is in it to serve his turn; in us no such thing. Touching the rest which is laid for the Foundation of our Faith; it importeth farther, that by him we are called,* that we have redemption, remission of sins through his Blood;† health by his stripes;‡ justice by him;§ that he doth sanctify his Church, and make it glorious to himself;|| that entrance into joy shall be given us by him: ¶ yea, all things by him alone. Howbeit, not so by him alone, as if in us, to our Vocation, the hearing of the Gospel;** to our Justification, Faith;†† to our Sanctification, the fruits of the Spirit;‡‡ to our Entrance into rest, perseverance in Hope, in Faith, in Holiness, §§ were not necessary.

32. Then what is the fault of the Church of Rome? Not that she requireth Works at their hands which will be saved: but that she attributeth unto Works a power of satisfying God for sin; yea, a virtue to merit both grace here, and in Heaven glory. That this overthroweth the Foundation of Faith, I grant willingly; that it is a direct denying thereof, I utterly deny. What it is to hold, and what directly to deny, the Foundation of Faith, I have already opened. Apply it particularly to this cause, and there needs no more ado. The thing which is handled, if the form under which it is handled be added thereunto, it sheweth the foundation of any doctrine whatsoever. Christ is the matter whereof the Doctrine of the Gospel treateth; and it treateth of Christ as of a Saviour. Salvation therefore by Christ is the foundation of Christianity: as for Works, they are a thing subordinate, no otherwise than because our Sanctification cannot be accomplished without them. The doctrine concerning them is a thing builded upon the foundation; therefore the doctrine which addeth unto them the power of satisfying, or of meriting, addeth unto a thing subordinated, builded upon the foundation, not to the very foundation itself; yet is the foundation by this addition consequently overthrown, forasmuch as out of

* Gal. v. 8. 1 Pet. ii. 9. 2 Pet. i. 3.

§ Jer. xxiii. 5.

** 2 Thess. ii. 14.

† Eph. i. 7.

|| Eph. v. 26.

†† Gal. ii. 16.

§§ 2 Thess. ii. 16, 17.

‡ Isa. liii. 5.

¶ Matt. xxv. 28.

‡‡ Gal. v. 22.

this addition it may be negatively concluded,—He which maketh any Work good and acceptable in the sight of God, to proceed from the natural freedom of our will; he which giveth unto any good Works of ours the force of satisfying the wrath of God for sin, the power of meriting either earthly or Heavenly rewards; he which holdeth Works going before our Vocation, in congruity to merit our Vocation; Works following our first, to merit our second Justification, and by condignity our last reward in the Kingdom of Heaven;—pulleth up the doctrine of Faith by the roots: for out of every of these the plain direct denial thereof may be necessarily concluded. Not this only, but what other Heresy is there that doth not raze the very Foundation of Faith by consequent? Howbeit, we make a difference of Heresies; accounting [them] in the next degree to Infidelity, which directly deny any one thing to be which is expressly acknowledged in the Articles of our Belief; for out of any one Article so denied, the denial of the very Foundation itself is straightway inferred.* As for example; if a man should say, “There is no Catholic Church,” it followeth immediately thereupon, that this Jesus whom we call the Saviour, is not the Saviour of the World; because all the Prophets bear witness, that the true Messiah should “shew light unto the Gentiles;” that is to say, gather such a Church as is Catholic, not restrained any longer unto one circumcised nation. In the second rank we place them, out of whose positions the denial of any of the foresaid Articles may be with like facility concluded; such as are they which have denied either the Divinity of Christ, with Hebion, or with Marcion, his Humanity; an example whereof may be that of Cassianus defending the Incarnation of the Son of God against Nestorius Bishop of Antioch, which held, that the Virgin when she brought forth Christ, did not bring forth the Son of God, but sole and a mere man. Out of which Heresy the denial of the Articles of the Christian Faith he deduceth thus; “If thou dost deny our Lord Jesus Christ, in denying the Son, thou canst not choose but deny the Father; for, according to the voice of the Father

Acts
xxvi. 23.

Lib. vi.
de Incar.
Dom.
cap. 17.

* “Hæc ratio Ecclesiastici Sacramenti et Catholicæ Fidei est, ut qui partem divini Sacramenti negat, partem non valeat confiteri. Ita enim sibi connexa et concorporata sunt omnia, ut aliud sine alio stare non possit, et qui unum ex omnibus denegaverit, alia ei omnia credidisse non prosit.” Cassian. lib. vi. de Incarnat. Dom. If he obstinately stand in denial, p. 193.

himself, "He that hath not the Son, hath not the Father." Wherefore denying him which is begotten, thou deniest him which doth beget." Again, "Denying the Son of God to have been born in the flesh, how canst thou believe him to have suffered? believing not his Passion, what remaineth, but that thou deny his Resurrection? For we believe him not raised, except we first believe him dead: neither can the reason of his rising from the dead stand, without the Faith of his death going before. The denial of his Death and Passion inferreth the denial of his rising from the depth. Whereupon it followeth, that thou also deny his Ascension into Heaven. The Apostle affirmeth, 'That he which ascended, did first descend;' so that, as much as lieth in thee, our Lord Jesus Christ hath neither risen from the depth, nor is ascended into Heaven, nor sitteth at the right hand of God the Father, neither shall he come at the day of the final account, which is looked for, nor shall judge the quick and dead. And darest thou yet set foot in the Church? Canst thou think thyself a Bishop, when thou hast denied all those things whereby thou dost obtain a Bishoply calling?" Nestorius confessed all the Articles of the Creed, but his opinion did imply the denial of every part of his Confession. Heresies there are of the third sort; such as the Church of Rome maintaineth, which be removed by a greater distance from the Foundation, although indeed they overthrow it. Yet because of that weakness, which the Philosopher noteth in men's capacities when he saith, That the common sort cannot see things which follow in reason, when they follow, as it were, afar off by many deductions;—therefore the repugnancy of such Heresy and the Foundation is not so quickly or so easily found, but that an Heretic of this, sooner than of the former kind, may directly grant, and consequently nevertheless deny, the Foundation of Faith.

33. If reason be suspected, trial will shew that the Church of Rome doth no otherwise, by teaching the doctrine she doth teach concerning good Works: offer them the very fundamental words, and what man is there that will refuse to subscribe unto them? Can they directly grant, and directly deny, one and the very self-same thing? our own proceedings in disputing against their Works Satisfactory and Meritorious do shew, not only that they hold,

but that we acknowledge them to hold, the Foundation, notwithstanding their opinion. For are not these our arguments against them? “Christ alone hath satisfied and appeased his Father’s wrath: Christ hath merited salvation alone.” We should do fondly to use such disputes, neither could we think to prevail by them, if that whereupon we ground, were a thing which we know they do not hold, which we are assured they will not grant. Their very answers to all such reasons, as are in this controversy brought against them, will not permit us to doubt whether they hold the Foundation or no. Can any man, that hath read their books concerning this matter, be ignorant how they draw all their answers unto these heads? “That the remission of all our sins, the pardon of all whatsoever punishments thereby deserved, the rewards which God hath laid up in Heaven, are by the Blood of our Lord Jesus Christ purchased, and obtained sufficiently for all men: but for no man effectually for his benefit in particular, except the Blood of Christ be applied particularly unto him by such means as God hath appointed that to work by. That those means of themselves, being but dead things, only the blood of Christ is that which putteth life, force, and efficacy in them to work, and to be available, each in his kind, to our salvation. Finally, that Grace being purchased for us by the blood of Christ, and freely without any merit or desert at the first bestowed upon us, the good things which we do, after grace received, be thereby made satisfactory and meritorious.” Some of their sentences to this effect I must allege for mine own warrant. If we desire to hear foreign judgments, we find in one this confession, “He that could reckon how many the virtues and merits of our Saviour Christ have been, might likewise understand how many the benefits have been that are to come to us by him, for so much as men are made partakers of them all by means of his Passion: by him is given unto us remission of our sins, grace, glory, liberty, praise, salvation, redemption, justification, justice, satisfaction, sacraments, merits, and all other things which we had, and were behoveful for our salvation.” In another we have these oppositions, and answers made unto them; “All grace is given by Christ Jesus. True; but not except Christ Jesus be applied. He is the propitiation for our

Lewis
of
Granada,
Medit.
chap.
last, iii.

Paniga-
rola,
Let. xi.

sins; by his stripes we are healed; he hath offered himself up for us: all this is true, but apply it. We put all satisfaction in the Blood of Jesus Christ; but we hold, that the means which Christ hath appointed for us in this case to apply it, are our penal Works." Our countrymen in Rhemes make the like answer, that they seek salvation no other way than by the Blood of Christ; and that humbly they do use Prayers, Fastings, Alms, Faith, Charity, Sacrifice, Sacraments, Priests, only as the means appointed by Christ, to apply the benefit of his holy Blood unto them: touching our good Works, that in their own natures they are not meritorious, nor answerable to the joys of Heaven; it cometh by the grace of Christ, and not of the work itself, that we have by well-doing a right to Heaven, and deserve it worthily. If any man think that I seek to varnish their opinions, to set the better foot of a lame cause foremost; let him know, that since I began thoroughly to understand their meaning, I have found their halting greater than perhaps it seemeth to them which know not the deepness of Satan, as the blessed Divine speaketh. For, although this be proof sufficient, that they do not directly deny the Foundation of Faith; yet, if there were no other leaven in the lump of their doctrine but this, this were sufficient to prove, that their doctrine is not agreeable unto the Foundation of Christian Faith. The Pelagians being over-great friends unto Nature, made themselves enemies unto Grace, for all their confessing, that men have their souls and all the faculties thereof, their wills and all the ability of their wills, from God. And is not the Church of Rome still an adversary to Christ's merits, because of her acknowledging, that we have received the power of meriting by the Blood of Christ? Sir Thomas More setteth down the odds between us and the Church of Rome in the matter of Works thus, "Like as we grant them, that no good Work of man is rewardable in Heaven of his own nature, but through the mere goodness of God, that list to set so high a price upon so poor a thing; and that this price God setteth through Christ's Passion, and for that also they be his own Works with us; for good Works to Godward worketh no man, without God work in him: and as we grant them also, that no man may be proud of his Works, for his imperfect

Annot.
in I
John i.

[Rev.
ii. 24.]

In his
Book of
Consola-
tion.

working; and for that in all that man may do, he can do God no good, but is a servant unprofitable, and doth but his bare duty: as we, I say, grant unto them these things, so this one thing or twain do they grant us again, that men are bound to work good Works, if they have time and power; and that whoso worketh in true Faith most, shall be most rewarded; but then set they thereto, that all his rewards shall be given him for his Faith alone, and nothing for his Works at all, because his Faith is the thing, they say, that forceth him to work well." I see by this of Sir Thomas More, how easy it is for men of the greatest capacity to mistake things written or spoken as well on the one side as on the other. Their doctrine, as he thought, maketh the work of man rewardable in the world to come through the goodness of God, whom it pleaseth to set so high a price upon so poor a thing; and ours, that a man doth receive that eternal and high reward, not for his Works', but for his Faith's sake, by which he worketh: whereas in truth our doctrine is no other than that we have learned at the feet of Christ; namely, that God doth justify the believing man, yet not for the worthiness of his belief, but for the worthiness of him which is believed; God rewardeth abundantly every one which worketh, yet not for any meritorious dignity which is, or can be, in the work, but through his mere mercy, by whose commandment he worketh. Contrariwise, their doctrine is, that as pure water of itself hath no savour, but if it pass through a sweet pipe, it taketh a pleasant smell of the pipe through which it passeth; so, although before Grace received, our Works do neither satisfy nor merit; yet after, they do both the one and the other. Every virtuous action hath then power in such to satisfy, that if we ourselves commit no mortal sin, no heinous crime, whereupon to spend this treasure of Satisfaction in our own behalf, it turneth to the benefit of other men's release, on whom it shall please the Steward of the House of God to bestow it; so that we may satisfy for ourselves and others, but merit only for ourselves. In meriting, our actions do work with two hands: with one, they get their morning stipend, the increase of grace; with the other, their evening hire, the everlasting crown of glory. Indeed they teach, that our good works do not these things as they

Works
of Su-
perero-
gation.

come from us, but as they come from grace in us; which grace in us is another thing in their divinity, than is the mere goodness of God's mercy towards us in Christ Jesus.

[Eph.
ii. 8.]

[Tit.
iii. 5.]

34. If it were not a strong deluding spirit which hath possession of their hearts, were it possible but that they should see how plainly they do herein gainsay the very ground of Apostolic Faith? Is this that salvation by Grace, whereof so plentiful mention is made in the Scriptures of God? Was this their meaning, which first taught the world to look for salvation only by Christ? By Grace, the Apostle saith, and by Grace in such sort as a gift; a thing that cometh not of ourselves, not of our works, lest any man should boast and say, I have wrought out my own salvation. By Grace they confess; but by Grace in such sort, that as many as wear the diadem of bliss, they wear nothing but what they have won. The Apostle, as if he had foreseen how the Church of Rome would abuse the world in time by ambiguous terms, to declare in what sense the name of Grace must be taken, when we make it the cause of our salvation, saith, "He saved us according to his mercy:" which mercy, although it exclude not the washing of our new birth, the renewing of our hearts by the Holy Ghost, the means, the virtues, the duties, which God requireth of their hands which shall be saved; yet is it so repugnant unto merits, that to say, we are saved for the worthiness of any thing which is ours, is to deny we are saved by Grace. Grace bestoweth freely; and therefore justly requireth the glory of that which is bestowed. We deny the Grace of our Lord Jesus Christ; we abuse, disannul, and annihilate the benefit of his bitter Passion, if we rest in these proud imaginations,—that life is deservedly ours, that we merit it, and that we are worthy of it.

35. Howbeit, considering how many virtuous and just men, how many Saints, how many Martyrs, how many of the ancient Fathers of the Church, have had their sundry perilous opinions; and amongst sundry of their opinions this, That they hoped to make God some part of amends for their sins, by the voluntary punishments which they laid upon themselves; because by a consequent it may follow hereupon, that they were injurious unto Christ, shall we

therefore make such deadly epitaphs, and set them upon their graves, “They denied the Foundation of Faith directly; they are damned; there is no salvation for them?” St. Augustine saith of himself, “Errare possum, Hæreticus esse nolo.” And, except we put a difference between them that err, and them that obstinately persist in error, how is it possible that ever any man should hope to be saved? Surely, in this case, I have no respect of any person alive or dead. Give me a man, of what state or condition soever, yea, a Cardinal or a Pope, whom in the extreme point of his life affliction hath made to know himself; whose heart God hath touched with true sorrow for all his sins, and filled with love towards the Gospel of Christ; whose eyes are opened to see the Truth, and his mouth to renounce all Heresy and error any wise opposite thereunto (this one opinion of Merits excepted) he thinketh God will require at his hands, and because he wanteth, therefore trembleth, and is discouraged; it may be I am forgetful, and unskilful, not furnished with things new and old, as a wise and learned Scribe should be, nor able to allege that, whereunto, if it were alleged, he doth bear a mind most willing to yield, and so to be recalled, as well from this, as from other errors: and shall I think, because of this only error, that such a man toucheth not so much as the hem of Christ’s garment? If he do, wherefore should not I have hope, that virtue may proceed from Christ to save him? Because his error doth by consequent overthrow his Faith, shall I therefore cast him off, as one that hath utterly cast off Christ? one that holdeth not so much as by a slender thread? No; I will not be afraid to say unto a Pope or Cardinal in this plight, Be of good comfort, we have to do with a merciful God, rather [*ready*] to make the best of a little which we hold well; and not with a captious Sophister, which gathereth the worst out of every thing wherein we err. Is there any reason that I should be suspected, or you offended, for this speech? Is it a dangerous thing to imagine, that such men may find mercy? The hour may come, when we shall think it a blessed thing to hear, that if our sins were the sins of the Popes and Cardinals, the bowels of the mercy of God are larger. I do not propose unto you, a Pope with the neck of an Emperor

[Matt.
xiv. 30.]

* Let all affection be laid aside; let the matter indifferently be considered.

[Gal.
1. 8.]

under his feet; a Cardinal riding his horse to the bridle in the blood of Saints; but a Pope or Cardinal sorrowful, penitent, disrobed, stript, not only of usurped power, but also delivered and recalled from error; Antichrist, converted and lying prostrate at the foot of Christ; and shall I think that Christ shall spurn at him? and, shall I cross and gainsay the merciful promises of God, generally made unto penitent sinners, by opposing the name of a Pope, of a Cardinal? What difference is there in the world between a Pope and a Cardinal, and *John Style*, in this case? If we think it impossible for them, after they be once come within that rank, to be afterwards touched with any such remorse, let that be granted. The Apostle saith, "If I, or an Angel from Heaven, preach unto," &c. Let it be as likely, that St. Paul, or an Angel from Heaven, should preach Heresy, as that a Pope or a Cardinal should be brought so far forth to acknowledge the Truth; yet if a Pope or a Cardinal should, what find we in their persons why they might not be saved? It is not the persons, you will say, but the error wherein I suppose them to die, which excludeth them from the hope of mercy; the opinion of merits doth take away all possibility of salvation from them. What! if they hold it only as an error? although they hold the Truth truly and sincerely in all other parts of Christian Faith? although they have in some measure all the virtues and graces of the Spirit, all other tokens of God's elect children in them? although they be far from having any proud presumptuous opinion, that they shall be saved by the worthiness of their deeds? although the only thing which troubleth and molesteth them, be but a little too much dejection, somewhat too great a fear, rising from an erroneous conceit that God will require a worthiness in them, which they are grieved to find wanting in themselves? although they be not obstinate in this persuasion? although they be willing, and would be glad to forsake it, if any one reason were brought sufficient to disprove it? although the only let, why they do not forsake it ere they die, be the ignorance of the means by which it might be disproved? although the cause, why the ignorance in this point is not removed, be the want of knowledge in such as should be able, and are not, to remove it? Let me die, if ever it be proved, that simply an error doth exclude a Pope or a Cardinal, in such a case, utterly from hope of

life. Surely, I must confess unto you, If it be an error, that God may be merciful to save men even when they err; my greatest comfort is my error; were it not for the love I bear unto this error, I would never wish to speak, nor to live.*

36. Wherefore to resume that mother-sentence, whereof I little thought that so much trouble would have grown, "I doubt not but God was merciful to save thousands of our Fathers, living in Popish Superstitions, inasmuch as they sinned ignorantly:" alas! what bloody matter is there contained in this sentence, that it should be an occasion of so many hard censures? Did I say, "That thousands of our Fathers might be saved?" I have shewed which way it cannot be denied. Did I say, "I doubted not but they were saved?" I see no impiety in this persuasion, though I had no reason for it. Did I say, "Their ignorance did make me hope they did find mercy, and so were saved?" What hindereth salvation but sin? Sins are not equal; and ignorance, though it doth not make sin to be no sin, yet seeing it did make their sin the less, why should it not make our hope concerning their life the greater? We pity the most, and doubt not but God hath most compassion over them that sin for want of understanding. As much is confessed by sundry others, almost in the self-same words which I have used. It is but only my evil hap, that the same sentences which savour verity in other men's books, should seem to bolster Heresy when they are once by me recited. If I be deceived in this point, not they, but the blessed Apostle hath deceived me. What I said of others, the same he said of himself, "I obtained mercy, for I did it ignorantly." [1 Tim. i. 13.] Conster his words, and you cannot misconster mine. I spake no otherwise, I meant no otherwise, than he did.

* ["It is not easy to conceive Hooker's Pope or Cardinal, filled with a love of Christ and his Gospel; whose eyes are willingly open to see the truth, and his mouth ready to renounce all error; and having in some measure all the virtues and graces of the Spirit. It is not easy to conceive that 'love of the brethren,' whereby 'we know that we have passed from death unto life' (1 John iii. 14), existing in the heart of one whose head, wearing a triple crown, or a red hat, inculcates the damnation of all such Heretics as British Protestants. Especially, when even religious sincerity is a quality of very spurious character, unless the 'senses' are spiritually 'exercised' (Heb. v. 14), and Scripturally informed; and when men may be judicially given over of God, to 'believe a lie' (2 Thess. ii. 11)! At the same time, it is delightful to believe that a Pascal, a Quesnel, and a Fenelon, 'have washed their robes and made them white in the blood of the Lamb' (Rev. vii. 14);—have had their Romish ideas neutralized by others virtually Protestant; and that they held the 'Foundation,' while building upon it such combustibles as 'wood, and hay, and stubble' (1 Cor. iii. 12)!"—THE CHRISTIAN GUARDIAN, and Church of England Mag. June, 1828. p. 207.]

37. Thus have I brought the question concerning our Fathers, at the length, unto an end. Of whose estate, upon so fit an occasion as was offered me, handling the weighty causes of separation between the Church of Rome and us, and the weak motives which are commonly brought to retain men in that Society; amongst which motives the examples of our Fathers deceased is one; although I saw it convenient to utter that sentence which I did, to the end that all men might thereby understand, how untruly we are said to condemn as many as have been before us otherwise persuaded than we ourselves are; yet more than that one sentence, I did not think it expedient to utter, judging it a great deal meeter for us to have regard to our own estate, than to sift over-curiously what is become of other men; and fearing, lest that such questions as these, if voluntarily they should be too far waded in, might seem worthy of that rebuke which our Saviour thought needful in a case not unlike, “What is this unto thee?” When I was forced, much beside mine expectation, to render a reason of my speech, I could not but yield at the call of others, and proceed so far as duty bound me, for the fuller satisfying of minds. Wherein I have walked, as with reverence, so with fear: with reverence, in regard of our Fathers, which lived in former times; not without fear, considering them that are alive.

[John
xxi. 22.]

38. I am not ignorant how ready men are to feed and sooth up themselves in evil. Shall I, will the man say, that loveth the present world more than he loveth Christ, shall I incur the high displeasure of the mightiest upon earth? shall I hazard my goods, endanger my estate, put myself in jeopardy, rather than yield to that which so many of my Fathers embraced, and yet found favour in the sight of God? “Curse Meroz (saith the Lord,) curse her inhabitants, because they helped not the Lord, they helped him not against the mighty.” If I should not only not help the Lord against the mighty, but help to strengthen them that are mighty against the Lord; worthily might I fall under the burden of that curse, worthy I were to bear my own judgment: but, if the doctrine which I teach be a flower gathered in the garden of the Lord, a part of the saving truth of the Gospel, from whence notwithstanding poisoned creatures do suck venom; I can but wish it were otherwise,

Judges
v. 23.

and content myself with the lot that hath befallen me, the rather, because it hath not befallen me alone. St. Paul taught a truth, and a comfortable truth, when he taught, that the greater our misery is, in respect of our iniquities, the readier is the mercy of God for our release. If we seek unto him, the more we have sinned, the more praise, and glory, and honour unto him that pardoneth our sin. But mark what lewd collections were made hereupon by some: “Why then am I condemned for a sinner?” And the Apostle ^[Rom. iii. 7, 8.] (as we are blamed, and as some affirm that we say, “Why do we not evil that good may come of it?”) he was accused to teach that which ill-disposed men did gather by his teaching, though it were clean not only besides, but against his meaning. The Apostle addeth, “Their condemnation (which thus do) is just.” I am not hasty to apply sentences of condemnation: I wish from mine heart their conversion, whosoever are thus perversely affected. For I must needs say, their case is fearful, their estate dangerous, which harden themselves, presuming on the mercy of God towards others. It is true, that God is merciful, but let us beware of presumptuous sins. God delivered Jonah from the bottom of the sea; will you therefore cast yourselves headlong from the tops of rocks, and say in your hearts, God shall deliver us? He pitieth the blind that would gladly see; but will he pity him that may see, and hardeneth himself in blindness? No; Christ hath spoken too much unto you, to claim the privilege of your Fathers.

39. As for us that have handled this cause concerning the condition of our Fathers, whether it be this thing or any other which we bring unto you, the counsel is good which the Wise Man giveth, “Stand thou fast in thy sure under-^[Eccles. v. 10.]standing, in the way and knowledge of the Lord, and have but one manner of word, and follow the word of peace and righteousness.” As a loose tooth is a grief to him that eateth, so doth a wavering and unstable word in speech, that tendeth to instruction, offend. “Shall a wise man^[Job xv. 2.] speak words of the wind,” said Eliphaz; light, unconstant, unstable words? Surely the wisest may speak words of the wind: such is the untoward constitution of our nature, that we do neither so perfectly understand the way and knowledge of the Lord, nor so stedfastly embrace it when it is understood; nor so graciously utter it, when it is embraced;

[Gal.
iv. 12.]

nor so peaceably maintain it, when it is uttered; but that the best of us are overtaken sometime through blindness, sometime through hastiness, sometime through impatience, sometime through other passions of the mind, whereunto (God doth know) we are too subject. We must therefore be contented both to pardon others, and to crave that others may pardon us for such things. Let no man, that speaketh as a man, think himself, whiles he liveth, always freed from scapes and oversights in his speech. The things themselves which I have spoken unto you are sound, howsoever they have seemed otherwise unto some: at whose hands I have, in that respect, received injury, I willingly forget it; although, indeed, considering the benefit which I have reaped by this necessary speech of truth, I rather incline to that of the Apostle, "They have not injured me at all." I have cause to wish them as many blessings in the Kingdom of Heaven, as they have forced me to utter words and syllables in this cause; wherein I could not be more sparing of speech than I have been. "It becometh no man (saith St. Jerome) to be patient in the crime of Heresy." Patient, as I take it, we should be always, though the crime of Heresy were intended; but silent in a thing of so great consequence I could not, Beloved, I durst not be; especially, the love, that I bear to the Truth of Christ Jesus, being hereby somewhat called in question. Whereof I beseech them in the meekness of Christ, that have been the first original cause, to consider that a watchman may cry "An enemy!" when indeed a friend cometh. In which cause, as I deem such a watchman more worthy to be loved for his care, than misliked for his error; so I have judged it my own part in this, as much as in me lieth, to take away all suspicion of any unfriendly intent or meaning against the Truth, from which, God doth know, my heart is free.

[Jam.
ii. 1.]

40. Now to you, Beloved, which have heard these things, I will use no other words of admonition, than those which are offered me by St. James, "My brethren, have not the faith of our glorious Lord Jesus in respect of persons." Ye are not now to learn, that as of itself it is not hurtful, so neither should it be to any scandalous and offensive, in doubtful cases, to hear the different judgments of men. Be it that Cephas hath one interpretation, and Apollos hath another; that Paul is of this mind; and Barnabas of that;

if this offend you, the fault is yours. Carry peaceable minds, and you may have comfort by this variety.

Now the God of peace give you peaceable minds, and turn it to your everlasting comfort.*

* [“ Hooker, the great defender of our Church Polity, was clearly a Calvinist. See his Serm. on Justification.”—DYER’s *Privileges of the University of Cambridge*. 1824. 8vo. Vol. II. p. 130.]

A SERMON
OF
THE NATURE OF PRIDE.*

HABAK. ii. 4.

“His mind swelleth, and is not right in him: but the just by his Faith shall live.”

1. **THE** nature of man, being much more delighted to be led than drawn, doth many times stubbornly resist authority, when to persuasion it easily yieldeth. Whereupon the wisest Law-makers have endeavoured always, that those Laws might seem most reasonable, which they would have most inviolably kept. A Law simply commanding or forbidding, is but dead in comparison of that which expresseth the reason wherefore it doth the one or the other. And, surely, even in the Laws of God, although that he hath given commandment be in itself a reason sufficient to exact all obedience at the hands of men, yet a forcible inducement it is to obey with greater alacrity and cheerfulness of mind, when we see plainly that nothing is imposed more than we must needs yield unto, except we will be unreasonable. In a word, whatsoever we be taught, be it precept for direction of our manners, or article for instruction of our Faith, or document any way for information of our minds, it then taketh root and abideth, when we conceive not only what God doth speak, but why. Neither is it a small thing which we derogate, as well from the honour of his Truth, as from the comfort, joy, and delight which we ourselves should take by it, when we loosely slide over his speech as though it were as our own is, commonly vulgar and trivial: whereas, he uttereth nothing but it hath, besides the substance of doctrine delivered, a depth of wisdom, in the very choice and frame of words to deliver it in. The reason whereof being not perceived, but by greater intention of

brain that our nice minds for the most part can well away with, fain we would bring the world, if we might, to think it but a needless curiosity, to rip up any thing further than extemporal readiness of wit doth serve to reach unto. Which course, if here we did list to follow, we might tell you, that in the first branch of this sentence God doth condemn the Babylonian's pride; and in the second, teach what happiness of state shall grow to the righteous by the constancy of their Faith, notwithstanding the troubles which now they suffer; and, after certain notes of wholesome instruction hereupon collected, pass over without detaining your minds in any further removed speculation. But, as I take it, there is a difference between the talk that besemeth nurses amongst children, and that which men of capacity and judgment do, or should, receive instruction by.

2. The mind of the Prophet being erected with that which hath been hitherto spoken, receiveth here for full satisfaction a short abridgment of that which is afterwards more particularly unfolded. Wherefore, as the question before disputed of doth concern two sorts of men, the wicked flourishing as the bay, and the righteous like the withered grass, the one full of pride, the other cast down with utter discouragement; so the answer which God doth make for resolution of doubts hereupon arisen, hath reference unto both sorts, and this present sentence, containing a brief abstract thereof, comprehendeth summarily as well the fearful estate of iniquity over-exalted, as the hope laid up for righteousness opprest. In the former branch of which sentence, let us first examine what this rectitude or straightness importeth, which God denieth to be in the mind of the Babylonian. All things which God did create, he made them at first true, good, and right: true, in respect of correspondence unto that pattern of their being, which was eternally drawn in the counsel of God's foreknowledge; good, in regard of the use and benefit which each thing yieldeth unto other; right, by an apt conformity of all parts with that end which is outwardly proposed for each thing to tend unto. Other things have ends proposed, but have not the faculty to know, judge, and esteem of them; and therefore as they tend thereunto unwittingly, so likewise in the means whereby they acquire their appointed ends, they are by necessity so held that they cannot divert from

[Psalm
xxxvii.
35.
cii. 4.]

them. The ends why the heavens do move, the heavens themselves know not, and their motions they cannot but continue. Only men in all their actions know what it is which they seek for, neither are they by any such necessity tied naturally unto any certain determinate mean to obtain their end by, but that they may, if they will, forsake it. And therefore, in the whole world, no creature but only man, which hath the last end of his actions proposed as a recompence and reward; whereunto his mind directly bending itself, is termed right or straight, otherwise perverse.

3. To make this somewhat more plain, we must note, that as they, which travel from city to city, enquire ever for the straightest way, because the straightest is that which soonest bringeth them unto their journey's end; so "we, [Heb. xlii. 14.] having here, (as the Apostle speaketh,) no abiding city," but being always in travel towards that place of joy, immortality, and rest, cannot but in every of our deeds, words, and thoughts, think that to be best, which with most expedition leadeth us thereunto, and is for that very cause termed right. That sovereign good, which is the eternal fruition of all good, being our last and chiefest felicity, there is no desperate despiser of God and godliness living, which doth not wish for. The difference between right and crooked minds, is in the means which the one or the other do eschew or follow. Certain it is, that all particular things which are naturally desired in the world, as food, raiment, honour, wealth, pleasure, knowledge, they are subordinated in such wise unto that future good which we look for in the world to come, that even in them there lieth a direct way tending unto this. Otherwise we must think, that God, making promises of good things in this life, did seek to pervert men and to lead them from their right minds. Where is then the obliquity of the mind of man? His mind is perverse, cam,* and crooked, not when it bendeth itself unto any of these things, but when it bendeth so that it swerveth either to the right hand or to the left, by excess or defect, from that exact rule whereby human actions are measured. The Rule to measure and judge them by, is the Law of God. For this cause, the Prophet doth make

* ["*Sicinius*. This is clean *kam*.
Brutus. Merely *awry*."]

SHAKSP. *Coriolanus*. Act III. sc. 1.]

so often and so earnest suit, “O direct me in the way of thy commandments: as long as I have respect to thy Statutes, I am sure not to tread amiss.” Under the name of the Law, we must comprehend not only that which God hath written in tables and leaves, but that which nature also hath engraven on the hearts of men. Else how should those Heathen, which never had books but heaven and earth to look upon, be convicted of perverseness? “But the Gentiles, which had not the Law in books, had (saith the Apostle) the effect of the Law written in their hearts.” [Psalm cxix. 5, 6.] [Rom. ii. 14, 15.]

4. Then seeing that the heart of man “is not right” exactly, unless it be found in all parts such, that God examining and calling it unto account with all severity of rigour, be not able once to charge it with declining or swerving aside (which absolute perfection when did God ever find in the sons of mere mortal men?), doth it not follow, that all flesh must of necessity fall down and confess, We are not dust and ashes, but worse; our minds from the highest to the lowest are not right. If not right, then undoubtedly not capable of that blessedness which we naturally seek, but subject unto that which we must abhor, anguish, tribulation, death, woe, endless misery. For whatsoever misseth the way of life, the issue thereof cannot be but perdition. By which reason, all being wrapped up in sin, and made thereby the children of death, the minds of all men being plainly convicted not to be right; shall we think that God hath indued them with so many excellencies *more*, not only than any, but than all the creatures in the world besides, to leave them in such estate, that they had been happier if they had never been? Here cometh necessarily in a new way unto salvation, so that they which were in the other perverse, may in this be found straight and righteous. That, the way of Nature; this, the way of Grace. The end of that way, salvation merited, presupposing the righteousness of men’s works; their righteousness, a natural ability to do them; that ability, the goodness of God which created them in such perfection: but the end of this way, salvation bestowed upon men as a gift, presupposing, not their righteousness, but the forgiveness of their unrighteousness, justification; their justification, not their natural ability to do good, but their hearty sorrow for their not doing, and unfeigned belief in Him, for whose sake not-doers are accepted, which is

their vocation; their vocation, the election of God, taking them out from the number of lost children; their election, a Mediator in whom to be elect; this mediation, inexplicable mercy; his mercy, their misery, for whom he vouchsafed to make himself a Mediator. The want of exact distinguishing between these two ways, and observing what they have common, what peculiar, hath been the cause of the greatest part of that confusion whereof Christianity at this day laboureth. The lack of diligence in searching, laying down, and inuring men's minds with those hidden grounds of reason, whereupon the least particulars in each of these are most firmly and strongly builded, is the only reason of all those scruples and uncertainties, wherewith we are in such sort entangled, that a number despair of ever discerning what is right or wrong in any thing. But we will let this matter rest, whereinto we stepped to search out a way, how some minds may be, and are "right," truly, even in the sight of God, though they be simply in themselves "not right."

5. Howbeit, there is not only this difference between the just and impious, that the mind of the one is "right" in the sight of God, because his obliquity is not imputed; the other perverse, because his sin is unrepented of; but, even as lines that are drawn with a trembling hand, but yet to the point which they should, are thought ragged and uneven, nevertheless direct in comparison of them which run clean another way; so there is no incongruity in terming them right-minded men, whom though God may charge with many things amiss, yet they are not as those hideous and ugly monsters, in whom, because there is nothing but wilful opposition of mind against God, a more than tolerable deformity is noted in them, by saying, that their minds are not right. The Angel of the Church of Thyatira, unto whom the Son of God sendeth this greeting, "I know thy works, and thy love, and thy service, and faith; notwithstanding, I have a few things against thee," was not as he unto whom St. Peter, "Thou hast no fellowship in this business; for thy heart is not right in the sight of God." So that whereas the orderly disposition of the mind of man should be this; perturbations and sensual appetites all kept in awe by a moderate and sober will, will in all things framed by reason, reason directed by the Law of God and Nature; this Babylonian had his mind, as it were, turned

[Rev. ii.
19, 20.]

[Acts
viii. 21.]

upside down. In him unreasonable cecity and blindness trampled all Laws, both of God and Nature, under feet; wilfulness tyrannized over reason, and brutish sensuality over will: an evident token that his outrage would work his overthrow, and procure his speedy ruin. The mother whereof was that which the Prophet in these words signifieth, "His mind doth swell."

6. Immoderate swelling, a token of very eminent breach, and of inevitable destruction: Pride, a vice which cleaveth so fast unto the hearts of men, that if we were to strip ourselves of all faults one by one, we should undoubtedly find it the very last and hardest to put off. But I am not here to touch the secret itching humour of vanity, wherewith men are generally touched. It was a thing more than meanly inordinate, wherewith the Babylonian did swell. Which that we may both the better conceive, and the more easily reap profit by, the Nature of this vice, which setteth the whole world out of course, and hath put so many, even of the wisest, besides themselves, is first of all to be inquired into: secondly, the Dangers to be discovered which it draweth inevitably after it, being not cured: and, last of all, the Ways to cure it.

7. Whether we look upon the gifts of Nature, or of Grace, or whatsoever is in the world admired as a part of man's excellency, adorning his body, beautifying his mind, or externally any way commending him in the account and opinion of men, there is in every kind somewhat possible which no man hath, and somewhat had which few men can attain unto. By occasion whereof, there groweth disparagement necessarily; and by occasion of disparagement, Pride through men's ignorance. First, therefore, although men be not proud of any thing which is not, at the least in opinion, good; yet every good thing they are not proud of, but only of that which neither is common unto many, and being desired of all, causeth them which have it to be honoured above the rest. Now there is no man so void of brain, as to suppose that Pride consisteth in the bare possession of such things; for then to have virtue were a vice, and they should be the happiest men who are most wretched, because they have least of that which they would have. And though in speech we do intimate a kind of vanity to be in them of whom we say, "They are wise men,

[Luke
xxii. 25,
26.]

[Matt.
xx. 21]

and they know it;" yet this doth not prove, that every wise man is proud which doth not think himself to be blockish. What we may have, and know that we have it without offence, do we then make offensive when we take joy and delight in having it? What difference between men enriched with all abundance of earthly and heavenly blessings, and idols gorgeously attired, but this, The one takes pleasure in that which they have, the other none? If we be possest with beauty, strength, riches, power, knowledge, if we may be privy what we are every way, if glad and joyful for our own welfare, and in all this remain unblameable; nevertheless, some there are, who, granting thus much, doubt whether it may stand with humility, to accept those testimonies of praise and commendation, those titles, rooms, and other honours, which the world yieldeth, as acknowledgments of some men's excellency above others. For, inasmuch as Christ hath said unto those that are his, "The Kings of the Gentiles reign over them, and they that bear rule over them, are called gracious Lords; be ye not so;" the Anabaptist hereupon urgeth equality amongst Christians, as if all exercise of authority were nothing else but heathenish Pride. Our Lord and Saviour had no such meaning. But his Disciples feeding themselves with a vain imagination for the time, that the Messiah of the world should in Jerusalem erect his throne, and exercise dominion with great pomp and outward stateliness, advanced in honour and terrene power above all the Princes of the earth, began to think how with their Lord's condition their own would also rise; that having left and forsaken all to follow him, their place about him should not be mean; and because they were many, it troubled them much, which of them should be the greatest man. When suit was made for two by name, that of them "one might sit at his right hand, and the other at his left," the rest began to stomach, each taking it grievously that any should have what all did affect: their Lord and Master, to correct this humour, turneth aside their cogitations from these vain and fanciful conceits, giving them plainly to understand, that they did but deceive themselves; his coming was not to purchase an earthly, but to bestow an heavenly, Kingdom, wherein they (if any) shall be greatest, whom unfeigned humility maketh in this world lowest, and least amongst others: "Ye are they which have

continued with me in my temptations, therefore I leave unto you a Kingdom, as my Father hath appointed me, that ye may eat and drink at my table in my kingdom, and sit on seats, and judge the twelve tribes of Israel." But my Kingdom is no such Kingdom as ye dream of; and, therefore, these hungry ambitious contentions are seemlier in Heathens than in you. Wherefore, from Christ's intent and purpose, nothing is further removed than dislike of distinctions in titles and callings, annexed for order's sake unto Authority, whether it be Ecclesiastical or Civil. And when we have examined thoroughly what the nature of this vice is, no man knowing it can be so simple, as not to see an uglier shape thereof apparent many times in rejecting honours offered, than in the very exacting of them at the hands of men. For, as Judas his care for the poor was mere covetousness; and that frank-hearted wastefulness spoken of in the Gospel, thrift; so, there is no doubt, but that going in rags may be Pride, and thrones be clothed with unfeigned humility.

8. We must go further, therefore, and enter somewhat deeper, before we can come to the closet wherein this poison lieth. There is in the heart of every proud man, first, an error of understanding; a vain opinion whereby he thinketh his own excellency, and by reason thereof his worthiness of estimation, regard, and honour, to be greater than in truth it is. This maketh him in all his affections accordingly to raise up himself; and by his inward affections his outward acts are fashioned. Which if you list to have exemplified, you may, either by calling to mind things spoken of them whom God himself hath in Scripture specially noted with this fault; or by presenting to your secret cogitations that which you daily behold in the odious lives and manners of high-minded men. It were too long to gather together so plentiful an harvest of examples in this kind as the sacred Scripture affordeth. That which we drink in at our ears doth not so piercingly enter, as that which the mind doth conceive by sight. Is there any thing written concerning the Assyrian Monarch, in the tenth of Isaiah, of his swelling mind, his haughty looks, his great and presumptuous vaunts; "By the power of mine own hand I have done all things, and by mine own wisdom I have subdued the world?" any thing, concerning the

[Isai.
iii. 16.][Num.
xvi.]

dames of Sion, in the third of the Prophet Isaiah, of their stretched-out necks, their immodest eyes, their pageant-like, stately, and pompous gait? any thing, concerning the practices of Corah, Dathan, and Abiram, of their impatience to live in subjection, their mutinies, repining at lawful Authority, their grudging against their Superiors, Ecclesiastical and Civil? any thing, concerning Pride in any sort of sect, which the present face of the world doth not, as in a glass, represent to the view of all men's beholding? So that if books, both profane and holy, were all lost, as long as the manners of men retain the state they are in; for him which observeth, how that when men have once conceived an over-weening of themselves, it maketh them in all their affections to swell; how deadly their hatred, how heavy their displeasure, how unappeasable their indignation and wrath is above other men's, in what manner they compose themselves to be as Heteroclites, without the compass of all such rules as the common sort are measured by; how the oaths which religious hearts do tremble at, they affect as principal graces of speech; what felicity they take to see the enormity of their crimes above the reach of Laws and punishments; how much it delighteth them when they are able to appal with the cloudiness of their look; how far they exceed the terms wherewith man's nature should be limited; how high they bear their heads over others; how they brow-beat all men which do not receive their sentences as oracles, with marvellous applause and approbation; how they look upon no man, but with an indirect countenance, nor hear any thing, saving their own praise, with patience, nor speak without scornfulness and disdain; how they use their servants, as if they were beasts, their inferiors as servants, their equals as inferiors, and as for superiors they acknowledge none; how they admire themselves as venerable, puissant, wise, circumspect, provident, every-way great, taking all men besides themselves for ciphers, poor, inglorious, silly creatures, needless burthens of the earth, off-scourings, nothing: in a word, for him which marketh how irregular and exorbitant they are in all things, it can be no hard thing hereby to gather, that Pride is nothing but an inordinate elation of the mind, proceeding from a false conceit of men's excellency in things honoured, which accordingly frameth also their deeds and behaviour, unless there be

cunning to conceal it; for a foul scar may be covered with a fair cloth; and as proud as Lucifer, may be in outward appearance, lowly.

No man expecteth grapes of thistles; nor from a thing ^[Matt. vii. 16.] of so bad a nature, can other than suitable fruits be looked for. What harm soever in private families there groweth by disobedience of children, stubbornness of servants, untractableness in them, who, although they otherwise may rule, yet should in consideration of the imparity of their sex, be also subject; whatsoever, by strife amongst men combined in the fellowship of greater societies, by tyranny of Potentates, ambition of Nobles, rebellion of Subjects in Civil States; by heresies, schisms, divisions in the Church; naming Pride, we name the mother which brought them forth, and the only nurse that feedeth them. Give me the hearts of all men humbled, and what is there that can overthrow or disturb the peace of the world? wherein many things are the cause of much evil; but Pride of all.

9. To declaim of the swarms of evils issuing out of Pride, is an easy labour. I rather wish that I could exactly prescribe and persuade effectually the Remedies, whereby a sore so grievous might be cured, and the Means how the pride of swelling minds might be taken down. Whereunto so much we have already gained, that the evidence of the cause which breedeth it, pointeth directly unto the likeliest and fittest helps to take it away: diseases that come of fulness, emptiness must remove. Pride is not cured but by abating the error which causeth the mind to swell. Then seeing that they swell by misconceit of their own excellency; for this cause, all which tends to the beating down of their Pride, whether it be advertisement from men, or from God himself chastisement; it then maketh them cease to be proud, when it causeth them to see their error in overseeing the thing they were proud of. At this mark Job, in his apology unto his eloquent friends, aimeth. For perceiving how much they delighted to hear themselves talk, as if they had given their poor afflicted familiar a schooling of marvellous deep and rare instruction, as if they had taught him more than all the world besides could acquaint him with; his answer was to this effect; Ye swell as though ye had conceived some greater matter; but as for that which ye are delivered of, who knoweth it not? Is any man ignorant

[1 Cor.
iv. 8.][Isa.
i. 6.][Annot.
Rhem.
in 1 Cor.
iii. 8.]

of these things? At the same mark the blessed Apostle driveth; “Ye abound in all things, ye are rich, ye reign, and would to Christ we did reign with you:” but boast not. For what have ye, or are ye of yourselves? To this mark all those humble confessions are referred, which have been always frequent in the mouths of Saints, truly wading in the trial of themselves; as that of the Prophet, “We are nothing but soreness, and festered corruption;” our very light is darkness, and our righteousness itself unrighteousness: that of Gregory, “Let no man ever put confidence in his own deserts; *Sordet in conspectu Judicis, quod fulget in conspectu operantis*, in the sight of the dreadful Judge, it is noisome, which in the doer’s judgment maketh a beautiful shew:” that of Anselm, “I adore thee, I bless thee, Lord God of Heaven and Redeemer of the world, with all the power, ability, and strength of my heart and soul, for thy goodness so unmeasurably extended; not in regard of my merits, whereunto only torments were due, but of thy mere unpurchased benignity.” If these Fathers should be raised again from the dust, and have the books laid open before them, wherein such sentences are found as this, “Works, no other than the value, desert, price, and the worth of the joys of the Kingdom of Heaven; Heaven, in relation to our works, as the very stipend, which the hired labourer covenanteth to have of him whose work he doth, a thing equally and justly answering unto the time and weight of his travails, rather than to a voluntary or bountiful gift;” if, I say, those reverend fore-rehearsed Fathers, whose books are so full of sentences witnessing their Christian humility, should be raised from the dead, and behold with their eyes such things written; would they not plainly pronounce of the authors of such writ, that they were fuller of Lucifer than of Christ, that they were proud-hearted men, and carried more swelling minds than sincerely and feelingly known Christianity can tolerate?

10. But as unruly children, with whom wholesome admonition prevaieth little, are notwithstanding brought to fear that ever after which they have once well smarted for; so the mind which falleth not with instruction, yet under the rod of divine chastisement ceaseth to swell. If, therefore, the Prophet David, instructed by good experience, have acknowledged, Lord, I was even at the point of clean

forgetting myself, and so straying from my right mind, but thy rod hath been my reformer; it hath been good for me, even as much as my soul is worth, that I have been with sorrow troubled: if the blessed Apostle did need the corrosive of sharp and bitter strokes, lest his heart should swell with too great “abundance of heavenly revelations:” surely, upon us whatsoever God in this world doth or shall inflict, it cannot seem more than our Pride doth exact, not only by way of revenge, but of remedy. So hard it is to cure a sore of such quality as Pride is, inasmuch as that which rooteth out other vices, causeth this; and (which is even above all conceit) if we were clean from all spot and blemish both of other faults and of Pride, the fall of Angels doth make it almost a question, whether we might not need a preservative still, lest we should happily wax proud, that we are not proud. What is virtue but a medicine, and vice but a wound? Yet we have so often deeply wounded ourselves with medicines, that God hath been fain to make wounds medicinable; to cure by vice where virtue hath stricken; to suffer the just man to fall, that, being raised, he may be taught what power it was which upheld him standing. I am not afraid to affirm it boldly, with St. Augustine, that men puffed up through a proud opinion of their own sanctity and holiness, receive a benefit at the hands of God, and are assisted with his grace, when with his grace they are not assisted, but permitted, and that grievously, to transgress; whereby, as they were in over-great liking of themselves supplanted, so the dislike of that which did supplant them, may establish them afterwards the surer. Ask the very soul of Peter, and it shall undoubtedly make you itself this answer, My eager protestations, made in the glory of my ghostly strength, I am ashamed of; but those crystal tears, wherewith my sin and weakness was bewailed, have procured my endless joy; my strength hath been my ruin, and my fall my stay!

A

REMEDY AGAINST SORROW AND FEAR:

DELIVERED IN A

FUNERAL SERMON.*

JOHN xiv. 27.

“ Let not your hearts be troubled, nor fear.”

1. THE holy Apostles having gathered themselves together by the special appointment of Christ, and being in expectation to receive from him such instructions as they had been accustomed with, were told that which they least looked for, namely, that the time of his departure out of the world was now come. Whereupon they fell into consideration, first, of the manifold benefits which his absence should bereave them of; and, secondly, of the sundry evils which themselves should be subject unto, being once bereaved of so gracious a Master and Patron. The one consideration overwhelmed their souls with Heaviness; the other, with Fear. Their Lord and Saviour, whose words had cast down their hearts, raiseth them presently again with chosen sentences of sweet encouragement: My dears, it is for your own sakes that I leave the world; I know the affections of your hearts are tender, but if your love were directed with that advised and staid judgment which should be in you, my speech of leaving the world, and going unto my Father, would not a little augment your joy. Desolate and comfortless I will not leave you; in Spirit I am with you to the world's end. Whether I be present or absent, nothing shall ever take you out of these hands. My going is to take possession of that, in your names, which is not only for me, but also for you prepared; where I am, you shall be. In the mean while, “ My peace I give, not as the world giveth, give I unto you: let not your hearts be troubled, nor fear.” The former part of which

sentence having elsewhere already been spoken of, this unacceptable occasion to open the latter part thereof here, I did not look for. But so God disposeth the ways of men. Him I heartily beseech, that the thing which he hath thus ordered by his providence, may through his gracious goodness turn unto your comfort.

2. Our nature coveteth preservation from things hurtful. Hurtful things being present, do breed Heaviness; being future, do cause Fear. Our Saviour, to abate the one, speaketh thus unto his Disciples, "Let not your hearts be troubled;" and to moderate the other, addeth, "Fear not." Grief and heaviness in the presence of sensible evils, cannot but trouble the minds of men. It may therefore seem that Christ required a thing impossible. "Be not troubled." Why, how could they choose? But we must note, this being natural, and therefore simply not reprobable, is in us good or bad, according to the causes for which we are grieved, or the measure of our Grief. It is not my meaning to speak so largely of this affection, or to go over all particulars whereby men do one way or other offend in it; but to teach it so far only, as it may cause the very Apostles' equals to swerve. Our grief and heaviness therefore is reprobable, sometime in respect of the Cause from whence, sometime in regard of the Measure whereunto it groweth.

3. When Christ, "the life of the world," was led unto cruel death, there followed a number of people, and women, which women bewailed much his heavy case. It was natural compassion which caused them, where they saw undeserved miseries, there to pour forth unrestrained tears. Nor was this reprov'd. But in such readiness to lament where they less needed, their blindness in not discerning that for which they ought much rather to have mourned; this, our Saviour a little toucheth, putting them in mind that the tears which were wasted for him, might better have been spent upon themselves; "Daughters of Jerusalem, weep not for me, weep for yourselves and for your children." [John vi. 51.] [Luke xxiii. 28.] It is not, as the Stoics have imagined, a thing unseemly for a wise man to be touched with grief of mind: but to be sorrowful when we least should; and, where we should lament, there to laugh, this argueth our small wisdom. Again, when the Prophet David confesseth thus of himself,

Psalm.
lxxiii. 3.

“ I grieved to see the great prosperity of godless men, how they flourish and go untoucht ;” himself hereby openeth both our common, and his peculiar, imperfection, whom this cause should not have made so pensive. To grieve at this, is to grieve where we should not, because this grief doth rise from error. We err, when we grieve at wicked men’s impunity and prosperity ; because, their estate being rightly discerned, they neither prosper nor go unpunished. It may seem a paradox, it is a truth, that no wicked man’s estate is prosperous, fortunate, or happy. For what though they bless themselves, and think their happiness great ? Have not frantic persons many times a great opinion of their own wisdom ? It may be that such as they think themselves, others also do accompt them. But what others ? Surely such as themselves are. Truth and reason discerneth far otherwise of them. Unto whom the Jews wish all prosperity, unto them the phrase of their speech is to wish peace. Seeing then the name of peace containeth in it all parts of true happiness, when the Prophet saith plainly, “ That the wicked have no peace ; how can we think them to have any part of other than vainly-imagined felicity ? What wise man did ever accompt fools happy ? If wicked men were wise, they would cease to be wicked. Their iniquity therefore proving their folly, how can we stand in doubt of their misery ? They abound in those things which all men desire. A poor happiness to have good things in possession : “ A man to whom God hath given riches, and treasures, and honour, so that he wanteth nothing for his soul of all that it desireth, but yet God giveth him not the power to eat thereof ;” such a felicity Solomon esteemeth but as “ vanity,” a thing of nothing. If such things add nothing to men’s happiness, where they are not used, surely wicked men, that use them ill, the more they have, the more wretched. Of their prosperity, therefore, we see what we are to think. Touching their impunity, the same is likewise but supposed. They are oftner plagued than we are aware of. The pangs they feel are not always written in their foreheads. Though wickedness be sugar in their mouths, and wantonness as oil to make them look with cheerful countenance ; nevertheless, if their hearts were disclosed, perhaps their glittering estate would not greatly be envied. The voices that

[Isa.
xlviii.
22.]

Eccles.
vi 2.

have broken out from some of them, “O that God had given me a heart senseless, like the flints in the rocks of stone!” which as it can taste no pleasure, so it feeleth no woe; these and the like speeches are surely tokens of the curse which Zophar, in the book of Job, poureth upon the head of the impious man, “He shall suck the gall of asps, ^[Job xx. 16.] and the viper’s tongue shall slay him.” If this seem light, because it is secret, shall we think they go unpunisht, because no apparent plague is presently seen upon them? The judgments of God do not always follow crimes, as thunder doth lightning; but sometimes the space of many ages coming between. When the sun hath shined fair the space of six days upon the tabernacle, we know not what clouds the seventh may bring. And when their punishment doth come, let them make their account in the greatness of their sufferings, to pay the interest of that respite which hath been given them. Or if they chance to escape clearly in this world, which they seldom do, in the day when the heavens shall shrivel as a scroll, and the mountains move as frightened men out of their places, what cave shall receive them? What mountain or rock shall they get by entreaty to fall upon them? What covert to hide them from that wrath which they shall be neither able to abide nor to avoid? No man’s misery therefore being greater than theirs whose impiety is most fortunate; much more cause there is for them to bewail their own infelicity, than for others to be troubled with their prosperous and happy estate, as if the hand of the Almighty did not, or would not, touch them. For these Causes, and the like unto these, therefore, “Be not troubled.”

4. Now, though the cause of our Heaviness be just, yet may not our affections herein be yielded unto with *too much* indulgency and favour. The grief of compassion, whereby we are touched with the feeling of other men’s woes, is of all other least dangerous: yet this is a let unto sundry duties; by this we are apt to spare sometimes where we ought to strike. The grief which our sufferings do bring, what temptations have not risen from it? What great advantage Satan hath taken even by the godly grief of hearty contrition for sins committed against God, the near approaching of so many afflicted souls, whom the conscience of sin hath brought unto the very brink of extreme despair, doth but too abundantly

shew. These things, wheresoever they fall, cannot but trouble and molest the mind. Whether we be therefore moved vainly with that which seemeth hurtful, and is not; or have just cause of grief, being pressed indeed with those things which are grievous, our Saviour's lesson is touching the one "Be not troubled," nor over-troubled for the other. For, though to have no feeling of that which nearly concerneth us were stupidity, nevertheless, seeing that as the Author of our salvation was himself consecrated by affliction, so the way which we are to follow him by is not strewed with rushes, but set with thorns; be it never so hard to learn, we must learn to suffer with patience, even that which seemeth almost impossible to be suffered; that in the hour when God shall call us unto our trial, and turn this honey of peace and pleasure, wherewith we swell, into that gall and bitterness which flesh doth shrink to taste of, nothing may cause us in the troubles of our souls to storm, and grudge, and repine at God, but every heart be enabled with divinely-inspired courage to inculcate unto itself, "Be not troubled;" and in those last and greatest conflicts to remember it, that nothing may be so sharp and bitter to be suffered, but that still we ourselves may give ourselves this encouragement, Even learn also patience, O my soul.

5. Naming Patience, I name that virtue which only hath power to stay our souls from being over-excessively troubled. A virtue, wherein if ever any, surely that soul had good experience, which extremity of pains having chased out of the tabernacle of this flesh, Angels, I nothing doubt, have carried into the bosom of her father Abraham. The death of the Saints of God is precious in his sight. And shall it seem unto us superfluous at such times as these are, to hear in what manner they have ended their lives? The Lord himself hath not disdained so exactly to register in the Book of Life, after what sort his servants have closed up their days on earth, that he descendeth even to the very meanest actions; what meat they have longed for in their sickness, what they have spoken unto their children, kinsfolks and friends, where they have willed their dead carcasses to be laid, how they have framed their wills and testaments; yea, the very turning of their faces to this side or that, the setting of their eyes, the degrees whereby their natural heat hath departed from

[Psalm
cxvi.
16.]

them, their cries, their groans, their pantings, breathings, and last gaspings, he hath most solemnly commended unto the memory of all generations. The care of the living both to live and die well must needs be somewhat increased, when they know that their departure shall not be folded up in silence, but the ears of many be made acquainted with it. Again, when they hear how mercifully God hath dealt with others in the hour of their last need, besides the praise which they give to God, and the joy which they have, or should have, by reason of their fellowship and communion of Saints, is not their hope also much confirmed against the day of their own dissolution? Finally, the sound of these things doth not so pass the ears of them that are most loose and dissolute of life, but it causeth them sometime or other, to wish in their hearts, “Oh, that we might die the death of the righteous, and that our end might be like his!” Howbeit, because to spend herein many words, would be to strike even as many wounds into their minds, whom I rather wish to comfort; therefore concerning this virtuous gentlewoman only this little I speak, and that of knowledge, She lived a dove, and died a lamb. And if amongst so many virtues, hearty devotion towards God, towards poverty tender compassion, motherly affection towards servants, towards friends even serviceable kindness, mild behaviour and harmless meaning towards all; if, where so many virtues were eminent, any be worthy of special mention, I wish her dearest friends of that sex, to be her nearest followers in two things: Silence, saving only where duty did exact speech; and Patience, even then when extremity of pains did enforce grief. “Blessed are they which die in the Lord.” And concerning the dead which are blessed, let not the hearts of any living be overcharged, with grief over-troubled. [Num. xxiii. 10.]

6. Touching the latter affection of Fear, which respecteth evils to come, as the other which we have spoken of doth present evils; first, in the nature thereof it is plain, that we are not of every future evil afraid. Perceive we not how they, whose tenderness shrinketh at the least rase of a needle's point, do kiss the sword that pierceth their souls quite through? If every evil did cause Fear, sin, because it is sin, would be feared; whereas properly sin is not feared as sin, but only as having some kind of harm annexed. To [Rev. xlv. 13.]

[1 Cor.
xv. 56.]

teach men to avoid sin, it had been sufficient for the Apostle to say, “Fly it:” but to make them afraid of committing sin, because the naming of sin sufficed not, therefore he addeth further, that it is as a “serpent which stingeth the soul.” Again, be it that some nocive or hurtful thing be towards us, must Fear of necessity follow hereupon? Not, except that hurtful things do threaten us either with destruction or vexation, and that, such as we have neither a conceit of ability to resist, nor of utter impossibility to avoid. That which we know ourselves able to withstand, we fear not; and that which we know we are unable to defer or diminish, or any way avoid, we cease to fear; we give ourselves over to bear and sustain it. The evil therefore which is feared, must be in our persuasion unable to be resisted when it cometh, yet not utterly impossible for a time in whole or in part to be shunned. Neither do we much fear such evils, except they be imminent and near at hand; nor if they be near, except we have an opinion that they be so. When we have once conceived an opinion, or apprehended an imagination of such evils prest, and ready to invade us; because they are hurtful unto our nature, we feel in ourselves a kind of abhorring; because they are though near, yet not present, our nature seeketh forthwith how to shift and provide for itself; because they are evils which cannot be resisted, therefore she doth not provide to withstand, but to shun and avoid. Hence it is, that in extreme fear, the mother of life contracting herself, avoiding as much as may be the reach of evil, and drawing the heat together with the spirits of the body to her, leaveth the outward parts cold, pale, weak, feeble, unapt to perform the functions of life; as we see in the fear of Belshazzar King of Babel. By this it appeareth, that Fear is nothing else but a perturbation of the mind, through an opinion of some imminent evil, threat’ning the destruction or great annoyance of our nature, which to shun, it doth contract and deject itself.

[Dan.
v. 6.]

7. Now because, not in this place only, but elsewhere often, we hear it repeated, “Fear not,” it is by some made a long question, Whether a man may fear destruction or vexation, without sinning? First, the reproof wherewith Christ checketh his Disciples more than once, “O men of little faith, wherefore are ye afraid?” Secondly, the punishment threat’ned in Rev. xxi. to wit, the lake, and fire, and

brimstone, not only to murderers, unclean persons, sorcerers, idolaters, liars, but also to the fearful and faint-hearted: this seemeth to argue, that Fearfulness cannot but be sin. On the contrary side we see, that he which never felt motion unto sin, had of this affection more than a slight feeling. How clear is the evidence of the Spirit, that “in the days ^{Heb. v. 7.} of his flesh he offered up prayers and supplications, with strong cries and tears, unto him that was able to save him from death, and was also heard in that which he feared?” Whereupon it followeth, that Fear in itself is a thing not sinful. For, is not Fear a thing natural, and for men’s preservation necessary, implanted in us by the provident and most gracious Giver of all good things, to the end that we might not run headlong upon those mischiefs wherewith we are not able to encounter, but use the remedy of shunning those evils which we have not ability to withstand? Let that people therefore which receive a benefit by the length of their Prince’s days, that father or mother that rejoiceth to see the offspring of their flesh grow like green and pleasant plants, let those children that would have their parents, those men that would gladly have their friends and brethren’s days prolonged on earth (as there is no natural-hearted man but gladly would), let them bless the Father of lights, as in other things, so even in this, that he hath given man a Fearful heart, and settled naturally that affection in him, which is a preservation against so many ways of death. Fear, then, in itself being mere Nature, cannot in itself be sin, which sin is not nature, but thereof an accessory deprivation.

8. But in the Matter of Fear we may sin, and do, two ways. If any man’s danger be great, theirs is greatest that have put the Fear of danger farthest from them. Is there any estate more fearful than that Babylonian strumpet’s that sitteth upon the tops of the seven hills, glorying and vaunting, “I ^{Rev. xviii. 7.} am a Queen,” &c.? How much better and happier they, whose estate hath been always as his, who speaketh after this sort of himself, “Lord, from my youth have I borne thy ^[Mark x. 20.] yoke?” They which sit at continual ease, and are settled in the lees of their security, look upon them, view their countenance, their speech, their gesture, their deeds; “Put ^[Psal. ix. 20.] them in Fear, O God, (saith the Prophet), that so they may know themselves to be but men;” worms of earth, dust and

ashes, frail, corruptible, feeble things. To shake off security, therefore, and to breed Fear in the hearts of mortal men, so many admonitions are used concerning the power of evils which beset them, so many threat'nings of calamities, so many descriptions of things threat'ned, and those so lively, to the end they may leave behind them a deep impression of such as hath force to keep the heart continually waking. All which do shew, that we are to stand in fear of nothing more than the extremity of—not fearing.

9. When Fear hath delivered us from that pit, wherein they are sunk that have put far from them the evil day; that have made a league with death, and have said, Tush, we shall feel no harm; it standeth us upon to take heed it cast us not into that, wherein souls destitute of all hope are plunged. For our direction, to avoid as much as may be both extremities, that we may know, as a ship-master by his card, how far we are wide, either on the one side or on the other, we must note, that in a Christian man there is, first, Nature; secondly, Corruption perverting Nature; thirdly, Grace correcting and amending Corruption. In Fear all these have their several operations: Nature teacheth simply, to wish preservation, and avoidance of things dreadful; for which cause our Saviour himself prayeth, and that often, “Father, if it be *possible*.” In which cases, corrupt Nature’s suggestions are, for the safety of temporal life, not to stick at things excluding from eternal; wherein how far even the best may be led, the chiefest Apostle’s frailty teacheth. Were it not therefore for such cogitations as, on the contrary side, Grace and Faith ministereth, such as that of Job, “Though God kill me;” that of Paul, “Scio cui credidi, I know him on whom I do rely;” small evils would soon be able to overwhelm even the best of us. “A wise man (saith Solomon) doth see a plague coming, and hideth himself.” It is Nature which teacheth a wise man in Fear to hide himself, but Grace and Faith doth teach him where. Fools care not where they hide their heads: but where shall a wise man hide himself when he feareth a plague coming? where should the frightened child hide his head, but in the bosom of his loving father? where a Christian, but under the shadow of the wings of Christ his Saviour? “Come, my people (saith God in the Prophet) enter into thy chamber, hide thyself,” &c. But because we are in danger, like

[Matt.
xxvi.
39.]

[Job
xiii. 15.
2 Tim.
i. 12.]
[Prov.
xxii. 3.]

Isa.
xxvi. 20.

chased birds, like doves, that seek and cannot see the resting holes that are right before them; therefore our Saviour giveth his Disciples these encouragements beforehand, that Fear might never so amaze them, but that always they might remember, that whatsoever 'evils at any time did beset them, to him they should still repair for comfort, counsel and succour. For their assurance whereof, *his* "Peace he gave them, *his* Peace ^[John xiv. 27.] he left unto them; not such Peace as the world offereth," by whom his name is never so much pretended, as when deepest treachery is meant; but "Peace which passeth all ^[Phil. iv. 7.] understanding," Peace that bringeth with it all happiness, Peace that continueth for ever and ever with them that have it.

This Peace God the Father grant, for his Son's sake; unto whom, with the Holy Ghost, three Persons, one eternal and everliving God, be all honour, glory, and praise, now and for ever. Amen.

A
S E R M O N

OF

THE CERTAINTY AND PERPETUITY OF FAITH IN
THE ELECT:

ESPECIALLY OF THE PROPHET HABAKKUK'S FAITH.*

HABAK. i. 4.

Whether the Prophet Habakkuk, by admitting this cogitation into his mind, "The Law doth fail," did thereby shew himself an unbeliever?

1. WE have seen in the opening of this clause, which concerneth the weakness of the Prophet's Faith, first, what things they are, whereunto the Faith of sound believers doth assent; secondly, wherefore all men assent not thereunto; and thirdly, why they that do, do it many times with small assurance. Now, because nothing can be so truly spoken, but through misunderstanding it may be depraved; therefore to prevent, if it be possible, all misconstruction in this cause, where a small error cannot rise but with a great danger, it is perhaps needful, ere we come to the fourth point, that something be added to that which hath been already spoken concerning the third.

[1 Cor.
ii. 14.]

2. That mere natural men do neither know nor acknowledge the things of God, we do not marvel, because they are spiritually to be discerned; but they in whose hearts the light of grace doth shine, they that are taught of God, why are they so weak in Faith? why is their assenting to the Law so scrupulous? so much mingled with fear and wavering? It seemeth strange that ever they should imagine the Law to fail. It cannot seem strange if we weigh the

reason. If the things which we believe be considered in themselves, it may truly be said that Faith is more certain than any science. That which we know either by sense, or by infallible demonstration, is not so certain as the principles, articles, and conclusions of Christian Faith. Concerning which we must note, that there is a Certainty of Evidence, and a Certainty of Adherence. Certainty of Evidence we call that, when the mind doth assent unto this or that, not because it is true in itself, but because the truth is clear, because it is manifest to us. Of things in themselves most certain, except they be also most evident, our persuasion is not so assured as it is of things more evident, although in themselves they be less certain. It is as sure, if not surer, that there be spirits, as that there be men; but we be more assured of these than of them, because these are more evident. The truth of some things is so evident, that no man which heareth them can doubt of them: as when we hear that "a part of any thing is less than the whole," the mind is constrained to say, this is true. If it were so in matters of Faith, then, as all men have equal certainty of this, so no believer should be more scrupulous and doubtful than another. But we find the contrary. The Angels and Spirits of the righteous in Heaven have certainty most evident of things spiritual: but this they have by the light of Glory. That which we see by the light of Grace, though it be indeed more certain; yet it is not to us so evidently certain, as that which sense or the light of Nature will not suffer a man to doubt of. Proofs are vain and frivolous, except they be more certain than is the thing proved. And do we not see how the Spirit every where in the Scripture proveth matters of Faith, laboureth to confirm us in the things which we believe by things whereof we have sensible knowledge? I conclude therefore that we have less certainty of evidence concerning things believed, than concerning sensible or naturally perceived. Of these who doth doubt at any time? Of them at some time who doubteth not? I will not here allege the sundry confessions of the perfectest that have lived upon earth, concerning their great imperfections this way; which, if I did, I should dwell too long upon a matter sufficiently known by every faithful man that doth know himself.

3. The other, which we call the Certainty of Adherence

is, when the heart doth cleave and stick unto that which it doth believe. This certainty is greater in us than the other. The reason is this: the Faith of a Christian doth apprehend the words of the Law, the promises of God, not only as true, but also as good; and therefore even then, when the evidence which he hath of the truth is so small, that it grieveth him to feel his weakness in assenting thereto, yet is there in him such a sure adherence unto that which he doth but faintly and fearfully believe, that his spirit having once truly tasted the heavenly sweetness thereof, all the world is not able quite and clean to remove him from it; but he striveth with himself to hope against all reason of believing, being settled with Job upon this unmoveable resolution, "Though God kill me, I will not give over trusting in him." For why? this lesson remaineth for ever imprinted in him, "It is good for me to cleave unto God."

[Job
xiii. 15.]

Psal.
lxxlii.
28.

4. Now the minds of all men being so darkened as they are with the foggy damp of original corruption, it cannot be that any man's heart living should be either so enlightened in the knowledge, or so established in the love of that wherein his salvation standeth, as to be perfect, neither doubting nor shrinking at all. If any such were, what doth let why that man should not be justified by his own inherent righteousness? For righteousness inherent, being perfect, will justify. And perfect Faith is a part of perfect righteousness inherent; yea, a principal part, the root and the mother of all the rest: so that if the fruit of every tree be such as the root is, Faith being perfect, as it is if it be not at all mingled with distrust and fear, what is there to exclude other Christian virtues from the like perfections? And then what need we the righteousness of Christ? His garment is superfluous; we may be honourably clothed with our own robes, if it be thus. But let them beware, who challenge to themselves a strength which they have not, lest they lose the comfortable support of that weakness which indeed they have.

5. Some shew, although no soundness of ground, there is, which may be alledged for defence of this supposed perfection in Certainty touching matters of our Faith; as, first, that Abraham did believe, and doubted not: secondly, that the Spirit, which God hath given us to no other end, but only to assure us that we are the sons of God; to embolden

us to call upon him as our Father, to open our eyes, and to make the truth of things believed evident unto our minds, is much mightier in operation than the common light of Nature, whereby we discern sensible things: wherefore we must needs be more sure of that we believe, than of that we see; we must needs be more certain of the mercies of God in Christ Jesus, than we are of the light of the sun when it shineth upon our faces. To that of Abraham, “He did not doubt;” I answer, that this negation doth not exclude all fear, all doubting, but only that which cannot stand with true Faith. It freeth Abraham from doubting through infidelity, not from doubting through infirmity; from the doubting of unbelievers, not of weak believers; from such a doubting as that whereof the Prince of Samaria is attainted, who, hearing the promise of sudden plenty in the midst of extreme dearth, answered, “Though the Lord would make windows [2 Kings vii. 2.] in heaven, were it possible so to come to pass?” But that Abraham was not void of all doubting, what need we any other proof than the plain evidence of his own words? The reason which is taken from the power of the Spirit were Gen. xvii. 17. effectual, if God did work like a natural agent, as the fire doth inflame, and the sun enlighten, according to the uttermost ability which they have to bring forth their effects: but the incomprehensible wisdom of God doth limit the effects of his power to such a measure as it seemeth best unto himself; wherefore he worketh that certainty in all, which sufficeth abundantly to their salvation in the life to come; but in none so great as attaineth in this life unto perfection. Even so, O Lord, it hath pleased thee; even so it is best and fittest for us, that feeling still our own infirmities, we may no longer breathe than pray, “Adjuva, Domine—Help, Lord, our incredulity.” Of the third question, this I hope will suffice, being added unto that which hath been thereof already spoken. The fourth question resteth, and so an end of this point.

6. That which cometh last of all in this first branch to be considered concerning the weakness of the Prophet’s Faith is, Whether he did by this very thought, “The Law doth fail,” quench the Spirit, fall from Faith, and shew himself an unbeliever, or no? The question is of moment; the repose and tranquillity of infinite souls doth depend upon it. The Prophet’s case is the case of many; which way soever we

cast for him, the same way it passeth for all others. If in him this cogitation did extinguish Grace, why the like thoughts in us should not take the like effect, there is no cause. Forasmuch, therefore, as the matter is weighty, dear, and precious, which we have in hand, it behoveth us with so much the greater chariness to wade through it, taking special heed both what we build, and whereon we build; that if our building be pearl, our foundation be not stubble; if the doctrine we teach be full of comfort and consolation, the ground whereupon we gather it be sure: otherwise we shall not save, but deceive, both ourselves and others. In this we know we are not deceived, neither can we deceive you, when we teach that the Faith whereby ye are sanctified cannot fail; it did not in the Prophet, it shall not in you. If it be so, let the difference be shewed between the condition of unbelievers and his, in this or in the like imbecility and weakness. There was in Habakkuk that which St. John doth call "the seed of God," meaning thereby the *first grace* which God poureth into the hearts of them that are incorporated into Christ; which having received, if, because it is an adversary unto sin, we do therefore think we sin not both otherwise, and also by distrustful and doubtful apprehending of that which we ought stedfastly to believe, surely we do but deceive ourselves. Yet they which are of God do not sin either in this, or in any thing, any such sin as doth quite extinguish Grace, clean cut them off from Christ Jesus; because the seed of God "abideth" in them, and doth shield them from receiving any irremediable wound. Their Faith, when it is at the strongest, is but weak; yet even then, when it is at the weakest, so strong, that utterly it never faileth, it never perisheth altogether, no not in them who think it extinguished in themselves. There are for whose sakes I dare not deal slightly in this cause, sparing that labour which must be bestowed to make it plain. Men in like agonies unto this of the Prophet Habakkuk's, are through extremity of grief, many times in judgment so confounded, that they find not themselves in themselves. For that which dwelleth in their hearts they seek, they make diligent search and enquiry. It "abideth," it worketh in them, yet still they ask, where? Still they lament as for a thing which is past finding: they mourn as Rachel, and refuse to be comforted, as if that were not which indeed

[1 John
iii, 9.]

[ver. 9.]

[Jere.
xxxi.
15.]

is; and as if that, which is not were; as if they did not believe when they do, and, as if they did despair when they do not. Which in some, I grant, is but a melancholy passion, proceeding only from that dejection of mind, the cause whereof is in the body, and by bodily means can be taken away. But where there is no such bodily cause, the mind is not lightly in this mood, but by some of these three occasions: One, that judging by comparison either with other men, or with themselves at some other time more strong, they think imperfection to be a plain deprivation, weakness to be utter want, of Faith. Another cause is, they often mistake one thing for another. St. Paul, wishing well to the Church of Rome, prayeth for them after this sort, "The God of hope fill you with all joy of believing." [Rom. xv. 13.] Hence an error groweth, when men in heaviness of spirit suppose they lack Faith, because they find not the sugared joy and delight which indeed doth accompany Faith, but so as a separable accident, as a thing that may be removed from it; yea, there is a cause why it should be removed. The light would never be so acceptable, were it not for that usual intercourse of darkness. Too much honey doth turn to gall; and too much joy, even spiritually, would make us wantons. Happier a great deal is that man's case, whose soul by inward desolation is humbled, than he whose heart is through abundance of spiritual delight lifted up and exalted above measure. Better it is sometimes to go down into the pit with him, who, beholding darkness, and bewailing the loss of inward joy and consolation, crieth from the bottom of the lowest hell, "My God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?" [Psalm xxii. 1.] than continually to walk arm in arm with Angels, to sit as it were in Abraham's bosom, and to have no thought, no cogitation, but "I thank my God it is not with me as it is with other men." [Luke xviii. 11.] No, God will have them that shall walk in light to feel now and then what it is to sit in the shadow of death. A grieved spirit therefore is no argument of a faithless mind. A third occasion of men's misjudging themselves, as if they were faithless when they are not, is, they fasten their cogitations upon the distrustful suggestions of the flesh, whereof finding great abundance in themselves, they gather thereby, Surely unbelief hath full dominion, it hath taken plenary possession of me; if I were faithful it could not be thus: not marking the motions of the

[Rom.
viii. 26.]

Spirit and of Faith, because they lie buried and overwhelmed with the contrary: when notwithstanding, as the blessed Apostle doth acknowledge, that the Spirit groaneth, and that God heareth when we do not; so there is no doubt but that our Faith may have, and hath, her privy operations secret to us, in whom, yet known to him by whom they are. Tell this to a man that hath a mind deceived by too hard an opinion of himself, and it doth but augment his grief: he hath his answer ready, Will you make me think otherwise than I find, than I feel in myself? I have thoroughly considered and exquisitely sifted all the corners of my heart, and I see what there is; never seek to persuade me against my knowledge; I do not, I know, I do not believe. Well, to favour them a little in their weakness, let that be granted which they do imagine; be it that they are faithless and without belief. But are they not grieved for their unbelief? They are. Do they not wish it might, and also strive that it may, be otherwise? We know they do. Whence cometh this, but from a secret love and liking which they have of those things that are believed? No man can love things which in his own opinion are not. And if they think those things to be, which they shew that they love when they desire to believe them; then must it needs be, that by desiring to believe they prove themselves true believers: for without Faith no man thinketh that things believed are. Which argument all the subtilty of infernal powers will never be able to dissolve. The Faith therefore of true believers, though it have many and grievous downfalls, yet doth it still continue invincible; it conquereth and recovereth itself in the end. The dangerous conflicts, whereunto it is subject, are not able to prevail against it. The Prophet Habakkuk remained faithful in weakness, though weak in Faith. It is true, such is our weak and wavering nature, that we have no sooner received Grace, but we are ready to fall from it: we have no sooner given our assent to the Law, that it cannot fail, but the next conceit which we are ready to embrace is, that it may, and that it doth fail. Though we find in ourselves a most willing heart to cleave unseparably unto God, even so far as to think unfeignedly with Peter, “ Lord, I am ready to go with thee into prison and to death;” yet how soon and how easily, upon how small occasions, are we changed, if we be but awhile let

[Luke
xxii. 33.]

alone, and left unto ourselves? The Galatians to-day, for their sakes which teach them the truth in* Christ, content, if need were, to pluck out their own eyes, and the next day, ready to pluck out theirs which taught them. The love of the Angel of the Church of Ephesus, how greatly inflamed, and how quickly slacked! The higher we flow, the nearer we are unto an ebb, if men be respected as mere men, according to the wonted course of their alterable inclination, without the heavenly support of the Spirit. Again, the desire of our ghostly enemy is so incredible, and his means so forcible to overthrow our Faith, that whom the blessed Apostle knew betrothed and made hand-fast unto Christ, to them he could not write but with great trembling; “I am jealous over you with a godly jealousy, for I have prepared you to one Husband, to present you a pure Virgin unto Christ: but I fear, lest as the Serpent beguiled Eve through his subtilty, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity which is in Christ.” The simplicity of Faith which is in Christ, taketh the naked promise of God, his bare Word, and on that it resteth. This simplicity the Serpent laboureth continually to pervert, corrupting the mind with many imaginations of repugnancy and contrariety between the promise of God and those things which sense or experience, or some other fore-conceived persuasion, hath imprinted. The word of the promise of God unto his people is, “I will not leave thee nor forsake thee:” upon this the simplicity of Faith resteth, and it is not afraid of famine. But mark how the subtilty of Satan did corrupt the minds of that rebellious generation, whose spirits were not faithful unto God. They beheld the desolate state of the Desert in which they were, and by the wisdom of their sense concluded the promise of God to be but folly; “Can God prepare a table in the Wilderness?” The word of the promise to Sarah was, “Thou shalt bear a son.” Faith is simple, and doubteth not of it: but Satan, to corrupt this simplicity of Faith, entangleth the mind of the woman with an argument drawn from common experience to the contrary; A woman that is old; Sarah now to be acquainted again with forgotten passions of youth! The word of the promise of God by Moses and the Prophets made the

[Gal. iv. 15.]

[2 Cor. xi. 2, 3.]

[Josh. i. 5.]

[Psalm lxxviii. 19.]

[Gen. xviii. 12.]

* [Eph. iv. 21.]

[John
i. 45.]

Saviour of the world so apparent unto Philip, that his simplicity could conceive no other Messiah than Jesus of Nazareth, the son of Joseph. But to stay Nathanael, lest

[ver. 46.]

being invited to “come and see,” he should also believe, and so be saved, the subtilty of Satan casteth a mist before his eyes, putteth in his head against this the common-

[Ibid.]

conceived persuasion of all men concerning Nazareth, “Is it possible that a good thing should come from thence?”

This stratagem he doth use with so great dexterity, the minds of all men are so strangely ensorceled* with it, that it bereaveth them, for the time, of all perceivance of that which should relieve them and be their comfort; yea, it taketh all remembrance from them, even of things where-with they are most familiarly acquainted. The people of Israel could not be ignorant, that he which led them through the sea was able to feed them in the Desert: but this was obliterated and put out by the sense of their present want.

[Matt.
xix. 26.]
[1 Cor. i.
27, 28.]

Feeling the hand of God against them in their food, they remembered not his hand in the day that he delivered them from the hand of the oppressor. Sarah was not then to learn, that “with God all things are possible.” Had Nathanael never noted how “God doth choose the base things of this world to disgrace them that are most honourably esteemed?” The Prophet Habakkuk knew that the promises of grace, protection, and favour, which God in the Law doth make unto his people, do not grant them any such immunity as can free and exempt them from all chastisements: he knew that, as God said, “I will continue my mercy for ever towards them;” so he likewise said, “Their transgressions I will punish with a rod:” he knew that it cannot stand with any reason, we should set the measure of our own punishments, and prescribe unto God how great, or how long, our sufferings shall be: he knew that we were blind, and altogether ignorant what is best for us; that we sue for many things very unwisely against ourselves, thinking we ask fish, when indeed we crave a serpent: he knew that when the thing we ask is good, and yet God seemeth slow to grant it, he doth not deny but defer our petitions, to the end we might learn to desire great things greatly: all this he knew. But, beholding the land which God had

* [French: “Bewitched.”]

severed for his own people, and seeing it abandoned unto Heathen nations; viewing how reproachfully they did tread it down, and wholly make havock of it at their pleasure; beholding the Lord's own royal seat made an heap of stones, his Temple defiled, the carcasses of his servants cast out for the fowls of the air to devour, and the flesh of his meek ones for the beasts of the field to feed upon; being conscious to himself how long and how earnestly he had cried, "Succour us, O God of our welfare, for the glory of thine own name;" and feeling that their sore was still increased: the conceit of repugnancy between this which was objected to his eyes, and that which Faith upon promise of the Law did look for, made so deep an impression, and so strong, that he disputeth not the matter; but, without any further enquiry or search, inferreth, as we see, "The Law doth fail."

7. Of us, who is here which cannot very soberly advise his brother; Sir, you must learn to strengthen your Faith by that experience which heretofore you have had of God's great goodness towards you, "*Per ea quæ agnoscas præstita, discas sperare promissa*, By those things which you have known performed, learn to hope for those things which are promised?" Do you acknowledge to have received much? Let that make you certain to receive more. "Haben-^[Luke xix. 26.]ti dabitur; To him that hath, more shall be given." When you doubt what you shall have, search what you have had at God's hands. Make this reckoning, that the benefits, which he hath bestowed are bills obligatory and sufficient sureties, that he will bestow further. His present mercy is still a warrant of his future love, because, "whom^[John xiii. 1.] he loveth, he loveth unto the end." Is it not thus? Yet if we could reckon up as many evident, clear, undoubted signs of God's reconciled love towards us as there are years, yea days, yea hours, past over our heads; all these set together have not such force to confirm our Faith, as the loss, and sometimes the only fear of losing a little transitory goods, credit, honour, or favour of men; a small calamity, a matter of nothing, to breed a conceit, and such a conceit as is not easily again removed, that we are clean crost out of God's book, that he regards us not, that he looketh upon others, but passeth by us like a stranger to whom we are not known. Then we think, looking upon others, and comparing them with ourselves, their tables are furnished day

by day; earth and ashes are our bread: they sing to the lute, and they see their children dance before them; our hearts are heavy in our bodies as lead, our sighs beat as thick as a swift pulse, our tears do wash the beds wherein we lie: the sun shineth fair upon their foreheads; we are hanged up like bottles in the smoke, cast into corners like the shreds of a broken pot: tell not us of the promises of God's favour, tell such as do reap the fruit of them; they belong not to us, they are made to others: the Lord be merciful to our weakness, but thus it is. Well, let the frailty of our nature, the subtilty of Satan, the force of our deceivable imaginations be, as we cannot deny but they are, things that threaten every moment the utter subversion of our Faith; Faith notwithstanding is not hazarded by these things: that which one sometimes told the Senators of Rome, "Ego sic existimabam, P. C. uti patrem sæpe meum prædicantem audiveram, qui vestram amicitiam diligenter colerent, eos multum laborem suscipere, cæterum ex omnibus maxime tutos esse, As I have often heard my father acknowledge, so I myself did ever think, that the friends and favourers of this State charged themselves with great labour, but no man's condition so safe as theirs;" the same we may say a great deal more justly in this case, Our Fathers and Prophets, our Lord and Master, hath full often spoken, by long experience we have found it true, as many as have entered their names in the mystical Book of Life, "eos maximum laborem suscipere," they have taken upon them a laboursome, a toilsome, a painful profession, "sed omnium maxime tutos esse," but no man's security like to theirs. "Simon, Simon, Satan hath desired to winnow thee as wheat;" here is our toil: "but I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not;" this is our safety. No man's condition so sure as ours: the prayer of Christ is more than sufficient both to strengthen us, be we never so weak; and to overthrow all adversary power, be it never so strong and potent. His prayer must not exclude our labour: their thoughts are vain who think that their watching can preserve the city, which God himself is not willing to keep. And are not theirs as vain, who think that God will keep the city, for which they themselves are not careful to watch? The husbandman may not therefore burn his plough, nor the merchant forsake his trade, because God

[Sall.]

[Luke
xxii.
31, 32.][Psal.
cxxvii.1]

hath promised “I will not forsake thee.” And do the promises of God concerning our stability, think you, make it a matter indifferent for us to use, or not to use, the means whereby to attend, or not to attend, to reading? to pray, or not to pray, that we “fall not into temptation?” Surely, if we look to stand in the Faith of the sons of God, we must hourly, continually, be providing and setting ourselves to strive. It was not the meaning of our Lord and Saviour in saying, “Father, keep them in thy name,” that we should be careless to keep ourselves. To our own safety, our own sedulity is required. And then blessed for ever and ever be that mother’s child, whose Faith hath made him the child of God. The earth may shake, the pillars of the world may tremble under us, the countenance of the Heaven may be appalled, the sun may lose his light, the moon her beauty, the stars their glory; but concerning the man that trusteth in God, if the fire have proclaimed itself unable as much as to singe a hair of his head, if lions, beasts ravenous by nature, and keen with hunger, being set to devour, have as it were religiously adored the very flesh of the faithful man; what is there in the world that shall change his heart, overthrow his Faith, alter his affection towards God, or the affection of God to him? If I be of this note, who shall make a separation between me and my God? “shall tribulation, or anguish, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword? No; I am persuaded, that neither tribulation, nor anguish, nor persecution, nor famine, nor nakedness, nor peril, nor sword, nor death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall ever prevail so far over me.” “I know in whom I have believed; I am not ignorant whose precious blood hath been shed for me; I have a Shepherd full of kindness, full of care, and full of power, unto him I commit myself; his own finger hath engraven this sentence in the tables of my heart, “Satan hath desired to winnow thee as wheat, but I have prayed that thy Faith fail not:” therefore the assurance of my hope I will labour to keep, as a jewel, unto the end; and by labour, through the gracious mediation of his prayer, I shall keep it.

[John
xvii. 11.][Rom.
viii. 35,
38, 39.][2 Tim. i.
12.][Luke
xxii. 31.]

TWO SERMONS

ON

PART OF ST. JUDE'S EPISTLE.*

SERMON I.

JUDE 17—21.

“But ye, beloved, remember the words which were spoken before of the Apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you, that there should be Mockers in the last time, which should walk after their own ungodly lusts. These are makers of sects, fleshly, having not the Spirit. But ye, beloved, edify yourselves in your most holy Faith, praying in the Holy Ghost. And keep yourselves in the love of God, looking for the mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ unto eternal Life.”

1. THE occasion whereupon, together with the end wherefore, this Epistle was written, is opened in the front and entry of the same. There were then, as there are now, many evil and wickedly-disposed persons, not of the mystical [Jude, 4.] Body, yet within the visible bounds of the Church, “men which were of old ordained to condemnation, ungodly men,

* [Printed at Oxford, 1614, 4to. “To the Worshipful Mr. GEORGE SUMMASTER, Principal of Broad-Gates Hall, in Oxford, HENRY JACKSON wisheth all happiness. Sir, your kind acceptance of a former testification of that respect I owe you, hath made me venture to shew the world these godly Sermons under your name. In which, as every point is worth observation, so some especially are to be noted: the first, that as the Spirit of Prophecy is from God himself, who doth inwardly heat and enlighten the hearts and minds of his holy Penmen (which if some would diligently consider, they would not puzzle themselves with the contentions of Scot and Thomas, Whether God only, or his ministering Spirits, do infuse into men's minds propheticall revelations ‘per species intelligibiles’), so God framed their words also. Whence the holy Father St. Augustine religiously observeth, ‘That all those which understand the Sacred Writers, will also perceive that they ought not to use other words than they did, in expressing those heavenly mysteries which their hearts conceived, as the blessed Virgin did our Saviour, by the Holy Ghost’ (De Doct. Chr. lib. iv. c. 6). The greater is Castellio his offence, who hath laboured to teach the Prophets to speak otherwise than they have already. Much like to that impious King of Spain, Alphonsus the Tenth, who found fault with God's works: ‘Si (inquit) creationi affuissem, mundum melius ordinassem;’ If he had been with God at the creation of the world, the world had gone better than now it doth.’ (Rob. Tolet. lib. iv. c. 5). As this man found fault with God's works, so did the other with God's words; but, because ‘we have a most sure word of the Prophets,’ to which we must ‘take heed,’ (2 Pet. i. 19) I will let his words pass with the wind, having elsewhere (Præf. in Orat. D. Rainold.) spoken to you more largely of his errorus, whom, notwithstanding, for his other excellent parts, I much respect.

“You shall moreover from hence understand, how Christianity consists not in formal and seeming *purity* (under which who knows not notorious villany to mask?), but in the heart-root. Whence the Author truly teacheth, that Mockers, which use Religion as a cloak, to put off and on, as the weather serveth, are worse than Pagans and Infidels. Where I cannot

which turned the grace of our God into wantonness, and denied the Lord Jesus." For this cause the Spirit of the Lord is in the hand of "Jude the servant of Jesus and [ver. 1.] brother of James," to exhort them that are called, and sanctified of God the Father, that they would "earnestly

omit to shew how justly this kind of men hath been reprov'd by that renowned Martyr of Jesus Christ, Bishop Latimer, both because it will be apposite to this purpose, and also free that Christian worthy from the slanderous reproaches of him (Parsons in 3. Convers.), who was, if ever any, a Mocker of God, Religion, and all good men. But first I must desire you, and in you all readers, not to think lightly of that excellent man, for using this and the like witty similitudes in his Sermons. For whosoever will call to mind with what riff-raff God's people were fed in those days, when their Priests, whose 'lips should have preserved knowledge' (Mal. ii. 7.), preached nothing else but dreams and false miracles of counterfeit Saints, enrolled in that sottish Legend, coined and amplified by a drowsy head between sleeping and waking;* he that will consider this, and also how the people were delighted with such toys (God sending them strong delusions that they should believe lies), and how hard it would have been for any man wholly, and upon the sudden, to draw their minds to another bent, will easily perceive, both how necessary it was to shew symbolical discourse, and how wisely and moderately it was applied by that religious Father, to the end he might lead their understanding so far, till it were so convinced, informed, and settled, that it might forget the means and way by which it was led, and think only of that it had acquired. For in all such mystical speeches who knows not that the end for which they are used, is only to be thought upon?

"This then being first considered, let us hear the story, as it is related by Mr. Fox: (Page 1903. ed. 1570.) 'Mr. Latimer (saith he) in his Sermon, gave the people certain cards out of the fifth, sixth, and seventh chapters of Matthew. For the chief triumph in the cards he limited the heart, as the principal thing that they should serve God withal; whereby he quite overthrew all hypocritical and external Ceremonies, not tending to the necessary furtherance of God's holy Word and Sacraments. By this, he exhorted all men to serve the Lord with inward heart and true affection, and not with outward Ceremonies; adding, moreover, to the praise of that triumph, that though it were never so small, yet it would take up the best coat-card beside in the bunch, yea, though it were the King of Clubs, &c. meaning thereby, how the Lord would be worshipped and served in simplicity of the heart, and verity, wherein consisteth true Christian Religion, &c.' Thus Mr. Fox.

"By which it appears, that the holy man's intention was to lift up the people's hearts to God, and not that he made 'a Sermon of playing at cards, and taught them how to play at Triumph, and played (himself) at cards in the pulpit,' as that base companion Parsons† reports the matter in his wonted scurrilous vein of railing, whence he calleth it a Christmas Sermon.‡ Now he that will think ill of such allusions, may, out of the abundance of his folly, jest at Demosthenes for his story of the sheep, wolves, and dogs;§ and Menenius, for his fiction of the belly.|| But, 'hinc illæ lacrymæ,' the good Bishop meant that the Romish Religion came not from the heart, but consisted in outward Ceremonies: which sorely grieved Parsons, who never had the least warmth, or spark of honesty. Whether Bishop Latimer compared the Bishops to the Knave of Clubs, as the fellow interprets him, I know not: I am sure Parsons, of all others, deserved those colours; and so I leave him. We see then, what inward purity is required of all Christians, which if they have, then in prayer, and all other Christian duties, they shall lift up pure hands, as the Apostle speaks (1 Tim. ii. 8.); not as Baronius would have it, washed from sins with holy water;¶ but pure, that is, holy, free from the pollution of sin, as the Greek word *ῥόλος* doth signify.

"You may see also here refuted those calumnies of the Papists, that we abandon all religious Rites and godly duties; as also the confirmation of our doctrine, touching Certainty of Faith, (and so of salvation,) which is so strongly denied by some of that faction, that they have told the world, St. Paul himself was uncertain of his own salvation.** What then shall we say, but pronounce a woe to the most strict observers of St. Francis' Rule and his Canonical

* *Canus locor. lib. xi. c. 6. Vives, lib. ii. de Corrupt. art. Hard. lib. iv. de Tect.*

† In the third part of The three Conversions of England: in the examination of Fox's Saints, c. 14. sect. 53, 54. p. 215.

‡ Sect. 55. § Plutarch. in Demosthen.

|| Liv. Dec. 1. lib. ii. an. U. C. 60.

¶ Annal. tom. i. an. 57. Num. 109, 110, et tom. ii. an. 132, Num. 5.

** S. Paulus de sua salute incertus; Richeom Jesuit. lib. ii. c. 12. Idolat. Huguenot. p. 119. in marg. edit. Lat. Mogunt. 1613. interpret. Marcel. Bompar. Jesuita.

[ver. 3.] contend to maintain the Faith, which was once delivered to the Saints." Which Faith, because we cannot maintain, except we know perfectly, first, against whom; secondly, in what sort it must be maintained; therefore in the former three verses of that parcel of Scripture which I have read, the enemies of the Cross of Christ are plainly described; and in the latter two, they that love the Lord Jesus have a sweet lesson given them how to strengthen and stablish themselves in the Faith. Let us first therefore examine the description of these reprobates concerning Faith; and afterwards come to the exhortation, wherein Christians are taught how to rest their hearts on God's eternal and everlasting Truth. The description of these Godless persons is twofold, general and special. The general doth point them out, and shew what manner of men they should be. The particular pointeth at them, and saith plainly, these are they. In the general description we have to consider of these things; First, when they were described; "They were told of before:" Secondly, the men by whom they were described; "They were spoken of by the Apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ:" Thirdly, the days when they should be manifested unto the world, they told you, "they should be in the last time:" Fourthly, their disposition and whole demeanour, "Mockers and walkers after their own ungodly lusts."

[Phil.
iii. 18,
19.]

2. In the third to the Philippians, the Apostle describeth certain; "They are men (saith he) of whom I have told you often, and now with tears I tell you of them, their god is their belly, their glory and rejoicing is in their own shame, they mind earthly things." These were enemies of the

Discipline, though they make him even equal with Christ,* and the most meritorious Monk that ever was registered in their Calendar of Saints? But we, for our comfort, are otherwise taught out of the Holy Scripture, and therefore exhorted to build ourselves in our most holy Faith, that so, 'When our earthly house of this tabernacle shall be destroyed, we may have a building given of God, a house not made with hands, but eternal in the Heavens.' (2 Cor. v. 1.)

"This is that which is most piously and feelingly taught in these few leaves, so that you shall read nothing here, but what I persuade myself you have long practised in the constant course of your life. It remaineth only that you accept of these labours tendered to you by him, who wisheth you the long joys of this world, and the eternal of that which is to come. Oxon, from Corpus Christi College, this 13th of January, 1613."]

* Witness the verses of Horatius, a Jesuit, recited by Possevin. Biblioth. Select. part. 2. lib. xvii. c. 19.

‘ Exne Franciscum tunica laceroque cucullo :
Qui Franciscus erat, jam tibi Christus erit.
Francisci exuviis (si qua licet) indue Christum :
Jam Franciscus erit, qui modo Christus erat.’

The like hath Bencius, another Jesuit.

Cross of Christ, enemies whom he saw, and his eyes gusht out with tears to behold them. But we are taught in this place, how the Apostle spake also of enemies, whom as yet they had not seen, described a family of men as yet unheard of, a generation reserved for the end of the world, and for the last time; they had not only declared what they heard and saw in the days wherein they lived, but they have prophesied also of men in time to come. And “you do well ^[2 Pet. i. 19, 20.] (saith St. Peter) in that ye take heed to the words of prophecy, so that ye first know this, that no prophecy in the Scripture cometh of any man’s own resolution.” No prophecy in Scripture cometh of any man’s own resolution; for all prophecy which is in Scripture, came by the secret inspiration of God. But there are prophecies which are no Scripture; yea, there are prophecies against the Scripture: my brethen, beware of such prophecies, and take heed you heed them not. Remember the things that were spoken of before; but spoken of before by the Apostles of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. Take heed to prophecies, but to prophecies which are in Scripture; for both the manner and matter of those prophecies do shew plainly that they are of God.

3. Touching the manner how men, by the Spirit of Prophecy in Holy Scripture, have spoken and written of things to come, we must understand, that as the knowledge of that they spake, so likewise the utterance of that they knew, came not by these usual and ordinary means whereby we are brought to understand the mysteries of our salvation, and are wont to instruct others in the same. For whatsoever we know, we have it by the hands and ministry of men, which lead us along like children from a letter to a syllable, from a syllable to a word, from a word to a line, from a line to a sentence, from a sentence to a side, and so turn over. But God himself was their instructor, he himself taught them, partly by dreams and visions in the night, partly by revelations in the day, taking them aside from amongst their brethren, and talking with them as a man would talk with his neighbour in the way. Thus they became acquainted even with the secret and hidden counsels of God; they saw things which themselves were not able to utter; they beheld that whereat men and angels are astonished; they understood in the beginning, what should come to pass in the last days.

Of the Spirit of Prophecy received from God himself.

Of the
Pro-
phets'
manner
of
speech.

Job
xv. 2, 3.

Wisd.
ix. 16.

[Job
xlii. 3.]

[2 Macc.
xv. 38.]

Isa.
xlix. 2.
[1 Cor.
ii. 12,
13.]

4. God, which lightened thus the eyes of their understanding, giving them knowledge by unusual and extraordinary means, did also miraculously himself frame and fashion their words and writings, insomuch that a greater difference there seemeth not to be between the manner of their knowledge, than there is between the manner of their speech and ours. When we have conceived a thing in our hearts, and thoroughly understand it, as we think within ourselves, ere we can utter in such sort, that our brethren may receive instruction or comfort at our mouths, how great, how long, how earnest meditation are we forced to use? And after much travail and much pains, when we open our lips to speak of the wonderful works of God, our tongues do falter within our mouths, yea, many times we disgrace the dreadful mysteries of our Faith, and grieve the spirit of our hearers by words unsavoury, and unseemly speeches: “ Shall a wise man fill his belly with the eastern wind (saith Eliphaz)? Shall a wise man dispute with words not comely? or with talk that is not profitable?” Yet behold, even they that are wisest amongst us living, compared with the Prophets, seem no otherwise to talk of God, than as if the children which are carried in arms, should speak of the greatest matters of state. They whose words do most shew forth their wise understanding, and whose lips do utter the purest knowledge, so long as they understand and speak as men, are they not fain sundry ways to excuse themselves? Sometimes acknowledging with the Wise Man, “ Hardly can we discern the things that are on earth, and with great labour find we out the things that are before us: who can then seek out the things that are in heaven?” Sometimes confessing with Job the righteous, in treating of things too wonderful for us, we have spoken we wist not what: sometimes ending their talk, as does the history of the Maccabees, “ If we have done well, and as the cause required, it is that we desire; if we have spoken slenderly and barely, we have done what we could.” But “ God hath made my mouth like a sword,” saith Isaiah: and “ we have received (saith the Apostle), not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God, that we might know the things which are given to us of God; which things also we speak, not in words which man’s wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost doth teach.” This is that which

the Prophets mean by those books written full within and without; which books were so often delivered them to eat, not because God fed them with ink and paper, but to teach us, that so oft as he employed them in this heavenly work, they neither spake nor wrote any word of their own, but uttered syllable by syllable, as the Spirit put it into their mouths, no otherwise than the harp or the lute doth give a sound, according to the discretion of his hands that holdeth and striketh it with skill. The difference is only this: an instrument, whether it be a pipe or harp, maketh a distinction in the times and sounds, which distinction is well perceived of the hearer, the instrument itself understandeth not what is piped or harped. The Prophets and holy men of God, not so: "I opened my mouth (saith Ezekiel), and God reached me a scroll, saying, Son of man, cause thy belly to eat, and fill thy bowels with this I give thee; I ate it, and it was sweet in my mouth as honey," saith the Prophet; yea, sweeter, I am persuaded, than either honey or the honey-comb. For herein they were not like harps or lutes, but they felt, they felt the power and strength of their own words. When they spake of our peace, every corner of their heart was filled with joy. When they prophesied of mournings, lamentations, and woes to fall upon us, they wept in the bitterness and indignation of spirit, the arm of the Lord being mighty and strong upon them.

Ezek.
iii. 2, 8.

5. On this manner were all the prophecies of Holy Scripture. Which prophecies, although they contain nothing which is not profitable for our instruction; yet as one star differeth from another in glory, so every word of prophecy hath a treasure of matter in it; but all matters are not of like importance, as all treasures are not of equal price: the chief and principal matter of prophecy is the promise of righteousness, peace, holiness, glory, victory, immortality, unto "every soul which believeth that Jesus is Christ, of the Jew first, and of the Gentile." Now because the doctrine of salvation to be looked for by Faith in Him, who was in outward appearance, as it had been, a man forsaken of God; in him, who was numbered, judged, and condemned with the wicked; in him, whom men did see buffeted on the face, scoffed at by the soldiers, scourged by tormentors, hanged on the cross, pierced to the heart; in him, whom

[Rom.
i. 16.]

[Matt.
xxvii.
46.]

[Heb.
vi. 18.]

the eyes of many witnesses did behold, when the anguish of his soul enforced him to roar, as if his heart had rent in sunder, “O my God, my God, why hast thou forsaken me?” I say, because the doctrine of salvation by him is a thing improbable to a natural man, that whether we preach it to the Gentile, or to the Jew, the one condemneth our Faith as madness, the other as blasphemy; therefore, to establish and confirm the certainty of this saving truth in the hearts of men, the Lord, together with their preachings whom he sent immediately from himself to reveal these things unto the world, mingled prophecies of things, both Civil and Ecclesiastical, which were to come in every age, from time to time, till the very last of the latter days, that by those things, wherein we see daily their words fulfilled and done, we might have strong consolation in the hope of things which are not seen, because they have revealed as well the one as the other. For when many things are spoken of before in Scripture, whereof we see first one thing accomplished, and then another, and so a third, perceive we not plainly, that God doth nothing else but lead us along by the hand, till he have settled us upon the rock of an assured hope, that no one jot or tittle of his Word shall pass, till all be fulfilled? It is not therefore said in vain, that these godless wicked ones “were spoken of before.”

A natural man perceiveth not heavenly things.
[Luke
x. 16.]

James
ii. 2.

6. But by whom? By them whose words, if men or Angels from Heaven, gainsay, they are accursed; by them, whom whosoever despiseth, “despiseth not them but me,” saith Christ. If any man therefore doth love the Lord Jesus, (and woe worth him that loveth not the Lord Jesus!), hereby we may know that he loveth him indeed, If he despise not the things that are spoken of by his Apostles, whom many have despised even for the baseness and simpleness of their persons. For it is the property of fleshly and carnal men to honour and dishonour, credit and discredit, the words and deeds of every man, according to that he wanteth or hath without. “If a man with gorgeous apparel come amongst us,” although he be a thief or a murderer (for there are thieves and murderers in gorgeous apparel), be his heart whatsoever, if his coat be of purple or velvet, or tissue, every one riseth up, and all the reverent solemnities we can use are too little. But the man that serveth God is contemned

and despised amongst us for his poverty. Herod speaketh in judgment, and the people cry out, "The voice of God, and not of man." Paul preacheth Christ, they term him a "trifler." "Hearken, beloved, hath not God chosen the poor of this world, that they should be rich in Faith?" Hath he not chosen the refuse of the world to be heirs of his Kingdom, which he hath promised to them that love him? Hath he not chosen the off-scourings of men to be the Lights of the world, and the Apostles of Jesus Christ? Men unlearned, yet how fully replenished with understanding? Few in number, yet how great in power? Contemptible in shew, yet in spirit how strong? how wonderful? "I would fain learn the mystery of the eternal generation of the Son of God," saith Hilary.* Whom shall I seek? Shall I get me to the schools of the Grecians? Why, I have read, "Ubi Sapiens? ubi Scriba? ubi Conquisitor hujus seculi?" These wise men in the world must needs be dumb in this, because they have rejected the wisdom of God. Shall I beseech the Scribes and Interpreters of the Law to become my Teachers? How can they know this, sith they are offended at the Cross of Christ? It is death for me to be ignorant of the unsearchable mystery of the Son of God; of which mystery, notwithstanding, I should have been ignorant, but that a poor fisherman, unknown, unlearned, new come from his boat, with his clothes wringing wet, hath opened his mouth, and taught me, "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." These poor silly creatures have made us rich in the knowledge of the mysteries of Christ.

7. Remember therefore that which is spoken of by the Apostles; whose words if the children of this world do not regard, is it any marvel? They are the Apostles of our Lord Jesus; not of their Lord, but of ours. It is true which one hath said in a certain place, "*Apostolicam fidem seculi homo non capit*, A man sworn to the world is not capable of that faith which the Apostles do teach." What mean the children of this world then to tread in the courts of our God? What should your bodies do at Bethel, whose hearts are at Bethaven? The god of this world, whom ye serve, hath provided Apostles and Teachers for you, Chaldeans, Wizards, Soothsayers, Astrologers, and such like;

Acts
xii. 22.xvii. 18.
James
ii. 5.[1 Cor.
i. 20.][John
i. 1.]We must
not halt
between
two opi-
nions.

* [See Vol. II. p. 183, Note ††.]

hear them. Tell not us that ye will sacrifice to the Lord our God, if we will sacrifice to Ashtaroth or Melcom; that ye will read our Scriptures, if we will listen to your Traditions; that if ye may have a Mass by permission, we shall have a Communion with good leave and liking; that ye will admit the things that are spoken of by the Apostles of our Lord Jesus, if your Lord and Master may have his Ordinances observed, and his Statutes kept. Solomon took it (as he well might) for an evident proof, that she did not bear a motherly affection to her child, which yielded to have it cut in divers parts. He cannot love the Lord Jesus with his heart, which lendeth one ear to his Apostles, and another ear to false Apostles; which can brook to see a mingle-mangle of Religion and Superstition, Ministers and Massing-priests, Light and Darkness, Truth and Error, Traditions and Scriptures. No, we have no Lord but Jesus; no Doctrine but the Gospel; no Teachers but his Apostles. Were it reason to require at the hands of an English subject, obedience to the Laws and Edicts of the Spaniard? I do marvel, that any man, bearing the name of a servant of the servants of Jesus Christ, will go about to draw us from our allegiance. We are his sworn subjects; it is not lawful for us to hear the things that are not told us by his Apostles. They have told us, that “in the last days there shall be Mockers,” therefore we believe it; “Credimus quia legimus,” We are so persuaded, because we read it must be so. If we did not read it, we would not teach it: “Nam quæ Libro Legis non continentur, ea nec nosce debemus,” saith Hilary; Those things that are not written in the Book of the Law, we ought not so much as to be acquainted with them. “Remember the words which were spoken before of the Apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ.”

Mockers
in the
last
time.

8. The third thing to be considered in the description of these men of whom we speak, is the time wherein they should be manifested to the world. They told you “there should be Mockers in the last time.” Noah, at the commandment of God, built an ark, and there were in it beasts of all sorts, clean and unclean. A husbandman planteth a vineyard, and looketh for grapes, but when they come to the gathering, behold, together with grapes, there are found also wild grapes. A rich man prepareth a great supper, and biddeth many; but when he sitteth him down, he findeth amongst

his friends here and there a man whom he knoweth not. This hath been the state of the Church sithence the beginning. God always hath mingled his Saints with faithless and godless persons, as it were the clean with the unclean, grapes with sour grapes, his friends and children with aliens and strangers. Marvel not then, if in the last days also, ye see the men with whom you live and walk arm in arm, laugh at your Religion, and blaspheme that glorious Name whereof you are called. Thus it was in the days of the Patriarchs and Prophets, and are we better than our Fathers? Albeit we suppose that the blessed Apostles, in foreshewing what manner of men were set out for the last days, meant to note a calamity special and peculiar to the ages and generations which were to come. As if he should have said, As God hath appointed a time of seed for the sower, and a time of harvest for him that reapeth; as he hath given unto every herb and every tree his own fruit and his own season, not the season nor the fruit of another (for no man looketh to gather figs in the winter, because the summer is the season for them; nor grapes of thistles, because grapes are the fruit of the vine); so the same God hath appointed sundry for every generation of men, other men for other times, and for the last times the worst men, as may appear by their properties; which is the fourth point to be considered of in this description.

9. “ They told you that there should be Mockers;” he meaneth men that shall use Religion as a cloak, to put off and on, as the weather serveth; such as shall, with Herod, hear the preaching of John Baptist to-day, and to-morrow condescend to have him beheaded; or with the other Herod, say they will worship Christ, when they purpose a massacre in their hearts; kiss Christ with Judas, and betray Christ with Judas: these are Mockers. For as Ishmael, the son of Hagar, laughed at Isaac, which was heir of the promise; so shall these men laugh at you as the maddest people under the sun, if ye be like Moses, “ choosing rather to suffer affliction with the people of God, than to enjoy the pleasures of sin for a season;” and why? God hath not given them eyes to see, nor hearts to conceive, that exceeding “ recompence” of your reward. The promises of salvation made to you, are matters wherein they can take no pleasure, even as Ishmael took no pleasure in that promise wherein God had

Mockers
worse
than Pa-
gans and
Infidels.

[Heb.
xi. 26.]

[ver. 26.]

[Gen.
xvi. 12.]

said unto Abraham, “In Isaac shall thy seed be called;” because the promise concerned not him, but Isaac. They are termed for their impiety towards God, “mockers;” and for the impurity of their life and conversation, “walkers after their own ungodly lusts.” St. Peter, in his second Epistle, and third chapter, soundeth the very depth of their impiety; shewing first, how they shall not shame at the length to profess themselves profane and irreligious, by flat denying the Gospel of Jesus Christ, and deriding the sweet and comfortable promises of his appearing. Secondly, that they shall not only be deriders of all Religion, but also disputers against God, using truth to subvert the truth; yea, Scriptures themselves to disprove Scriptures. Being in this sort “mockers,” they must needs be also followers of “their own ungodly lusts.” Being Atheists in persuasion, can they choose but be beasts in conversation? For why remove they quite from them the fear of God? Why take they such pains to abandon and put out from their hearts all sense, all taste, all feeling of Religion? But only to this end and purpose, That they may, without inward remorse and grudging of conscience, give over themselves to all uncleanness. Surely, the state of these men is more lamentable than is the condition of Pagans and Turks. For at the bare beholding of Heaven and earth the Infidel’s heart by and by doth give him, that there is an eternal, infinite, immortal, and ever-living God, whose hands have fashioned and framed the world; he knoweth that every house is builded of some man, though he see not the man which built the house; and he considereth that it must be God which hath built and created all things, although because the number of his days be few, he could not see when God disposed his works of old; when he caused the light of his clouds first to shine, when he laid the corner-stone of the earth, and swaddled it with bands of water and darkness, when he caused the morning star to know his place, and made bars and doors to shut up the sea within his house, saying, Hitherto shalt thou come, but no farther. He hath no eye-witness of these things; yet the light of natural Reason hath put this wisdom in his reins, and hath given his heart thus much understanding. Bring a Pagan to the schools of the Prophets of God; prophecy to an Infidel, rebuke him, lay the judgments of God before him, make the secret sins of his heart manifest, and he shall

[Job
xxviii.
11.][1 Cor.
xiv. 24,
25.]

fall down and worship God. They that crucified the Lord of Glory, were not so far past recovery, but that the preaching of the Apostles was able to move their hearts, and to bring them to this, “Men and brethren, what shall we do?” Acts ii. 37. Agrippa, that sate in judgment against Paul for preaching, yielded notwithstanding thus far unto him, “Almost thou Acts xxvi. 28. persuadest me to become a Christian.” Although the Jews, for want of knowledge, have not submitted themselves to the righteousness of God; yet “I bear them record (saith the Rom. x. 2. Apostle), that they have a zeal.” The Athenians, a people having neither zeal nor knowledge, yet of them also the same Apostle beareth witness, “Ye men of Athens, I perceive ye are *δεισιδαιμονέστεροι*, some way religious;” but “mockers,” walking “after their own ungodly lusts,” they have smothered every spark of that heavenly light, they have stifled even their very natural understanding. O Lord, thy mercy is over all thy works, thou savest man and beast! yet a happy case it had been for these men, if they had never been born; and so I leave them.

10. St. Jude having his mind exercised in the doctrine of the Apostles of Jesus Christ, concerning things to come in the last time, became a man of wise and staid judgment. Judas vir sapiens et certū judicii. Grieved he was to see the departure of many, and their falling away from the Faith which before they did profess; grieved, but not dismayed. With the simpler and weaker sort it was otherwise: their countenance began by and by to change, they were half in doubt they had deceived themselves in giving credit to the Gospel of Jesus Christ. St. Jude, to comfort and refresh these silly lambs, taketh them up in his arms, and sheweth them the men at whom they were offended. Look upon them that forsake this blessed profession wherein you stand: they are now before your eyes; view them, mark them, are they not carnal? are they not like to noisome carrion cast out upon the earth? is there that Spirit in them, which crieth “Abba, Father,” in your bosoms? Why should any man be discomforted? Have you not heard “that there should be Mockers in the last time?” These verily are they that now do “separate themselves.” [Jude 19.]

11. For your better understanding what this severing and separating of themselves doth mean, we must know that the multitude of them which truly believe (howsoever they be Three-fold separation.

[Eph. ii.
20, 21.]

dispersed far and wide, each from other) is all one Body, whereof the Head is Christ; one Building, whereof he is the corner-stone, in whom they, as the members of the body, being knit, and as the stones of the building, being coupled, grow up to a man of perfect stature, and rise to an holy Temple in the Lord. That which linketh Christ to us is his mere mercy and love towards us. That which tieth us to him, is our Faith in the promised salvation revealed in the word of Truth. That which uniteth and joineth us amongst ourselves, in such sort that we are now as if we had but one heart and one soul, is our love. Who be inwardly in heart the lively members of this Body, and the polished stones of this Building, coupled and joined to Christ, as flesh of his flesh, and bones of his bones, by the mutual bond of his unspeakable love towards them and their unfeigned faith in him, thus linked and fastened each to other, by a spiritual, sincere, and hearty affection of love, without any manner of simulation; who be Jews within, and what their names be, none can tell, save he whose eyes do behold the secret disposition of all men's hearts. We, whose eyes are too dim to behold the inward man, must leave the secret judgment of every servant to his own Lord, accounting and using all men as brethren, both near and dear unto us, supposing Christ to love them tenderly, so as they keep the profession of the Gospel, and join in the outward Communion of Saints. Whereof the one doth warrantize unto us their Faith, the other their Love, till they fall away, and forsake either the one, or the other, or both; and then it is no injury to term them as they are. When they separate themselves, they are *αὐτοκατάκριτοι*, not judged by us, but by their own doings. Men do separate themselves either by Heresy, Schism, or Apostacy. If they loose the bond of Faith, which then they are justly supposed to do when they frowardly oppugn any principal point of Christian Doctrine, this is to separate themselves by Heresy. If they break the bond of unity, whereby the Body of the Church is coupled and knit in one, as they do which wilfully forsake all external Communion with Saints in holy exercises, purely and orderly established in the Church, this is to separate themselves by Schism.* If they willingly cast off, and

Apos-
tacy.

* [Hooker does not appeal to 1 Cor. i. 10, as some modern high Episcopalians have unadvisedly done, and endeavoured to make the word *σχίσματα* in that text convey a

utterly forsake both profession of Christ and Communion with Christians, taking their leave of all Religion, this is to separate themselves by plain Apostacy. And St. Jude, to express the manner of their departure which by Apostacy fell away from the Faith of Christ, saith, "They separated themselves;" noting thereby, that it was not constraint of others, which forced them to depart; it was not infirmity and weakness in themselves; it was not fear of persecution to come upon them, whereat their hearts did fail; it was not grief of torments, whereof they had tasted, and were not able any longer to endure them: no, they voluntarily did "separate themselves" with a fully-settled and altogether-determined purpose, never to name the Lord Jesus any more, nor to have any fellowship with his Saints, but to bend all their counsel, and all their strength, to raze out their memorial from amongst men.

12. Now, because that by such examples, not only the hearts of Infidels were hardened against the Truth, but the minds of weak brethren also much troubled, the Holy Ghost hath given sentence of these backsliders, that they were carnal men, and had not the Spirit of Christ Jesus, lest any man having an over-weening of their persons should be over-much amazed and offended at their fall. For simple men, not able to discern their spirits, were brought by their Apostacy thus to reason with themselves: If Christ be the Son of the living God, if he have the words of eternal Life, if he be able to bring salvation to all men that come unto him, what meaneth this Apostacy and unconstrained departure? Why do his servants so willingly forsake him? Babes, be not deceived, his servants forsake him not. They that "separate themselves" were amongst his servants, but if they had been of his servants, they had not separated themselves. "They were amongst us, not of us," saith ^{1 John ii. 19.} St. John; and St. Jude proveth it, because they were

meaning which the Apostle never thought of; for, as Dr. DODDRIDGE says, (*Fam. Expos. in loc.*) "It was morally impossible, considering the diversity of the education and capacities (of the 'brethren'), that they should all agree in opinion; nor could he intend that, because he does not urge any argument to reduce them to such an agreement, nor so much as declare what that one opinion was in which he would have them agree." And RICHARD BAXTER says, upon the same text (*Paraph. on New Test.*) "It must be in the necessary things that we must unite, and be of the same mind and judgment, and not in things *doubtful* and *unnecessary*; else it would be as vain, as to beseech them to be all men of learning or of the highest understanding." See "On Schism," in *The Christian Remembrancer; or the Churchman's Bibl. Eccles. and Lit. Miscellany*, Feb. 1829, p. 105.]

“carnal,” and “had not the Spirit.” Will you judge of wheat by chaff, which the wind hath scattered from amongst it? Have the children no bread, because the dogs have not tasted it? Are Christians deceived of that salvation they looked for, because they denied the joys of the life to come which were no Christians? What if they seemed to be pillars and principal upholders of our Faith? What is that to us, which know that Angels have fallen from Heaven? Although if these men had been of us indeed (O the blessedness of a Christian man’s estate!), they had stood surer than the Angels that had never departed from their place: whereas now we marvel not at their departure at all, neither are we prejudiced by their falling away; because they were not of us, sith they are fleshly, and have not the Spirit. Children abide in the house for ever; they are bondmen and bondwomen which are cast out.

[John
viii. 35.]

Infallible
evidence
in the
Faithful,
that they
are God’s
children.

[Jas.
iv. 12.
1 Cor.
iv. 5.]

[1 John
iii. 14.]

[2 Cor.
xiii. 5.]

13. It behoveth you therefore greatly, every man to examine his own estate, and try whether you be bond or free, children or no children. I have told you already, that we must beware we presume not to sit as gods in judgment upon others, and rashly, as our conceit and fancy doth lead us, so to determine of this man, he is sincere, or of that man, he is an hypocrite; except by their falling away they make it manifest and known what they are. For who art thou that takest upon thee to judge another before the time? Judge thyself. God hath left us infallible evidence, whereby we may at any time give true and righteous sentence upon ourselves. We cannot examine the hearts of other men, we may our own. “That we have passed from death to life, we know it (saith St. John), because we love our brethren:” and “Know ye not your ownelves, how that Jesus Christ is in you, except you be reprobates?” I trust, beloved, we know that we are not reprobates, because our spirit doth bear us record, that the Faith of our Lord Jesus Christ is in us.

Colos. i.
21—23.

14. It is as easy a matter for the Spirit within you to tell whose ye are, as for the eyes of your body to judge where you sit, or in what place you stand. For what saith the Scripture? “Ye which were in times past strangers and enemies, because your minds were set on evil works, Christ hath now reconciled in the body of his flesh, through death, to make you holy and unblameable, and without fault

in his sight; if you continue grounded and established in the Faith, and be not moved away from the hope of the Gospel:" and in the third to the Colossians, "Ye know, ver. 24. that of the Lord ye shall receive the reward of that inheritance; for ye serve the Lord Christ." If we can make this account with ourselves—I was in times past dead in trespasses and sins, I walked after the prince that ruleth in the air, and after the spirit that worketh in the children of disobedience; but God, who is rich in mercy, through his great love, wherewith he loved me, even when I was dead, hath quick'ned me in Christ. I was fierce, heady, proud, high-minded; but God hath made me like the child that is newly weaned: I loved pleasures more than God, I followed greedily the joys of this present world; I esteemed him that erected a stage or theatre, more than Solomon, which built a Temple to the Lord; the harp, viol, timbrel, and pipe, men-singers and women-singers, were at my feasts; it was my felicity to see my children dance before me; I said of every kind of vanity, O how sweet art thou unto my soul! All which things now are crucified to me, and I to them: now I hate the pride of life, and pomp of this world; now "I take as great delight in the way of thy [Psalm cxix.14.] testimonies, O Lord, as in all riches;" now I find more joy of heart in my Lord and Saviour, than the worldly-minded man, when "his wheat and oil do much abound;" now I taste nothing sweet but the "bread which came down [John vi. 33.] from Heaven, to give life unto the world;" now mine eyes see nothing but Jesus rising from the dead; now my ear refuseth all kind of melody, to hear the song of them that have gotten victory of the Beast, and of his image, and of his mark, and of the number of his name, that stand on the sea of glass, "having the harps of God, and [Rev. xv. 2, 3.] singing the Song of Moses the servant of God, and the Song of the Lamb, saying, Great and marvellous are thy works, Lord God Almighty, just and true are thy ways, O King of Saints." Surely, if the Spirit have been thus effectual in the secret work of our regeneration unto newness of life; if we endeavour thus to frame ourselves anew; then we may say boldly with the blessed Apostle, in the tenth to the Hebrews, "We are not of them which with- [Heb. x. 39.] draw ourselves to perdition, but which follow Faith to the conservation of the soul." For they that fall away from the

[Gal.
iv. 6.][John
xvii.
25, 26.]The
Papists
falsely
accuse
us of
Heresy
and
Apo-
stacy.Acts
xxiv. 14.Apoc.
xviii. 4.

Grace of God, and separate themselves unto perdition, they are fleshly and carnal, they have not God's Holy Spirit. But unto you, "because ye are sons, God hath sent forth the Spirit of his Son into your hearts," to the end ye might know that Christ hath built you upon a rock unmoveable; that he hath registered your names in the Book of Life; that he hath bound himself in a sure and everlasting covenant to be your God, and the God of your children after you; that he hath suffered as much, groaned as oft, prayed as heartily, for you, as for Peter, "O Father, keep them in thy name; O righteous Father, the world hath not known thee, but I have known thee, and these have known that thou hast sent me. I have declared thy name unto them, and will declare it, that the love wherewith thou hast loved me, may be in them, and I in them." The Lord of his infinite mercy give us hearts plentifully fraught with the treasure of this blessed assurance of Faith unto the end.

15. Here I must advertise all men that have the testimony of God's holy fear within their breasts to consider, how unkindly and injuriously our own countrymen and brethren have dealt with us by the space of four-and-twenty years, from time to time, as if we were the men of whom St. Jude here speaketh; never ceasing to charge us, some with Schism, some with Heresy, some with plain and manifest Apostacy, as if we had clean separated ourselves from Christ, utterly forsaken God, quite abjured Heaven, and trampled all Truth and all Religion under our feet. Against this third sort, God himself shall plead our cause in that day, when they shall answer us for these words, not we them. To others, by whom we are accused for Schism and Heresy, we have often made our reasonable and, in the sight of God, I trust, allowable answers. "For in the way which they call Heresy, we worship the God of our Fathers, believing all things which are written in the Law and the Prophets." That which they call Schism, we know to be our reasonable service unto God, and obedience to his voice, which crieth shrill in our ears, "Go out of Babylon, my people, that you be not partakers of her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues." And therefore, when they rise up against us, having no quarrel but this, we need not to seek any farther for our Apology, than the words of Abiah to Jeroboam and his army; "O

Jeroboam and Israel, hear you me; ought you not to know, ^{2 Chron. xiii. 4, 5.} that the Lord God of Israel hath given the Kingdom over Israel to David for ever, even to him, and to his sons, by a Covenant of Salt?" that is to say, an everlasting Covenant. Jesuits and Papists, hear ye me, Ought you not to know that the Father hath given all power unto the Son, and hath made him the only Head over his Church, wherein he dwelleth as an husbandman in the midst of his vineyard, manuring it with the sweat of his own brows, not letting it forth to others? For, as it is in the Canticles, "Solomon had a vineyard in Baalhamon, he gave the vineyard unto keepers, every one bringing for the fruit thereof a thousand pieces of silver;" but "my Vineyard, ^{Cant. viii. 11.} which is mine, is before me," saith Christ. It is true, this is meant of the mystical Head set over the Body, which is not seen. But as he hath reserved the mystical administration of the Church invisible unto himself; so he hath committed the mystical government of congregations visible to the sons of David, by the same Covenant; whose sons they are in the governing of the flock of Christ, whomsoever the Holy Ghost hath set over them, to go before them, and to lead them in their several pastures, one in this congregation, another in that; as it is written, "Take heed unto yourselves, and to all the flock whereof the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the Church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood." Neither will ever any Pope or Papist under the cope of Heaven be able to prove the Romish Bishop's usurped Supremacy over all Churches by any one word of the Covenant of Salt, which is the Scripture. For the children in our streets do now laugh them to scorn, when they force, "Thou art Peter," to this purpose. The Pope hath no more reason to draw the Charter of his universal Authority from hence, than the brethren had to gather by the words of Christ in the last of St. John, that the Disciple whom Jesus loved should never die. "If I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee?" saith Christ. "Straightways a report was raised amongst the brethren, that this Disciple should not die: yet Jesus said not to him, He shall not die; but if I will that he tarry till I come, what is that to thee?" Christ hath said in the sixteenth of St. Matthew's Gospel to Simon the son of Jonas, "I say to thee, Thou art Peter." Hence ^[John xxi. 22, 23.] ^{Matt. xvi. 18.}

Acts
xx. 28.

The
Pope's
usurped
Supre-
macy.

an opinion is held in the world, that the Pope is universal Head of all Churches. Yet Jesus said not, The Pope is universal Head of all Churches; but, “Tu es Petrus, Thou art Peter.” Howbeit, as Jeroboam, the son of Nebat, the servant of Sclomon, rose up and rebelled against his Lord, and there were gathered unto him vain men and wicked, which made themselves strong against Rehoboam, the son of Solomon, because Rehoboam was but a child, and tender-hearted, and could not resist them; so the Son of Perdition and Man of Sin, being not able to brook the words of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, which forbade his Disciples to be like Princes of Nations, “They bear rule that are called gracious, it shall not be so with you,” hath risen up and rebelled against his Lord; and, to strengthen his arm, he hath crept into the houses almost of all the noblest families round about him, and taken their children from the cradle to be his Cardinals; he hath fawned upon the Kings and Princes of the earth, and by spiritual cozenage hath made them sell their lawful authority and jurisdiction for titles of *Catholicus*, *Christianissimus*, *Defensor Fidei*, and such like; he hath proclaimed sale of pardons to inveigle the ignorant; built seminaries to allure young men desirous of learning; erected stews to gather the dissolute unto him.* This is the rock whereupon his Church is built. Hereby the man is grown huge and strong, like the cedars which are not shaken with the wind, because Princes have been as children, over-tender-hearted, and could not resist.

Hereby it is come to pass, as you see this day, that the Man of Sin doth war against us, and not by men of a language which we cannot understand, but he cometh as Jeroboam against Judah, and bringeth the fruit of our own bodies to eat us up, that the bowels of the child may be made the mother’s grave; that he hath caused no small number of our brethren to forsake their native country, and with all disloyalty to cast off the yoke of their allegiance to our dread Sovereign, whom God in mercy hath set over them; for whose safeguard, if they carried not the hearts of tigers in the bosoms of men, they would think the dearest blood in their bodies well spent. “But now (saith Abijah to Jeroboam) Ye think ye be able to resist the Kingdom of

* Concil. delector. Card. Laurent. Surius Com. de reb. gest. a Pio V. Francisc. Sansovin. de gubern. Regn. et Rerum pub. lib. xi. cap. de Jud. Marescal. et Soldan.

the Lord, which is in the hands of the sons of David: ye be a great multitude, the golden calves are with you, which Jeroboam made you for gods: have ye not driven away the Priests of the Lord, the sons of Aaron, and the Levites, and have made you Priests like the people of nations? who-soever cometh with a young bullock and seven rams, the same may be a Priest of them that are no gods." If I should follow the comparison, and here uncover the cup of those deadly and ugly abominations, wherewith this Jeroboam, of whom we speak, hath made the earth so drunk that it hath reeled under us, I know your godly hearts would loath to see them. For my own part, I delight not to rake in such filth, I had rather take a garment upon my shoulders, and go with my face from them to cover them. The Lord open their eyes, and cause them, if it be possible, at the length to see how they are wretched, and miserable, and poor, and blind, and naked! Put it, O Lord, in their hearts to seek white raiment, and to cover themselves, that their filthy nakedness may no longer appear. For, beloved in Christ, we bow our knees, and lift up our hands to Heaven in our chambers secretly, and openly in our Churches we pray heartily and hourly, even for them also: though the Pope* have given out, as a Judge, in a solemn declaratory sentence of Excommunication against this Land, that our gracious Lady hath quite abolished Prayers within her Realm; and his Scholars, whom he hath taken from the midst of us, have in their published writings charged us, not only not to have any Holy Assemblies unto the Lord for Prayer, but to hold a common School of sin and flattery; to hold sacrilege to be God's service; unfaithfulness and breach of promise to God, to give it to a strumpet, to be a virtue; to abandon Fasting; to abhor Confession; to mislike with Penance; to like well of usury; to charge none with restitution; to find no good before God in single life, nor in no well-working; that all men, as they fall to us, are much worsed, and more than afore, corrupted. I do not add one word or syllable unto that which Master Bristow, a man both born and sworn amongst us, hath taught his hand to deliver to the view of all. I appeal to the conscience of every soul, that hath been truly converted by us, whether his heart were never raised

* [PIUS V. 1571.]

up to God by our preaching; whether the words of our exhortation never wrung any tear of a penitent heart from his eyes; whether his soul never reaped any joy and comfort, and consolation in Christ Jesus by our Sacraments, and Prayers, and Psalms, and Thanksgivings; whether he were never bettered, but always worsed by us.

O merciful God! if Heaven and earth in this case do not witness with us, and against them, let us be razed out from the land of the living! Let the earth on which we stand, swallow us quick, as it hath done Corah, Dathan, and Abiram! But if we belong unto "the Lord our God, and have not forsaken him;" if our "Priests, the Sons of Aaron, minister unto the Lord, and the Levites in their Office;" if we "offer unto the Lord every morning and every evening the burnt-offerings and sweet incense" of Prayers and Thanksgivings; if the bread be "set in order upon the pure table, and the candlestick of gold, with the lamps thereof to burn every evening;" that is to say, if amongst us God's blessed Sacraments be duly administered, his Holy Word sincerely and daily preached; if "we keep the watch of the Lord our God," and if "ye have forsaken him;" then doubt ye not, this God is with us as a "Captain, his Priests with sounding trumpets must cry alarm against you; O ye children of Israel, fight not against the Lord God of your Fathers, for ye shall not prosper."

2 Chron.
xiii. 10,
11.

ver. 12.

SERMON II.

JUDE 17—21.

“But ye, beloved, remember the words which were spoken before of the Apostles of our Lord Jesus Christ; How that they told you, that there should be Mockers in the last time, which should walk after their own ungodly lusts. These are makers of sects, fleshly, having not the Spirit. But ye, beloved, edify yourselves in your most holy Faith, praying in the Holy Ghost. And keep yourselves in the love of God, looking for the mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ unto eternal life.”

1. HAVING elsewhere spoken of the words of St. Jude, going next before, concerning “Mockers” which should come in the last time, and backsliders which even then fell away from the Faith of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ; I am now, by the aid of Almighty God, and through the assistance of his good Spirit, to lay before you the words of exhortation which I have read.

2. Wherein, first of all, whosoever hath an eye to see let him open it, and he shall well perceive how careful the Lord is for his children, how desirous to see them profit and grow up to a manly stature in Christ, how loth to have them any way misled either by examples of the wicked, or by enticements of the world, and by provocation of the flesh, or by any other means forcible to deceive them, and likely to estrange their hearts from God. For God is not at that point with us, that he careth not whether we sink or swim. No, he hath written our names in the palm of his hand, in the signet upon his finger are we graven; in sentences not only of mercy, but of judgment also, we are remembered; he never denounceth judgments against the wicked, but he maketh some *proviso* for his children, as it were for some certain privileged persons; “Touch not mine anointed, do my Prophets no harm: Hurt not the earth, nor the sea, nor the trees, till we have sealed the servants of God in their foreheads.” He never speaketh of Godless men, but he adjoineth words of comfort, or admonition, or exhortation, whereby we are moved to rest and settle our hearts on him. In the second to Timothy, the third chapter, “Evil men (saith the Apostle) and deceivers, shall wax worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived. But continue thou in the

[Psal.
cv. 15.
Rev.
vii. 3.]

[2 Tim.
iii. 13,
14.]

things which thou hast learned." And in the first to Timothy, the sixth chapter, "Some men lusting after money, have erred from the Faith, and pierced themselves through with many sorrows: but thou, O man of God, fly these things, and follow after righteousness, godliness, faith, love, patience, meekness." In the second to the Thessalonians, the second chapter, "They that have not received the love of the truth, that they might be saved, God shall send them strong delusions that they may believe lies. But we ought to give thanks alway to God for you, brethren, beloved of the Lord, because God hath from the beginning chosen you to salvation, through Sanctification of the Spirit, and Faith in the truth." And in this Epistle of St. Jude, "There shall come mockers in the last time, walking after their own ungodly lusts. But, beloved, edify ye yourselves in your most holy Faith."

3. These sweet exhortations, which God putteth every where in the mouths of the Prophets and Apostles of Jesus Christ, are evident tokens that God sitteth not in Heaven careless and unmindful of our estate. Can a mother forget her child? Surely a mother will hardly forget her child. But if a mother be happily found unnatural, and do forget the fruit of her own womb; yet God's judgments shew plainly that he cannot forget the man whose heart he hath framed and fashioned anew in simplicity and truth to serve and fear him. For when the wickedness of man was so great, and the earth so filled with cruelty, that it could not stand with the righteousness of God any longer to forbear, wrathful sentences brake out from him, like wine from a vessel that hath no vent: "My Spirit (saith he) can struggle and strive no longer; an end of all flesh is come before me." Yet then did "Noah find grace in the eyes of the Lord:" "I will establish my covenant with thee (saith God); thou shalt go into the Ark, thou, and thy sons, and thy wife, and thy sons' wives with thee."

4. Do we not see what shift God doth make for Lot and for his family, in the nineteenth of Genesis, lest the fiery destruction of the wicked should overtake him? Over-night the Angels make inquiry what sons or daughters, or sons-in-law, what wealth and substance, he had. They charge him to carry out all, "Whatsoever thou hast in the city, bring it out." God seemeth to stand in a kind of fear, lest something or other would be left behind. And his will was,

that nothing of that which he had, not an hoof of any beast, not a thread of any garment, should be singed with that fire. In the morning the Angels fail not to call him up, and to hasten him forward; “Arise, take thy wife and thy daughters which are here, that they be not destroyed in the punishment of the city.” The Angels having spoken again and again, Lot for all this lingereth out the time still, till at length they were forced to take “both him, and his wife, and his daughters, by the arms (the Lord being merciful unto him), and to carry them forth, and set them without the city.” Gen. xix. 15.
ver. 16.

5. Was there ever any father thus careful to save his child from the flame? A man would think, that now being spoken unto to escape for his life, and not to look behind him, nor to tarry in the plain, but to hasten to the mountain, and there to save himself, he should do it gladly. Yet behold, now, he is so far off from a cheerful and willing heart to do whatsoever is commanded him for his own weal, that he beginneth to reason the matter, as if God had mistaken one place for another, sending him to the hill, when salvation was in the city: “Not so, my Lord, I beseech thee; behold, thy servant hath found grace in thy sight, and thou hast magnified thy mercy, which thou hast shewed unto me in saving my life. I cannot escape in the mountain, lest some evil take me and I die. Here is a city hard by, a small thing; O, let me escape thither (is it not a small thing?) and my soul shall live.” Well, God is contented to yield to any conditions: “Behold, I have received thy request concerning this thing also, I will spare this city for which thou hast spoken; haste thee, save thee there; for I can do nothing till thou come hither.” ver. 18—20.
ver. 21, 22.

6. He could do nothing! Not because of the weakness of his strength (for who is like unto the Lord in power?), but because of the greatness of his mercy, which would not suffer him to lift up his arm against that city, nor to pour out his wrath upon that place, where his righteous servant had a fancy to remain, and a desire to dwell. O the depth of the riches of the mercy and love of God! God is afraid to offend us, which are not afraid to displease him. God can do nothing till he have saved us, which can find in our hearts rather to do any thing than to serve him. It contenteth him not to exempt us when the pit is digged for the wicked; to comfort us at every mention which is made of reprobates.

and Godless men; to save us as the apple of his own eye, when fire cometh down from Heaven to consume the inhabitants of the earth; except every Prophet, and every Apostle, and every servant whom he sendeth forth, do come loaden with these and the like exhortations, “O beloved, edify yourselves in your most holy Faith: give yourselves to prayer in the Spirit; keep yourselves in the love of God; look for the mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ unto eternal life.”

7. “Edify yourselves.” The speech is borrowed from material builders, and must be spiritually understood. It appeareth, in the sixth of St. John’s Gospel, by the Jews, that their mouths did water too much for bodily food; [John vi. 31, 34.] “Our fathers (say they) did eat manna in the Desert, as it is written, He gave them bread from Heaven to eat; Lord, evermore give us of this bread.” Our Saviour, to turn their appetite another way, maketh them this answer, [ver. 35.] “I am the Bread of Life; he that cometh to me shall not hunger; and he that believeth in me shall never thirst.”

8. An usual practice it is of Satan, to cast heaps of worldly baggage in our way, that whilst we desire to heap up gold as dust, we may be brought at the length to esteem vilely that spiritual bliss. Christ, in the sixth of Matthew, to correct this evil affection, putteth us in mind to lay up treasure for ourselves in Heaven. The Apostle, misliking the vanity of those women which attired themselves more costly than beseemed the heavenly calling of such as professed the fear of God, willeth them to clothe themselves with shamefacedness and modesty, and to put on the apparel of good works. “*Taliter pigmentatæ, Deum habebitis amatorem,*” saith Tertullian. Put on righteousness as a garment; instead of civet, have Faith, which may cause a savour of life to issue from you, and God shall be enamoured, he shall be ravished with your beauty. These are the ornaments, bracelets, and jewels which inflame the love of Christ, and set his heart on fire upon his spouse. We see how he breaketh out in the Canticles at the beholding of this attire; [Cant. vii. 6.] “How fair art thou, and how pleasant art thou, O my love, in these pleasures!”

9. And perhaps St. Jude exhorteth us here not to build our houses, but ourselves, foreseeing by the Spirit of the

Almighty which was with him, that there should be men in the last days like to those in the first, which should encourage and stir up each other to make brick, and to burn it in the fire, to build houses huge as cities, and towers as high as Heaven, thereby to get them a name upon earth; men that should turn out the poor, and the fatherless, and the widow, to build places of rest for dogs and swine in their rooms; men that should lay Houses of Prayer even with [the] ground, and make them stables where God's people have worshipped before the Lord. Surely this is a vanity of all vanities, and it is much amongst men, a special sickness of this age. What it should mean I know not, except God have set them on work to provide fuel against that day, when the Lord Jesus shall shew himself from Heaven with his mighty Angels in flaming fire. "What good cometh [Eccles. v. 11.] unto the owners of these things (saith Solomon), but only the beholding thereof with their eyes?" "Martha, Martha, [Luke x. 41, 42.] thou busiest thyself about many things; one thing is necessary." Ye are too busy, my brethren, with timber and brick; they have chosen the better part, they have taken a better course that build themselves. "Ye are the temples of the living God, as God hath said, I will dwell in them, and will walk in them; and they shall be my people, and I will be their God." [2 Cor. vi. 16.]

10. Which of you will gladly remain or abide in a misshapen, a ruinous, or a broken house? And shall we suffer sin and vanity to drop in at our eyes, and at our ears, and at every corner of our bodies, and of our souls, knowing that we are the temples of the Holy Ghost? Which of you receiveth a guest whom he honoureth, or whom he loveth, and doth not sweep his chamber against his coming? And shall we suffer the chamber of our hearts and consciences to lie full of vomiting, full of filth, full of garbage, knowing that Christ hath said, "I and my Father will come and dwell with you?" [1 Cor. vi. 19.] Is it meet for your oxen to lie in parlours, and yourselves to lodge in cribs? Or is it seemly for yourselves to dwell in your settled houses, and the House of the Almighty to lie waste, whose house ye are yourselves? Do not our eyes behold, how God every day overtaketh the wicked in their journeys? How suddenly they pop down into the pit? How God's judgments for their crimes come so swiftly upon them, that they have not the leisure to cry,

The Sa-
crament
of the
Lord's
Supper.

alas? How their life is cut off like a thread in a moment? How they pass like a shadow? How they open their mouths to speak, and God taketh them even in the midst of a vain or an idle word? And dare we for all this lie down, take our rest, eat our meat securely and carelessly in the midst of so great and so many ruins? Blessed and praised for ever and ever be his name, who perceiving of how senseless and heavy metal we are made, hath instituted in his Church a spiritual Supper and an Holy Communion, to be celebrated often, that we might thereby be occasioned often to examine these buildings of ours, in what case they stand. For sith God doth not dwell in Temples which are unclean, sith a Shrine cannot be a Sanctuary unto him; and this Supper is received as a seal unto us, that we are his House, and his Sanctuary; that his Christ is as truly united to me, and I to him, as my arm is united and knit unto my shoulder; that he dwelleth in me as verily as the elements of bread and wine abide within me; which persuasion, by receiving these dreadful mysteries, we profess ourselves to have; a due comfort, if truly; and if in hypocrisy, then woe worth us. Therefore ere we put forth our hands to take this blessed Sacrament, we are charged to examine and to try our hearts whether God be in us of a truth or no: and if by Faith and Love unfeigned we be found the Temples of the Holy Ghost, then to judge whether we have had such regard every one to our building, that the Spirit which dwelleth in us hath no way been vexed, molested, and grieved: or if it have, as no doubt sometimes it hath by incredulity, sometimes by breach of charity, sometimes by want of zeal, sometimes by spots of life, even in the best and most perfect amongst us, (for who can say his heart is clean?), O then to fly unto God by unfeigned repentance, to fall down before him in the humility of our souls, begging of him whatsoever is needful to repair our decays, before we fall into that desolation, whereof the Prophet speaketh, saying, "Thy breach is great like the sea, who can heal thee?"

Lam.
ii. 13.

11. Receiving the Sacrament of the Supper of the Lord after this sort (you that are spiritual, judge what I speak) is not all other wine like the water of Marah, being compared to the cup which we bless? Is not manna like to gall, and our bread like to manna? Is there not a taste, a taste of

Christ Jesus in the heart of him that eateth? Doth not he which drinketh behold plainly in this cup, that his soul is bathed in the blood of the Lamb? O beloved in our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, if ye will taste how sweet the Lord is, if ye will receive the King of Glory, “build yourselves.”

12. Young men, I speak this to you, for ye are his House, because by Faith ye are conquerors over Satan, and have overcome that evil. Fathers, I speak it also to you, ye are his House, because ye have known him, which is from the beginning. Sweet Babes, I speak it even to you also, ye are his House, because your sins are forgiven you for his name's sake. Matrons and Sisters, I may not hold it from you, ye are also the Lord's Building: and as St. Peter speaketh, “Heirs of the grace of life as well as we.” Though it be forbidden you to open your mouths in public assemblies, yet ye must be inquisitive in things concerning this building which is of God, with your husbands and friends at home; not as Delilah with Samson, but as Sarah with Abraham; whose daughters ye are, whilst ye do well, and “build yourselves.” [1 Pet. iii. 7.]

13. Having spoken thus far of the exhortation, as whereby we are called upon to “edify” and build ourselves; it remaineth now, that we consider the thing prescribed, namely, wherein we must be built. This prescription standeth also upon two points, the thing prescribed, and the adjuncts of the thing. And that is, our most pure and “holy Faith.”

14. The thing prescribed is “Faith.” For, as in a chain which is made of many links, if you pull the first, you draw the rest; and as in a ladder of many staves, if you take away the lowest, all hope of ascending to the highest will be removed; so, because all the precepts and promises in the Law and in the Gospel do hang upon this, “Believe;” and because the last of the graces of God doth so follow the first, that he glorifieth none, but whom he hath justified, nor justifieth any, but whom he hath called to a true, effectual, and lively Faith in Christ Jesus; therefore St. Jude exhorting us to *Build ourselves*, mentioneth here expressly only Faith, as the thing wherein we must be edified; for that Faith is the ground and the glory of all the welfare of this building. [Rom. viii. 30.]

Ephes.
ii. 19—
22.

[1 John
iv. 15.]

1 John
v. 4, 5.

15. “Ye are not strangers and foreigners, but citizens with the Saints, and of the Household of God (saith the Apostle), and are built upon the foundation of the Prophets and Apostles, Jesus Christ himself being the chief Cornerstone, in whom all the building being coupled together, groweth unto an holy Temple in the Lord, in whom ye also are built together to be the habitation of God by the Spirit.” And we are the habitation of God by the Spirit, if we believe; for it is written, “Whosoever confesseth that Jesus is the Son of God, in him God dwelleth, and he in God.” The strength of this Habitation is great, it prevaieth against Satan, it conquereth sin, it hath death in derision; neither principalities nor powers can throw it down; it leadeth the world captive, and bringeth every enemy that riseth up against it to confusion and shame, and all by Faith; for “this is the victory that overcometh the world, even our Faith. Who is it that overcometh the world, but he which believeth that Jesus is the Son of God?”

Matt.
vii. 25.

[1 Cor.
x. 4.]

Rom.
xi. 17.

16. The strength of every building which is of God, standeth not in any man's arms or legs; it is only in our Faith, as the valour of Samson lay only in his hair. This is the reason, why we are so earnestly called upon to “edify” *ourselves* “*in Faith*.” Not as if this bare action of our minds, whereby we believe the Gospel of Christ, were able in itself, as of itself, to make us unconquerable, and invincible, like stones, which abide in a building for ever, and fall not out. No, it is not the worthiness of our believing, it is the virtue of him in whom we believe, by which we stand sure, as houses that are builded upon a rock. He is a wise man which hath builded his house upon a rock; for he hath chosen a good foundation, and no doubt his house will stand; but how shall it stand? Verily, by the strength of the rock which beareth it, and by nothing else. Our fathers, whom God delivered out of the land of Egypt, were a people that had no peers amongst the nations of the earth, because they were built by Faith upon the rock, which rock is Christ. “And the rock (saith the Apostle in the first to the Corinthians, the tenth chapter) did follow them.” Whereby we learn not only this, that being built by Faith on Christ, as on a rock, and grafted into him as into an olive, we receive all our strength and fatness from

him; but also, that this strength and fatness of ours ought to be no cause, why we should be high-minded, and not work out our salvation with a reverent, trembling, and holy fear. For if thou boastest thyself of thy Faith, know this, that Christ chose his Apostles, his Apostles chose not him; that Israel followed not the rock, but the rock followed Israel; and that “thou bearest not the root, but the root thee.” So that every heart must this think, and every tongue must thus speak, “Not unto us, O Lord, not unto us,” nor unto any thing which is within us, but unto thy Name only, only to thy Name belongeth all the praise of all the treasures and riches of every Temple which is of God. This excludeth all boasting and vaunting of our Faith.

17. But this must not make us careless to “edify” ourselves “in Faith.” It is the Lord that delivereth men’s souls from death, but not except they put their trust in his mercy. It is God that hath given us eternal life, but no otherwise than thus, If we believe in the name of the Son of God; for he that hath not the Son of God, hath not life. It was the Spirit of the Lord which came upon Samson, and made him strong to tear a lion, as a man would rent a kid; but his strength forsook him, and he became like other men, when the razor had touched his head. It is the power of God whereby the faithful “have subdued Kingdoms, wrought righteousness, obtained the promises, stopped the mouths of lions, quenched the violence of fire, escaped the edge of the sword:” but take away their Faith, and doth not their strength forsake them? Are they not like unto other men?

18. If ye desire yet farther to know, how necessary and needful it is that we edify and build up ourselves in Faith, mark the words of the blessed Apostle; “Without Faith it is impossible to please God.” If I offer unto God all the sheep and oxen that are in the world; if all the temples that were builded since the days of Adam till this hour, were of my foundation; if I break my very heart with calling upon God, and wear out my tongue with preaching; if I sacrifice my body and my soul unto him, and have no Faith, all this availeth nothing. “Without Faith it is impossible to please God.” Our Lord and Saviour therefore, being asked in the sixth of St. John’s Gospel, “What shall we do that

Rom.
xi. 18.[Psal.
cxv. 1.]1 John
v. 12.[Heb.
xi. 33,
34.]No
pleasing
of God
without
Faith.
[Heb.
xi. 6.]

John
vi. 28,
29.

we might work the Works of God?" maketh answer, "This is the work of God, that ye believe in him, whom he hath sent."

19. That no Work of ours, no building of ourselves in any thing can be available or profitable unto us, except we be edified and built in Faith, what need we to seek about for long proof? Look upon Israel, once the very chosen and peculiar of God, to whom the Adoption of the faithful, and the glory of Cherubim, and the Covenants of Mercy, and the Law of Moses, and the Service of God, and the Promises of Christ were made improprieate, who not only were the offspring of Abraham, father unto all them which do believe, but Christ their offspring, which is God to be blessed for evermore.

[Psalm
lxxx.
8—11.]

20. Consider this people, and learn what it is to "build yourselves in Faith." They were the Lord's Vine: "He brought it out of Egypt, he threw out the Heathen from their places, that it might be planted; he made room for it, and caused it to take root, till it had filled the earth; the mountains were covered with the shadow of it, and the boughs thereof were as the goodly cedars; she stretched out her branches unto the sea, and her boughs unto the river." But, when God having sent both his Servants and his Son to visit this vine, they neither spared the one, nor received the other, but stoned the Prophets, and crucified the Lord of Glory which came unto them; then began the curse of God to come upon them, even the curse whereof the Prophet David hath spoken, saying, "Let their table be made a snare, and a net, and a stumbling-block, even for a recompence unto them: let their eyes be darkened, that they do not see, bow down their backs for ever," keep them down. And sithence the hour that the measure of their infidelity was first made up, they have been spoiled with wars, eaten up with plagues, spent with hunger and famine; they wander from place to place, and are become the most base and contemptible people that are under the sun. Ephraim, which before was a terrour unto nations, and they trembled at his voice, is now by infidelity so vile, that he seemeth as a thing cast out to be trampled under men's feet. In the midst of these desolations they cry, "Return, we beseech thee, O God of hosts, look down from Heaven, behold and visit this Vine:" but their very prayers are turned into sin, and their cries are no better

Psalm.
lxix.
22, 23.
Rom.
xi. 9, 10.

Psalm.
lxxx. 14.

than the lowing of beasts before him. “Well (saith the Rom. xi. 20. 22. Apostle), by their unbelief they are broken off, and thou dost stand by thy Faith: behold therefore the bountifulness and severity of God; towards them severity, because they have fallen, bountifulness towards thee, if thou continue in his bountifulness, or else thou shalt be cut off.” If they forsake their unbelief and be grafted in again, and we at any time for the hardness of our hearts be broken off, it will be such a judgment as will amaze all the powers and principalities which are above. Who hath searched the counsel of God concerning this secret? And who doth not see, that Infidelity doth threaten *Lo-ammi* unto the Gentiles, as it hath brought *Lo-ruhamah* upon the Jews? Hosea i. 6. It may be that these words seem dark unto you: but the words of the Apostle, in the eleventh to the Romans, are plain enough; “If God have not spared the natural branches, Rom. xi. 21. take heed, *take heed*, lest he spare not thee:” build thyself in Faith. Thus much of the thing which is prescribed, and wherein we are exhorted to “edify” ourselves. Now consider the conditions and properties which are in this place annexed unto Faith. The former of them (for there are but two) is this, “Edify yourselves in your Faith.”

21. A strange and a strong delusion it is wherewith the Man of Sin hath bewitched the world; a forcible spirit of error it must needs be, which hath brought men to such a senseless and unreasonable persuasion as this is, not only that men clothed with mortality and sin, as we ourselves are, can do God so much service as shall be able to make a full and a perfect satisfaction before the tribunal seat of God for their own sins, yea, a great deal more than is sufficient for themselves; but also, that a man at the hands of a Bishop or a Pope, for such or such a price, may buy the *overplus* of other men’s merits, purchase the fruits of other men’s labours, and build his soul by another man’s Faith. Is not this man drowned in the gall of bitterness? Is his heart right in the sight of God? Can he have any part or fellowship with Peter, and with the successors of Peter, which thinketh so vilely of building the precious Temples of the Holy Ghost? Let his money perish with him, [Acts x. 20.] and he with it, because he judgeth that the gift of God may be sold for money.

[Hab.
ii. 4.]

22. But, beloved in the Lord, deceive not yourselves, neither suffer ye yourselves to be deceived: ye can receive no more ease nor comfort for your souls by another man's faith, than warmth for your bodies by another man's clothes, or sustenance by the bread which another doth eat. "The just shall live by *his own* Faith." "Let a Saint, yea a Martyr, content himself that he hath cleansed himself of his own sins" (saith Tertullian). No Saint or Martyr can cleanse himself of his own sins. But if so be a Saint or a Martyr can cleanse himself of his own sins, it is sufficient that he can do it for himself. Did ever any man by his death deliver another man from death, except only the Son of God? He indeed was able to safe-conduct a thief from the cross to Paradise: for to this end he came, that being himself pure from sin, he might obey for sinners. Thou which thinkest to do the like, and supposest that thou canst justify another by thy righteousness, if thou be without sin, then lay down thy life for thy brother; die for me. But if thou be a sinner, even as I am a sinner, how can the oil of thy lamp be sufficient both for thee and for me? Virgins that are wise, get ye oil, while ye have day, into your own lamps: for out of all peradventure, others, though they would, can neither give nor sell. "Edify yourselves in your *own* most holy Faith." And let this be observed for the first property of that wherein we ought to edify ourselves.

[Rom.
iv. 22.]

23. Our Faith being such, is that indeed which St. Jude doth here term "Faith:" namely, a thing "most holy." The reason is this, we are justified by Faith: for Abraham believed, and this was imputed unto him for righteousness. Being justified, all our iniquities are covered; God beholdeth us in the righteousness which is imputed, and not in the sins which we have committed.

[1 John
i. 8.]

24. It is true we are full of sin, both original and actual; whosoever denieth it is a double sinner, for he is both a sinner and a liar. To deny sin, is most plainly and clearly to prove it; because he that saith he hath no sin, lieth, and by lying proveth that he hath sin.

25. But imputation of righteousness hath covered the sins of every soul which believeth; God by pardoning our sin hath taken it away: so that now, although our transgressions be multiplied above the hairs of our head, yet

being justified, we are as free and as clear as if there were no one spot or stain of any uncleanness in us. For it is God that justifieth; “And who shall lay any thing to the charge of God’s chosen?” saith the Apostle in Romans.

[viii.33.]

26. Now sin being taken away, we are made the righteousness of God in Christ: for David, speaking of this righteousness, saith, “Blessed is the man whose iniquities are forgiven.” No man is blessed, but in the righteousness of God: every man whose sin is taken away is blessed; therefore every man whose sin is covered, is made the righteousness of God in Christ. This righteousness, doth make us to appear most holy, most pure, most unblameable before him.

[Psalm
xxxii.1.]

27. This then is the sum of that which I say, Faith doth justify; Justification washeth away sin; sin removed, we are clothed with the Righteousness which is of God; the righteousness of God maketh us most Holy. Every of these I have proved by the testimony of God’s own mouth; therefore I conclude, that Faith is that which maketh us most holy, in consideration whereof, it is called in this place, “Our most holy Faith.”

28. To make a wicked and a sinful man most holy through his believing, is more than to create a world of nothing. Our Faith most holy! Surely, Solomon could not shew the Queen of Sheba so much treasure in all his Kingdom, as is lapt up in these words. O that our hearts were stretched out like tents, and that the eyes of our understanding were as bright as the sun, that we might thoroughly know the riches of the glorious inheritance of Saints, and what is the exceeding greatness of his power towards us, whom he accepteth for pure, and most holy, through our believing! O that the Spirit of the Lord would give this doctrine entrance into the stony and brazen heart of the Jew, which followeth the Law of Righteousness, but cannot attain unto the Righteousness of the Law! Wherefore, saith the Apostle, they seek Righteousness, and not by Faith; wherefore they stumble at Christ, they are bruised, shivered to pieces, as a ship that hath run herself upon a rock. O that God would cast down the eyes of the proud, and humble the souls of the high-minded! that they might at the length abhor the garments of their

[Rom.
ix.
31–33.]

[Phil. iii.
8, 9.]

own flesh, which cannot hide their nakedness, and put on the Faith of Christ Jesus, as he did put it on, which hath said, “Doubtless I think all things but loss, for the excellent knowledge’ sake of Christ Jesus my Lord, for whom I have counted all things loss, and do judge them to be dung, that I might win Christ, and might be found in him, not having mine own righteousness, which is of the Law; but that which is through the Faith of Christ, even the Righteousness which is of God through Faith.” O that God would open the Ark of mercy, wherein this doctrine lieth, and set it wide before the eyes of poor afflicted consciences, which fly up and down upon the water of their afflictions, and can see nothing but only the gulf and deluge of their sins, wherein there is no place for them to rest their feet. The God of pity and compassion give you all strength and courage, every day, and every hour, and every moment, to build and “edify yourselves” in this most pure and “holy Faith.” And thus much both of the thing prescribed in this exhortation, and also of the properties of the thing, “Build yourselves in your most holy Faith.” I would come to the next branch, which is of Prayer; but I cannot lay this matter out of my hands, till I have added somewhat for the applying of it, both to others and to ourselves.

[1 Cor.
iii. 22,
23.]

29. For your better understanding of matters contained in this exhortation, “Build yourselves,” you must note, that every Church and Congregation doth consist of a multitude of believers, as every house is built of many stones. And although the nature of the mystical body of the Church be such, that it suffereth no distinction in the visible members, but whether it be Paul or Apollos, Prince or Prophet, he that is taught, or he that teacheth, all are equally Christ’s, and Christ is equally theirs: yet in the external administration of the Church of God, because God is not the author of confusion, but of peace, it is necessary that in every Congregation there be a distinction, if not of inward dignity, yet of outward degree; so that all are Saints, or seem to be Saints, and should be as they seem: but are all Apostles? If the whole body were an eye, where were then the hearing? God, therefore, hath given some to be Apostles, and some to be Pastors, &c. “for the edification of the Body of Christ.” In which work “we are

[Eph. iv.
11, 12.]

God's labourers (saith the Apostle), and ye are God's husbandry, and God's building." [1 Cor. iii. 9.]

30. The Church, respected with reference unto Administration Ecclesiastical, doth generally consist but of two sorts of men, the Labourers and the Building; they which are ministered unto, and they to whom the work of the Ministry is committed; Pastors, and the Flock over whom the Holy Ghost hath made them Overseers. If the Guide of a congregation, be his name or his degree whatsoever, be diligent in his vocation, feed "the Flock of God" which dependeth upon him, caring for it "not by constraint, but willingly; not for filthy lucre, but of a ready mind; not as though he would tyrannize over God's heritage, but as a pattern unto the Flock," wisely guiding them: if the People in their degree do yield themselves frameable to the truth, not like rough stone or flint, refusing to be smoothed and squared for the building: If the Magistrate do carefully and diligently survey the whole order of the work, providing by Statutes and Laws, and bodily punishments, if need require, that all things may be done according to the rule which cannot deceive; even as Moses provided, that all things might be done according to the pattern which he saw in the Mount; there the words of this exhortation are truly and effectually heard. Of such a congregation every man will say, "Behold a people that are wise, a people that walk in the Statutes and Ordinances of their God, a people full of knowledge and understanding, a people that have skill in building themselves." Where it is otherwise, there, "as by slothfulness the roof doth decay;" and as by "idleness of hands the house droppeth through," as it is in Ecclesiastes x. 18. so first one piece, and then another of their building shall fall away, till there be not a stone left upon a stone. 1 Pet. v: 2, 3.

31. We see how fruitless this exhortation hath been to such as bend all their travail only to build [and] manage a Papacy upon earth, without any care in the world of building *themselves* in their "most holy Faith." God's people have enquired at their mouths, "What shall we do to have eternal Life?" Wherein shall we build and edify ourselves? And they have departed home from their Prophets, and from their Priests, laden with doctrines which are precepts of men; they have been taught to tire out themselves with

[Hosea
iv. 17.]

bodily exercise: those things are enjoined them, which God did never require at their hands, and the things he doth require are kept from them; their eyes are fed with pictures, and their ears filled with melody, but their souls do wither, and starve, and pine away: they cry for bread, and behold stones are offered them; they ask for fish, and see they have scorpions in their hands. Thou seest, O Lord, that they build themselves, but not in "Faith;" they feed their children, but not with food: their rulers say with shame, Bring, and not, Build. But God is righteous; their drunkenness stinketh, their abominations are known, their madness is manifest, the wind hath bound them up in her wings, and they shall be ashamed of their doings. "Ephraim (saith the Prophet) is joined to idols, let him alone." I will turn me, therefore, from the Priests, which do minister unto idols, and apply this exhortation to them whom God hath appointed to feed his chosen in Israel.

32. If there be any feeling of Christ, and drop of heavenly dew; any spark of God's good Spirit within you, stir it up, be careful to build and "edify," first "yourselves," and then your Flocks, in this "most holy Faith."

Amos
viii. 11,
12.

33. I say, first, "yourselves;" for, he which will set the hearts of other men on fire with the love of Christ, must himself burn with love. It is want of Faith in ourselves, my brethren, which makes us retchless in building others. We forsake the Lord's inheritance, and feed it not. What is the reason of this? Our own desires are settled where they should not be. We ourselves are like those women which have a longing to eat coals, and lime, and filth; we are fed, some with honour, some with ease, some with wealth; the Gospel waxeth loathsome and unpleasant in our taste; how should we then have a care to feed others with that which we cannot fancy ourselves? If Faith wax cold and slender in the heart of the Prophet, it will soon perish from the ears of the people. The Prophet Amos speaketh of a famine, saying, "I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst of water, but of hearing the Word of the Lord. Men shall wander from sea to sea, and from the North unto the East shall they run to and fro, to seek the Word of the Lord, and shall not find it." "Judgment must begin at the House of God," saith Peter. Yea, I say, at the Sanctuary of God

1 Pet.
iv. 17.

this judgment must begin. This famine must begin at the heart of the Prophet. He must have darkness for a vision, he must stumble at noon-days, as at the twilight, and then truth shall fall in [the] midst of the streets; then shall the people wander from sea to sea, and from the North unto the East shall they run to and fro, to seek the Word of the Lord.

34. In the second of Haggai, “Speak now (saith God ^{Hagg. ii. 2, 3.} to his Prophet), speak now to Zerubbabel, the son of Shealtiel, Prince of Judah, and to Jehoshua, the son of Jehozadak the High-priest, and to the residue of the people, saying, Who is left among you that saw this House in her first glory? and how do you see it now? Is not this House in your eyes, in comparison of it, as nothing?” The Prophet would have all men’s eyes turned to the view of themselves, every sort brought to the consideration of their present state. This is no place to shew what duty Zerubbabel or Jehoshua doth owe unto God in this respect. They have, I doubt not, such as put them hereof in remembrance. I ask of you, which are a part of the residue of God’s elect and chosen people, Who is there amongst you that hath taken a survey of the House of God, as it was in the days of the blessed Apostles of Jesus Christ? Who is there amongst you that hath seen and considered this holy Temple in her first glory? And how do you see it now? Is it not, in comparison of the other, almost as nothing? When ye look upon them that have undertaken the charge of your souls, and know how far these are, for the most part, grown out of kind, how few there be that tread the steps of their ancient predecessors, ye are easily filled with indignation, easily drawn into these complaints, wherein the difference of present from former times is bewailed; easily persuaded to think of them that lived to enjoy the days which now are gone. Surely they were happy in comparison of us that have succeeded them: were not their Bishops men unreprouable, wise, righteous, holy, temperate, ^[1 Tim. iii. 7.] well reported of, even of those which were without? Were not their Pastors, Guides, and Teachers, able and willing to “exhort” with wholesome doctrine, and to “reprove” ^[2 Tim. iv. 2.] those which gainsayed the truth? Had they Priests made of the refuse of the people? Were men, like to the children which were in Nineveh, unable to discern between the

right hand and the left, presented to the charge of their congregations? Did their Teachers leave their flocks, over which the Holy Ghost had made them overseers? Did their Prophets enter upon holy things as spoils, without a reverend calling? Were their Leaders so unkindly affected towards them, that they could find in their hearts to sell them as sheep or oxen, not caring how they made them away? But, beloved, deceive not yourselves. Do the faults of your Guides and Pastors offend you? It is your fault if they be thus faulty. “Nullus, qui malum Rectorem patitur, eum accuset: quia sui fuit meriti perversi Pastoris subiacere ditioni,” saith St. Gregory; “Whosoever thou art, whom the inconvenience of an evil Governor doth press, accuse thyself, and not him: his being such is thy deserving.”

Jer. iii. 14, 15. “O ye disobedient children, turn again, saith the Lord, and then will I give you Pastors according to mine own heart, which shall feed you with knowledge and understanding.” So that the only way to repair all ruins, breaches, and offensive decays, in others, is to begin reformation at yourselves. Which, that we may all sincerely, seriously, and speedily do, God the Father grant for his Son our Saviour Jesus’ sake, unto whom, with the Holy Ghost, three Persons, one eternal and everlasting God, be honour, and glory, and praise, for ever. Amen.

A
SERMON
FOUND IN THE STUDY OF
BISHOP ANDREWS.*

MATT. vii. 7, 8.

“Ask, and it shall be given you; seek, and you shall find; knock, and it shall be opened unto you. For whosoever asketh,” &c.

1. As all the creatures of God, which attain their highest perfection by process of time, are in their first beginning raw; so man, in the end of his race the perfectest, is at his entrance thereunto the weakest, and thereby longer enforced to continue a subject for other men's compassion to work upon voluntarily, without any other persuader, besides their own secret inclination, moving them to repay to the common stock of humanity such help, as they know that themselves before must needs have borrowed; the state and condition of all flesh being herein alike. It cometh hereby to pass, that although there be in us, when we enter into this present world, no conceit or apprehension of our own misery, and for a long time after no ability, as much as to crave help or succour at other men's hands; yet through his most good and gracious providence, which feedeth the young, even of feathered fowls and ravens (whose natural significations of their necessities are therefore termed in Scripture “prayers and invocations” which God doth hear), we amongst them whom he values at a far higher rate than millions of brute creatures, do find by perpetual experience, daily occasions given unto every of us, religiously to acknowledge with the Prophet David, “Thou, O Lord, from our birth hast been

Psal.
xxii. 9.

merciful unto us, we have tasted thy goodness, hanging even at our mothers' breasts." That God, which during infancy preserveth us without our knowledge, teacheth us at years of discretion how to use our own abilities for procurement of our own good.

2. "Ask, and it shall be given unto you; seek, and you shall find; knock, and it shall be opened unto you. For whosoever doth ask, shall receive; whosoever doth seek, shall find; the door unto every one which knocks shall be opened."

In which words we are first, commanded to ask, seek, and knock: secondly, promised grace answerable unto every of these endeavours; asking, we shall have; seeking, we shall find; knocking, it shall be opened unto us: thirdly, this grace is particularly warranted, because it is generally here averred, that no man asking, seeking, and knocking, shall fail of that whereunto his serious desire tendeth.

Psal.
l. 15.

Prov.
xxiii. 26.

i. Of asking or praying I shall not need to tell you, either at whose hands we must seek our aid, or to put you in mind that our hearts are those golden censers from which the fume of this sacred incense must ascend. For concerning the one, you know who it is which hath said, "Call upon me;" and of the other, we may very well think, that if any where, surely first and most of all in our prayers, God doth make his continual claim, "Fili, da mihi cor tuum," Son, let me never fail in this duty to have thy heart.

3. Against invocation of any other than God alone, if all arguments else should fail, the number whereof is both great and forcible, yet this very bar and single challenge might suffice; that whereas God hath in Scripture delivered us so many patterns for imitation when we pray, yea, framed ready to our hands in a manner all, for suits and supplications, which our condition of life on earth may at any time need, there is not one, no not one to be found directed unto Angels, Saints, or any, saving God alone. So that, if in such cases as this we hold it safest to be led by the best examples that have gone before, when we see what Noah, what Abraham, what Moses, what David, what Daniel, and the rest did; what Form of Prayer Christ himself likewise taught his Church, and what his blessed Apostles did practise; who can doubt but the way for us to pray so as we

may undoubtedly be accepted, is by conforming our prayers to theirs, whose supplications we know were acceptable?

4. Whoso cometh unto God with a gift, must bring with him a cheerful heart, because he loveth *hilarem datorem*, a liberal and frank affection in giving. Devotion and fervency addeth unto prayers the same that alacrity doth unto gifts; it putteth vigour and life in them.

Prayer proceedeth from want, which being seriously laid to heart, maketh suppliants always importunate; which importunity our Saviour Christ did not only tolerate in the woman of Canaan, but also invite and exhort thereunto, as the parable of the wicked Judge sheweth. Our fervency sheweth us sincerely affected towards that we crave: but that which must make us capable thereof, is an humble spirit; for God doth load with his grace the lowly, when the proud he sendeth empty away: and therefore to the end that all generations of the world might know how much it standeth them upon to beware of all lofty and vain conceits when we offer up our supplications before him, he hath in the Gospel both delivered this caveat, and left it by a special chosen parable exemplified. The Pharisee and Publican having presented themselves in one and the same place, the Temple of God, for performance of one and the same duty, the duty of prayer, did notwithstanding, in that respect only, so far differ the one from the other, that our Lord's own verdict of them remaineth (as you know) on record, They departed home, the sinful Publican, through humility of prayer, just; the just Pharisee, through pride, sinful. So much better doth he accept of a contrite *peccavi*, than of an arrogant *Deo gratias*. [i. 53.]

5. Asking is very easy, if that were all God did require: but because there were means which his providence hath appointed for our attainment unto that which we have from him, and those means now and then intricated, such as require deliberation, study, and intention of wit; therefore he which emboldeneth to ask, doth after invocation exact inquisition; a work of difficulty. The baits of sin every where open, ready always to offer themselves; whereas that which is precious, being hid, is not had but by being sought. "Præmia non ad magna prævenitur nisi per magnos labores;" Straightness and roughness are qualities incident unto every good and perfect way. What booteth it to others [xviii. 10—14.]

Matt.
xv. 22,
&c.
Luke
xviii.

Bernard.

that we wish them well, and do nothing for them? As little ourselves it must needs avail, if we pray and seek not. To trust to labour without prayer, it argueth impiety and profaneness; it maketh light of the providence of God: and although it be not the intent of a religious mind; yet it is the fault of those men whose religion wanteth light of mature judgment to direct it, when we join with our prayer slothfulness and neglect of convenient labour. He which hath said, “If any man lack wisdom, let him ask”—hath in like sort commanded also to seek wisdom, to search for understanding as for treasure. To them which did only crave a seat in the Kingdom of Christ, his answer, as you know, in the Gospel was this; To sit at my right hand and left hand in the seat of glory is not a matter of common gratuity, but of divine assignment from God. He liked better of him which enquired, “Lord, what shall I do that I may be saved?” and therefore him he directed the right and ready way, “Keep the commandments.”

[Jam.
i. 5.]
[Prov.
xvi. 16.]

[Matt.
xx. 23.]

[xix. 16,
17.]

2 Tim.
iii. 7.]

[Acts
ii. 7.]

[Matt.
vi. 2.]

[Cant.
iii. 1.]

6. I noted before unto you certain special qualities belonging unto you that “ask:” in them that “seek” there are the like: which we may observe it is with many as with them of whom the Apostle speaketh, they “are alway learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth.” “Ex amore non quærunt,” saith Bernard; they seek because they are curious to know, and not as men desirous to obey. It was distress and perplexity of mind which made them inquisitive, of whom St. Luke in the Acts reporteth, that sought counsel and advice with urgent solicitation; Men and brethren, sith God hath blessed you with the spirit of understanding above others, hide not from miserable persons that which may do them good; give your counsel to them that need and crave it at your hands, unless we be utterly forlorn; shew us, teach us, what we may do and live. That which our Saviour doth say of prayer in the open streets, of causing trumpets to be blown before us when we give our alms, and of making our service of God a means to purchase the praise of men, must here be applied to you, who never seek what they ought, but only when they may be sure to have store of lookers on. “On my bed,” saith the Canticles, “there did I seek whom my soul doth love.” When therefore thou resolvest thyself to “seek,” go not out of thy chamber into the streets, but shun that frequency which

distracteth; single thyself from thyself, if such sequestration may be attained. When thou seekest, let the love of obedience, the sense and feeling of thy necessity, the eye of singleness and sincere meaning guide thy footsteps, and thou canst not slide.

7. You see what it is to “ask” and “seek;” the next is “knock.” There is always in every good thing which we ask, and which we seek, some main wall, some barred gate, some strong impediment or other objecting itself in the way between us and home; for removal whereof, the help of stronger hands than our own is necessary. As therefore asking hath relation to the want of good things desired, and seeking to the natural ordinary means of attainment thereunto; so knocking is required in regard of hindrances, lets, or impediments, which are doors shut up against us, till such time as it please the goodness of Almighty God to set them open: in the mean while our duty here required is to “knock.” Many are well contented to ask, and not unwilling to undertake some pains in seeking; but when once they see impediments which flesh and blood doth judge invincible, their hearts are broken. Israel in Egypt, subject to miseries of intolerable servitude, craved with sighs and tears deliverance from that estate, which then they were fully persuaded they could not possibly change, but it must needs be for the better. Being set at liberty to seek the land which God hath promised unto their Fathers, did not seem tedious or irksome unto them: this labour and travail they undertook with great alacrity, never troubled with any doubt, nor dismayed with any fear, till at the length they came to knock at those brazen gates, the bars whereof, as they had no means, so they had no hopes, to break asunder. Mountains on this hand, and the roaring sea before their faces; then all the forces that Egypt could make, coming with as much rage and fury as could possess the heart of a proud, potent, and cruel tyrant: in these straits, at this instant, Oh that we had been so happy as to die where before we lived a life, though toilsome, yet free from such extremities as now we are fallen into! Is this the milk and honey that hath been so spoken of? Is this the paradise in description whereof so much glossing and deceiving eloquence hath been spent? have we after four hundred and thirty years left Egypt to come to this? While they are in the midst of

their mutinous cogitations, Moses with all instancy beateth, and God with the hand of his omnipotency casteth open the gates before them, maugre even their own infidelity and despair. It was not strange then, nor that they afterwards stood in like repining terms; for till they came to the very brink of the river Jordan, the least cross accident, which lay at any time in their way, was evermore unto them a cause of present recidivation and relapse. They having the land in their possession, being seated in the heart thereof, and all their hardest encounters past, Joshua and the better sort of their Governors, who saw the wonders which God had wrought for the good of that people, had no sooner ended their days, but first one tribe, then another, in the end all, delighted in ease; fearful to hazard themselves in following the conduct of God, weary of passing so many strait and narrow gates, condescended to ignominious conditions of peace, joined hands with Infidels, forsook him which had been always the Rock of their salvation, and so had none to open unto them, although their occasions of knocking were great afterward, more and greater than before. Concerning Issachar, the words of Jacob, the father of all the Patriarchs, were these; Issachar, though bonny and strong enough unto any labour, doth couch notwithstanding as an ass under all burdens; he shall think with himself that rest is good, and the land pleasant; he shall in these considerations rather endure the burden and yoke of tribute, than cast himself into hazard of war. We are for the most part all of Issachar's disposition, we account ease cheap, howsoever we buy it. And although we can haply frame ourselves sometimes to "ask," or endure for a while to "seek;" yet loath we are to follow a course of life, which shall too often hem us about with those perplexities, the dangers whereof are manifestly great.

Gen.
xlix. 14,
15.

8. But of the duties here prescribed of asking, seeking, knocking, thus much may suffice. The promises follow which God hath made.

ii. "Ask and receive, seek and find, knock and it shall be opened unto you." Promises are made of good things to come; and such, while they are in expectation, have a kind of painfulness with them; but when the time of performance and of present fruition cometh, it bringeth joy.

Abraham did somewhat rejoice in that which he saw would come, although knowing that many ages and generations must first pass: their exultation far greater, who beheld with their eyes, and embraced in their arms him which had been before the hope of the whole world. “We have found that **Messias,**” “have seen the salvation:” “Behold here the **Lamb of God,** which taketh away the sins of the world.” These are speeches of men not comforted with the hope of that they desire, but rapt with admiration at the view of enjoyed bliss.

[John
i. 41.
Luke
ii. 30.
John
i. 29.]

As oft therefore as our case is the same with the Prophet David's; or that experience of God's abundant mercy towards us doth wrest from our mouths the same acknowledgments which it did from his, “I called on the name of the **Lord,** and he hath rescued his servant: I was in misery, and he saved me: Thou, Lord, hast delivered my soul from death, mine eyes from tears, and my feet from falling:” I have asked and received, sought and found, knocked and it hath been opened unto me: can there less be expected at our hands, than to take the cup of salvation, and bless, magnify, and extol the mercies heaped upon the heads of the sons of men? Are we in the case of them, who as yet do only ask and have not received? It is but attendance a small time, we shall rejoice then; but how? we shall find, but where? it shall be opened, but with what hand? To all which demands I must answer,

[Psal.
cxvi.
4—8.]

9. Use the words of our Saviour Christ; “**Quid hoc ad te?**” what are these things unto us? Is it for us to be made acquainted with the way he hath to bring his counsel and purposes about? God will not have great things brought to pass, either altogether without means, or by those means altogether which are to our seeming probable and likely. Not without means, lest under colour of repose in God we should nourish at any time in ourselves idleness: not by the mere ability of means gathered together through our own providence, lest prevailing by helps which the common course of nature yieldeth, we should offer the sacrifice of thanksgiving for whatsoever prey we take to the nets which our fingers did weave; than which there cannot be to him more intolerable injury offered. “Vere et absque dubio, (saith St. Bernard,) hoc quisque est pessimus, quo optimus, si hoc ipsum quo est optimus ascribat sibi;” the more blest,

[John
xxi. 22.]

the more curst, if we make his graces our own glory, without imputation of all to him; whatsoever we have we steal, and the multiplication of God's favours doth but aggravate the crime of our sacrilege: he knowing how prone we are to unthankfulness in this kind, tempereth accordingly the means, whereby it is his pleasure to do us good. This is the reason why God would neither have Gideon to conquer without any army, nor yet to be furnished with too great an host. This is the cause why, as none of the promises of God do fail, so the most are in such sort brought to pass, that, if we after consider the circuit, wherein the steps of his providence have gone, the due consideration thereof cannot choose but draw from us the self-same words of astonishment, which the blessed Apostle hath; "O the depth of the riches of the wisdom of God! how unsearchable are his counsels, and his ways past finding out!" Let it therefore content us always to have his Word for an absolute warrant: we shall receive and find in the end; it shall at length be opened unto you: however, or by what means, leave it to God.

[Jud.
vi. vii.][Rom.
xi. 33.]

10. iii. Now our Lord groundeth every man's particular assurance touching this point upon the general rule and axiom of his providence, which hath ordained these effects to flow and issue out of these causes; gifts of suits, finding out of seeking, help out of knocking; a principle so generally true, that on his part it never faileth.

For why? it is the glory of God to give; his very nature delighteth in it; his mercies in the current, through which they would pass, may be dried up, but at the head they never fail. Men are soon weary both of granting and of hearing suits, because our own insufficiency maketh us still afraid, lest by benefiting of others we impoverish ourselves. We read of large and great proffers, which Princes in their fond and vain-glorious moods have poured forth: as that of Herod; and the like of Ahasuerus in the Book of Esther. "Ask what thou wilt, though it reach to the half of my Kingdom, I will give it thee:" which very words of profusion do argue, that the ocean of no estate in this world doth so flow, but it may be emptied. He that promiseth half of his Kingdom, foreseeeth how that being gone, the remainder is but a moiety of that which was. What we give we leave; but what God bestoweth benefiteth us, and from him it taketh nothing: wherefore in his propositions

Mark
vi. 23.
Esther
vii. 2.

there are no such fearful restraints; his terms are general in regard of making, "Whatsoever ye ask the Father in my name;" and general also in respect of persons, "whosoever asketh, whosoever seeketh." It is true, St. James saith, "Ye ask, and yet ye receive not, because you ask amiss:" ye crave to the end ye might have to spend upon your own lusts. The rich man sought Heaven, but it was then that he felt hell. The Virgins knocked in vain, because they overslipped their opportunity; and when the time was to knock, they slept: but "Quærite Dominum dum inveniri potest," Perform these duties in their due time and due sort. Let there, on our part, be no stop, and the bounty of God we know is such, that he granteth over and above our desires. Saul sought an ass, and found a Kingdom. Solomon named wisdom, and God gave Solomon wealth also, by way of surpassing. "Thou hast prevented thy servant with blessings," saith the Prophet David. "He asked life, and thou gavest him long life, even for ever and ever." God a giver; "He giveth liberally, and upbraideth none in any wise:" and therefore he better knoweth than we the best times, and the best means, and the best things, wherein the good of our souls consisteth.

INDEX.



INDEX.

A.

ABSOLUTION; of its efficacy since the Apostles, II. 371—of its being purchasable, III. 42—whence the power of it, 59—abused by Papists, 60, 67—what it is, according to Hooker, 62, 68—impugned by Tertullian, 64.

“Abstract of certain Acts of Parliament,” cited, II. 415, 416, 426, 427, 429, 444.

Acaster, Rev. J. on the source of danger to the Established Church, I. xlvii.

Acolytes; their origin, III. 209.

Action; what laws of, guide the Will, I. 87.

Actions; what, direct man to the imitation of God, I. 84—the Will, the root of all, 104—God, the only rewarder and avenger of all secret, 105.

Acts, must perfect habits, II. 322.

Adherence; certainty of, what, III. 451.

“Admonition to the Parliament,” I. cxliii. clii. 9, 21, 22; II. 60, 97, 106, 129, 168, 248, 258, 259, 380.

Adversity; of the prayer to be evermore delivered from it, II. 155.

Advice; of whom to be sought, II. 103.

Aerius; on Fasting, II. 343—opposed the Order of Bishops, III. 141.

Affairs, politic; how far Religion supplies the fit Instruments for, II. 4—Church; of the outward public ordering of, 17

Agents, natural, the law of; how distinguished from voluntary, I. 79.

Aikin, Miss; her Memoirs of James I., I. 56.

Ainsworth, Henry; cited, I. xvi.

A’Lasco, John; of him and his Church, I. 288.

Alcidamas; of the kinds of delivery, II. 76.

Alexander Severus; imitated the Ordinations of the Church in his imperial elections, III. 163.

“Alliance between Church and State,” by Bishop Warburton; strictures on, I. xxiv. *et seq.*

Alsop, Vincent; on the Rule of the Church, III. 228.

Ambrose; on the desire of Grace, II. 219.

America; the experience of, on the separation of Civil and Ecclesiastical affairs, I. cxlviii.

Anabaptism; remarkable opinion of Archbishop Whitgift, concerning, III. 162.

Anabaptists, German; their early tenets, I. 55—how they spread themselves, 59—their bold assertions, 62—their notions of Christian liberty, 234—and of human Laws, III. 184, 328.

Anderton, James. *See* Brekeley.

Andrews, Bishop; of Hooker’s MSS. I. cxx.

Angels; of the Law they work by, I. 81—how some came to fall, 83—their dispersion afterward, *ib.*—their knowledge full and complete, 85.

——— of the Churches; whom so styled, III. 103—no proof of their being Bishops of a superior Order, *ib.*

Antiquity; of deference to it in disputable points, II. 19—of no value apart from Truth, 21—danger of confiding in it, *ib.*

Apocryphal; what books so termed formerly, and what now, II. 54—of reading them in Churches, 56.

Apollinarians; their heresy, II. 175, 182, 187.

Apostacy; what, III. 474.

Apostles; what the term includes, II. 387, 391—the term generalized, III. 99, 404—in what they have successors, in what not, 99—consequence of their extinction, 116—of despising their doctrine, 468.

——— Creed; of its composure, II. 133.

Apostolic Canons, the; spurious, II. 227, 404; III. 140.

- Apostolic commission; how appropriated by Papists, and Anglo-Diocesans, III. 100.
 ——— Constitutions, The; impeached, II. 227.
- Appetite; how it differs from Will, I. 89.
- Appropriations; yearly amount of those taken from the Church, III. 248.
- Arch; this syllable remarked on, III. 207.
 ——— Bishop; why appointed, III. 131, 139—import of the name, 189. *See* Metropolitan.
 ——— Deacon; his Office, III. 127.
 ——— Presbyter; his Office, *ib*.
- Archytas; of public or national felicity, III. 273.
- Arguing; of a sceptical mode of, II. 298.
- Arianism; its rise and progress, II. 134, 231.
- Aristotle; surpassed by Lord Bacon, I. 87—strictures on, by Bp. Warburton, 106; III. 256.
- Armagh, the late Archbishop of; his wealth, III. 12.
- Articles of Religion; those of the Church of England insufficient to prevent diversity of Opinions; and also promote acrimonious controversy, I. xvii.—of the First, II. 183—of the Tenth, I. 90—of the Eleventh, 126—of the Twelfth, 94—of the Thirteenth, *ib*.—of the Fifteenth, II. 164—of the Seventeenth, 168—of the Nineteenth, I. 201; II. 73; III. 254—the Twentieth commented on, I. xxix.—contains a forged clause, *ib*.—remarks on the “Christian Remembrancer” concerning this Article, xxxii.—of the Twenty-first, 118—of the Twenty-third, II. 372—of the Twenty-fifth, 204, 283—of the Twenty-sixth, 209—of the Twenty-seventh, 215—of the Twenty-eighth, 289.
- Ascham, Roger; cited, I. cxxxv.
- Assent; the grounds of it, I. 181.
- Asses and oxen; whom so termed, II. 429.
- Assurance, the certainty of; what, III. 451.
- Athanasian Creed. *See* Creed.
- Atheism; its radical cause, II. 9—when most opposite to Religion, *ib*.
- Attendancy; derived, from the example of the Angels, upon the Hierarchy, III. 208—opinion of Puritans on its origin and use, 209.
- Attire of Ministers; of the, II. 96.
- Augustine; statement ascribed to, respecting the Apostles’ Creed, II. 133—cited, 339—remarked on, 349.
- Authority, human; how far to be urged, I. 177—unsafe, in matters of Faith, 185—when injurious to all knowledge and truth, II. 21—instrumental to error, 140—Truth, not its offspring, 283.
- B.
- Babylon; her sins, III. 387.
- Bacon, Lord; of single-men, I. clxxviii.—has surpassed Aristotle, 87—on Unity and Uniformity, 196 (*see Addendum*, III. 536, and referred to this place)—on the value of Antiquity, II. 21—of what beguiles Truth, 283—on Non-residence, 428.
- Baker’s MS. Collections; cited, I. clxii. cc. ccii.
- Ballard, the Jesuit; his judgment on Abp. Whitgift’s writings, I. clxvi.
- Bancroft, Abp.; his character, I. xiv.—cited, xv. lxxv. cxxvi. 20, 22, 51, III. 153, 198, 211.
- Baptism; by alleged Heretics, why rejected by ancient Councils, I. 199—extravagant notions of, II. 206—its Substance and Rites, 207—when administered without the usual ceremonies, 210, 220—of its Necessity, 210, 212, 215, 218, 221, 535—of Grace given with it, 213—the Grace conferred, where outward means cannot be had, 217—of Infants dying without it, 218—of the necessity of privately administering it, 221—of its administration by Laymen and Women, 226—to be administered but once, 228—opinions of the Fathers on its iteration, 229—whether frustrated by the incompetency of the Minister, 234—an action, moral, ecclesiastical, and mystical, 237—points on, by Calvin, 245—of Interrogatories in, touching Faith, 246—of Infants, and Interrogatories through Sponsors, 248—of the Cross in, 256. *See* Cross.
- Baptists. *See* Anabaptists.
- Barrow, Dr. Isaac; cited, I. xxi. xxiii. xxix. III. 99, 202.
- Barrowe, Henry; cited, I. cxxvii.
- Barwick, Dr. John, his Life cited, I. xlviii.
- Basil; his advice where ordinances are not approved, II. 104—of Sacred Music, 124—of Private Judgment, III. 388.
- Bathurst, Bp.; of Uniformity, III. 324.
- Baxter, Richard; cited, I. xiii. xxvii.; II. 332, 352; III. 99, 112, 148, 178, 266, *ib*. 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 293, 304, 321, 324, 326, 331, 332, 475.
- Bayle, Peter; of the pacific spirit of Christianity, I. xxvi.
- Belief; why not attained by bare contemplation of heaven and earth, II. 67.
- Believers; how saved, II. 71.
- Benedictus; its use in the Liturgy, II. 128.
- Benefice; what the Name signifies, II. 417.
- Bentham, Jeremy; of the Catechism, II. 46.
- Bernard, Dr. N.; cited, I. cxx. clxxxix.
- Bertelier; opposed by Calvin, I. 17.

- Beveridge, Bp.; of the Apostles' Creed, II. 133.
- Beza; his Book ordered to be burnt, I civ.—his opinion of Cartwright, cxl. clxxvii.—and of the First Admonition, clvii.—his controversy with Erastus, 21.
- Bingham, Rev. John; cited, II. 98, 333.
- Binkes, Dr.; his extravagant Sermon on Chas. I., I. xlv.
- Biographia Britannica; cited, I. clxxv.
- Bishops; how far their Order appointed of God, III. 90—a Query, by Smectymnus, on this point, I. cxxii.—were in Churches for 1500 years after Christ, III. 90—in England, before A. D. 359, *ib.*—whether their Office be essentially what it was in the Primitive Church, 91—a distinction between the Primitive and the Hierarchical Church, admitted by Bp. Marsh, 110—whether the variations in their Order be analagous to those in Sovereignty, 92—whence the Name, 93—the Name diverted from its first usage, *ib.*—the Order older than the Name, 94—definition of a Bishop; and in what his Office consists, 95—at large, and with restraint; distinguished, 95, 102—the case of James, at Jerusalem, 99—superiority; in what disputed, 96—the term “Angel,” affords no plea for Superiority, 103—Bp. Jewel's distinction respecting a Bishop's qualifications, 99—the Apostles the first Bishops, 97—all Bishops the Apostles' Successors, according to Jerom, 100—originally called Apostles, *ib.*—Jerom's notion of their institution; and remarks on it, 104—their succession from the Apostles said to be traced in all Churches they founded, 112—their Power, originally, 114—of Ordination; if peculiar unto Bishops? 115, 142—of Jurisdiction; if vested solely in them? 117—how far Bishops and Presbyters united in exercising Jurisdiction, 125—Bishops and their Cathedrals said to reflect the very countenance of Apostolical antiquity, 127—extent of their territorial Power, originally, 128—why some Bishops superior to others, 131—this, suggested by the state of the World, 132—who first opposed Episcopacy, 141—the interest Bishops have in Civil affairs, 171—what Honours are their due, and why, 191—whence their power of Office derived, 200—Cowper's description of a State Bishop, 201—wherein honour should be shewn Bishops, 206—their share out of the public maintenance of the Church, anciently, 225—why maintenance is not limited, as formerly, 227—whether to be deprived of their lawful possessions on pretence of Unworthiness, 229—what should be their behaviour and conduct, 230—of procuring their office by Simony, 231—careless Bishops injurious to the Church, 232, 236—of corruptly bestowing places of Ecclesiastical charge, 234—Visitations and Courts, how they should be managed, 234, 235—care of their Clergy, required of Bishops, 235—Clergy and People required to bear with their Bishops' infirmities, 239—Bishops' Revenues to be duly protected, 240—their Title to revenues, on what it rests, 247. *See* Episcopacy—Maintenance—Prelates.
- Blackburne, Archdeacon; cited, I. xiv. xx. cxxi. clxi.
- Blackstone, Sir William; his exposure of Clerical rapacity, II. 409.
- Blomfield, Bp.; cited, I. xliii.
- Bodin; of the Roman Commonwealth, III. 266.
- Body-politic, and Laws-politic, I. 105.
- Body, soul, and spirit, I. 97.
- Boniface; of Baptismal Interrogatories, II. 249.
- Bowing at the name of Jesus, II. 106.
- Brazen Serpent; of it, II. 268.
- Brereley, John (*al.* Jas. Anderton); cited II. 307.
- Brodie, George, Esq.; cited, I. xvi.
- Brook, Rev. Benj.; cited, I. lii. civ. cxxxiv. clv. clxxxii.; II. 100, 395.
- Brougham, Henry, Esq. M. P.; referred to, I. xvi.
- Brown, T. M. D.; cited, II. 22.
- Brown, Robert; founder of the Sect of Brownists, I. cxxvi.; II. 407; III. 308.
- Brutus, Steph. Junius. *See* Languet, H.
- Bullinger; a remark on, I. 61.
- Burghley, Lord; his Letter to Abp. Whitgift respecting Travers, I. lxxi.—his treatment of Hooker, *ci.*—Admonitory Letter to Whitgift, clxxix.—Hooker's Epistle to his Lordship, 1.
- Burial Office; its design, II. 356—Bp. Hoadly's censure, 361.
- Burnet, Bp.; on chanting Prayers, II. 128—on Athanasius' Creed, 140—cited, 244, 269, 284, *ib.* 361—of Confirmation, 283—censures the Clergy, 361—admits whence Bishops derive their power of Office, III. 200—of Synods, 301.

C.

- C. T. *See* Cartwright, Thomas.
- Cæcilius à Bilta; cited, I. 199.
- Calamy, Dr. E.; on Timothy's episcopate, III. 103.
- Calvin; his original profession, I. 12—

- introduced into the Church of Geneva, I. 12.—Dr. M'Crie's remark on him, *ib.*—banished, and recalled, 14—conditions on his return, 15—their subtlety, *ib.*—his reception by the people, 16—being disgusted, takes his leave, 17—praise of him; and how honoured, 19—defended against Hooker, in the "Christian Letter," 22—Dr. Covel's reply to the Letter, II. 551—adverse to political freedom, I. 26—on difference in Ceremonies, 314—various points of his on baptism, adduced, II. 245—on Christ's example respecting Fasts, 334—and the example of Moses, 335—on the abrogation of Fasts, 339—cited, 373, III. 120—of Confession 39—of Bishops; their antiquity and utility, 120—their power and rank, 121—of Archbishops and Patriarchs, 153.
- Calvinism; reproached by Dr. Wordsworth, I. cxii.; II. 451.
- Camden, William; cited, I. cii. cxxxv. ccvi. clxxxii. 35.
- Campbell, Geo. D.D.; cited, I. xii. xxviii. II. 374; III. 129.
- Canons Ecclesiastical; extravagant conclusion respecting, I. 231.
- of 1603; cited, II. 106, 254, 335, 393—Bp. C. R. Sumner, on them, 420. *See* Apostolic Canons, and Apostolic Constitutions.
- Ganterbury, Abp.; pageantry of the, I. xxxiii. xxxiv.
- Cardinal. *See* Pope.
- Caroldus; Calvin's associate, I. 14.
- Carte, Thomas; cited, I. cl.
- Cartwright, Thomas; what he was styled, I. xxxvii. cxxxiv.—his birth; and entrance at St. John's College, Cambridge, cxxxiv.—retires; is a barrister's assistant; returns to College, and exhibits before Queen Elizabeth, cxxxv.—made Margaret Reader; his popularity at St. Mary's and his influence in Trinity Coll. cxxxvi.—measures to silence him commenced, and his appeal to the Chancellor of the University, cxxxvii.—he is vindicated to the Chancellor, who favours him, but does not prevent his being placed under prohibition, cxxxviii.—his six propositions for Church reform, cxxxix.—Beza's remarks concerning him, cxl.—the University Statutes altered to preclude him from becoming Vice-Chancellor, cxl.—of his being disputatious, cxli.—his expulsion from the University; accused of perjury; and his remarks on it, lxxxiv. cxlii.—his conduct and opinions respecting University Titles of Degrees, cxliii.—of the personality between him and Whitgift, cxliv.—of their mode of warfare, cxlv.—he is endeavoured to be depreciated, but it fails, cxlvi.—of the hierarchy, *ib.*—parallel between Latimer and Cartwright; and aspect of the State religion, cxlvii.—free discussion restrained; evil effects of that; and gross instance of adulation, *ib.* cxlviii.—the state of Endowments; and external state of religion, cxlix. cl.—Cartwright's condition after his expulsion, cli.—settles at Antwerp and Middleburg, clii.—account of the First Admonition to the Parliament, *ib.*—its popularity; and of the reply to it by Whitgift and others, cliv.—Cartwright composes the Second Admonition; account of it, clv. clvi.—of his "Replie" to Whitgift, clviii.—the principle on which he proposed to conduct that controversy, xv. clx.—warrant issued for his apprehension, clxi.—escapes to Heidelberg, clxii.—of Whitgift's "Defense" against the "Replie;" with strictures on the motto, *ib.*—Extracts, in which Whitgift advances the positions Hooker undertook to maintain, clxiii. clxiv.—posture of the combatants at this time; and the Jesuit Ballard's judgment of Whitgift's Works, clxv.—Cartwright's "Second Replie," *ib.*—settles again at Antwerp, clxix.—returns, and is apprehended; disgraceful exposure resulting from it; his release, *ib.* clxx.—of the "Rest of the Second Replie," clxx.—that work closes the controversy between him and Whitgift; but it is taken up by Hooker, clxxiii. 22—opinion on Hooker's attempt, clxxiii.—time occupied by Hooker on his Work, clxxiv.—Cartwright meanwhile had been employed on the Rhemists' Testament, *ib.*—particulars respecting it, clxxv.—incidental remarks on Cartwright and Whitgift, clxxviii.—Lord Burghley's severe letter to Whitgift, clxxix.—discord between the Council and the Prelates; and Whitgift's duplicity, clxxxi.—Cartwright's marriage; and family, clxxxii.—appointed Master of the Hospital at Warwick, clxxxiii.—the Earl of Leicester, his patron, lxxxiv. clxxxiii.—summoned to the Star Chamber Court; its composition and fate, *ib.*—severity of the Prelates; James, then King of Scotland, intercedes for Cartwright, clxxxiv.—interview between the High Commissioners and Cartwright, *ib.*—results of it, cxc.—Cartwright treated with less lenity than his fellow prisoners; petitions Lord Burghley to intercede with Whitgift, *ib.*—its failure, and remarks on it, cxcii.—Petition to her Majesty, cxciv.—when released, and how, cxcix.—

- retires to Warwick, and under what circumstances, cc.—endeavours made to implicate him in the affair of Hacket, cci.—is again silenced, and visits Guernsey, ccii.—represented as disposed to disavow his Presbyterian principles, *ib.*—his final residence at Warwick, cciii.—Fuller's account of his conduct there, cciv.—his expected recantation shewn to be futile, *ib.*—his pecuniary circumstances, and liberality, *ib.*—his remarkable assiduity; bodily infirmities; and death; with remarks on his being under the necessity of kneeling to study, ccv.—his character as a scholar, and list of his Works, ccvi.—judgment of Divines respecting him, II. 221.
- Catalogue of the Apostolical Succession, required, III. 112.
- Catechising; its design and usefulness, II. 45—public, a kind of Preaching, 46.
- Catechism, Church - of - England; defective, II. 46—cited, 171, 213.
- Catechumens; why called "Hearers," II. 46.
- Cathedral-singing; treated on, II. 124.
Bp. Burnet's remark on its indecency, 128.
- Cause, First; opinions of the Heathen on it, I. 73.
- Cave, Dr.; on the festival of the Nativity, II. 332—on the quarrels of Bishops, III. 158.
- Cawdrey, Rob.; resists the High-Commissioners, I. clxxxiv.
- Cecil, Rev. R.; cited, I. xi.
— Sir William. See Burghley.
- Ceremonies; on the Rule of "decency," regarding, I. xxx.—the Puritans misrepresented, concerning them; and wherefore, ccv.—what is meant by them, 253, 264—how universal, 265—their use, *ib.*—how far primitive, may be varied, 269—objections against the Church of England's, as Popish, 270—those objections seem to contradict themselves, 274—not therefore to be abolished, because of the boasts and hopes of Papists, 288—indifferent; importance of such to the Church of England, 291—not indifferent, when established by Law, 311—of grief on account of them, and its remedy, 292—are not always to be rejected because Jewish, 294—scandalous, and when not, 305—when, through scandal, to be removed; and when not, 309—elder Churches' not necessarily patterns, 311—procedure of the Church of England in establishing them, 320—the burden of Subscription to them, II. 104—Cowper's personification of them, 107—no Church without some, 260.
- Certainty of Assurance; what, III. 451.
- Certainty of Evidence; what, *ib.*
- Chalmers, Dr. Thomas; on the Declaration substituted for the Sacramental Test, I. xix.
- Charges, Archidiaconal and Episcopal; their Secularity, III. 236.
- Charity hopeth and prayeth for all men's Salvation, and why, II. 167.
- Chark, Mr.; of him, I. cxvii. clxxvi.
- Charles I.; of his canonization, I. xlv.—commended Hooker's Ecclesiastical Polity to his children, *ib.*—result of that commendation, 66.
— II.; Bp. Gauden's Dedication to, I. xlv.—cited, 311.
- Chatham, Lord; cited, I. xviii. xxiii.
- Chaucer; cited, I. 3; II. 356, 360.
- Children, made Priests, II. 427.
- Chorepiscopi; Bishops' vicegerents, III. 130.
- Christ; his Coeternity, I. 133; II. 468—and Moses; their Faithfulness compared, I. 242—his Prayer under his sufferings, II. 156—in what respect he prayed, 157—the second Person in the Trinity united with his incarnation, 173—but one Person, 176—hath two Natures, 177—which have distinct properties, though joined, 182—what his Humanity gained by union with Deity, 182, 190—eternal generation, union, unction, 182, 183; III. 286—his Body not present every where, II. 187, 194—how said to be every where, as Man, 191—of his delivering up the Kingdom, 193—how united with his Church, 194—imputation of his Righteousness, 201—his institution of Sacraments, 209, 530—whether he bestows the power of Absolution, III. 59—his Authority in the government of the World, the same as in the Church, 286.
- Christian Guardian, the; cited, I. xiii. xci.; III. 423.
- CHRISTIAN LETTER, A; its full Title, I. cxii.—its effect on Hooker, *ib.*—the Preface, I. 11; II. 460—the Deity of the Son, 183, 465—the Coeternity of the Son, and Proceeding of the Holy Ghost, I. 133; II. 463—the Holy Scriptures contain all things necessary to Salvation, I. 136; II. 472—Holy Scripture above the Church, I. 158; II. 476—of Free Will, I. 90; II. 480—of Faith and Works, I. 126; II. 484—the Virtue of Works, I. 94; II. 488—Works of Supererogation, I. 190; II. 492—none free from all Sin, 164, 495—Predestination, 168, 499—the Visible Church; and of the Church of Rome, I. 201; II. 503—of Preaching, 73, 513—of the Minister's Office, 372, 519—of the Sacraments, 204, 525—of

- Christ's Institution, 209, 530—necessity of Baptism, 215, 535—of Transubstantiation, 289, 539—of speculative doctrine, 401, 545—of Calvin and the Reformed Churches I. 22; II. 551—Schoolmen, Philosophy, and Popery, 444, 558—Hooker's style and manner of writing, 445, 563, *See* Covel, Dr.
- Christian Observer, the; cited, II. 50, 438; III. 235, 236.
- Religion; reproached for Novelty, II. 21.
- Remembrancer, the; cited, I. xxix.; III. 475.
- Christmas; one hundred and thirty-six opinions of it, II. 332.
- Chrysostom; on Bishops' Jurisdiction, III. 121—cited, II. 267, 333—a remark on, III. 124.
- Church; Scripture an authority above it, I. 158, 193; II. 476—mystical and visible, sound and unsound; how distinguished, I. 194, 201; II. 503—not an Assembly, but a Society, I. 206—conditions of that Society, according to Locke, I. xxvii.—its Power in making Laws, 254—Western and Greek; of their heresies, II. 14—its Judgment; what deference due to, 22—its Name; whence derived, 35—has many acceptations 302—now a term of Art, III. 12—the state of the; in the time of Eusebius, II. 39—its union with Christ here, 195—visible; its signification, 301; III. 386—who accounted of the Visible, I. 197—whether its Officers may be moulded after all Civil Governors, III. 195—distinguished from the Commonwealth, 252—whether both it and the Commonwealth be the same Society, 254, 256—of the objection, from difference of affairs and offices, 255—from the Fathers opposing each other, 258—from the effects of its punishments, and those of the State, 260. *See* Head of the Church.
- of England; her Ministers divided into parties, I. xvii.—its forms and opinions, how originated, and settled, xl.—has no Constitutional existence, xlii.—in danger from Herself, xlvii.
- Churches; the first edifice for a, II. 31—of dedicating them, 32, 35—of giving them Names, 35—of their Forms, 37—their sumptuousness, *ib.*; III. 220—their Holiness and virtue, II. 41—though abused to superstitious uses, not to be destroyed, 42—interest of Patrons in them, III. 169.
- Church Goods; Lands, Offerings, Revenues, &c. God's property, III. 211—this sentiment, qualified, II. 408—Princes made the depositaries of them, at the Reformation; and consequences, III. 211; of the Clergy's right to their use, 217—occasion of their partition, 226—sacrilege to alienate them, 229—consequences of their sacrilegious alienation, 242, 245.
- Church Patron; who he may be, III. 169.
- Polity. *See* Ecclesiastical Polity.
- Churching of Women; of the Rite, II. 354—women not anciently excluded, as unholy, 355—of the woman's attire, *ib.*—her offerings, termed oblations, 356.
- Civil and Ecclesiastical offices; of their union in the same person, III. 175, 178.
- Civil Powers. *See* King.
- Cicero and Hooker compared, I. 148.
- Clarendon, Lord; cited, I. clxxxiii.
- Clarke, Samuel; his Life of Cartwright cited, I. cxxxiv. &c.
- Clarkson, David; on Liturgies, II. 90, 93.
- Clemens Alexandrinus; cited, II. 333.
- Clergy; whether three orders of, in the New Testament, II. 390, 393—alleged to be the chief of the three Estates of the Realm, III. 177, 201—God's stewards, 217—have not an exclusive right of principality in Church-government, 315.
- the English Episcopal, State Officers, II. 100—their subserviency, I. ccvi.—their Body, notorious for factions, *ib.*—their hardships, formerly, II. 109—their power dangerous, III. 181—of their titular distinctions, 207—their wealth, 241.
- Jewish; their Orders and Offices, II. 383—their maintenance, III. 220. *See* Maintenance.
- Clerks; whom so termed by the Fathers, II. 393.
- Codrington's Life of the Earl of Essex; cited, I. 6.
- Collects; of their shortness, II. 112—on Confirmation, and Article XXV. contradictory, 283.
- Collier's Ecclesiastical History; cited, I. clxvi. clxxxix.
- Collinge, Dr. John; of prescribed Forms of Prayer, II. 92.
- Commission, Court of High. *See* Court.
- Commonalty; "A lamentable complaint of the," II. 82—the Nobility averse from worshipping with the, 428.
- Common-Prayer; argument against Books of, II. 84—of the Place where performed, 88—of the minister who performs it, 89—of the antiquity of prescribed Liturgies, 90—not prescribed in the New Testament, 92—of exceptions against it, 93, 115—of Forms of Hymns, 93—of the Common Prayer

- being Popish, II. 95—easiness of Reading it, 107—length of it, 109—its frequent Petitions for Temporal blessings, 115—want of particular Thanksgivings, 145—of “witnesses” at Baptism, 255. *See* Forms of Prayer—Prayer.
- Commonwealth; of the violence of Religious dissensions in it, I. 4.—of its distinction from, and identity with the Established Church, III. 252, 254.
- Communicants; the number of, II. 307.
- Communion. *See* Eucharist.
- of Saints; what, II. 202.
- Conder, Josiah; cited, I. 191; II. 135, 136, 206, 213; III. 204.
- Conferences; the Puritans charged with holding irregular, I. cxcvii. *See* Disputation.
- Confession; how practised by the primitive Church, III. 13—by the Jews, 17—by Protestants abroad, 38—in the Church of England, 40.
- Auricular; of its Scriptural authority, III. 19—its rise, progress, &c. 21—abused by Papists, 38, 66—its practice in the Church of England, 40.
- Confirmation; its antiquity, II. 276—appropriated by Bishops, 278—its severation from Baptism, 279—Dr. Whitby’s judgment on this rite, 279—objections and replies concerning the rite, 282—Bp. Burnet’s remarks on, 283, 284—Article XXV. and the Collect, contradictory, 283.
- Congregational Board of London; addressed from America, I. cxlviii.
- Magazine; cited, I. xvi. cxlviii.
- Congregationalists. *See* Independents.
- Consecration, Ceremonial; of the holiness it imparts to Places, II. 41.
- Eucharistical; no ancient Form of Prayer adapted to it, II. 90.
- Constantine; of his alleged Conversion, II. 38—the probable motives of his adopting Christianity, III. 14—effects of his profession of it, 304—his influence in Church affairs, 319.
- Constitution, British; the National Church no part of it, I. xlii.
- Contemplation of Natural objects; insufficient to produce Belief, II. 65.
- Contrition; wherein it consists, III. 10.
- Conventicles; their inconveniency, II. 33.
- Convocation; Injustice or Timidity charged on the Civil Government, respecting it, I. xx.—when it ceased deliberating, *ib.*—mischiefs arising from the, xxix.—conduct of those of 1587, cxlviii.—Proceedings of that of 1562, 21—conduct of that of 1531 respecting the Supremacy, III. 279.
- Corona Militis, de; account of Tertulian’s, I. 168.
- Cosin, Dr. Richard; cited, I. cxxv. cci.; II. 415.
- Cotton, Charles; his lines on Hooker, I. li.
- Council; the word misapplied, I. 43—no trace of one in the First century, III. 139.
- General; Four of them determine concerning the Nature of Christ, II. 187—to be called and dissolved by Civil Power, III. 298. *See* Jerusalem—Privy Council—Synods—Trent.
- Counsel and advice, of whom to be sought, II. 103.
- Court of High Commission; a query respecting it, I. cxvii.—opposition between Clerical and Lay members, clxxxi.—Cartwright’s conference with Bp. Aylmer and other officers, clxxxiv.—its authority narrowed, *ib.*—its abolition and character, 45.—Abp. Whitgift’s connexion with, hinted at, III. 5.—referred to, 176.
- Courts, Bishops’; how pernicious, III. 235.
- Covel, Dr. William; of his Defence of Hooker, I. cxii. cxxxiv. clxxiv.—the Defence, II. 449. *See* Christian Letter.
- Coverdale, Bp. *See* Frankfort.
- Cowley, Abr.; on God’s eternity, II. 312.
- Cowper, William, Esq.; his Poems cited, II. 107, 409, 436; III. 201.
- Cox, Richard, Bp. of Ely; opposed the Puritans, I. 21.
- Cranmer, Abp.; cited, II. 388.
- Geo.; his Letter “Concerning the new Church-Discipline,” I. cxxiii.
- Creatures; none on earth but man, capable of Felicity, I. 121.
- Creed, Apostles’; credulity respecting it, II. 133.
- Athanasian; of it, II. 133—when written, 139—of its anathema, 140—its use, in the Liturgy, 143—appealed to, 183.
- Nicene; of it, II. 135.
- Creeds, the ancient; Rev. W. Orme’s judgment respecting them, II. 183.
- Critical Review, the; cited, I. ix. lxxv.
- Cross, in Baptism; of it, II. 256—its antiquity, use, and why made in the forehead, 260—Texts which afford no authority for it, 262—Hooker’s treatment of those Texts, 263—whether to be discontinued, because abused by Papists, 263, 267—its effects on the imagination, 266—Bp. Burnet, on it being a sacramental and superstitious action, 269.
- Custom; worthless without Truth, II. 21.
- Cyprian; of him, I. 164—his opinion of

custom, II. 21—a promoter of Prelacy, III, 119—his keen dispute, 191.
 Cyril; mistaken on the Incarnation, by Eutyches, II. 178.

D.

Daillé, M.; cited, II. 251.
 David : why a man after God's own heart, II. 8.
 Deaconesses; of them, II. 393, 394. III. 223.
 Deacons; their order and office, II. 287—of their being licensed to Preach, 388.
 Deans; what, III. 127.
 Death, sudden; of the Petition against it, II. 151.
 Decency, in Religious actions; what, I. xxx.
 Decree, human; cannot restrain Christian liberty, II. 328.
 Devil, the; on his tempting Christ, II. 111.
 Dialogues, Moral and Political; cited, I. cl.
 Diary of a Lover of Literature, the; cited, I. 84.
 Diocess, a Bishop's; what, III. 130—differs from a Province, 133—whence the distinction arose, *ib.*
 Dionysius; the proverb of his navigation, II. 407.
 Discipline; why that of the Puritans spread among the people, I. 23—why among the learned, 33—what might follow from it, 49—difference between Discipline and Doctrine, 209—not immutable, 240—where said to be faulty among the Puritans, 261—severity of Penitential, in the Primitive Church, III. 54—danger of remitting, too easily, 56—abused by Papists, 57.
 Dispensations; their nature, II. 242.
 Disputation, public; where to be held, I. 39—not to be admitted, on all demands, 40—how to be managed, 41—the means to end it, 42.
 D'Israeli, I. Esq.; cited, III. 266.
 Dissent; why connected with Religious freedom, I. xiii.
 Dissolution, and nullities; contrary to nature, II. 235.
 ——— of Religious Houses; not unjust, III. 246.
 Distinction and division; the difference between, I. 209.
 Divinations; Political benefits, among the Romans, II. 7.
 Division. *See* Distinction.
 Doctrine, of speculative, II. 401, 545. *See* Discipline.

Dod, John, M.A.; preached Cartwright's funeral sermon, I. ccv.
 Doddridge, Dr. Philip; cited, II. 163, 371, 434; III. 12, 102, 103, *ib.* 113, 186, 287, 475.
 Doles, at Funerals; of them, II. 359.
 Dominion, power of, in England; whence its origin, and to whom it escheats, III. 270.
 ———, Spiritual; its power, III. 263.
 .*See* Head of the Church—King—Supremacy.
 Donations, Endowments, or Foundations, Religious; of impairing or alienating them, II. 405.
 Donatists and Arians; of their rise and schism, II. 231.
 Doxologies; explained, II. 142. *See* Gloria Patri.
 Drunkenness; Pittacus' Law respecting, I. 113.
 Dyer, George, Esq.; cited, I. cli.—styles Hooker a Calvinist, III. 427.

E.

Easter; how the East and West Churches differed in solemnizing it, I. 302.
 Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction; temporary management respecting, I. xxix.
 ——— Polity; its principles not defined, I. xxix—of the Matter contained in Hooker's Treatise on, I. 47—why he chose this Title, 206—whether necessary to be the same in all Churches, 207—of its limitation in the New Testament, *ib.* *See* Discipline.
 Eclectic Review, the; cited, I. xi. xviii.
 Elders; not an intermediate Order, III. 147. *See* Presbyter.
 Elect; never wholly fall, III. 403, 453, 475—God's care of them, while executing his judgments, 483.
 Election, Reprobation, and Predestination, II. 214.
 Eldon, the Earl of; cited, I. xxi.
 Ellis, Henry, Esq.; Royal Letters edited by, I. xlv.
 Elizabeth, Queen; was arbitrary and Papistical, I. xxxiv.; III. 89—Cartwright exhibits before her, at Cambridge, cxxxv.—her confidence betrayed by Bishop Aylmer, clxx.—Patron of the English Episcopal Church, 8, 21, 327; III. 245.
 Endowments, Religious; state of them in Elizabeth's reign, I. cxlix.; of their lawfulness, III. 210—Wycliffe's opinion respecting them, 216.
 Epiphanius; of his levity and ignorance, III. 112.
 Episcopacy; occasion of its overthrow, I.

xlvi.—the English model of it can only subsist under Monarchy, xlv.—equivocal phrase of Hooker, respecting, 34—by whom opposed anciently, III. 141—in what respect opposed, in modern times, 144—argued to be a human invention, 145—Hooker's reply, 146—its necessity argued against, 154—Hooker's reply, 155—that Bishops usurp more power than formerly; replied to, 159—whether condemned in Scripture, or by antiquity, 184—Dr. Doddridge's remark on the negative argument, 186. *See* Bishops—Prelates.

Epithets; how abused, III. 207.

Equity, and Law; not contrary, II. 27.

Erastus; on Excommunication, I. 21.

Error, and Heresy; in what they differ, III. 388, 406.

Essex, the Earl of; anecdote of, I. 6.

Establishments, Religious; philosophical remarks on, I. xxi.—of their end, design, or object, xxv.—Palladium of the opponents of, xxxv.—effects of separating the Church from the State, xli.

Estates, public; more have decayed from internal disorders, than external violence, I. 3.

Eternal-generation; Christ's, II. 182; III. 286.

Eternity of matter; maintained by Melissus, II. 312.

Eucharist; no ancient Forms of Prayer for it, II. 90—not to precede Baptism, 284—its design and use, *ib.*—of curiously inquiring into Christ's presence in it, 286, 294—how, Christ's Body and Blood, 288—opinions of the Fathers on this point, 292—of considering on the Disputes concerning it, 294—of distributing to communicants individually and not collectively, 297—of kneeling on receiving, I. ccv.; II. 299—of examination of Communicants, 300—Papists, conforming, not to be repelled from partaking, *ib.*—fewness of Communicants; remarks on, 307—of it being administered at marriages, 353—the Minister's power to exclude from it, III. 41. *See* Sacramentaries.

Eusebius; his cautious relation of Constantine's alleged conversion, &c. II. 38—describes the state of the Church in his own time, 39.

Eutychas; his error, what, II. 178, 187.

Evangelists; what they were, II. 390.

Evidence, certainty of; what, III. 451.

Evident; necessity of something being so, I. 95.

Evil, as evil; not to be desired, I. 91.

Evils; not curable by their contraries, I. 285.

Examination, self; its necessity, III. 476.

Example; of that of the aged, and of predecessors in Political affairs, II. 19, &c.—of its force, 261, 268, 273.

Excommunication; sentiments of Puritans on, I. cxcvi.—does not shut out from the mystical, but only from fellowship with the Church visible in holy duties, I. 205—exercised by Bishops alone, III. 170.

F.

Fabricius; on the year of Christ's birth, II. 332.

Faith; of it and Works, I. 126; II. 484—the difference between matters of; and those of Discipline and Polity, I. 209—not produced by contemplation of national objects, II. 67—Justification by, at variance with the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration, 213—its evidence resisted when the disposition is morally evil, 247—its Foundation; what, III. 390, 398—what it is to hold it, 400—what to deny it directly, and by consequent, *ib.*—its difference in the good and the wicked, 401—whether once held, it can be directly denied, 403—a Sermon on its certainty, 450—why weak and imperfect in some, *ib.*—Satan's stratagems to overthrow it, 457—once received never entirely fails, 475—a Christian's sole basis, 491.

Family of Love; their notions, and Instructor, I. 28.

Farel; Calvin's associate, I. 14.

Fasting; its design and use, II. 333.

Fasts; what observed by Jews, II. 336—by Christians, 339—abused by Heretics, 341—of oppositions to Fasts, 342—their agreement with and difference from Festivals, 345—more requisite than Festivals, 346—their political benefits, 348—why appointed before Festivals, *ib.*—the Apostles set no time for them, 349. *See* Holidays.

Father; his presence not required at his child's baptism, II. 254.

Fathers, the; Cartwright appeals from their authority, I. xv.—of their use of negative arguments, 162. *See* Romanists.

Fear; it and zeal the roots of superstition, II. 13—a Sermon on, III. 440—its nature, 447—not sinful in itself, *ib.*—on what to be exercised, 448.

Fenelon, Abp.; on kings interfering with religion, I. xxiv.

Festivals; cause of their institution, II. 311—how to be celebrated, 314—whether idolatrous, *ib.*—what days to

- be observed, 318 — objections considered, 320—their agreement with, and difference from Fast-days, 345. *See* Holidays.
- Field, John, A.M.; reputed to be one of the Admonitioners, I. cliii. 22.
- First-Cause; opinions of Heathens concerning it, I. 73.
- Fletcher, Rev. Joseph, A.M.; cited, I. xvi.
- Food; of the Laws respecting it, I. 147.
- Form; in inanimate things, answers to the soul, I. 79.
- Forms of Prayer; no ancient one adapted to the Eucharist, II. 90 — whether primitive Christians used those of Jews, 91—the Lord's Prayer, not prescribed in exact words, *ib.*—no Public Form prescribed in the New Testament, 92.
- Fortitude; Religious, the only true, II. 5.
- Foster, Sir M.; on Ecclesiastics being admitted into the public administration, III. 181.
- Foundation. *See* Faith.
- Foundations, Religious. *See* Donations.
- Frankfort; troubles at, (the History of, written by Bp. Coverdale,) I. 21.
- Freake, Bp.; summons Cartwright, I. ccii.
- Free-Will. *See* Will.
- , offerings; the Primitive Church supported by, III. 216, 222, 226.
- Fulke, Dr. William; cited, I. clxxvi. clxxvii. II. 318—his character, 94.
- Fuller, Dr. Thomas; cited, I. lxxxii. cv. cxi. cxvi. cxxv. cxxxv. &c.
- Fulman's MS. Hist. of C. C. Coll. Oxford; cited I. lvii. lxiii. cxv.
- Funerals; of mourning attire at, II. 357 — processions at, *ib.* — Sermons and Orations at, 358 — testification of hope of a Resurrection, at them, 359—offices at, used by Jews and Christians anciently, 360. *See* Burial-office.
- G.
- Galatians; of their joining Circumcision with Faith, III. 391, 405.
- Gauden, Bp.; his dedication of Hooker's Works to Charles II., I. xlv.—on what occasioned the overthrow of Episcopacy, xlv. — said to have fabricated the "Eikon Basilikè," xlviii.—his ambiguous character, *ib.*—cited, xci. c. cv. cxviii.—his account of Hooker's slander, cx.—suspected to have indiscreetly introduced a remarkable passage into Hooker's Text, III. 110.
- Generalities; danger of wholly following, II. 27.
- Generation of the Son of God. *See* Christ —Eternal-Gen.
- Geneva; the Service Book of, I. 21—Answer of the College at, to Knox, respecting Baptism, 204 — the Church of, follows the Papists in some Ceremonies, 278. *See* Calvin.
- Gentleman's Magazine; cited, I. ix.
- Gentlemen; why they should be induced to become Clergymen, II. 429—of the Religion of a, III. 91.
- Germanic Circles; of them, III. 203.
- Gestures; of different, at Prayers, II. 105 —of Piety, what, 299.
- Gibson, Bp.; cited, II. 353.
- Gilbye, A.; mention of, I. clxii. 22.
- Gillespie, Geo.; cited, II. 41, 218, 314, 318, 325.
- Glaucus; the old saying of, from Homer, II. 409.
- Gloria Patri; why the usual conclusion of Psalms, &c. II. 140—used against the Arians, 141—their Doxology, orthodox in words, 142—its utility in the English Liturgy, 143—frequency of recurrence, *ib.*
- God; why he permits frailties in great and good men, I. 39—is unsearchable, 72—a law to himself, 73—why the effects of his Power limited, 74—does nothing without Reason, *ib.*—his Glory, 153—the end and excellency of his Word, II. 60—how all things his Offspring, 196—to be honoured with our substance, 395—Ecclesiastical Goods, &c. his property, III. 211—that He "may be all in all," how to be understood, 289.
- Godfathers; referred to, I. 178; II. 229, 248—Question between Boniface and Augustine, respecting, 249—no Parent to answer as, 254—of being termed "Witnesses," 255—the office, an innovation, *ib.*—of being represented by proxies, *ib.*—what the Puritans thought of Godfathers, 257, 258.
- Godwin, William, Esq.; on the insincerity of Chas. I., I. xlv.
- Goodman, Christopher; his lame recantation, I. clxxxix.
- Goodness; its degrees, and whence it proceeds, I. 84—how to be distinguished from evil, 93—how to be discerned, *ib.*
- Good-Works; of them, I. 94, 123, 126. II. 484, 488; III. 395, 411. *See* Works.
- Gospel, the; promotes Anabaptism, in the opinion of Abp. Whitgift, I. 62.
- Government, Public; how and when it began, I. 109—its kinds, arbitrary, *ib.*—dependent on Religion, II. 366, &c.
- Grace; before and with Baptism, II. 213—no falling from, entirely, III. 403, 454, 475.
- Graham, Rev. W.; on Episcopacy in England, I. xxvi.

Granger, Rev. Jas.; his *Biog. Dict.* cited, I. lxxvi. lxxviii. 45; II. 90.
 Grant, Rev. Johnson; referred to, I. xvi.
 Grief; when reprobable, III. 441.
 Grimshawe, Rev. T.S.; on the internal condition of the National Church, I. xlvii.
 Grindal, Abp.; of him, I. cxxxvii. 21; cited, II. 84, 244.
 Grotius, H.; on Ecclesiastical History, III. 199.
 Gualter; of his Discipline, I. 20.
 Gunton's *Hist. of Peterborough*; cited, I. lxxxvi.

H.

- Habakkuk's Faith; a Sermon on, III. 450.
 Hacket, William; account of, I. cci. 4.
 Habits, Clerical; of one defiled with superstition, II. 97—not used in the first three Centuries, 98—the imposing of them deprecated by Whitgift, I. cxli.; II. 395—of their being proper for distinction, 395.
 Hale, Sir Matthew; cited, II. 163.
 Hall, Bp.; cited, I. cxxiv. 22; II. 324.
 — Rev. Robert, A.M.; cited, II. 8; III. 293.
 Hallam, Henry, Esq.; cited, I. xii. xiii. ciii. cxxi. cxxii. 21, *ib.* 66, 148.
 Halting (or hesitation); condemned, III. 469.
 Hamilton, Rev. Richard Winter; cited, I. xviii. xxxiv. xli. xlii.
 Hammond, Dr.; on Elders, or Subject-Presbyters, III. 147.
 Hanbury, Benj.; his "Historical Research," cited, I. cxxvii.
 Harmony; its correspondency with the Soul, II. 123.
 Hawkins, Sir John; cited, I. xxxvii.
 Head of the Church; of its application to the King, III. 278—not to him, in the same sense as to Christ, 282—how applied to one and the other, 283, 293—whether contradictory and absurd when applied to the King, 285, 297—of the necessity of such, under Christ, 290—applied to the King and the Pope differently, 295.
 Hearers. *See* Catechumens.
 Heber, Bp.; cited, I. x. xi. xviii. — put a restraint on free inquiry, xxxii. — restricts the "peculiar hopes of grace," to Diocesan Clergymen, III. 101.
 Heidelberg; the Church of, I. 20.
 Henriciani; whether justly termed Heretics, III. 219.
 Heresy; proceedings of the Nicene Council to expel it, II. 135—failure of expedients respecting it, 136—does not separate from the Visible Church, 304—what it is by the Laws of England, III. 265—how it differs from Error, 388, 406—what it is, 474.
 Heretics; whom so termed unjustly, III. 219.
 Hezekiah; of his destroying the Brazen Serpent, II. 268.
 Hicks, Michael, Esq.; mentioned, I. ccii.
 Hierarchy; its apex Popedom, III. 136.
 High-Commission. *See* Court.
 Hoadly, Bp.; of Athanasius' Creed, II. 140—of the Burial Office, 361.
 Hobby, Sir Edward; anecdote of, I. xxxiii.
 Hoker. *See* Hooker.
 Holidays; Baxter and others, upon, II. 332—the number of them, 335. *See* Fasts—Festivals.
 Holy Ghost; the Proceeding of the, I. 133; II. 468—of the sin against, 83—how given and received in Ordination, 375—the words "Receive," &c. in no ancient Ritual, *ib.*—the case of Saul and Barnabas, III. 113—when indecorously mentioned, 211. *See* Spirit.
 Home, Henry (Lord Kames); cited, I. xxix.
 Homily, Tenth; of its exordium, I. 148.
 Honour; to whom due, and why, III. 192—how to be expressed, 193—why its outward tokens are in themselves mean and trivial, *ib.*—why due to the Clergy, 203, 206. *See* Bishops—Prelates.
 Hooker, Richard; styled the "Father of the Whigs," I. xiii.—effect of his political principles, *ib.*—his propositions in the Eccles. Polity, as deduced by Neal, xxxvii.—his Life by Gauden; cited, xlv. xlvii. lv. xci. c. cv. cxviii. cxxxiii.—his LIFE, by Walton, xlix.—Lines on, by Cotton, li.—stated to be "unanswered," lii.—his patronymick, probably HOKER, lviii.—that word applied to him, II. 360—slighted by Lord Burghley whose patronage he had supplicated, I. ci.—incredibility of his Slander; and Gauden's account of it, cviii. cx.—his death, how hastened, cxii.—his Will, cxv.—whether his Wife was a Puritan; and of her extraordinary death, cxvi. cxvii.—his epitaph, and monumental inscription, cxxxiii.—description and probable fate of his scheme of Ecclesiastical Polity, clxxiii.—how long he was employed on it, clxxiv.—avows himself a Competitor against Cartwright, 22—fatal effect of his Work on Jas. II. 66—his Calvinism, III. 427.
 Horn, Bp.; cited, II. 244.
 Horton, S.; Hooker's Parish Clerk, I. vi.

Horsley, Bp.; his character of Warburton's Alliance, I. xxv.

Hospinian; cited, II. 41.

Hudibras; cited, II. 435.

Human authority; how far to be urged, I. 177.

Hume, David; Hist. of Eng. cited, I. cxxxv. cxxxvi. cxlviii. cxc. 45; II. 445; III. 279.

Hymns; Forms of, no argument for Forms of Prayer, II. 93.

—— Antiphonal; a correction relating to, II. 125—no certainty that the first Christians used, 126

I.

Idleness and Rest; distinguished, II. 316.
Idolaters; their miserableness, II. 42—not to be destroyed because the Canaanites were, 43.

Idolatry; a Cause of it, I. 102.

Ignatius; cited, II. 87—his authority untenable, III. 101.

Ignorance in the Clergy; its true Cause, II. 425—in some cases not remediable, 426—its state in recent times, 437—not to be suffered unnecessarily, III. 232.

Images, the Popish doctrine of; appropriated to Places, II. 41.

Imagination, the; described, II. 262.

Imposition of hands; an ancient Ceremony in Blessing, &c. II. 276.

Inauguration of Kings; confers no right to the Crown, III. 269.

Incarnation of God; in the person of Christ, II. 172—diversly misinterpreted by Heretics, 174—the doctrine, expressed in four words, in refutation of so many heresies, 188.

Independent; all Churches originally so, III. 139, 202.

Independents, or Congregationalists; their steady attachment to Toleration, I. xvi.—their principles summarily contained in Barrow's Treatise on the Pope's Supremacy, xxi.

Indulgences, Popish; exposed. III. 58.

Infants, dying unbaptized; their case, II. 218—of Boniface and Augustine, regarding Interrogatories, at the Baptism of, 249—Fathers not to be present at their Children's Baptism, 254.

Injunctions of Queen ELIZ.; cited, II. 106, 335.

Intention of the Minister, in the administration of Sacraments; presumed to be sincere, II. 209.

Interrogatories in Baptism; touching Faith, II. 246—proposed to Infants through Sponsors, 248, 255.

Irenæus; his authority untenable, III. 101.

Israelites; their case respecting Idolatrous neighbours, I. 278—of their destroying Places used for Idolatrous purposes, II. 42.

Ithacius; his zeal against Priscillianists, I. 6.

J.

Jackson, Henry; his Address to the Reader, III. 377—dedication to Principal Summaster, 462.

—— Dr. Thomas; cited, II. 163.

Jacob; his example fatal to Tithes, II. 400.

James; of his Apostleship, III. 99—his abode in Jerusalem, no proof that he was restrained to that place and vicinity, *ib.*

James I.; his apothegms, I. xlvi.—his approach towards Popery, liv.—styles his son, a little god, cxlix.

—— II.; conduct of the Church of England to, I. xxxvii.—made a confirmed Papist by Hooker's Work, 66.

—— Rev. John Angell; cited, I. xvi.

Jenyns, Soame, Esq. his philosophical remarks on Religious Establishments, I. xxi.—Strictures on him, xxvi.

Jerom; his notions of Episcopacy, III. 104, 121—Hooker's qualified addition to a "dangerous answer to," 109—Dr. Mosheim's character of; with a remark on it, 124.

Jerusalem; of the Council of, I. 43, 297—the Church at, a remark on, III. 158—the Council of (so called), no argument of authority for the Clergy to make Laws, 325.

Jesus; of bowing at his Name, II. 106.

Jewel, Bp. patronizes Hooker, at fifteen years of age, I. lix.—anecdote of them, lx.—in favour of leaving off the Surplice and Popish Ceremonies, 21.—his opinion of Calvin, 22—his distinction respecting the qualification of a Bishop, III. 99—of an exclusive authoritative power in Bishops, 168.

Jewish Ceremonies and Rites; how far lawfully retained by Christians, I. 295.

—— Clergy. See Clergy.

—— Regiment; a remark on, III. 172.

Jews, their revolt from Christianity; whether the sin against the Holy Ghost? III. 83—their destruction, the result of their Infidelity, 492.

Johnson, Dr. Samuel; cited, I. xii.

Jones, Rev. John; his "Free and candid Disquisitions," cited, I. xviii.; II. 119, 143, 148—shews that the Lord's Prayer

is enjoined to be used seven ; and may be required more than thirteen times in one day, II. 118.

Joseph's brethren ; exemplify good and evil, III. 82.

Josephus ; his curious argument for but one Temple, III. 220.

Jude's Epistle ; two Sermons on part of, III. 462.

Judge ; of the nullity of his acts, without jurisdiction, II. 238.

Judges, in causes Ecclesiastical ; ordinary or missionary, III. 312, 317.

Judgment, private ; discouraged by the Church of England, I. xxxi.—Hooker terms it, an " insolency," 184 — matter for the opponents of, to weigh, II. 138 — not questioned before the corruption of Christianity ; and why, III. 388.

Jurisdiction ; a distinct power from Order, III. 4—to what end said to be given, *ib.*—the power questioned, in certain respects, *ib.*—Milton's censure of it, 42 — derived from the Jews, 117. *See* Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction.

Justice ; antecedent to positive laws, I. 234—inseparable from Religion, II. 4.

Justification ; at variance with the doctrine of Baptismal Regeneration, II. 213—a Discourse of, III. 377—what, in the doctrine of the Romish Church, 379 — what, in the Anglican, 381 — what, according to the Jews, 383—how it differs from Sanctification, 382—by Christ alone ; how to be understood, 412—the gift of God, through Grace, 431.

Juxon, Bp. ; case of conscience submitted to by Charles I., I. xlv.

K.

Kames, (Henry Home,) Lord ; cited, I. xxix.

Kaye, Bp. ; cited, II. 373 ; III. 101.

Kennet, Bp. ; cited, I. xiv.

Kent Herald, the ; cited, I. xxxiv.

King ; his office united with that of the Priest, by the ancients ; and why, III. 182—an axiom of the Civil Law concerning his actions, 183—had a supremacy in Ecclesiastical affairs among the Jews, 251—has the same power over the Church of England, 252 — by what Right he has it, 266—in what sort, 267—in what measure, 271—by what rule, 276—according to what example, 277—what the ceremonies of his inauguration denote, 273—in a limited sense, the Head of the Church, 278—power to call and dissolve Councils,

298—power to make Laws concerning Ecclesiastical affairs, 304—power in all Causes and over all Persons, as well Ecclesiastical as Civil, 310—his power extends to Courts when he does not sit in person, 316—the nature of his Supremacy in all causes ; and how restrained, 321—his consent necessary to the making of Laws, 326. *See* Dominion—Head of the Church—Supremacy.

King, Bp. H. ; his Letter to Walton, I. xlix.—a note respecting him, liv.

—, Bp. J. Bartholomew Legate burnt in his jurisdiction, II. lii.

—, Lord ; cited, I. xxi.

—, Sir Peter ; (afterward Lord Chancellor,) cited, II. 134.

Kingdom's MS. Collections ; cited, I. clxix.

Kiss, holy ; of it, I. 36.

Kneeling, at the Sacrament ; on what ground the Puritans resisted its imposition as a Rite, I. ccv.—Cartwright's opinion concerning it, II. 259, 299—of it being a significant sign, 260—Hooker calls it "*the gesture of piety*," 299—he admits it to be a departure from Christ's example, 300.

Knipperdolling ; his cruel execution, III. 308.

Knox, Dr. V. ; cited, II. 436.

L.

Laing, Malcolm ; History of Scotland, cited, I. xlviii.

Laity ; Private judgment in matters of Faith, their safest guide, I. 184—an order of, II. 373—when they may exercise the Functions of the Clergy, *ib.*—not endued with Ecclesiastical power though they chose the first Deacons, III. 162—their right of electing Ministers infringed by the Discipline of the Puritans ; and by that of the Episcopalians, 165—never suffered to confer the Power of Order, 167—their consent necessary in Ecclesiastical Laws, 326.

Landor, W. S. ; cited, I. xv: xxiii.

Lands ; none possessed by the Apostles, &c. III. 215.

Langhorne, Revds. J. and W. ; their censure of a superstition respecting Numbers, II. 402.

Languet, Hubert, author of *Vindiciæ contra Tyrannos*, III. 269.

Lansdowne MSS. cited, I. lxx. clxx. clxxxiv. cxci. *ib.* cxcii.

Lardner, Dr. N. ; referred to I. 193 ; II. 38 ; cited, 21, 135 ; 99, 116.

Latimer, Bp. ; parallel between his case and Cartwright's, I. cxlvii.—of his

- introducing Cards into his Sermon, III. 463.
- Laud, Abp.; instanced, I. xxx. cited, II. 106.
- Law, in general; what it is, I. 72.
- , Eternal; what it is, I. *ib.*
- , Unsearchable, I. *ib.*
- , set by God himself, what, I. 73, 95.
- , that observed by Natural agents; what, I. 76, 95.
- , observed by Angels; what, I. 81.
- , that by which Man is to be guided; what, I. 84.
- , defined according to its subjects, I. 95.
- of Reason; what, and how known, I. 99.
- , the benefit of keeping it, I. 103.
- Laws; the deed of the whole Body Politic, I. 40—of submitting to those in being, 46—why they who oppose them, are more popular than they who defend Laws, 69—Politic, to what end ordained, 106, 110—by whom to be made, 111—whence they have their force, *ib.*—why various, 112—mixed and merely human, how they differ, 114—of Nations; their use, 115—primary and secondary; what, 117—supernatural; why revealed, 119—Natural and Rational, why set down in Scripture, 127—Divine; the benefit of their being written, 129, 134—how to judge of Laws, 142—who to judge of them; and why, 183—when well or ill made, 231—Positive; did not originate Justice, 234—when mutable and when not, 235—of Christ and Moses; how they differ, 242—whether Christ hath forbidden all change of his Laws, *ib.* 254—Moral and Ceremonial; how they differ, 244—inconveniences and danger of altering Laws, 320—they must be understood according to the rules of natural equity, II. 217—Human and divine; their difference, 237—whether human, have what God leaveth free subject unto them, 324—Ecclesiastical; by whom to be made, III. 301, 322; how far human, may appoint men what to believe, 323—how how far to be obeyed, 329.
- Lawful; ambiguity of the term, III. 97.
- Lawson, J. P., M. A. cited, I. xiv. xv. xvii. clxvi.
- Lay-Baptism; whether valid, II. 226.
- Learning in the Clergy; not always to be expected, II. 425—the want of it does not vacate their commission, 433.
- Legends; why abolished, II. 56.
- Lessons; of the intermingling them with the public service, II. 112.
- Letter, a Christian. *See* Christian Letter.
- Letters Commendatory; abused, II. 380.
- Lever, Thomas, B. D. mentioned, I. 22.
- Libellatici; who they were, III. 22.
- Licences, to eat meat in Lent, I. lxxix.
- Limborch; cited, II. 163.
- Lingard's History of England; cited, I. 45.
- Linus; of the link he formed in the chain of Succession, III. 99.
- Litanies; their antiquity and use, II. 130.
- Liturgy; Lord Chatham's character of it I. xviii.—indifference to it by the Royalist Clergy in the time of Charles I., I. xlvi. *See* Common Prayer.
- Locke, John; cited, I. xxiii. xxvii. xxx. xl. 86, 87, 89, 105, 106, 108, 109, 111, 112, 117, 145, 233.
- Lombard, Peter, styled the Master of Sentences; cited, I. 20; III. 45.
- Lord's Prayer, the; of its frequent use in the Liturgy, II. 116—different versions of it in Matthew, Luke, and the Liturgy, 118.
- L'Oste, Rev. J., LL.B.; cited, I. xiii. III. 127.
- Love. *See* Family of.
- Lowth, Bp.; cited, I. xi.
- Lucius, King; the history of, fabulous, III. 90.

M.

- Macedonius; his heresy, II. 175.
- Machiavel; to whom he attributed the preservation of Religion, III. 157.
- Maclaine, Dr. A. *See* Mosheim, *passim*.
- Magee, Abp.; on the Thirty-nine Articles failing to prevent diversity of opinions, I. xvii.
- Magistracy; faithful Ministers have no leisure for, III. 178.
- Magistrates; how far resistance to, sinful, III. 328.
- Magnificat; its use in the Church Service, II. 128.
- Maintenance of the Clergy; among the the Jews, how liberal, III. 220—should be so among Christians, 222, 244—how it was with the Church of England in Hooker's time, 248—its alienation, sacrilege, 229, 245.
- Man; aspires to a conformity with God, I. 84—by what degrees he attained to knowledge, 92—the happiness or perfection he aims at, 119—no other creature, in the world, capable of felicity, 121—how it must be attained, 123—the best men otherwise, not always the best as respects society; and why, 146—how far man's judg-

- ment should have authority, 185—why men are naturally desirous to seem neither to judge nor to do amiss, 281.
- Marinarus; opposed the necessity of outward Baptism, II. 218.
- Marriage. *See* Matrimony.
- Marsh, Bp.; advocates Toleration, I. xvi. — of the indifference of the royalist Clergy towards the Liturgy in the time of Charles I., xvi.—his hundred and twenty-three Articles or Questions, clxxxi.—admits a distinction between “the Primitive and the Hierarchical Church,” III. 110.
- Martin Mar-Prelate; notice of, I. lxxxvi. ccii. anecdote of, 6—cited, 242.
- Martyrs; their Lives formerly read in the Church, II. 55.
- Masses for the dead; exposed, III. 58.
- Master of Sentences. *See* Peter Lombard.
- Matrimony; why instituted, II. 349—how esteemed by Heathens and Jews, 350—of the Form of solemnizing it in the Church of England, *ib.*—not to be celebrated at certain times without extraordinary Fees, *ib.*—the use of the Ring in, 352—of the term Worship, in the Office of, 353—a Civil compact, ratified by Ecclesiastics, *ib.*
- Matter, the eternity of; maintained by Melissus, II. 312.
- M’Crie, Dr. T.; cited, I. 12, 20; III. 109, 110.
- Measure; the perfection and preservation of all things, II. 188.
- Melancthon; anecdote of, I. lxviii.
- Melchiodades; his conjecture, why the Apostles took no land in Judea, but only the price of lands sold, III. 215.
- Melchisedec; his example no authority for the exaction of Tithes, II. 399.
- Melissus; on the eternity of Matter, II. 312.
- Merchandise; Parishes made Spiritual, III. 211.
- Mercy for all men; the Prayer for it, considered, II. 166.
- Merits; none in the best of men, III. 384—the Popish doctrine of, refuted, 420, 493.
- Metropolitan Bishops; when and how they became Archbishops, III. 134, 135—how Metropolitans themselves arose, 202.
- Middleton, Rev. Erasmus; cited, I. cxlvii. clxxv.
- , J. W., A.M.; his enumeration of parties within the Established Church, I. xvii.
- Midwives licensed to Baptize, II. 228.
- Milton, John; cited, I. xliii. cxlviii. 250; II. 353, 400, 404, *ib.*; III. 42, 114, 222, 226—a query respecting, I. 142.
- Mind, a; feeling present joy, does not indulge curious and intricate speculations, II. 286.
- Ministers; called anciently, “God’s most beloved,” II. 89—their zeal in Public Prayer, how necessary, *ib.*—of the nature of their Function, 361—important to the welfare of Commonwealths, 362—their authority and power, 370—the character imparted to them affirmed to be indelible, 372—whether absolutely necessary that they should have the faculty of preaching, 434—faithful have no leisure for Magistracy, III. 178. *See* Clergy.
- Ministry; whether it may be voluntarily sought for without offence, I. cxliii. II. 370, 378—of admitting unfit persons to it, 431—tendency of grades of rank among the, III. 171.
- Mockers; who properly so called, III. 470—worse than pagans and infidels, 471.
- Montesquieu; cited, I. xxiii. xxvi. 196, 234.
- Moral-righteousness, or Virtue; not proper unto Christians, as such, I. 197—the want of it excludes from Salvation, *ib.*
- More, Sir Thomas; of the difference between Papists and the Church of England about Works, III. 418.
- Morley, Bp.; Dedication to by Walton, I. xlix.—query respecting, cx.
- Mortimer, Rev. T. M. A.; cited, I. 185.
- Mortmain, Statute of; conduct of the Clergy respecting it, II. 409.
- Mosheim, Dr. J. L.; cited, I. xxix. 20, 43, 87, 168; II. 134, 227; III. 14, 101, 112, 117, 119, 124, *ib.* 139, 191, 219.
- Mourning-attire; of it, II. 356—Cyprian and Augustine opposed to, 357.
- Muncer; account of him, III. 168.
- Music; its power, II. 122—a help to Devotion, 123—of its regulation in Churches, *ib.* *See* Harmony.

N.

- Names; not so ancient as Things, III. 93—that of Bishop, exemplified by the Rule, that Things denoting common qualities are older than the Names restrained to note any excellency of such qualities, *ib.*
- National Church; how constituted, III. 254—can exist only in a secular sense, I. xxi.; III. 257.
- Nature; sometimes controlled by Necessity, II. 24.
- Natures; two distinct, in Christ, II. 177—what Christ’s Divine, received from the

Father; and, what his human, by union with the Divine, 182.
 Neal, Daniel, M. A.; his History of the Puritans cited, I. xi. xxxvii. xliii. lxxxvi. clv. clxv. clxxxii. ccii.; II. 415.
 Necessity; how far it may dispense with Laws, II. 24—sometimes controls Nature, *ib.*
 Negative arguments from Scripture; how far of force, I. 163—how used by the Fathers, *ib.*
 ——— voice; of the King's, III. 304.
 Nelson; cited, III. 375.
 Nestorius; his heresy, what, II. 175—confuted, 188.
 Newton, Bp.; on the effect of Constantine's profession of Christianity, III. 304.
 ——— Dr.; his strictures on matriculating at Oxford, II. 436.
 Nicene Creed; of it, II. 135, 139.
 Nicholas, H.; institutor of the Sect called The Family of Love, I. 28.
 Nichols, John, Esq.; his Literary Anecdotes cited, I. lviii. cxxxv. cxxxvi.
 Noah's seven precepts; according to the Jews, I. 299.
 Nobility, the English; averse from worshipping with the Commonalty, II. 428.
 Non-residence; how far reprobable, II. 420 — when allowed of, 427 — Lord Bacon's judgment on, 428—how, and by whom, to be redressed, 441.
 Non-resistance. *See* Passive-obedience.
 Novatian; his error, III. 20, 65.
 Now; a metaphysical term, II. 312.
 Nowell, Dr.; mentioned, I. 21.
 Nunc dimittis; its use in the Church Service, II. 128.

O.

Oath, Ex Officio mero; of it, I. clxxxvi. cxc. xciv. 63.
 Obedience; of what is due to Scripture, Reason, and the Church, II. 23.
 Oblations; of them, II. 395.
 O'Driscoll; cited, II. 429.
 Offensive, or Scandalous; what properly so, I. 304.
 Officers, Civil and Ecclesiastical; of their being united in the same person, III. 172—objections discussed, 177.
 Opinions, variety of; argues obscurity, II. 294.
 Order, the Power of; whether it may be sought or sued for, I. cxliii.; II. 370, 378—the degrees of it, 383—distinct from Jurisdiction, III. 4—of its being derived from the Jewish Priesthood, 117.
 Ordinary, an; of his deciding disputes between the Pastor and the People, III. 202.

Ordination; what conferred by Episcopal, II. 372—whether it restrains to Places or to Functions, 413—in whom the Power was, originally, III. 142—whether lawful without Titles or Popular elections, 164—sometimes lawful without Bishops, 168. *See* Jurisdiction—Receive the Holy Ghost.
 Origen; adverse to the Necessity of outward Baptism, II. 218.
 Orme, Rev. William; cited, I. xvi. xviii.; II. 183.
 Ornaments of honour; why used by the Clergy, III. 208.
 Orthodoxy of the Church of England; what is it? I. xvii.
 Oscula sancta, I. 36.
 Owen, Dr. John; on Hooker being said to be “unanswered,” I. lii.—of the eternal generation of the Son of God, II. 183—of the office of Deacon, 388—of Ministerial Jurisdiction, III. 4—his comparative view of popular and prelatical Power, 171.
 Oxen and asses; whom so termed, II. 429.

P.

Pacianus; on the regenerating efficacy of Priests, II. 284.
 Paganism; the System of, contained a mixture of Truth, II. 8.
 Pagans; how heathens came to be so called, II. 410.
 Paley, Archdeacon; of oppression and resistance, I. xv.—of Apostolical precedent, xxvii.—of making the Church an engine of the State, xxviii.—of private judgment, xxxi.—of Test Laws, xxxvi.—of the nature and qualifications of Divine Right, III. 267.
 Palladium; that of the opponents of Establishments, I. xxxv.
 Papists. *See* Romanists.
 Paravaile; a Law term, III. 410.
 Parishes; their first appointment, II. 411—state of many in England, in the nineteenth Century, III. 127.
 Parker, Abp.; of him, I. ccvi. 21; II. 228.
 ——— Robert; cited, I. xiv.; II. 258, 260, 263, *ib.* 264, 266, *ib.* 267, 275.
 ——— Samuel, Bp.; on Hooker's Works being “unanswered,” I. lii.
 Parliament, the; of its authority to make Laws in Ecclesiastical affairs, I. xl.; III. 301.
 ——— Long; Bp. Warburton's character of those who composed it, I. cvi.
 Passive-obedience; an exploded doctrine, I. lvi. xcii.

Pastors; what they were in the New Testament, II. 391—of the inequality of, III. 149.

Patriarchs, or Primates; what their Superiority was, III. 135, 139.

Patron, Church; who he may be, III. 169.

Patronage of Churches; whence, II. 418—its corrupting influence on the Clergy, III. 243.

Paule, Sir George; his Life of Abp. Whitgift cited, I. xxxiii. lxxxii. cxxxv. &c. 55.

Paul, St.; of his Scholastic education, I. 224—his care of all the Churches, its limitation, III. 130—he and St. James reconciled respecting Justification and Faith by Works, 395.

Pead, Eleonor; a midwife, licensed to Baptize, II. 228.

Peck's Desiderata Curiosa, cited, I. cxxxvi.

Peirce, Rev. James; cited, I. cli.; II. 269, 300, 319, 335, 353; III. 42.

Penance; its Discipline, instituted by Christ, III. 11—practised by the primitive Churches, 13—made a sacrament by the Papists, 14—what it is, and of its parts; according to Papists, 15—severe in the primitive Church, 54—abused by Papists, 56—danger if too remiss, *ib.*

Penitency; how observed anciently, and its expediency, II. 344.

Penitentiary's Office; of its origin and continuance, III. 28.

People, the; how trained into the liking of the Puritans' Discipline, I. 23—their right of electing Ministers infringed by that Discipline; and by that of the Episcopalians, III. 165—not to be deprived of their liberty for fear of consequents, 171—their position in the Roman Commonwealth, 266.

Persecution; the Puritans not adverse to, I. xx. ccv.; II. 307.

Person; one only, and not two, in Christ, II. 176.

Peterborough, Bp. of. See Marsh, Dr.

———— Earl of; of a Parliamentary Religion, I. xl.

Petition, a; its Author disabused, I. 27.

Petrobrusiani; whether justly termed heretics, III. 219.

Phenix, the; cited, I. 21.

Philip, of Spain; his conduct regarding the Council of Trent, III. 327.

———— of Valois; excludes Bishops from Parliaments, III. 176.

Phillpot, Dr. H.; cited, I. xx.

Philosophy; of it, II. 444, 558. See Reason.

Pittacius; a singular Law of his, I. 113.

Pius V.; excommunicates Queen Elizabeth, III. 481.

Places for Divine Worship; how universally set apart, II. 30—of their holiness, 88. See Churches.

Plato; of the dislike men conceive of those they converse with, III. 239.

Pluralities; considered, II. 422—when allowed by the Laws, 429—how to be retrenched, 441.

Plutarch; cited, concerning odd and even Numbers, II. 401.

Policy; an objectionable instance of, II. 407.

Polity; what Laws of, the Church requires, I. 194—what Laws of, may be made by the advice of men, 231.

———— and Faith; the difference between matters of, I. 209.

Pope, Alexander; cited, I. xxxvi.

———— the; his Supremacy an usurpation, III. 479—why he excommunicated Queen Elizabeth, 481.

———— and Cardinals; Hooker's judgment of their case, when penitent, III. 421.

Popedom; an inevitable result of a graduated Hierarchy, III. 136, 139, 141, 202.

Popery; See Romanists.

Pott, Archdeacon; cited, I. xxv. *ib.*

Prayer; described, II. 85—public, its propriety and excellency, 86—Ignatius cited on its efficacy, 87—two Churches rarely agreed in the Rite of, 90—extempore, contempters of, reproved, 91—of the shortness of the Public, 112—St. Augustine, of the excellencies of Short, *ib.*—of Repetition by the people after the Minister, 119—of singing or chanting them, 128—petitionary, its nature, 155—two uses of Prayer, 156—prayer for blessings we are not sure to receive, not unlawful, 157, 163—for blessings we are sure we shall not receive, in some cases lawful, 157—how God accepts the Prayer which he does not grant, 168—to be addressed to God alone, III. 502—how to be performed, 503. See Common-Prayer.

Preaching; what so called, II. 45.—public Catechising a kind of, 46—foolishness of; what, 71—of it generally, 73, 513—why it profits more than Reading, 81—superiority of the Puritans in the faculty of, 84—Abp. Grindall's judgment on it, *ib.*—a function originally attached to the office of Bishop, III. 124.

Prebends and Places of Jurisdiction; their design, III. 234.

Precepts; the seven of Noah, according to the Jews, I. 299.

Predestination; of it, II. 168, 499.

Prejudice; its effect on the members of the Established Church, I. xiv.

Prelacy; "Sion's Plea against" cited, I.

- 45—nocure for Schism, III. 108, 113—of Cyprian being a promoter of it, and the immediate effects of the change, 119—the disgrace it was in, made Hooker foresee troubles and confusion, 203, 229.
- Prelates; their origin not satisfactorily accounted for, III. 150—of the benefit of them, 194—to Religion, 195—Common-wealths, 198—Kings and Princes, 199—the Nobility, 200—the People, 202—the Clergy, 203. *See* Bishops—Episcopacy—Honour.
- Presbyter; this name more proper than Priest, II. 386—their order and office, *ib.*—whether their laying on of hands with the Bishop, in Ordination, proves a power of Ordaining, III. 116—of their concern in Jurisdiction, 125. *See* Elders.
- Presbyterians; Bp. Hall on the origin of, I. 33.
- Presence; Christ's personal, everywhere; in what sense, II. 188. *See* Real-presence.
- Pride; a Sermon, III. 428—what it is, 433—its haughtiness and insolence, 435—the cause of all its evils, 437—how cured, *ib.*
- Prideaux, Dean; cited, III. 103.
- Priest; why a name applied to Presbyters, II. 384—children made Priests, 427.
- Primates. *See* Patriarcha.
- Prince, John; his Worthies of Devon cited, I. cx.
- Princes; when made the Depositories of Church-goods, III. 211.
- Principles; danger of entirely following General, II. 26, 423—first, in any science, what, II. 246.
- Priscillianists; Ithacius' zeal against, I. 6.
- Private-judgment. *See* Judgment.
- Privilege; its nature, II. 422.
- Privy-Council; Travers' Supplication to it, III. 335—Answer to it, 353.
- Proceeding of the Holy Spirit, I. 72.
- Processions at Funerals; ancient and recent, II. 357. *See* Burial Office.
- Pronoun; the neuter possessive, not used by Hooker, I. 81.
- Properties; those essential to Deity and Humanity, not diminished or increased by their union in Christ, II. 179.
- Prophets; had their instructions from God himself, III. 465—of their being commanded to eat Books, 467—in the New Testament, what they were, II. 390.
- Prophet; of the Prayer, "That all men may be saved," II. 170.
- Prophety; dangerous, especially to the wicked, II. 166—that of the wicked, no cause of others' grief, III. 442.
- Province and Diocess of a Bishop; their distinction, and its origin, III. 133.
- Proxies at Baptism; remarked on, II. 255.
- Psalms; their usefulness; and, more frequent repetition than other portions of Scripture, and in a different manner, II. 120—of the singing them with Music, 122—of the singing or repeating them alternately, 124.
- Puffendorf, Samuel; on the secularity of the Clergy, III. 250.
- Punishable; of exemption from being, III. 328.
- Punishments. *See* Rewards.
- Purgatory; its absurdity, III. 157.
- Puritans; their character, I. xv.—not adverse to persecution, I. xx.; II. 307—rebellion imputed to them, I. cxv.—their superiority in the faculty of preaching, II. 84—origin of the term, III. 66.
- Pythagoras; a precept of, regarding Numbers, II. 401.

Q.

- Quare impedit; its inconvenience and abuse, III. 233.
- Quarterly Review; cited, I. xxxiv. xlii. xliii. xlviii. lvii.

R.

- Rainolds, Dr. J.; cited, II. 41.
- Ramus, Peter; effect of his philosophy, I. 87.
- Reading in the Church; not so profitable as Preaching, II. 81—Abp. Grindal's judgment on this, 84.
- Real Presence of Christ in the Sacrament; whether in the elements or in the receiver, II. 288, 294. *See* Presence.
- Reason; Cicero and Hooker, alike unsatisfactory in treating of, I. 84—the guide of human actions; and the natural judge of right and wrong, 91—six objections against it under the term Philosophy, with replies, 219—its use in judging of Divine matters, with Tertullian's judgment on it, 222, 228. *See* Philosophy.
- Rebaptization; unlawful; the Fathers' opinions respecting it, II. 228, 229.
- Rebellion; imputed to the Puritans, I. cxv.
- Receive the Holy Ghost; of this phrase in the Ordination Service, II. 375.
- Rees, Dr. Abr. his Cyclopædia, cited, I. cli.
- Reformation, the; remarks respecting it, III. 161, 171, *ib.*

Reformation of the Church of England;
Incomplete, I. xviii.—Defined, 201.

Reformers; the opinions and practices of
some in Hooker's time, as set forth by
him, I. 55.

Regeneration, Baptismal; at variance with
justification by Faith, II. 213.

Religion; of imposition in matters of, I.
xiii. *et seq.* 41 — of inconvenience of
alteration in matters concerning it; and
how the Church of England proceeded
at the Reformation, 320—the support
of Commonwealths, II. 3 — how far
False, may contribute to the support of
a State, 6—none can consist wholly of
untruths, 9—danger of contentions in
it, 11—a more effectual restraint from
crimes than positive laws, *ib.* — internal,
how testified by outward duties, 18—
its mysteries above our comprehension,
246 — is the foundation of temporal
happiness and prosperity, 365, 369.

Religious freedom; its uniform connexion
with Dissent, I. xiii.

Repentance; its nature, III. 5—its virtue
and discipline, how distinguished, 6—
how the heart is framed to it, *ib.*—for
secret sins; how to be performed ac-
cording to St. Chrysostom, 44 — its
advantages, 50—of scrupulosity in it,
84. *See* Penance.

Repetitions; of the Lord's Prayer, II.
118—of Prayers, after the Minister, 119
—of the Psalms, 120.

Residence. *See* Non-residence.

Resistance to Magistrates and Laws; sin-
gular sentiment respecting, by Bishop
Sanderson, I. cxxi.—how far sinful,
III. 328.

Responses, vocal; the English the only
Protestant Church which has enjoined
them, II. 120.

Rest and idleness; distinguished, II. 316.

Restitution; its necessity, and to whom,
III. 352.

Rewards and Punishments; pre-suppose
good and evil willingly done, I. 104—
received only from those who have
power to judge, 105—to what end de-
signed, 111 — who to assign them,
ib.

Reynolds, Dr. J. *See* Rainolds.

Rhemists; of Cartwright's controversy with
them, I. clxxiv.—their work cited, II.
371.

Richmond, Rev. Legh; cited, I. xiv.

Righteous. *See* Elect.

Righteousness; actual and habitual, their
difference, III. 395.

Rightly to divide the Word; its meaning,
II. 434.

Ring in marriage; its use, II. 352.

Robinson, Rev. Robert, on the abuse of

epithets, III. 207—on the titles of the
Clergy, *ib.*

Rogations. *See* Litanies.

Romanists; of their holding the Founda-
tion of Faith; with Vincent Alsop's re-
marks, I. xci.; III. 390, 409 — may
possibly be saved, notwithstanding their
errors; with the Rev. Thomas Scott's
remarks, I. xci.; III. 409 — of their
Church, I. 201; II. 444, 503, 558—
to be followed in some things, though
not the People of God, I. 277—not to
be dissented from in every thing, be-
cause Heretics and Neighbours, 278—
the impolicy of disagreeing with them
about things sound, 285—not to be
denied the Communion when they
Conform, II. 301—not to be compelled
to it, 307 — the alleged motive, why
Episcopalians profess the Church of
Rome to be a true Church, *ib.*—who
are partakers of their errors, 387—how
their Error and their Heresy differ,
388—accuse the Church of England of
Heresy and Apostacy, 478.

Russell, Lord John, cited, III. 242, 243.

S.

Sabbath; its change from Jewish to Chris-
tian, II. 318.

Sacramentaries; their opinion concerning
the Eucharist, II. 291.

Sacraments; what, I. 267—their Name,
Author, and Force, II. 171—all articles
of Faith, and duties of Religion, called
by this name, by the Fathers, *ib.*—their
use, 203, 525—several definitions of
them, 208 — whether Signs only, or
Means of Grace, III. 70 — Papists'
errors in this respect, *ib.* *See* Baptism
—Eucharist—Intention.

Sacrilege; representations of it, II. 404;
III. 229, 243, 245.

Saint; the use of this epithet, III. 383.

Saints and Martyrs; Lives of, formerly
read in Churches, II. 55.

Salvation; whether the Scriptures con-
tain all things necessary to, I. 136;
II. 472.

———— by Christ alone, how to be
understood, III. 412.

Sampson, Thomas, D.D.; mentioned, I.
22.

Sanctification; of Baptism being the first
step in it, II. 215—its difference from
justification, III. 382.

Sanderson, Bp.; his strange doctrine, I.
cxxi.; cited, iii. 309.

Sandys, Bp.; mentioned, I. 21.

Saravia, Dr. Hadrian; of him, I. ciii.
clxxiii.

- Satisfaction**; what, according to the Fathers, III. 45—of its being made to God for sin, 46—its prescribed Works, 51—of offenders, in the primitive Church, 54—of remitting it too easily, 56—abused by Papists, 57.
- Scandalous, or offensive**; what properly so, I. 304.
- Schism**; of its application by the Church of England, I. xvi.—charged upon the Puritans, cxcv.—not effectually healed by Creeds, II. 140—does not separate from the Visible Church, 304—not cured by Diocesan Supremacy or Prelacy, III. 108, 113, 141—what it is, 474—remarks on, *ib.*
- Schoolmen**; of them, II. 444, 558.
- Scotland**; of her repudiation of Episcopacy, I. xlv.—mars a prediction, III. 91.
- Scott, Rev. Thomas**; cited, I. xv. xxxv. xci.
- Scriptures**; a perfect and sufficient rule of Faith and Practice, I. xxvii. 191, 193—want nothing needful, and contain nothing superfluous, 131—sufficient to their end, 132, 136, II. 472—their right use, I. 141—whether designed to direct in actions indifferent, 151, 162, 186, 191, 214—above the Church, 158 II. 476—negative arguments from; their force, I. 162, 170—whether their honour be impaired by the Established Church, 212—how far their general Rules applicable to particular Duties, 214—whence their authority deduced, 226—Reading them in Churches a kind of Preaching, II. 47, 62—of seeming contradictions in certain translations, 47—an hypothesis in defence of certain passages seemingly contradictory, 50—of the method and choice of Reading, *ib.*—how read in the Synagogues, 52—how they conduce to Salvation, 61—great use of reading them publicly, 64, 77—reading them, has precepts and promises annexed, 92—an infallible rule in interpreting, 211—whether only part of the Rule to judge of men's actions and institutions by, III. 152.
- Scruples of penitent minds**; resolved, III. 81, 85.
- Seal**; the case of a stolen, applied to Baptism, II. 241.
- Secker, Abp.**; cited, I. xx.
- Sects, Religious**; their advantage to the Community, I. xxiii.
- Secularity of the Clergy**; accounted for, III. 250.
- See**; a Bishop's; what it is, III. 130.
- Selden, John**; cited, II. 53.
- Sense, the**; how far to be operated on in Religion, II. 116.
- Sententarii**; who they were, II. 45.
- Serapion**; his case stated, II. 809.
- Sermons**; not the only means to save souls, II. 62, 69—compared with bare Reading of Scriptures, 62, 85—their good use, 63, 76—whether *the* Word of God, 73—infrequency of them, 79—what gains them their repute, 84—their proper use at Funerals, 358. *See* Reading.
- Severus, Alex.** *See* Alex. Severus.
- Shaftesbury, Lord**; on a Government interfering with Religious opinions, I. xxiv.
- Shakspeare**; cited, I. cxlvi. 4, 35, 100; II. 36, 316, 341, 407; III. 430.
- Sheldon, Abp.**; mentioned, I. xlviii. lv.
- Shipley, Bp.**; cited, I. xviii.
- Sidney, Sir Philip**; cited, I. 4.
- Signs**; utility of visible, I. 265.
- Simony**; its heinousness in Bishops, III. 231.
- Sin**; none free from all, II. 164, 495—horror of it when committed, III. 81—against the Holy Ghost, what, 82.
- Singularity**; the Puritans charged with, I. cxcviii.
- Sitting at Communion**; of it, II. 259—a gesture of Piety, 299.
- Smectymnuus**; cited, I. cxxii.; III. 90, 141.
- Smith, Rev. Sydney**; cited, I. xix.
- Smollett's History of England**; cited, I. xl.
- Societies, Public**; their foundation, I. 105.
- Socrates, the Historian**; cited, II. 90, 125.
- Somner, William**; of him, III. 335.
- Sophism, a**; noticed, I. 40.
- Sorrow and Fear**; a Sermon on, III. 440.
- South-Ease, the Rectory of**; its Sale by Auction, III. 211.
- Sparke, Bp.**; of the Church Catechism, II. 47.
- Sparrow, Dr.**; cited, II. 356.
- Speculations**; of curious and intricate, II. 286.
- Speculative doctrine**; of, II. 401, 545.
- Spelman, Sir H.**; cited, I. lxxx. lxxxi.
- Spencer, Dr. John**; "had a special hand" in the Ecclesiastical Polity, I. xcvi.; cited, c. cv. cxviii.
- Spenser, Edmund**; cited, I. xi. lxxix. 3.
- Spirit, Holy**; proceeding of the, I. 72—how its testimony is to be discovered, 229. *See* Holy Ghost.
- Sponsors.** *See* Godfathers.
- Sportulæ, et Sportulantes Fratres**; what, III. 225, 226.
- Spotswood's History of the Church of Scotland**; noticed, I. 20.
- Star-Chamber**; composition of that Court, I. clxxxiii.

State; effects of making the Church an engine or an ally of it, I. xxviii.—what the Episcopalians sacrifice to it, xxxvi. *See* Estates.

Stewart, Rev. J. H.; cited, II. 307.

Stillingfleet, Bp.; on the lawfulness of Separation, I. xvii. on the possessors of the power of Ordination, III. 142.

Stubbs, John; singular punishment of, I. clxxxii.

Strype, John; remarked on, I. 20; cited, xv. lxix. lxxiii. lxxxii. cxxxv. &c.; 22, 63; II. 84, 228, 395, 415; III. 340, 342.

Subscription to the Ceremonies; the burden of, II. 104.

Substance; part to be dedicated to Religion, II. 395—Tithes or tenths of it, formerly allotted, 399.

Succession of Bishops; its chain lost in uncertainty, III. 99, 169—a Table respecting it, 100—a precarious foundation for Christian hope, 101—vitiated by Heretics, 112—Eusebius no authority to prove a lineal Succession, *ib.*—

Sudden death; of the petition against it, in the Litany, II. 151.

Sulpitius, Severus; cited, I. 6.

Summaster, George; Dedication to, III. 462.

Sumner (C. R.) Bp.; what the Canons, &c. were devised for, II. 420—of the nature of the respect to which the Clergy are entitled, III. 205.

Sunday; the state of it in the Metropolis, II. 307.

Superiority of some Ministers over others; discussed, III. 184.

Superior-stations; require proportional endowments, III. 241.

Supererogation; Works of, I. 190; II. 492.

Superstition; its spring, II. 13—of redress of it, 15.

Supremacy; the Puritans charged with impeaching it, I. cxcvi.—the King's, what, III. 263—his Right of investiture, 266—the sort of it, 267—its Measure, 271—its Rule, 276—its Example, 277—qualified by the Convocation, 279. *See* Dominion—King.

Surplice; the names of the most eminent Churchmen willing to discard it, I. cxli. 21—its retention attributed to Queen Eliz. *ib.*—objections to it considered, II. 96—indefensible from the New Testament, 100. *See* Habits.

Sussex, H. R. H. the Duke of; presides at the Commemoration Dinner on the abolition of the Sacramental Test, I. xix.

Sutcliffe, Dean; cited, I. cxxxiv. cxlviii. cxlix. clii.

Sutton, Abp.; his liberal sentiments, I. xx.

Swift, Dean; his character of the Eikon Basilikè, I. xlviii.

Sydney, Algernon; cited, I. 112.

Synods; their evils, and whence they arise, I. xxix.—of Arimine and Seleucia; an account of them, II. 137.

——— and Councils; of their being called and dissolved by the Civil Powers, III. 298—Bp. Burnet's opinion respecting them, 301.

T.

T. C. *See* Cartwright, Thomas.

Tabernacle and Temple; their sumptuousness, II. 397.

Taylor, Jeremy, his insincerity respecting Toleration, I. xviii.—on the effects of persecution, xxiii.—of the insecurity of exceeding Apostolic precedents, xxviii.—his distinction between Sects and Religions, xlii.—his opinion of Creeds, II. 140.

Teachers; in the New Testament; what they were, II. 391.

Teaching. *See* Preaching.

Temple. *See* Tabernacle.

——— Church; the Communicants received the Sacramental elements standing, III. 356.

Temporal happiness, or prosperity; how far a blessing, II. 362—the consequence of Religion; and in what it consists, 365, 367.

Ten Commandments; given differently from the other Mosaic Laws, I. 243.

Tenths. *See* Tithes.

Tertullian; his use of the word "Discipline," I. 164—Dr. Mosheim's character of him, 168—cited, 267; II. 267, 302—his austerity, I. 283; III. 64—opposed to Aërius on Fasting, II. 342—impugns Ministerial Absolution, III. 64—various reading of a passage in his Works, 129.

Testament; how the Old, that of the Letter; and the New, that of the Spirit, III. 326.

Test, Sacramental; its repeal, I. xix.—Dr. Chalmers on the Declaration substituted for it, *ib.*

Thanksgivings, particular; of the want of, in the then Liturgy, II. 145—since, supplied; with a remark on, 148.

Things; why the greatest and oldest chiefly admired, II. 311—generally older than their Names, III. 93.

Tickel; cited, II. 151.

Tillotson, Abp.; mentioned, II. 90—dissatisfied with Athanasius' Creed, 140.

Time; what it is, II. 312.
 Timothy; a query respecting, III. 116—
 not proved to have had exclusive Juris-
 diction, 119.
 Tithes, or Tenths; offered to God, II.
 399—whether claimable by Divine
 Right, 399, 404—offered by Pagans to
 their gods, 400—preposterous judgment
 of by the Canonists, 403—whether to be
 alienated, 404, 406—Wycliffe, on them,
 III. 216—Milton's account of them,
 222, 226.
 Title at Ordination; what the name im-
 plies, II. 415—why required, 416—
 not absolutely necessary, *ib.*
 Titles of honour; how derived to the
 Clergy, III. 206.
 Titus; a query respecting, III. 116—not
 proved to have had exclusive Jurisdic-
 tion, 119.
 Toleration; testimonies of the attachment
 of the Independents to, I. xvi.—remarks
 of Lords Eldon and King respecting it,
 xx.—Act of; when passed, and why,
 II. 29—the term odious, 361—unli-
 mited, the only expedient for Civil
 union, 445.
 Tory; Hooker and Baxter the reverse of
 each other, I. xiii.
 Toulmin, Dr.; cited, I. xl.
 Towgood, Rev. Micaiah; cited, I. xxxii.;
 II. 279; III. 310.
 Tradition, oral; its uncertainty, I. 130—
 when of authority, 137—what, used,
 II. 257.
 Traditions, the doctrine of; a query re-
 specting, II. 59.
 Transmigration of souls; Political benefits
 of the doctrine, II. 7.
 Transubstantiation; of it, II. 289, 539.
 Travers, Walter, B. D.; chaplain to Lord
 Burghley, I. lxx.—mentioned, 22—
 his Supplication to the Council, III.
 335.
 Treasures of the Church; the conduct of
 an Archdeacon to save them, II. 406.
 Trent, the Council of; cited, II. 372—
 its decrees not of the same force in all
 Popish countries, III. 327.
 Trinity; how united and distinguished,
 II. 172, 195—why the Second Person
 of it, should be made a man, rather than
 the First or Third, 173.
 Truth; it alone imparts value to Antiquity
 and Custom, II. 21—its final victory,
 329.

U.

Udal, John; a work attributed to, I. 63.
 Unbelievers; want of readiness in replying
 to, an occasion of their vaunting, II. 10.

Unction, gift of, bestowed on Christ; what,
 II. 186.
 Uniformity; Lord Bacon, of, I. 196—
 Montesquieu, of, *ib.* (*see Addendum*,
 III. 536)—of the attempt at, under Con-
 stantine, II. 135—visionary, III. 324.
 Unity; different from uniformity, I. 196.
 Universities; why their Vice-Chancellors
 judges in Civil causes, III. 174.
 Unworthiness; the Petition respecting it,
 considered, II. 153.
 Urbici; whom so called, III. 129.
 Usher, Abp.; visits Christopher Goodman,
 I. clxxxix.—of the antiquity of God-
 fathers, II. 255.

V.

Valentinian; of his refusal to call a Synod,
 being a Layman, III. 300.
 ————— Heretics; of them, II. 215.
 Valesius; on the apostleship of James, III.
 99.
 Veal, Abr.; cited, III. 138.
 Veil, the; at Churching of Women, II.
 356.
 Vestments; opinions of the Puritans and
 most eminent Churchmen on, I. cxli.
 21.
 Vice, the; a character so called, I. cxxiv.
 Virgin Mary; whether conceived in sin,
 III. 361.
 Virtue; lies between extremes, II. 275.
 Virtuous, the; of their enjoying the good
 things of this life, and the Wicked not,
 II. 362.
 Visitations of Bishops; their design, III.
 234.
 Voluntary offerings; preferred by Wycliffe
 to Tithes, III. 216.
 Vowell, John; Hooker's uncle, I. lviii.

W.

Walton, Izaak; his Life of Hooker, I.
 xlix.—of his unconstitutional doctrines,
 and the general character of the Life,
 lvi.
 Warburton, Bp.; cited, I. xi. xviii. xxiii.
 xxiv. xxv. xxviii. xxix. xxxiv. xxxv.
 xxxvi. xxxvii. xxxviii. xxxix. xl. xli.
 xlii. lvii. cvi. clxxxii. 106; II. 353;
 III. 255, 332.
 Warner, Dr.; cited, III. 89.
 Watts, Dr. Isaac; cited, I. ciii.
 Wealth of the Clergy; of it, III. 241.

Wharton, H.; cited, I. c.
 "When thou hadst overcome the sharpness of Death," &c., in the Te Deum, considered, II. 149.
 Whigs; Hooker styled, the Father of them, I. xiii.
 Whiston, Dr.; Queen Anne declines to confirm his sentence, III. 320.
 Whitaker, William, D. D.; cited, I. xxvii. cxlvi. clxxvi.; III. 108.
 Whitby, Dr.; of Confirmation, II. 279.
 Whitgift, Abbot; of him, I. 55.
 ——— Abp.; of his pageantry, I. xxxiii.
 ——— of his temper, lxxviii. cxcii. — the Jesuit Ballard's judgment of his Writings, clxvi. — Walton and Strype's account of him, *see* Life of Hooker, *passim* — (for other particulars of him, *see* the Life of Cartwright, *passim*) — his opinion of Calvin's Writings, 22 — a *petitio principii*, of, 37 — a promoter of the Court of High Commission, 45 — remarkable opinion of, concerning Anabaptism, 62 — cited, 150; II. 106, 164, 227, 228, 328, 340, 356, 372, 380, 419 — of the Apocryphal Books, 57 — of Homilies and Sermons, 60 — of Forms of Prayer in the New Testament, 92 — of over hasty reading or singing the Psalms, 122 — of the efficacy of Baptism, 210 — deprecated the imposition of the Clerical Habits, I. cxli.; II. 395 — of Seniors or Presbyters, III. 3 — effects of his administration of Church affairs, 198.
 Whittingham, Dean; extraordinary proceedings respecting his Ordination, III. 340.
 Wicked men; cannot enjoy happiness in this world, II. 363 — their prosperity no just cause of others' grief, 442.
 Wickes, Rev. W. W.; of him, II. 221.
 Widows; whom St. Paul means by them, II. 393.
 Wilcocks, Thomas, A. M.; of him, I. civ. cliii. clxii. 22.
 Wilkins, Bp.; on extempore Prayer, II. 91.
 Will; of man, how influenced, I. 88 — differs from appetite, 89 — free, 90; II. 480 — the Will, the root of all actions, 104 — but one Will in God, 160 — two Wills in Christ, *ib.* — of God, that all might be saved, 166.
 Wilson's Hist. of James I.; cited, I. cxcii.

Witnesses at Baptism; of them, II. 255.
 Women; apt to be drawn into admiration of New Doctrines, I. 31 — of Baptism by, II. 226.
 Wood, Anthony à; cited, I. xviii. lii. lxiii. xcvi. c. cxvii.
 Words; their original use, II. 384.
 Wordsworth, Dr.; cited, I. lxxv. cxii. 27, 39; II. 451; III. 376.
 Works; of Supererogation, I. 190, 492. *See* Good Works.
 Worship; in what sense promised, in the office of Matrimony, II. 352.
 Wren, Bp.; institutes inquiry respecting Divinity being matter of Table-talk among the Laity, I. xxxii.
 Wycliffe, calls Bishops "a Cæsarean Prelacy," III. 200 — an opinion ascribed to, 216 — of the identity of Bishops and Priests; and of Tithes, *ib.* — Treatment of him by Hooker, 217.

X.

Xistus, Bp. of Rome; his Archdeacon's conduct, II. 406.

Y.

Yelverton, Sir H.; cited, I. cciv.

Z.

Zanchy; his opinion of the superiority of Bishops, as represented by Hooker, contrasted with Bancroft, III. 153.
 Zeal and Fear; roots of Superstition, II. 13.
 Zipporah; the case of her circumcising her son, considered, II. 242.
 Zouch, Dr.; cited, I. liv. lvi. lxiii. lxxiv. lxxix. lxxx. lxxxii. xcvi. cii. cvi. cxiv. cxviii. cxi. cxxiii. cxlix. cxliii.; II. 451.
 ———, Lord; Cartwright attends him to Guernsey, I. ccii.

ADDENDA ET ERRATA.

VOL. I.

Page xxxvi. Note §, *for p. xix. read p. xxv.*

lxxxi. line 17, *before by me, insert or*
cxxxiii. 7, *bot., for XTII, read XTI.*

cxlv. Note †, *for clxiv. read cxliv.*

clvi. line 2, *bot., for 1633, read 1638.*

ccii. 20, *for residant, read resiant.*

24, 32, *for incitiements, read incitements.*

196, Note † *should commence thus*,—This position must not be regarded as involving the necessity of Uniformity as to the mode or form of Church Government, or Discipline; which concerns subsequent Sections. In this latter sense, “It is noted, &c.

Page 252, line 10, *bot., before and after Dr. Whitgift, put [].*

VOL. II.

Page 136, line 7, *bot., dele the before amazement.*

259, 7, *bot., dele the inverted commas before “See.*

267, Note †, *before Chrysostom, place “*

400, line 11, *bot., for 1730 read 1738.*

VOL. III.

Page 153, line 17, *bot., for Zanchius, read Zanchio.*

347, 8, *for ever, read every.*

